NightwingTRP

ARCHIVE

www.TheRedArchive.com

ABOUT THIS ARCHIVE

Everything **/u/NightwingTRP** wrote across the subreddits TheRedArchive holds: 29 posts and 2,207 comments published between November 2014 and March 2024.

About /u/NightwingTRP. Most of the posts here come from /r/TheRedPill — 27 of 29 — with the rest in /r/PurplePillDebate and /r/MGTOW. The 2,207 comments come mostly from there too. Between them the posts drew 4,403 upvotes; the most upvoted is “Commanding 101” (March 2017, 723).

About TheRedArchive. TheRedArchive is a free, public archive of the Red Pill community, built so that none of this writing can quietly disappear. It holds **1,737,261 posts** and **40,024,687 comments** from 45 subreddits and 58 blogs. Much of it survives nowhere else — the accounts deleted, the subreddits banned, the websites long offline. All of it is free to read, search and download, and always will be, at www.TheRedArchive.com.

Support the mission. This Red Pill archive is free — no paywall, no account — but keeping it online isn't. I pay for the servers, the storage and the bandwidth myself, so that this valuable knowledge stays online. If you want to support the mission and archive, become one of the people who help keep it online at www.TheRedArchive.com/#help.

Share this file freely, and keep a copy of your own. What sits on one server can be taken down; what sits on a thousand hard drives cannot.

Best regards,

/u/dream-hunter

Founder of TheRedArchive
August 11, 2026

CONTENTS

Posts (29) 4
- Turning things around will take time... but time keeps marching no matter what you do 4
- On the Bruce Lee Principle 5
- Useful skill sets 6
- On Debating and Discussion as a Man 7
- Male Domestic Violence Victim urges others to speak out 9
- FR - Re-Plate success 10
- The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 1: Attitude, Ego and IDGAF 13
- The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 2: Frame, Posture and Body Language 16
- The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 3: Shit tests and Comfort tests 18
- The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 4: Flags, ASD, LMR and Walking Away 22
- Why would a man *pretend* that his wife is a tyrant? 24
- Attitude - It doesn't even matter if you're right 27
- Roles, respect and responsibility acceptance as an alpha trait 29
- My friend appears to be becoming a natural MGTOW 32
- Are white women who sleep with/date black men "contaminated" or damaged in some way? 33
- Adopting the best attitude to learn 34
- Self-control is vital: Nature has not adapted to modern society 36
- The Decline has made us the poorest men in history 37
- FR - Flying by the seat of my pants 40
- Feminism is actually hedonism 42
- On Leadership 44
- Basic Guide to Argumentation and Intellectual Growth 46
- Reggie Yates documentary on the manosphere - A review 50
- Jennifer Lawrence explains her fee-fees 52
- Wants vs needs: the sexual attitude nuance 54
- Compassion for your fellow man 56
- [Repost] Roles, respect and responsibility acceptance as an alpha trait 57
- Commanding 101 60
- Sokal 2.0 - The open secret of feminist philosophy 64

Comments (2,207) 66

37
UPVOTES

Turning things around will take time... but time keeps marching no matter what you do

7 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

One of the things I've noticed from the dramatic change I've been making to my life, is how difficult it is for some of us. The simple fact is that for anyone with a high level of discipline and a strong drive, the journey is just a mechanical process. That said, most of us do not have that level of discipline and drive. We have a normal level which will run into trouble at some point. We've all seen the posts or rants from some men who come back to state the same fucking problems over and over again. How do you help people like that? There are irregular reminders on the sub to stay the course. Encouraging/inspiring posts. Then field reports from people who have made the journey to remind you what you're going to achieve. I would posit that this should be enough for the average guy.

Typically when they come back, there will be a denial of the issues. Whatever went wrong is not their fault. Bad news buddy, it is your fault. It is entirely your fault because your weak-ass mind could not hold on and power through the boredom and discomfort. Sometimes I even read complaining about whatever is wrong with them. Well complaining without action is not the way forward. It's a beta trait that assumes the problem is going to solve itself. So here's the bitter truth for these people: Life will go on no matter what you do. It does not care. Imagine the worst things in your entire life happening. Once they happen, life will go on. Time has no pity for you and any time you're not using productively is time you're wasting. Don't get me wrong, relaxation is important, but relaxation should never be at the expense of achieving the goals you've set for yourself.

I like to think of the journey as though you're in charge of a huge oil tanker. Unfortunately, due to some shit GPS handed to you by society, you've ended up in blue pill waters. Now you've been sailing in the wrong direction, you need to turn around and get back into the red pill waters. If you choose to do nothing, or fail to do anything, then you can enjoy your voyage in blue pill waters because the engine is running and that tanker is still pressing forwards. You want to turn it around you say? Well sure, turn that wheel. What's that? The wheel is heavy? Yeah, of course it's heavy. It's fucking great oil tanker and they forgot to install power steering!

The guy with tremendous discipline and drive is like the bodybuilder at the helm. He turns the wheel with ease and holds it without breaking a sweat. Even though he does that, it still takes him months to sail the ship out of those blue pill waters and into a nice red sea. Each action you take, is a minor turn of the wheel. Optimising your t levels? That's a fraction turn. Lifting? That's another fraction. Reading material? Another fraction. They all add up to turning the wheel and altering course. But what's this? The wheel is pushing back! It doesn't like it. You've got to exert strength to hold it in place.

What happened now? You stopped lifting? You've failed to hold the wheel. It's slipped back by a fraction. You haven't read anything useful in a while? Slipped back again. Oh dear, you're no longer heading for red pill waters. So you come back here to post about it and have a rant and a vent. But the engines are still running and you've let go of the wheel. Returning to the sub is one thing to gain encouragement or ask for advice. By all means, do this. But realise that YOU are the only person who can enact it. You're the one at the helm, steering the ship. Nobody else. You want to achieve the gargantuan task of sailing this beast out of those accursed waters? Then grab onto the wheel, pull hard and hold the fuck on! Even when your mind and body is screaming "no more" you have to hold on. Nobody else can turn the wheel for you. It's down to you. Don't let go because nobody is going to be here to grab it and save you at the last second.

16
UPVOTES

On the Bruce Lee Principle

16 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

Why the Bruce Lee principle must always apply to Red Pill Theory. "Adapt what is useful, reject what is useless, and add what is specifically your own."

Reading a post the other day which cited some old classic behaviourist studies, it reminded me of why the old behaviourism movement is no longer as prominent in current psychology. This does not say that it has nothing to offer. It has some great ideas and explains some things well, but we've reached a stage these days where it is no longer the explanation of everything. Now there's two ways you can take this, depending upon your point of view: we don't need something to explain everything, we just need some soft analysis skills to make sense of things; or that relativism is a self defeating principle and we must admit that softer sciences are known as such because we simply don't have all the explanations yet. An example would be the theories of lift. A few years ago, I was discussing this with a physicist who was about to do a PhD at Cambridge in theoretical physics. I was curious as to the practicality of this, so he kindly gave the example that there are/were three competing theories which explained lift (the force we believe makes planes fly.) They're not compatible, so we know for sure that they're not all right, however we don't know which one is right *BUT* we do know that planes fly. This is a good example of a hard science approach. We've got observations and we have theories on why these are so, but we can't yet be certain of the explanation. You can apply this principle all the way down the softer sciences and especially to psychology.

I firmly believe there is no reason we can't take both views. There is no rational reason to reject two sensible ideas that come from a strong logical basis. Soft analysis skills (something which seems to be dying out in modern society) have tremendous advantages. Calibration of social skills, the diagnosis of disease, the understanding and adaptability of your own principle views on life etc etc. However, that is not a good enough reason to accept a relativist principle: the only truth, is that there is no truth. Predictions made decades ago by prominent theoretical physicists have been proven over the past few years as our exploration and knowledge of space has increased. Deductive reasoning on the basis of truth is proving that there is truth out there. So as with most things, let's adopt a middle ground which works best. We can dismiss relativism, there is truth to nature, we don't fully understand it.... however we can still use a soft analytical approach to adapt to it. See reality for what it is, continue to debate the theory behind the observations made by red pill men, but approach with adaptability in mind. It is the best approach. To "adapt what is useful, reject what is useless, and add what is specifically your own." Never stop thinking. Never stop adapting. Never stop trying to add something new which is specifically your own.

29
UPVOTES

Useful skill sets

20 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

One of the major things which underlines red pill philosophy towards life is constantly bettering yourself. It's something I easily relate to because I used to think that way as a kid. I seemed to lose my way a little when I got lost in the rat race. Another thing I've noticed is that not all skill sets are as useful as others. If we discount the basics such as lifting and reading, what would you as an individual RP man recommend as a skill set or two that people consider learning?

Cooking, nutrition and a greater depth of knowledge on alcohol would be my recommendations to anyone reading this. Diet goes hand in hand with lifting - cooking allows you greater flexibility with what you eat and allows you to increase the quality of the food you eat. Greater knowledge of alcohol, what is best for you and how to enjoy it more etc is simply because... you might be drinking less or it may just turn into an occasional treat, so you'd might aswell get the most out of it.

26
UPVOTES

On Debating and Discussion as a Man

25 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

Being the smartest guy in the room offers you great confidence, quick wit and respect from the social group. But how did that guy become the smartest guy in the room? Sometimes it's natural. The social group all got similar education and he just happened to be genetically gifted. But if you're making new social groups all the time or swapping around a bit... do you really want to lower your standards for peers just to be the smartest guy in the group? No. Of course you don't. Luckily, there's a simple way to encourage your own intellectual growth which isn't open to women in the same way. Unfortunately, it's been dying out thanks to the feminisation of society. This is the art of debate and discussion (but not how most people think about it.)

I don't need to go into detail about the advantages as a man of intellectual growth. It leads to better emotional growth, maturity, confidence, better decisions which lead to achieving more goals etc etc. It is truly essential for any man who wants to advance in this world. The only limitation to this improvement is your genetics. This is the same as with your body. You might not have the genetics to become Arnie or some other bodybuilder, but you can still get big and muscular. You can still look great. Intelligence is the same. You may not become Einstein, but you can grow your own base level intelligence and adaptive cognitive functions. Your genetics will only provide a ceiling to this. Even knowing this, I would still say that everybody has plenty of room for growth.

The simple way to do this is to change your views on debate and discussion. At the moment, thanks to the feminisation of society, whenever people get into a disagreement on a topic, emotional arguments will trump things or they'll argue passionately for their own point and never consider the other side. It's that simple to them: I'm right and I will shout them down. If we skip past how retarded that is in itself and how I bet there are people rolling their eyes and going " well duh!" at this bit... even in this sub (the last bastion of masculinity) we still see this crap. It's rare I've seen anyone do a 180 on their opinion here. This worries me because that is precisely where the growth comes from. Not from being right, but from being proven wrong by others. That guy who is consistently the smartest guy in the room: he was the intellectual bitch of smarter men and he embraced it and grew in a way that a woman never could.

Women have a few inbuilt restrictions when it comes to mental growth. That's just biology and you can check the post about women being the most responsible teenager in the house for the overview. A small number may buck the odds, but ultimately the stats show men have the advantage here (so use it!) There's post after post about how a man must struggle to achieve. His ego will take hits and keep on coming back for more. This doesn't just apply to growth of confidence in approaching women, it applies to mental growth too.

The original purpose of debate was to put two people on opposing sides who would argue over an issue as best they could. From observation of the points being put forward, an intelligent man could gain truth and thus gain intellectual growth. The difficulty comes if you're one of the guys making the arguments. If you are, take a step back and try to see things from the other side of the table. Not only will this allow you to construct stronger arguments, but it will force you to accept the weaknesses on your own side. In doing so, you'll be more open to learning and getting the intellectual growth you need. The more you begin to realise you're wrong about things, the better understanding you get on a topic and the more intellectual growth. This is something men should be very strong at (growing through competition.)

Discussion is not about winning, but treat the discussion itself as though it is. Once you've done that, become objective and analyse things. This is the key to fast-tracking your journey to achieving any goal that requires input from the mind. (Which is just about everything.) If you're finding yourself name calling in a discussion you're serious about then you're already failing. If you think there's no way you can be proven wrong, your mind is too closed off. (Just because you're open to being proven wrong doesn't mean you will be.) If you're unable to understand the other person's logic, then you may be missing out on a chance for growth. Understanding the strengths of opposing viewpoints and appreciating them is essential. Without knowing that, you can't get to the truth

and you can't grow. If you can eliminate the feminine reaction to the competitive aspect of discussing irreconcilable ideas then you'll find your understanding growing exponentially. Use that growth well.

Edit: Thanks to Fluviant for this suggestion, I think it was a very good one. A short practical example:

Back when the UK was looking at the alternative vote system and performing the referendum on this topic, some of the strongest propaganda I've ever seen came out. Perfectly emotionally targeted, it declared that the new system is "too complex for people to understand." (This appeals so well because people can confidently declare this without even trying to understand. As most people did. It makes them feel okay with their ignorance.) Someone close to me took this view and confidently declared to me it was too complicated and they didn't understand it. I laughed and cried inside for a moment because I knew it was laughably simple. I explained the system in about 2 minutes and it dawned on them just how simple the new system was. After this, they became a supporter of the alternative vote system. (It never passed though.)

Anyway, the takeaway from this is: * two opposing viewpoints came out. * This person knows that I am someone worth listening to on topics. * After laying out their stance, they heard that I was going to provide on-the-spot proof that the view was wrong. They encouraged me to do this. * They listened and tried to understand, asked questions where needed to get me to clarify anything they didn't understand. The focus was to understand the opposing point. * Once they understood, there was no upset about changing their mind. Just a straight 180 in their views. Both of us felt good about this. They grew.

Note the absence of emotion in this. There was no holding back by either side or trying to make the other person feel better or being diplomatic. The focus was on proving the truth. This is how we resolve disagreements as men if there is a truth to be found. If there is no objective truth on a topic then it can also end with agreeing to disagree.

TL:DR -

1.Feminization of society has led to the deterioration of pure discourse of ideas.

2.Name-calling and other appeals to emotion mean you've already lost the debate.

3.Intellectual growth, much like SMV growth, is at its core a function of how you handle and cope with rejection (being proven wrong).

4."Understanding the strengths of opposing viewpoints and appreciating them is essential," ...especially if you want to completely undermine the opponent's viewpoints!

EDIT2: Additional reading

68
UPVOTES

Male Domestic Violence Victim urges others to speak out

13 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

During my lunchtime news browsing, I came across this piece on The Independent newspaper website. While obviously the tale of the violence he suffered is awful, he appears to have acted in the best way possible to push for a change.

He's shared some meaningful quotes which will shock some blue pillers:

> "As a man who is a bit older and who isn't exactly small, there is a perception that you can't be a victim of domestic violence,"
>
> Mr Gregory wants to address the stigma surrounding men who are abused by their wives and partners. He added, "it should be the same message that they put out for women – don't be frightened, you don't have to put up with it."
>
> Chair of ManKind Mark Brookes told The Independent: "While Mr Gregory's case is awful we should not be overly surprised that domestic abuse can happen to men too. We need a real sea change in public attitude and recognition in that domestic abuse can affect anyone. "There are still too many barriers that men face in feeling comfortable in coming forward and getting help," he added.

Nice to see a little media attention being drawn to this serious issue. It's a piece that can be shared with anyone who isn't a misandrist which is a bonus. The bitly suggests it has been shared over 5,000 times already.

72
UPVOTES

FR - Re-Plate success

24 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

So I typically run experiments with some of the chicks I meet. This is out of a combination of curiosity and boredom. It's all good experience. This little experiment was started pretty much entirely on a whim fuelled by the good vibes of my mates at the cigar club. My plate had suddenly ditched me for some bizarre beta boy offering her instant commitment. I later discovered she'd been orbiting him for around 10 years. As a mid twenties gal, this meant from school age she'd been pining for him. I deduced he was probably pretty good looking or she was just even more insecure than I'd expected (she comfort tested all the time which was annoying... but she also never shit tested after the first meeting/sex. Swings and roundabouts guys.) So the report starts with my shrugged shoulders decision to see if I could re-plate her. I must confess at this point... I actually expected to fail. This is probably why I went into this with the shrugged shoulders/IDGAF attitude that I take towards most of my sexual strategy. I've never run boyfriend destroyer game before and, more than this, it felt like I needed to adapt the principles slightly. I only researched it a week or so ago and it seemed to have little relevance to what I was doing here. It's not normally my thing... but adaptability and all that. More tools in the box.

So I start it off with a phone call intending to ask some asinine question that leaves her with more questions of her own as to why I was acting this way. She didn't pick up, so I left a simple mysterious text. (If you haven't tried the mystery method yet, I'd recommend it. It's been working better than some of my other approaches to general conversation.) As predicted, she takes the bait... whether she wants another orbiter or is just listening to her emotions going "fun guy doing stuff I don't understand, this is interesting" doesn't really matter. Three texts over the course of the evening probing me. I ignore them and go to bed, reply the next morning with more mysterious nonsense, around the sort of time I know she goes to work. That morning, due to family emergency, she's taken the morning off work and happens to be in my area. I suddenly get a request to meet up for coffee. As far as I'm concerned, this meeting will be recon. I don't know what I'm up against with this guy she's committed to and I'm also unsure how my own SMV has been affected by this.

My opening gambit is to reassert how much higher my SMV is than hers. So I up my asshole game and open with the greeting "wow, you look like crap!" (she hadn't put in effort like she had in our previous meetings) and then compare her look as she's waiting for me (I'm purposefully late) to gollum. She laps this up and agrees with it, hugging me. I take the free kino but also ensure I'm the one to break the hug and actively push her away. As a note for any newer guys, SMV is always relative in the eyes of the chick. She may be a HB6, but that doesn't matter if she sees herself as a 9. The reverse is also true. You need to work to ensure the relative distance between your SMV and hers is that yours is a little higher than hers. Beta boy's gay little admission of strong feelings for her and offering her commitment for nothing will have raised her SMV in her eyes, particularly because she'd been orbiting him for so long. All of this asshole game works to reduce her SMV in her eyes and increase mine. Pretty standard if you're starting from scratch.

This meeting is where I leave her to fill in the background for me. I show no active interest in what's changed and leave her to broach the subject of her commitment to beta boy. I treat just about every aspect of the discussion about beta boy as a shit test. Specifically the point where she goes "I expected you to be angry" and I just shrug my shoulders with my default bored expression. Throw in some agree and amplify style sarcasm: "yes, I am quite obviously suffering uncontrollable rage. Oooh! Cake!" She goes on to talk about why she agreed to the beta commitment, and I'm predominantly disinterested (what she says has no real bearing on what's actually going on for the most part. Remember that women don't actually understand themselves.) until she talked about his declarations of strong feelings as "cringeworthy" stuff. She pays for everything and I decide we're going somewhere else specific. Bitches love surprises, throw them one anytime you can because it's as much fun for you as it is for her. Her motivation for this entire meeting is that she wants to know about mysterious old me. The fun is what I get out of it and it shines through in my attitude. Throughout the walk to the next event and then back to where I parked, she's feeling up my arm and getting really close. Turn to say goodbye and she's feeling up my chest. I decide, fuck

it, and take a kiss. She kisses back. I break the kiss early and just say goodbye and walk off. Desire is heavy, now I just need to give beta boy time to fuck himself with his beta game before I make my move. (And oh boy, does he fuck himself royally. I find this out at the end though. Here I'm just letting nature take its course.)

I leave it three days then pretend I have a spare ticket for something I booked ages ago and a mate cancelled on me. I assert she should tag along. Instant agreement from her to join me. I give her the time and place to meet and then tell her to not look like shit and put some effort in this time. (When I saw her, she'd clearly put in A LOT of effort for a midweek meeting.) No reply from her to confirm, but I'm confident she's going to show up since she replied instantly after getting off work. As an added kicker, I'm chatting with an ex plate (mostly for entertainment) and decide to meet her beforehand and check out some new coffee place. This gave me both a good social warmup and allowed me to add in some preselection. I wait at the meeting point with ex plate until I see the bus of committed girl coming in. She's looking from the window, so I hug ex plate and send her on her way. Committed girl meets, asks who that was and I give a vague reply and press into the small talk as a basic pressure flip. "A friend of mine. How's your day been?"

I say we've got a little time to kill, so we hit a bar, then onto the ticketed event. She pays for drinks at the bar and at the event. I swap the furniture around slightly while she gets the drinks in so that I can achieve the most kino possible. She comes back, and her opening gambit is the sort of tedious shit test I've already been dealing with. "guess who did -insert soppy romantic gesture here- the other day?" Quick as a flash I reply "Your stalker?" She stops dead in her tracks, babbles for a moment before admitting it is the sort of creepy thing a stalker would do. As I said before... beta boy's lovely beta game will only make me more appealing. That was the final shit test I see from her.

At this point I realise she's started mixing her drinks like crazy. Jumping around from wines to cocktails to spirits to beer. She's paying for all the drinks and comes back with one drink for me and two for herself because she's "having such a great time" and "thank you so much for inviting me out tonight Nightwing. I'm having such a fun time." Of course you are, because I'm fun and beta boy's "dates" will have been tedious as fuck/non-stop emotional talk. By this point I'm satisfied she's going to cheat on beta boy with me tonight. It's just a matter of me not fucking it up. She's made her decision and is now prepping her deniability by being drunk. I stopped her short of getting seriously drunk but left her tipsy enough that she was satisfied.

So we leave and head for my car. Here come her comfort tests again. "Why are you still with me?" To be fair at this point... I'm a little tipsy myself and I'm sick of this nonsense. I decide to go for dominance broke and grab her, pull her in to a sort of hug and then grab her by the throat with my other hand, pushing her head back and leaning in very close. (For reference, she likes being choked a little during rough sex. She's told me before when I hold her by the throat she feels helpless but safe and "it's so amazing." So this was a calculated move by me.) I stare at her eyes and tell her to shut up and stop whining. She nods. I drop a rough, passionate kiss on her and she's massively into it. I then tell her that her pussy belongs to me. She nods again. We're going home now, understood? Another nod. Away we go and she couldn't smile any wider if she tried.

Once we get back, she insists she needs a shower and invites me to join. (Because yeah... serious committed girls have platonic showers with guys they've previously had sex with.) I get some LMR from her about not having sex. I can't remember if I ignored it or gave a disinterested "ok" reply. Either way, I just began playing with her body, heavy escalation. Same LMR repeats and I just spank her. She turns and drops to her knees to give me a very enthusiastic blowjob (because loyal, committed chicks totally give super enthusiastic blowjobs to their platonic friends.) Things escalate from there as expected. She's really into the sex and during post-coital pillow talk she informs me about the sappy romantic gestures beta boy has been doing. I'm literally laughing at them and she reveals she doesn't like how he's trying to force a serious relationship. Leaving beta boy to play his beta game was a solid move. At a rough estimate he's spent about ten times as much money as I have on her this past week. I'm not even sure if he managed to get a kiss off her. I'm the one lying in bed with her. Classic AF/BB.

So beta boy is taking her out for a nice dinner tonight. I'm looking forward to hearing about it later. This is because

I know she's "feeling so guilty" that her opening gambit, once they've sat down, will be blunt words to the effect of "I had sex with Nightwing last night and again this morning." She thinks he'll be quite upset. I hope he is.

Moral of the story gentleman: do not try to fight with men you are inferior to. You're wasting your time. Go monk mode and improve yourself. Beta game always fails against practiced alpha game.

More serious moral for newbies: Do not be beta boy. He is a faggot and deserves every last ounce of pain he suffers this evening. If this leads him to TRP, then that's all fine and good, he can unplug and improve himself. He's lost this battle, but he can still make a better fight of the war. If it does not, then he can consign himself to depression and life as an omega or a cuckold. Ultimately, he is not my problem. Despite my motivations being boredom, this is still proof positive: The alpha takes what he wants.

TL:DR - Plate accepts instant commitment from a beta and breaks things off with me. I have her cheat on him with me because I can. (And more so because he's affected one of the bitches I was managing, which inconveniences me. I take back my more convenient sex. Fail turned into win in about a week.)

429
UPVOTES

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 1: Attitude, Ego and IDGAF

28 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

TL:DR - A compilation of the basic tools you need in the field to handle the vast majority of situations which could arise. The way I explain everything is the way I see it and how it has been working well for me including examples and links to additional reading. As a result of all the detail, it was extremely long, so I've broken it down into four parts for ease of use. This part covers an introduction, guide preface and Attitude, Ego and IDGAF.

- Introduction (My motivation. Skip if you don't care.)

Ever since I unfortunately had to remove the Field Report I put up about a month ago, I wanted to find a way to get that information back out into the community. I had a couple of guys message me to thank me for the "masterclass" in TRP seduction. While I'm flattered by that, I don't believe I'm a complete master. (I also believe that any attitude suggesting you have nothing left to learn is counter-productive for any man looking to continually better himself. We are all apprentices in a craft where no one ever becomes a master.)

Everything I used in that FR, I learned from this sub and the IRC chat. When I realised just how much I'd learnt and how many principles I put into play over the course of that night, I realised that there is no one piece which draws these strands all together. That's what I intend to do with this guide. That way any newer guys have a complete list of everything in one place and can quickly see where their strengths and weaknesses are. Most of these work very well for me when applied to the right situation, YMMV depending on your appearance, approach and personality.

- Preface to the guide

This is the *"in-the-moment social dynamics which is essentially the raw game itself, getting popular/laid is based on all this bullshit, which is effectively the basis of animal attraction/charm/social grace. We don't get too many posts like this anymore, but it's always good to rehash the basis of interaction, especially for guys who are busy working or doing other shit and need to dust up their social skills. Like anything, too much time out of the game makes you rusty and these behaviours you describe which otherwise come naturally to many become lost and need re-learning/reawakening, which I'm sure your descriptions will aid in. Also helps the autists who never had a clue to begin with, too."* - /u/Illimitableman (Thanks for your input on this and giving so freely of your time to better the community.)

Your TRP knowledge is a bit like a toolbox. We hear plenty about how personal calibration of game is a significant factor in your success with women. Each tool has its own specific use for a specific problem. Utilising the correct tool in the right way at the right time is the essence of proper calibration. However, like any craftsman, you may be better at using some tools than others. Develop the best quality tools you can and work to your strengths as much as possible.

- Attitude and ego.

Attitude is all about the inner monologue going through your mind. In my opinion, this is the most important part of any interaction. I say this because I strongly believe your attitude and ego are the foundations which underlie your frame and your ability to respond to tests. I have also now had two women later tell me how they "internally felt" my attitude within 15 seconds of meeting me. (One of them even got it literally word-for-word.)

Setting your attitude may take some time, but there are a few phrases I remind myself of in order to maintain the right attitude. These phrases may seem raw or even offensive to newer members who are trying to learn from this. Some of them are actively wrong, but you want to keep them just to keep you in the right frame of mind. There is a big difference between your ego out in the field, and your ego on TRP. Your ego/confidence, (maybe even narcissism at a push), should be absolute in the field. Once you get back on the TRP sub though, you should kill your ego in order to be more objective. So these phrases apply to frame of mind in the field, not on the sub.

- I am entitled to touch her body. (You're not really, we don't encourage rape or molestation if she's clearly uncomfortable. This phrase gives you confidence in your kino. If she doesn't like it then she'll find a way to let you know. If any chick ever does, it's no big deal. Shit happens, but kino is vital to escalation!)
- I am the God of my own little world. (This is your frame. She may be here by her choice, but she's also here because you allowed her to be. Never forget this and never let her insinuate anything different. You're in charge of you, she is not.)
- She is worth just as little as the next slut. (She might not be, but that's not the point of this. This is about reminding you of the right attitude to take to make yourself as attractive as possible. Reminder of the biological realities of AWALT. Reminder of abundance mentality. Reminder aimed to ensure you act like your SMV is higher than hers. There are no good girls. There are no unicorns. Don't be an idiot and keep that perspective.)
- I am here to have fun. Whatever else happens, happens. (Outcome independence. You are completely disinterested in a specific outcome. You control yourself and your ability to have fun. Use that. Everything else is irrelevant. Any interaction you have with a woman should be fun. If you're not having fun, leave her and go find someone more fun.)
- All women are sluts. You will now go prove this. (While not entirely true, every woman does have the *capacity* to be a slut. The requirement to be a slut is to have a vagina and spread your legs often. **Every** last woman is capable of this if she doesn't control herself. If you're looking for quick sex then remember that it is your task to turn her on and put her in a situation where she can be the slut her body is urging her to be for any man of high enough SMV. A smart woman who doesn't want to be a slut will avoid such situations, but that's her responsibility, not yours.)
- I'm going to walk away if I choose. (Your time is valuable. You are not going to waste it. You know what's happening and what you want. This is to remind yourself that you are outcome independent, you are in control of the interaction and your SMV is higher than hers. Women are very talented at spotting fakes. If you don't truly believe you are her superior then she'll read between the lines and figure it out. Remember that women date up!)
- She is here at my whim. (You are out to enjoy yourself and she has been allowed along. It's true that she needs to want to be there too, but that must not be the way you view it. The moment her presence becomes her decision rather than yours is when you step into her frame. As a dominant man, it is your frame and your whim which is the ultimate deciding factor. The fact she wants to be there is incidental, you could still have chosen not to allow her along because there are plenty more women out there. Abundance mentality. Outcome independence.)

Some of those may be a bit raw for the newer guys and anyone who likes to call TRP misogynistic. As I said, they're not all true, but you need the right attitude to project your superior value. The truth of the sexual market place is that women will only go for men of higher value than they have. Which means in contrast, you've only got a chance with women who perceive themselves to be of lower value than you. You want a chance with that girl? Better start thinking she's getting the better end of the deal. Doesn't matter if that's true or not. Develop an ego which truly believes it.

- A note on IDGAF. (I Don't Give A Fuck.)

Typically speaking, along with developing the right attitude to symbolise your superior SMV, you'll also develop a bit of an attitude like women don't matter. Actually, they don't. They're just another part of the world. Most things don't really matter in the grand scheme. All you really need is food, water and warmth and you'll survive. Everything else is gravy. You can survive, you can achieve your life mission... you can do most things without women.

Woman tries to tell me what to do/how to think - like I care? I can think for myself. Let the disinterest flow.

Guy tries to AMOG me - you do you mate. Doesn't matter to me, I'm still gonna do me. Look at all these fucks I couldn't give.

Shot down by the bitch shield - that's one chick... what does she matter as the tiniest cosmic fleck in my universe? Time to go dance to the theme song.

Essential reading.

The less you care, the better. Outcome independence. Stronger frame. Pussy off the pedestal. All rolled into one. Next time I will be tackling Frame, Posture and Body Language.

214
UPVOTES

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 2: Frame, Posture and Body Language

29 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

TL:DR - This is the TRP Field Toolkit. A more detailed guide to all the basics used in the field that will allow you to deal with the vast majority of situations which arise. The way I explain everything is the way I see it and how it has been working well for me including examples and links to additional reading. The first part of the guide covered Attitude, Ego and IDGAF. This part covers Frame, Posture and Body Language.

- Frame.

"Frame is an often subconscious, mutually acknowledged personal narrative under which auspices people will be influenced." - Rollo Tomassi.

Controlling and holding the frame of your interactions is absolutely vital to being seen as a strong, high value man in the field. Frame is the reality of your world. Your underlying attitude will give off a vibe which influences the frame. You must be in control of it. The difficulty for many new members of TRP is spotting where frame is being tested or stolen by someone else. Whether that be by a woman or by being AMOG'd. On the simplest and most basic level, I would tell someone the best way to maintain frame is to consciously and actively make your own decisions. The woman has made a choice to enter your world and it's down to you to take her on a little tour of your world/life so she can see how cool and fun it is.

Setting the tone of your frame is about three things. Firstly, you are going to have fun and choose to enjoy yourself. She gets the privilege to come along. To achieve this, just use statements about what the two of you will do. Be assertive, but not rude. Second, the frame of your interactions will be sexual. Blue jokes/sexual teasing early is the best way to set the right tone and let her know that this frame is sexual and you're not talking to someone you consider a platonic friend. Thirdly, touch her. (Not like that right away you twit! Unless you're in a club, in which case have at it. She'll slap your hand away if it's not welcome, so don't force it.) Break that psychological barrier early and make it very clear that the frame she's just entered will involve physical contact. A hug and a rub of her arms, taking her hand etc etc. Once frame is established, the woman has every right to leave if she so chooses. However, the truth is that women want dominant men who display value and they're **very** happy in such a frame because it allows them to act upon their natural femininity. It's a lovely, comfortable place for a woman to be when she's in the frame of a man she trusts and desires.

The frame I set tends to be uncompromising and this will occasionally backfire. (You will be called the asshole with a grin if the woman thinks you're within her SMV range. You'll be called it with a scowl for the same behaviour if she thinks your SMV is too high for her. Most women are like children and will throw tantrums if they think they're being denied what they're entitled to.) However, as /u/TRPsubmitter states: uncompromising > chump. Remember the attitude - IDGAFOS. ("I Don't Give A Fuck Or Shit" for the uninitiated.)

- Posture and Body Language

Posture is a well noted hack for increasing your SMV in a very short time. In simple terms: poor posture = weak man. Good posture = strong man. As you sort out your lifting regiment, make sure to research good posture and enact whatever exercises you need in order to fix any posture problems. This post was particularly useful to me and prompted me to begin my research and targeted exercises. Remember to keep your posture corrected in the field!

Once again, in the field, you'll need to remember your body language at first. However, over time, it will become more natural. Chances are, if you've come to TRP after much failure with women, you were probably emitting the body language of a loser your whole life. Start here.

The simplest and most effective advice I can give to keep your body language dominant in the field is to remember to spread out. Two basic alpha positions will be used most often. Walking - head high, chest out, shoulders back. If

you're still correcting your posture, lean back a bit while you walk. You'll be surprised how that impacts things positively. Sitting - back up straight, shoulders back (arms to the side if you can to take up more space), legs spread (your massive cock clearly needs room.) The more space you can take up, the better.

When performing actions - nicely paced, deliberate actions are the way forward. Avoid being timid at all costs. (Do, or do not. There is no try.) Beyond this, try to keep any resting body language (i.e. any body language which you're holding for more than a second or two) as open as possible. Eye contact should be maintained with anyone. You should try to ensure they always break eye contact first (unless you might end up walking into something.) Try to gain as much eye contact as you can with women. Eye contact in itself can produce feelings over an extended period, so never underestimate it as a really strong tool in your box. If you have trouble with eye contact, you need to force yourself to start doing it everywhere. When you're out shopping, when you're going to the movies. Whoever is serving you, get some eye contact. You'll become more comfortable the more you do this. (This has even made a difference in the way I am served at places, so do it!) Posture and body language are base game in my opinion. Your attitude will influence your frame and body language. Frame and body language will influence your behaviours.

Additional reading.

The next piece will cover Shit tests and Comfort tests.

197
UPVOTES

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 3: Shit tests and Comfort tests

30 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

TL:DR - This is the TRP Field Toolkit. A more detailed guide to all the basics used in the field that will allow you to deal with the vast majority of situations which arise. The way I explain everything is the way I see it and how it has been working well for me including examples and links to additional reading. The second part of the guide covered Frame, Posture and Body Language. This part covers Shit tests and Comfort tests.

- Shit tests.

Naturally I will now point to the sidebar material on this as the most basic reading. Once you've figured out these basic responses and learned a little on the benefits of silence, it's time to start calibrating your game.

This is down to your individual personality. I've said before that women are great at spotting fakes. They've been practicing their social skills and reading between the lines much more than most men. Your game will be significantly stronger if you play to your strengths and your personality. First, figure out if you're a strong silent type, or the life of the party joker, or maybe you're that douchebag jock guy who'll benefit from a heavy dose of asshole game.

Pick the responses to shit tests that will work best for you. This will require some experimentation, but you should be able to settle down nicely as you test things out. I'll briefly go through a quick application of the basic combats to shit tests. (Remember, in essence all shit tests break the rapport and look for you to follow suit and demonstrate your strong social skills. So the same style of response can be used by different types of men in different ways.)

I'll quickly run through some examples of the classic shit test "I bet you say that to all the girls." I am answering the same test to demonstrate that you will be able to see what style of response best fits your personality (and that not all types of response are equally strong. This is the essence behind why you need to calibrate.)

Example responses:

- Agree and Amplify

"Of course I do. That queue outside is actually girls lining up to hear it."

"Definitely. Every hot girl who crosses my path, so I think I've said it like ten thousand times. This is ten thousand and one."

I've chosen these examples because I think they can be delivered as both jokey and serious depending upon your own calibration and what suits your personality. I don't tend to use A&A often, but it works nicely when I think it's the right move. In essence A&A is just playful sarcasm which adds silly-style-fun to the conversation while not answering her question properly. (Because all shit test questions aren't worthy of a serious response. They're not designed for a serious response, they're designed to see if they phase/concern you or not.)

- Ignore (change the subject)

"Oh I love this song, have you heard it before?"

"My glass is empty, when do you plan on refilling it?" (Add smirk obviously.)

From my experience, randomly changing the subject tends to work less well than changing it to something concrete and relevant to the evening you're having with her. It makes it seem like the conversation has moved on naturally, rather than overtly trying to dodge. This can be both cheeky and serious, just mould the delivery according to how you want to be perceived.

- Ignore (silence)

If you have eye contact already, hold it and wait until she looks away. Then look off in whatever direction, (preferably in the direction of a hot chick) and then throw out a bit of laughter and move the conversation forward.

If you don't have eye contact, don't look for it. Just look off into the middle distance and let a smirk slowly cross your face. Make sure she fills the silence. (She'll want to because silences make most girls uncomfortable.)

Silence is my preferred response to a shit test like this. Silence is also an excellent way to feed the hamster. Feeding the hamster is simply leaving details out, being vague and leaving her to fill in the gaps (which, if she likes you, she'll fill them in positively thanks to the halo effect.) It's the same principle on which dread game is based. Keep that hamster fed gentlemen!

- Pressure flip (my personal fav)

"Yes. Do you ask all the boys that?"

"Maybe. What's your go-to line?"

"Why do you care about the other girls chasing me?"

Pressure flips are my favourite because they suit my personality. I believe they're a combination of ignoring the nonsense and reasserting frame. They're like a playful form of confrontation and will work particularly well if you're a naturally aggressive man. I particularly like them because it forces a small flush of emotion in the girl which combined with your demolishing of her shit test gives you bonus tingles. You can answer the question if you want in a pressure flip, but typically you want to question the assumption the test is based on. Force her to think about why she's asking the question because most girls don't actually know or understand why they ask these things. If she's reduced to babbling nonsense then that's worked well and you can assume you've caused some tingles. Always use these under any circumstances where she's showing some submissive responses or submissive body language. It's a fun and strong assertion of dominance and frame.

I have met one woman who could fight my pressure flips by restating her shit test in the same words. (I pressure flipped 3 times, with 3 different lines and she repeated the exact same words back to me 3 more times.) Since I hadn't encountered such absurd stupidity before, it threw me until I had time to reflect. If this happens, I would jump to a command respect response and declare "I will not answer your question until you answer mine." Repeat as needed. She must cave first. Frame reasserted. Then when she's finished answering, then go for the vague response.

- Commanding respect

"I don't like when girls compliment-fish like that to try and feel special. You're already spending time with me so you should feel special and I don't want you questioning me on that. It's disrespectful of my motives."

"Don't imply I'm some kind of sleazy pick-up artist who just spouts lines. If you don't trust my word then we don't have to hang out, but if you do then you'll trust me and won't imply that I don't really mean the things I say."

The commanding respect response is a mega-heavy dominant, reassertion of frame. Essentially you're trying to say very directly and clearly "I will not tolerate your shit. Show me respect." in a very uncompromising fashion. I would advise using this sparingly at first, and maybe on girls who are pretending they're "good girls" because it fits nicely with their narrative. The more playful responses which hint that you might be a cad are what I'd advise most on a first meeting. Commanding respect can/should be used on later meetings if she's still shit testing you. However, again, calibrate according to your own personality. Always remember that trying to command respect from an entitled girl who isn't certain of your SMV being significantly higher than hers is likely to backfire and lower your SMV. This is because it will come across as you having poor social awareness. These girls are better off being negged, ignored, or a combination of both until they change their attitude. If they don't, then it doesn't matter and you don't need to have anything to do with them. Plenty more women out there.

(You may have noticed I've skipped the nuclear option... this is because I firmly believe an alpha male has no need

to use this. If you have internalised the correct attitude and have some level of amused mastery about you, then her acting like a spoiled brat will merely amuse you at best and make you silently leave at worst. Either way, using the nuclear option shows she has got to you. Remember that this woman is merely another gnat in the swamp. Plenty more for you to have a swat at. No need to nuke this one from orbit.)

Essential shit test theory reading.

Further reading on Super-Shit tests

- Comfort tests.

Once you've mastered your responses to shit testing, you'll eventually start meeting another obstacle. You've asserted your SMV is higher than hers and demonstrated higher fitness by demolishing her shit tests and continuing to hold the frame of the interaction no matter how much she tests it. All women doubt themselves and at some point, if she sees you as a seriously high-value male, she'll worry that maybe her value isn't quite high enough for you and that she has no real hope with you.

At the core of it, women know instinctively when a man is way outside their league. They know if they're a 3/10 then the 9/10 guy will go for their 7/10 friend and not them. Normally they will disqualify themselves as a social precaution. (There are occasional retarded exceptions, but the rule is generally solid.) This is why beta game tends to work really well for the pretti-boi. (A man who is considered to be naturally physically attractive in the top 5%. These guys still get laid as betas, but they tend to fail at relationships if they never develop any natural alpha traits.)

If you've spent time talking with a girl and she was confident at the beginning that you were within her SMV grasp, then as you display higher and higher levels of fitness through amused mastery and demolition of shit tests, some women will become insecure. (i.e. girl sees herself as a 6 or 7 out of 10. She initially sees you as an 8/10. As the night wears on, she's come to the conclusion you're a 10/10. "Oh no! A 9/10 competitor may come along and steal him. Is he really that interested in me? Have I undervalued myself?") She still wants you, but she wants to be sure she doesn't make an idiot of herself by chasing a man she has no chance with.

This is where she will drop a comfort test on you. Classic comfort tests are typically implying (or even straight saying) that they're worried you're going to leave them. That they're not pretty enough for you. Maybe they even think girl X is going to snatch you away when she clicks her fingers. Your role here is to calm her fears. Give her that validation and increase her SMV slightly so she's sure you're still obtainable. Note that providing emotional comfort in specific situations is not the same as being an emotional tampon. The emotional tampon is used at her whim. Providing comfort is you demonstrating your social awareness and catering to a need. This should be done with minimal words. A sentence will suffice. No big beta speeches about undying love for her!!!

"Why are you with me?" or "I'm worried you're just going to have sex with me and I'll never see you again." These are two pretty typical comfort tests from a girl who has become insecure. The language of these gets varied, but they've been coming up more and more often for me. Here's a couple of direct responses which avoid surrendering the frame.

"Because you're hot, fun and you like to kiss me." (Then kiss her obv.) This one surrenders the frame slightly by answering her question directly, allowing her a little control, but then takes it straight back by you initiating the kiss. (Don't work it like a pressure flip though because that will have the opposite effect. Think romantic delivery.) This would work best for a jokey/clownish dominant guy.

"I wouldn't be here if I just wanted sex with you. We'd have already finished by now and I'd have gone. You're fun/funny/interesting/fascinating, so you'd see me again." Essentially just tell her that her theory is wrong, phrasing it in a positive way that shows you have the power of decision making. Then throw her a compliment. Whatever compliment you think is truthful about her. This is not a time for her bullshit detector to go off. This response would probably suit a more serious or strong/silent type.

"Nobody is good enough for me. But I've chosen you." (An Illimitableman instant-classic.) It's cocky and high-level

asshole game for the guy who truly wants to make love to a reflection of himself. Essentially saying I'm the shit and you're lucky to be with me, now shut up and stop whining or you'll lose me.

Next time, in the final part of the guide, I'll be covering identifying Red and Green flags, bypassing the Anti-Slut Defence and Last Minute Resistance, and finally, knowing when to Walk Away.

187
UPVOTES

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 4: Flags, ASD, LMR and Walking Away

1 May 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

TL:DR - This is the TRP Field Toolkit. A more detailed guide to all the basics used in the field that will allow you to deal with the vast majority of situations which arise. The way I explain everything is the way I see it and how it has been working well for me including examples and links to additional reading. The third part of the guide covered Shit tests and Comfort tests. This final part covers identifying Red and Green flags, bypassing the Anti-Slut Defence and Last Minute Resistance, and finally, knowing when to Walk Away.

- Identifying flags.

Red flags and green flags are most important to the men who want to have a long term relationship with a woman. However, I think identifying them can be very useful for deciding how to order the importance of your plates and additionally as a good evaluation system to see what sort of schedule from meeting to sex you can expect with this chick.

Red Flags.

More Red Flags.

Even More Red Flags.

I would also add to these any chick with bright coloured hair, lots of piercings, tattoos, used to be a stripper/camgirl at any point in her life or is generally a bit of an attention whore. These things scream "I love to take my clothes off for strangers. And oh whoops, it just happened where he fell into and out of me several times by mistake. I'm totes not like that really."

Also, we must never forget the standard public service announcement: **Do not stick your dick in crazy.** If you're new and you find that crazy chick to be hot... go search for a few of the horror stories on the sub. It'll change your mind pretty fast.

Green Flags.

More Green Flags.

Green flags typically show a woman who is likely to treat you better and a woman who's going to hang around. Better plate material. Better LTR material. Generally much more pleasant to be around. I'd advise you to pump and dump any girl who doesn't display at least one green flag. This is because she's likely to be a nightmare to manage, even as a plate.

- The ASD (Anti-Slut defence) and LMR (Last Minute Resistance.)

These two are linked. The Anti-Slut Defence can be both Last Minute Resistance, or it can be a pre-empt that shows she's already eager to fuck you. (While this post shows multiple layers of meaning, I also consider it to be a form of ASD pre-empt. i.e. "I don't do that. Oh, it wasn't planned, it just happened, so I'm still not a slut.") In my opinion ASD is just a combined shit/comfort test. She wants to know you're dominant enough to take her, while also comforting her hamster and ensuring that her sluttiness won't become public knowledge.

From my experience, I tend to either get a variation of the shit test "you sleep with all girls on the first date?" (which I always respond with a disinterested "gentlemen don't kiss and tell. What about you?" She typically doesn't respond to that. She's satisfied her sluttiness will be kept secret.) or I'll meet the ASD later. For all modern sex-positive feminism shouts and screams that sluts are nothing to be ashamed of... most women are still ashamed and embarrassed when people know they are sluts. Late ASD would also count as LMR and should be dealt with in the

same way as LMR.

Further reading on ASD.

LMR is something that I am convinced is a final shit test, thrown out if she's not 100% certain of your high SMV in order to double check at the last second. From my experience, it comes out much more often when my frame hasn't been solid or I've made a misstep or two earlier, but then recovered. The essential attitude underlying the methods to overcoming LMR are IDGAF combined with a healthy abundance mentality. Her token resistance of "we shouldn't have sex" is just that, it's token resistance. Typically a girl won't end up naked and alone in her bedroom with a guy she doesn't want to fuck. (No matter what the blue pill idiots say, that's just not a sensible thing for a girl to do and there is no amount of rationalising which will change my view on this.)

There are two methods which come from the attitude to bypass the last minute resistance. Personally I favour the latter because of the modern day rape hysteria which goes on, but both are good. Firstly, you can pay very close attention to her body language and continue doing things which will turn her on that are not penetrative sex. Playing with her nipples and then putting her hand on your cock is a solid move which typically leads to sex. Keep turning her on until she's all but begging for you inside her. If you want to take this method, I strongly advise using the "If you say stop, I'll stop." line. It establishes a very clear safeword and allows her to submit to you if that's what she wants.

The second approach is to really channel your IDGAFOS to level 2.0 by beginning to leave and looking completely unphased. Most women will have experienced the beta reaction to their LMR a few times. (Beta reactions being things like getting pissy at her for being a cock tease or starting to beg for sex.) As a result of this, she may be a little confused at first until she realises what she's almost done and scrambles to recover and satisfy her tingles. An alpha does not care, he can pull on his pants, walk out the door right now and go get sex elsewhere. You have to believe this or else you risk this not working. She will reinitiate in some way to get you back. Begging you not to go and you can escalate again. This can be done with small talk, but one line I've had some good success with is "I'm not certain I'll be able to control myself if I stay. You should make me go." It leaves a little ambiguity for her while making your intentions very clear. She lets you leave or she gets you to stay for sex. With good eye contact and silence I've seen multiple ravenous reactions to this. A less direct (and more methodical) approach to the same method is covered in the book Bang by Roosh V. But I'm impatient and women can tell this, so they tend to make up their mind faster.

- Walking away.

It is better to cut your losses and walk away, than risk further losses. Walking away is **always** an option for you. ALWAYS.

I've found this field report to be one of the best examples of walking away even when the value is high and you've invested time and effort. The moment you won't walk away, you've surrendered the power in the relationship and/or the interaction. You are willing to walk away from **anything** if circumstances show that you've lost control or it will do you damage to stay. You need to be effortlessly dominant and consistent. Sometimes this means you'll have to walk away from a situation where you can't be these things.

If it's a relationship, the option to walk away is always there. If it's an interaction and it's going down in flames, just walk away. Ultimately, your time on this earth is short and that time is valuable. **Don't waste it on shit that isn't going where you want it to go.**

24
UPVOTES

Why would a man *pretend* that his wife is a tyrant?

24 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

Welcome to your daily dose of mainstream insanity, designed to remind you just how retarded the things we are allowed to say can be - just so long as it licks the boots of women everywhere.

TL:DR - Article defends beta marriages by dismissing the man's feelings and encourages everyone else to dismiss it because feminism and if you don't agree you're basically just a racist. (Enjoy your attempts to keep up with this exceptional level of male hamster from the mangina poster-boy.)

Link to the article. - Two things I want to do with this example, firstly I'm going copy and paste a few of the more insane things said. (I honestly can't fathom how this man could ever have become a "professional" writer. His use of language is disgraceful because he seems unable to actually communicate. He's under the impression that throwing random buzzwords together will communicate a point. All it communicates to me is that he's stupid, feminised and desperate for validation.) Then I'm going to show how it's all already explained by Red Pill theory.

> A generation ago, one of the worst things you could say of a man was that he was under the proverbial thumb at home. Whatever the reality of his domestic power structure, no chap wanted to be seen by his mates and colleagues as anything other than the one who wore the trousers behind closed doors. [...] Nowadays though, many are desperate for the world to believe they are henpecked in the home.

What? Why would any man want this?

> The typical culprit is the middle-class man who has reached that symbolic moment in life when, full of regret, he consigns his acoustic guitar to the attic. Then, the moment he is in all-male company, out pour the denunciations of his better half.

Oh right... everything now makes total sense. Any man who thinks his wife is anything but perfect must be bitter and resentful that his life didn't turn out better. Standard nonsense that men are to blame for everything... even their own complaints are all their fault.

Red Pill Reality: This is a man trapped in a beta marriage. He has no spine and acts in a very feminine manner. While he does everything his wife wants, he gets no reward for his kindness/sacrifices and instead goes to his friends for sympathy over his plight, because guess what! Men have emotions too! He can sense his own unhappiness but believes he's not quite doing things well enough to deserve happiness. See No More Mr Nice Guy for further details.

> So relentless is this man's effort to build an image of himself as a hopeless minion, that it cannot be inadvertent. I've been in social scenarios where it's even become competitive: a 'wimp-off' between a group of men, scrapping to mythologise their respective spouses as the bossiest, most possessive and least reasonable nag of them all. [...] And then one meets one of them and – surprise! – they turn out to not be the dreadful despots their husbands had implied.

Ah yes, what's a good blue piece without a good dose of combining shaming tactics with dismissal of their views as completely unthinkable. This hamster is gathering pace.

Red Pill Reality: A demonstration of the deeply sad competitive streak in beta males. Betas still want to be competitive, it's inbuilt. It's less about going out to play sports and more feminised and focused on fee-fees and trying to play the victim card. (That card is not in your deck guys... not in modern society.) Oh and look, he's met the wife and she's lovely. Idiot. Women are masters of playing different roles for different people. She's delightful in a social setting where her status can be affected... and a different person in private where it can't. Any man who has not seen the difference between the way women act in public and the way they'll act in private has clearly not

been with enough women. I think I've seen about 2 in my lifetime who were the same in public and private. They're an extreme minority and both of my examples were bitches.

> What the hell is this all about? The knee jerk answer would be that it’s simply resentment. Men are now expected to be more involved in parenthood and domestic chores than they were 20 years ago. The family home is less patriarchal and autonomous. Things have got fairer – and because some men aren’t sure whether they like this, they exaggerate it, like the daft racists who greet increased ethnic equality with a thundering: "It’s political correctness gone mad!"

Hamster to mission control. We have achieved warp speed. Yes... a man who thinks his wife is controlling is quite obviously the equivalent of a racist. Makes complete sense... there's no massive logical leaps in there whatsoever.

Red Pill Reality: An extreme load of nonsense which draws upon a false analogy to try and shame any man who does not willingly accept his place in servitude of women. I'm surprised we haven't reached the stage yet where he's saying that all men who aren't cuckolds aren't real men and are still whining over nothing. Ya know... because male emotion is irrelevant.

> Linguistic double standards have long bounced between the sexes, and while self-assured men are praised for being assertive, undaunted women are often damned as being bossy, or controlling.

Right out of the feminist handbook... I wonder if he copy/pasted it.

Red Pill Reality: The beta in a marriage like this knows he's unhappy being treated like shit but he's carrying on under the assumption "happy wife = happy life." But of course, his wife is never happy. It's nothing to do with linguistic double standards... but just to cover all bases... if you knew a woman was going to be a bossy harpy who aggressively told you everything to do... would you want a relationship with that woman? Or would you possibly say something like "fairly obvious why she's still single."

> Perhaps we shouldn't be too hard on the chaps. The pace at which things have changed means today’s middle-aged men are a bridging generation between two eras: the patriarchal times of their fathers, and the more liberal era their children will walk into. Those who make their wives into false tyrants are grasping vulnerably for a way to express their sensitivity and modernity, testing out-loud how they feel about their role in this brave new world.

What? I can't even understand this... the language just appears to be a collection of buzz phrases and platitudes.

Red Pill Reality: Manginas and white knights will continue to defend while the walls of the castle are crumbling and they've finally noticed they're using cardboard for armour and rubber chickens as swords. The delusional should be ignored.

> I can’t help thinking, though, that most men who boast of possessive wives are speaking wishfully. “She won’t let me out of her sight,” says one chap I know of his wife. I’ve met her – she clearly cannot wait for a night to her self.

Again... more "women are the real victims" stuff. Though one thing from this could be true... that the beta male is speaking wishfully.

Red Pill Reality: That's a marriage heading downhill and she's prepping to branch swing ASAP. The beta male has no idea what to do. He can sense her desire is gone, and his has not. It's only a matter of time before she fucks another guy and divorces him. The order of these is irrelevant. Both will happen.

> In other cases, there’s reverse psychology going on. I’m sure that one particular chap that I know keeps saying his wife is dictator behind closed doors in the hope that his words may eventually push her to become the most

> laissez-faire lady to ever live.

It still amazes me sometimes how the blue pill men can't see the reality that's right in front of them. I think it's probably more difficult to come up with these bizarrely bad thought processes to try and protect women's images than it is to stick to simple logic. This is suggesting an incredibly contrived and practically impossible attempt at manipulation.

Red Pill Reality: Hamster to mission control. We have left the solar system and are prepared to explore the stars! Good luck Captain Hamster.

It is unsurprising to find in the poll (towards the bottom) on whether you know men like this that it's pretty evenly split between "yes, they're annoying." and "no, they're not pretending." Much like the Red and Blue pill camps... some see reality and others pretend it's not really happening. Don't be one of the guys who're pretending it's not happening. We know how that ends and there are plenty of Blue Pill examples on here of where that goes - the lesson is always the same with this. Don't be beta bob.

53
UPVOTES

Attitude - It doesn't even matter if you're right

27 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

TL:DR - A "dating coach" - and I use the term loosely - attacks the red pill attitude and suggests it will not bring success with women. Even when we assume he's right... he's still proven wrong on his own terms. This highlights a good point on attitude for both dating and general life which everyone can benefit from - maximising positives and minimising negatives, regardless of whether you're right or wrong.

Recently I was reading a piece, linked through another post on TRP - by Andrew of therulesrevisited dot com, - which suggested that many of the men who were writing advice pieces in the manosphere were "bad with women." Moreso that this was demonstrated by the titles they used like "The age of flakes" and "How to get rid of approach anxiety."

Now for anyone who can't understand the argument the guy is making here, (it's needlessly unclear) it's based upon an assumption. It dismisses the idea that women are at fault, naturally. Instead it suggests that a man being flaked on shows that he is not as attractive as he thinks he is. That the man suffering approach anxiety is either aiming too high or is getting the anxiety because he's been rejected often (read: he's not as attractive as he thinks and should re-evaluate the hotness of women he is approaching.)

While I can hear the collective "fuck that" echoing across the manosphere... I'm going to say that his point is actually irrelevant. It genuinely doesn't matter if we agree or disagree with it. I want to do this to demonstrate a deeper point on good attitude applied to both game and real life. So to do this, let's assume that he's right and we'll use me as an example. I've had my attitude reviewed as almost ideal before by some veterans of TRP and my opening piece of the TRP Field Toolkit on basic game attitude was stickied for almost a week. I am successful with women... but whether that's the truth or whether you want to doubt it is irrelevant in this case because we're going to assume that he was right. We'll assume that my previously having (and sometimes still having a hint of) approach anxiety combined with having been flaked on, demonstrate that I'm a failure with women. The attitude that I preach to others should not be preached.

So why, you ask, should I continue to adopt an attitude which leads to failure with women? I'm just going to demonstrate through their own logic of what will work... why the idea that my attitude won't work is patently absurd. One universal truth across all dating advice inside and outside of the manosphere is that women love a confident man. It's also agreed, even in blue pill land, that women universally like positive/happy men. Men who are happy to be alive and are enjoying their life. The psychological research on depression shows how people with depression tend to maximise defeats and minimise the impact of success in a self-fulfilling prophecy. It's generally accepted that the opposite is also true... really happy people tend to maximise their successes and minimise defeats. This attitude breeds not only happiness, but confidence too. (Because if you think you're generally successful when you do things... you're confident in what you're doing. In addition, the positive self-fulfilling prophecy also comes into play so you'll succeed more too.)

So when I don't give a flying fuck about that flake and dismiss her as another overly entitled bitch who is too much work to be worthy of my glorious phallus... if the goal is to have sex, then I'm minimising a failure. When I feel approach anxiety and I make the approach, only to be rejected... I say to myself "made another approach." Maximising success. Her rejection is irrelevant. In fact, my attitude includes that her decision isn't down to my own attractiveness, it's mostly down to her mood at the time, what friends were with her and her social status etc. This can be seen as rationalising... and EVEN IF IT IS, I'm minimising a failure and dismissing the ownership of that failure which in turn keeps my confidence high because what I'm doing is right. NOTE: My focus here is not on reality or truth, I take my stance because it helps keep my attitude towards maximising success and minimising failure. Objective truth is irrelevant when you do this because otherwise you could dent your confidence and happiness. You can't afford to do this because women can spot fake attitudes.

Sooner or later, that confidence and happiness level of mine is going to be found attractive by women. My attitude

will be found attractive. If it isn't... then blue pill and red pill are both wrong about some of the few things they agree on! So regardless of whether I'm right about the specifics of women flaking or approach anxiety or rejections... if I hold the attitude, it will bring success.

So yes newbies, these are your lessons for the day in terms of attitude:

- When you approach, having made the approach is the victory. The outcome is irrelevant (outcome independence, maximise positives.)
- Early rejections made by women are part of their sexual strategy (to maximise rejections as a filtering system) and therefore irrelevant to your personal situation or your own attractiveness. They should have zero impact on your own strategy. Ignore them and move on. (Outcome independence, minimise negatives.)
- Women flake, it's what they do. Perhaps you didn't raise your SMV high enough to keep her interest. So long as your mindset independently continues to raise your SMV then this flake is utterly irrelevant. There are far more important things going on than one woman demonstrating: at best disorganisation, at worst contempt. She has demonstrated she was unworthy of your attentions so it's actually another good thing in your life to be rid of her. (Maximise the positive, minimise the negative.)
- Women are good at spotting fakes. They've spent their teens being far more empathic and analysing social interactions because they don't tend to do much else with their existence. Simply saying "I will adopt this attitude" is not enough to gain instant success with women. This is because many will know your bravado is fake... that you don't truly believe it and they'll look over at me and go "he **knows** he's the shit." Ignore the blue pill fear mongers and keep correcting yourself until you truly believe you are the second coming, and the first will be all over her face.
- In general, having an attitude which maximises your successes and minimises your failures will make you feel happier. This is simply the opposite of the attitude adopted by those with clinical depression. This is a great attitude to have. Others will consider you quite egotistical to so easily dismiss foolishness when they spout it... but frankly, isn't your happiness more important than their words getting validation? Isn't your happiness more important than a woman's sexual strategy? (This is actually one of a few ways to adjust your cognitions that allow you to make an active choice to be happier.)
- Have you noticed yet how my attitude towards women would be considered heresy to put all the blame on women? It's why they call it misogynistic. I call it practical. It has other benefits like keeping the pussy off the pedestal and making yourself the prize too. But for now gentlemen, be practical, and focus on making an attitude which maximises the positives and minimises the negatives. The gold standard to minimising negatives (or other people's judgements of you) is to not give a fuck. Try it... I think you'll like it.

314
UPVOTES

Roles, respect and responsibility acceptance as an alpha trait

9 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

TL:DR - There is no TL:DR of this. Poor role understanding within the sub has caused the mods to have to post about the leadership and direction of the sub multiple times in the past 12 months. This post tries to solve the root of the problem. If you believe in the overall goals of the sub, then you'll read it in full.

I recently posted a mini-analysis of the functioning of the sub using an analogy of a military base that didn't quite know the role of every academy graduate. This was an attempt to explain why we keep seeing people questioning the direction of the sub and positing how to solve it as a long term issue.

Keeping with that analogy, we essentially see the squaddies thinking that they can run the base better than the base commander. When the squaddie challenges the drill sergeant on methods of training... they're surprised to be called into the base commander's office and get told they're going to be thrown out. "But... you said that command was always open to ideas? That this is a place where we care about debate and understanding reality ahead of everything else? Without caring about language?"

Yeah, we do. But you fucked up your basic social skills. It's also why your attitude probably isn't working quite so well in the field. Achievements through numbers rather than skill. This is because (while some dominant alphas have natural genetics making them good looking enough that they can pretty much get away with murder) you don't have the ability to completely ignore social etiquette. You can bend it a little maybe... but you're not Jagger. He can ignore all social etiquette and send three 9/10s back to his hotel room to wait for him. You on the other hand, when you ignore social etiquette, it's a demonstration of low SMV. Poor social skills.

Alphas generally don't have poor social skills. So what does this mean to be an alpha without being an 11/10 superstar? It means you've got a role to play. **If you understand the game and the goal, then you'll find your role.** With women, playing the role of the modern dominant alpha will give her the tingles because women like to put guys in boxes. It increases your SMV once you end up in that box in her mind. While this particular bit of info isn't the main thing I want to discuss here, I wanted to demonstrate that an alpha knowing how to play his role is a positive thing for pure sexual strategy. However the crux of this is going to diverge slightly to address how a group of alpha male leaders work best together.

Firstly, I'd like to make a side note about AMOGing which I like to call the AMOG fallacy. This is the idea that when someone AMOGs, he is the alpha of the group. This is an oversimplification. While he may be the apex alpha in terms of status, this does not mean that all other members of the group are beta. Following that logic would suggest that only /u/RedPillSchool is alpha on the sub and everyone else is consequently beta. That the base commander is the only alpha simply because his captains, sergeants, squad leaders and trainees all have to obey him. The football team out drinking will have an apex alpha in the group, but that doesn't make the rest of the group are betas. There's a reason such groups and fraternities all get laid - because they're all alpha, whether it be by status or, more importantly, behaviour.

/u/Whisper recently made a post (which amused me greatly) about Gronk! For those of you who didn't read it, Gronk! is the typical example of an insecure alpha wannabe who seems to be under the impression that alpha is achieved by dominating everyone around you and demonstrating you have the biggest muscles, the greatest height, the biggest dick, the most swollen balls and the purest testosterone. He tries to AMOG everyone. Have you ever met a Gronk! before? His social skills are laughable. In fact, when I see one out and about... I'll stop playing my game and grab some popcorn so I can find a comfy chair to watch the show. Why do I do this? Because in the same way I don't respect the modern woman, I don't respect Gronk! Like women, he has been placed on this planet for my amusement. Gronk! is undoubtedly my inferior. Why? The man who tries to out-alpha me is my inferior. How? Because he hasn't figured out how to be my equal. This swings back to my point before which now explains why members consistently keep trying to question the direction of the sub. In making dominant leaders, the sub itself creates young men who want to try and assume the leadership role and try to dominate those around them. However

they were never given good role models growing up to see how dominant alpha males interact with other dominant alpha males. How they treat equals. **And if you can't treat an alpha as an equal, then you aren't his equal.**

Surprisingly enough, Gronk! isn't welcome in the group of dominant alphas. There's an excellent scene in Gran Torino where Clint Eastwood demonstrates to the kid he's taken under his wing, that secure heterosexual men who are friends have a level of implied respect within the most derogatory of statements. The kid then tries to imitate this and is told that it's incredibly offensive. It's probably the most basic social skill which isn't taught enough on here - finding the right mix between polite and kissing ass to start out and then allowing the relationship to progress. This is similar to finding the correct tone to be assertive with women without being controlling or weird. The nuance in tone that allows you to rant like bloke and not whine like a woman.

The reaction of the Italian threatening to "blow his gook head off" reminds me of a reply made by /u/RedPillWatchTower to my original analogy of a military base. It's why you should avoid being confrontational or needlessly offensive. I don't feel I need to add anything to this:

> I think a lot of new guys confuse "alpha" with "peacocking" or "AMOGing". What I've noticed lately is guys with 3 month old alt accounts coming in, declaring that they've lurked on TRP since the dawn of time, then proceed to start telling ECs they don't know what they are talking about.
>
> This is the Reddit version of a guy who spent 3 months at the gym, goes to the local bar, and picks a fight with the biggest guy in the place to try to prove something. Little did he know that the guy he picked a fight with is not only going to kick his ass, that guy is also friends with the bar owner. So now he's got a black eye and is in jail.
>
> That's pretty much how it works here.

I don't continue to post here for myself so much anymore. I've learnt what I need to learn. I've enacted what I need to enact. I have a solid understanding of theory so, without being humble about it, I believe I can explain and pass it on to boys who are now in a similar position to the one I used to be in. I know my specific role - pass on the knowledge and analytical ability. Help train the next set of men. My role **is not** to lead the sub as a whole or decide on the direction. Don't get me wrong, if I think I've got a good idea, I'll voice it. When I do, I will do so in a respectful manner. I won't tell any of the veterans that they're wrong. That's needlessly confrontational and sets the wrong tone. These people are my equals and I'd like to think many of them are my friends (depending on whether you think you can be friends with people you've never met) and should be treated as such. I'll voice my opinion and let them make up their own mind. Blue Pill men need others to listen and adhere to what they think. Red Pill men don't need to care. We share our knowledge to help any man who wants to listen... if they won't, it's not our loss. Ultimately, if you're not looking to listen to ideas you hadn't considered before then what the fuck are you doing here? Go back to the mainstream.

So now comes the big question: how do we identify our role? TRP has always been excellent for telling the new recruits what they need to do, pointing out who the drill sergeants are and showing that the base commander is in charge. What it has struggled with is the graduates, the squad leaders and the corporals.

- If you're a raw recruit then you'll be reading the sidebar and keeping quiet. No exceptions.
- If you've become a squaddie after a month or two, chip in where you think you understand what is going on and keep your ears pricked up in case the drill sergeant or a corporal comes round to correct you. Don't be the dickhead who posts for two weeks and then tells the drill sergeant that he doesn't know what he's talking about. You'll be seen for the moron you are.
- If you've begun applying things in the real world and you're getting positive feedback on your understanding then congratulations on your promotion to lance-corporal. Keep contributing where you think you can and listen out for further advice from the drill sergeants and base commanders.

- You've secured your first plate or two? Excellent work corporal. See what parts of the training you can help with from your personal experiences. Just don't let that first success go to your head. The drill sergeants have been doing this a lot longer than you have and will help to correct you if you've had a rare experience without realising. Keep that mind open and keep learning.
- The drill sergeants and base commanders don't need to be told what to do. It's self explanatory.

You have a role in the group. Sometimes that role is just team member and not leader. It's a logical fallacy to think that not being the leader makes you less alpha. The alpha will take his role and work it - he knows that for the group to succeed, all members of the team need to work their role effectively. You've chosen to be a part of the team and I'm assuming you've done that because you want to see that group succeed in its goals. Alpha men will gladly defer to more experienced/knowledgeable men when they're trying to achieve a mutual goal. It's just common sense to let the expert/more experienced/more knowledgeable guy lead the group.

As a community, the TRP sub is no different. Alpha men work together for the good of the community, taking on the roles required of them. Women bitch and bicker amongst themselves and nothing gets done. See twoX as a prime example. It pretends to have purpose... but nothing ever gets achieved there. Here on TRP, boys become men. They go from hopeless and unfulfilled to confident and satisfied. You want to be a part of it? Then take on the responsibility of your role and treat the other roles with respect. That's what an alpha male does.

7
UPVOTES

My friend appears to be becoming a natural MGTOW

16 September 2015 · /r/MGTOW · Archived copy · Reddit

Hello gentlemen. I come seeking a little advice from those who know the MGTOW community much better than I do.

I should provide a little context first. Recently when I was out with a friend, we were discussing what he and I had planned for the medium term future. When the topic of women and dating came up, he was very adamant that he's completely lost interest in women, feels they're not worth the time or money he'd have to invest, and he'd rather pursue other interests like his bodybuilding, work, video games, movies and exploration of the world. The way he spoke about this was as if he was looking for my input on the subject. (He comes to me for advice on things and I do what I can.) To me, I think he's struggling to find an identity because he doesn't really know any different from dating women.

I am a Red Pill Man and currently a plate spinner. I've previously done some research on MGTOW and listened to what you guys have to say. I'm still actually subscribed to Sandman and Lui Marco on youtube because I like their content. However that's pretty much where my knowledge ends. I do not believe I'm knowledgeable enough to provide the right advice to a potential MGTOW... but I'd like to be able to give him a starting point so he can do some of his own research on MGTOW and decide if the advice the movement offers could help him. (Or simply for me to be able to provide some worthwhile advice as his life alters and he tries to take on this new identity. I sense he may struggle a little when his mother and sister start pouring on the pressure.)

Would you guys be able to suggest any youtubers or sites that focus on lifestyle/practical things for MGTOWs, rather than the gender inequality issues and RP theory? While he agrees with all the RP things I've stated in conversations without explicitly mentioning RP, I don't wish to introduce him to TRP itself. However, I think some lifestyle/practical stuff from the MGTOW perspective would benefit him far more than any RP advice I could dole out. What/who do you guys think I should be looking at/reading?

2
UPVOTES

Are white women who sleep with/date black men "contaminated" or damaged in some way?

16 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate · Archived copy · Reddit

I was quite intrigued when I saw Donovan Sharpe post today on the topic of "White women who only date black men"

I don't normally read Return of Kings, but I've seen Donovan's posts about his low times and think it's quite a risk to post that kind of stuff in the manosphere. (Not everybody seems to sympathise for some reason. Something I've never understood since most guys in the manosphere were beta at some point in their life.) Anyway, I keep an eye out for his Tuesday piece because it's usually interesting amongst the rubbish. This one made me cock an eyebrow.

Read what you will from the above link, but later in the article it comes to what I think is easily the most controversial statement I've read in a while:

> In my personal experience with conversations I've had with friends over the years, there does seem to be an undercurrent or unwritten sentiment that women who have slept with or been in relationships with black men are somehow contaminated or off limits.

Now for those of a PC-leaning, Donovan says he himself is black, so by the standard PC nonsense he's one of a select few who can talk about that. My own dating history could be mistaken for a Benetton catalogue (references above my age! woo!) and I'm happy to plate any girl of any race so long as she's hot... but when I consider what I'd accept for LTR game... white girls going after black dudes - instinctively I'd say absolute no-no. I have no literal explanation for this, but from instinct alone it seems like a red flag to me given what I know about the preferences of women when it comes to race. (Unfair, but whatever, facts/stats it's just numbers. There's more women out there anyway.)

> A good female friend of mine has a good looking sister who's married to a very affluent, high-value white male. According to my friend, she dated mostly black guys all through high school and college but guards that "secret" with her life and says she will take any admission of commingling with them to her grave.

Even a random woman seems to feel shame at this. (Crap sample size obv, but what are the odds he'd happen to know a woman right away who was ashamed at her sexual history with black men?)

My question is to both RPers and BPers... is Donovan onto something here? If so, why?

100
UPVOTES

Adopting the best attitude to learn

18 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

TL:DR - Learning requires failure and correction. Adopt the correct role as the student and the appropriate attitude. If you don't, the teacher will likely stop caring if you pass the class and succeed.

A couple of times recently I've had responses to correcting people that were the equivalent of whining "why are you being mean to me?" or plain bitching for me correcting them instead of someone who was stupider/newer to TRP. This is beta behaviour which irritates me the most. Partly because I'm fucked off with myself for misjudging that person as deserving of my help, but also because they're adopting completely the wrong attitude if they want to learn and improve. While they're partly learning and improving from reading things, **the way to improve best/fastest is to have someone tell you specifically where you are wrong and correct you.** You should be embracing this.

I'm selective about who I reply to. There's a huge number of guys on TRP making comments. I don't correct guys on here for the sake of my ego. When you can play asshole game well enough, you're basically insulting women with a big grin on your face and then watching as she desperately tries to justify to you why she should be allowed to suck you off. That's a giant ego booster. Knowing I can do that is enough for me. I don't need to attempt online AMOGing to feel good about myself. I don't intend to speak for the other ECs and how they go about their posting. But from my PoV, my replies on TRP vary from joking and idle chatter, to explanations and corrections in attitude and understanding. The only thing I expect from someone I'm giving knowledge/advice/explanations to for free, is that they appreciate it/show some respect. If I'm replying to you to correct you, it's probably because I've seen your comments before and think you're saying good things. **This demonstrates your potential and so I'm happy to correct you because I want to help you achieve that potential.**

My own story is literally how I took on that role. I got involved and tried to surround myself with knowledgeable men who were around when I tried to put forward my understanding. From this, I was corrected many MANY times. I was literally putting myself in a position of being the intellectual bitch amongst the crowd. I embraced this wholeheartedly. I appreciated, and still do appreciate, that better men than me were willing to offer their knowledge and advice to me for free, simply because they saw potential in me. Potential and eagerness to be better. If you are here to learn and become a better man, **this is the way to do it.** I've posted before on debating and discussion as a man. The essence of this draws from my time as a competitive debater and the useful skill that has allowed me to seek out truth in the most efficient manner. This is how you grow intellectually. For those who want to learn but aren't willing to do this because you need to protect your ego, I've got a couple of things to say to you.

Firstly, if you think you know it all, why are you not a mod or part of the vanguard? Pro-tip: it's because you don't know it all. Secondly, I've said before that adopting an attitude where you think you know it all is not conducive to continual self-betterment. To reapply Hemingway's classic quote: "We are all apprentices in a craft where no one ever becomes a master." Once you become alpha, you can still learn from your peers. Why? Because even equals can teach each other. It's only inferiors who can't teach superiors much (if anything.)

The beta brat will think he knows better. He'll dismiss everything out of hand which is said directly to him. He will argue in a manner of "but this shows you're wrong" instead of asking for an explanation of a situation that doesn't seem to fit. He'll only learn from reading other things independent of his own thoughts and then try to force his thoughts around them. He doesn't respond with questions to ask for more guidance. How are we supposed to deal with such stubborn fools?

One of the guys I've learnt a lot from and respect, /u/HumanSockPuppet recently declared in no uncertain terms that ECs should actively pull rank on newer members. I agree and disagree with this. I think if we had a more rigid structure where people were actively shamed when they tried to do stupid shit, then pulling rank might work (for example putting in a negative points system to publically shame the guys with the wrong attitude towards self improvement.) Even if we remember what the goal of the sub is, pulling rank will simply drag these guys back into

class and make them sit.

Personally however, I don't want those little fucking bitches in my class. I can't force them to learn, so why force them to sit? I've openly told guys that in creating leaders, we want you to be able to take control and sort yourselves out - and one of those things about taking control is in deciding who you want to be educated by on here. **You are not required or obligated to be here.** None of us are. You are also not required or obligated to attend the class of any specific EC, Vanguard or Mod. There's plenty of good options, so if you don't like one, go find another. In the same way, **I do not require any particular student in my class.** (I know that this is a good reason why /u/HumanSockPuppet explained that pulling rank should work.) However **I actively do not want any student who needs me to pull rank on them.** My time is limited and I'm going to spend it as wisely as I can. So if your attitude when I offer my help or reply to a PM to correct your mistakes is to bitch/whine/complain or argue... then you might notice I won't bother responding to you or trying to help you in the future. As the student it's your loss, not mine, when the teacher no longer gives a shit if you learn. I don't have the time or patience to tell a student that they should shut up and learn. Ultimately, if you fail to pass the class so-to-speak, it's not my problem. You're the one who will suffer the consequences. Not me.

This is my rant/solution to this beta bitch behaviour of so-called men who can't embrace their own intellectual failures on their Red Pill journey. To point out the best attitude to adopt. Being told you're wrong is not a bad thing. Kill your fucking ego on here and embrace your own failings. You've already accepted that you failed to work out enough and agreed to go lift. You accepted that you failed to learn to fish properly because you asked a fish instead of a fisherman and now you're reading what the fisherman has to say. Why can't you accept that you're going to fuck up along the way? Be thankful that any knowledgeable man was willing to help you correct your course for free. The success and associated satisfaction of getting it right will come in time, don't worry about that. But for the here and now while you're learning: success is a lousy teacher.

80
UPVOTES

Self-control is vital: Nature has not adapted to modern society

14 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

As I became more Red Pill, I've tended to read less of the manosphere. It repeats things I already know, have learned and have enacted in my life. It's less useful to me and I think most RP men would agree that as you move forward and improve your life and your SMV, less and less of what is posted on the TRP sub is of use to you. So if I feel this way, why am I still here? There's two reasons, the first is simple, I want to give back. It makes me feel good to know I'm helping other men to improve their lives in a world where having a good life as a man is becoming harder and harder. The second... is the rest of this post.

I strongly believe in the stoic style of behaviour (not the full philosophy) and impassive responses to things you can't control. I think it helps your attitude, your game, your approach to life in general. To achieve this, you need to have control over your emotions. Newbies tend to suffer the most with this, they meet a hot girl and act like they don't care... but they really do. It leaks into their behaviour sometimes. How do you beat it? You control yourself. You don't allow that intoxicated feeling to take over and text her a million times.

That said, even the best of us will suffer from unhelpful emotions that tell us to do the opposite of what we know is best from a Red Pill perspective. Why is this? It's because we were designed that way. We are men. We were designed by evolution to improve and achieve to attract women, have sex with as many as possible, then find the best woman on offer, fall in love with her, protect her, our children, and sacrifice as needed to ensure their future survival/success. This is our base nature as men. Our emotions and instincts kindly denote this to us and it was fine for most of history. It worked.

Unfortunately the modern world has unrestricted hypergamy so badly that male nature has become counter-productive if you want to have a successful sex life... even just a successful life. Beta bob can achieve good income, good looking wife and kids etc... doesn't stop him getting divorce raped and subsequently losing everything he held dear... all because of his nature to protect and provide for his woman and children. To try and make their lives better and make them happy. To try and help them achieve their dreams.

The past year, every woman I've slept with, I've slept with her within a few hours of meeting her for the first time. (My game is almost brutally efficient. I have no time for women who pretend they can out-dominate me and immediately walk away.) But even I suffer from the nature of men. Women over on RedPillWomen understand their nature and try to control it as best they can in order to lead happy lives with their husbands and boyfriends. This is to be respected, and in turn, we can learn something there which is implied but never explicitly stated here on TRP: understand your own nature and control it as best you can.

First amongst this is to control your feelings. Oneitis is a very real threat for all men. It is in our nature to fall in love and place a woman above ourselves. It is also now a serious problem for the modern man. There's a base urge to protect and support women - keep it in check! Which brings me full circle to my second reason why I keep coming back. I re-read old reasons and read new examples of hypergamy in action. I remind myself why it is so dangerous to allow the wrong aspects of male nature to take control of me.

I remind myself why I need to keep my emotions in check. Why I need to control my nature.

209
UPVOTES

The Decline has made us the poorest men in history

26 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

Prompted by the recent piece by /u/IllimitableMan on how to be happy, the below is an attempt of mine to address the depression phase. The realisation that while you're banging attractive women and have two or three plates spinning... it's not bringing you the inner happiness you wanted. In appreciating where this comes from, it should help get you to acceptance faster than I did.

I recently wrote in a thread about how we as men can no longer afford the luxury of falling in love, of being able to give in to those strong feelings which cause oneitis. From my rooftop, this is my view of the decline.

In order to understand this post you will need to have internalised the differences between

- Men in love
- Women in love

and then from this realised how oneitis is actually useful to nature in the past. There is no one, and it's pretty straightforward to maintain an abundance mentality. **But you were designed to fall in love with a woman** because if you didn't, then you'd leave her while she was pregnant to go spread your genes elsewhere. The successful strategy for any man who was not a tribal king or warlord (and thus had resources/people under his command to look after many women as he saw fit) would be to stick with the best quality woman who fell pregnant and protect her and his children. Otherwise the effort of passing on your genes would go to waste when the kids get murdered by a new man or barbarians come in and rape and kill her. Civilisation and societal etiquette is the fairly obvious solution to these old problems from stone age tribes. The modern oneitis comes from a persistent spreading of the soulmate myth. The deep loving feelings are the same, but the search was instead altered causing great pain for the modern misinformed man.

Anyway, as society has evolved, women were typically contained within a submissive role. The woman who stepped out of this role would be punished with physical violence. The "rule of thumb" is an excellent example of how this was previously legally enforced within society. So absurd levels of shit testing and frame stealing were legally enforced against, even for the most beta of betas. (The violence served as a deterrent for the women. If you pissed off your husband too much, he would likely beat you.) Please note that this **is not** an endorsement of violence against women. The sub has been very clear in its position on this. (Do not try to twist my explanation of history into some kind of sick endorsement.)

As a result of this high level of dominance being backed up legally and physically, we saw society develop on the cultural side to develop romanticism. I'm sure you're all familiar with the phrase men are the romantics pretending to pragmatic and women are the pragmatists pretending to be romantic. As we had set up the dominance/submission dynamic between men and women so strongly, men could afford an additional luxury out of the relationship. They could sacrifice a little dominance to enjoy being romantic with women. Any good LTR game will tell you how you need to mix alpha behaviour with a sprinkling of benevolent behaviour in order to achieve a balanced relationship that would not make the woman too insecure. I would count the romanticism of the time as such. So it actually benefitted the ladies and made them a bit happier too.

What we didn't note was that the change in the dynamic when we reformed laws on this, meant that romanticism to some degrees was a luxury that some men could no longer afford. This was when "the abuse" would begin as Girlwriteswhat would put it. I recall she's spoken about relationships in which women will follow their man from room to room constantly berating them, insulting them and putting them down. Telling them they're a worthless piece of shit, and he takes it because he can no longer react violently within legal means (though that didn't stop them sometimes, and why didn't it stop them? Because bullying and berating someone who can't escape is a form of psychological abuse. It's why we hate bullying in society.) That deterrent is no longer in place to prevent shit testing escalating to this kind of level. It presents a danger to men that was not faced by our ancestors. This new danger

was the psychological violence women can enact on men with a level of impunity. The scales were reversed. It's no wonder men are not happy anymore.

If we put the marriage and divorce issues to one side for a moment (we already know these are bad deals for men, that's generally accepted here), let's take a quick look at the two major issues for Red Pill men who want to run LTR game. Screening is absolutely essential. The reality is that many women have either damaged themselves psychologically beyond repair and simply make bad long term partners, and others just had the wrong personality to begin with. In addition to this, you've then got the large pool of women who are too boring and/or don't share any common interests with you. Each of these four screening statements rules out a **huge** majority of modern women from being relationship material. There are probably more, which leaves an absolutely tiny percentage you could develop an LTR with.

We then add to this that the way the legal system now works, combined with the natural hypergamous behaviour of women, the dominant behaviour you display to maintain the balance in a modern relationship will be difficult. Even if you've screened for the right woman, you can never let your guard down. **Never.** Female love is conditional upon their emotional state. Upon their feelings currently being generated by your value. When that drops, she will be looking to head out the door at the first opportunity and take as much of your resources as she can with her. The reward for dealing with this over the long term will be a companion. You will need to balance your dominant and benevolent behaviours perfectly.

What you can't have is a woman you can fall in love with and develop the associated feelings of oneitis. Once you develop that oneitis, that is the beginning of the end. The emotions take over the rational and the slow decline into trying to please her begins. We've all seen how that story goes. It's all over the Red Pill. The majority of modern marriages tell the same similar tale ending in divorce (and frequently sexual infidelity.) That's before we even think about the disgusting rise in cuckoldry. It's both funny and sad to think about how a man could sell himself out like that. As a slave to a woman. Pathetic. That's an example of the horrifyingly negative power that oneitis can have on the modern man. A man who takes that level of psychological abuse because he loves her. There is no great equality in that. A man must protect himself.

With that in mind, it comes to your options... the only reasonable options all modern men now have:

- Live with spinning plates and develop no real emotional connection to them. Connect with your male peers and do other things with your life.
- Attempt to find one of the tiny percentage of rare women who are LTR material and achieve companionship along with a superficial emotional connection.
- Disconnect from the game altogether and become a MGTOW. Focus on your passions to try and fulfil yourself emotionally.

The option you do not have **which previous generations did:**

- Find a good woman to fall in love with, develop a deep emotional connection to her and start a family.

Emotionally, (that force which *really* drives humanity) we are the poorest generation of men in the entire history of civilisation. The irony is, this generation in the job market now is the first generation in a century in the west to be poorer than their parents. The last time that happened was because of a world war.

Our economic poorness makes an excellent metaphor for the emotional landscape men now see. We now look back at history and see how our grandparents could afford that really nice house and that Ferrari. They worked hard and they earned these things. We now realise that the economy is so bad... that we can work twice as hard and we'll still never earn the Ferrari, and we'll be lucky to get a decent appartment. That is how emotionally poor the modern man is - and there's nothing wrong with being angry or depressed by this realisation. *It. is.* **horrible.** With the relationship dynamic so screwed up that a deep emotional connection can no longer be maintained, the women are emotionally poorer too. They're ending up destroying their own relationships and marriages, then ending up alone

with cats. This is the decline gentlemen. It's no wonder we're all so miserable with the modern dating market.

159
UPVOTES

FR - Flying by the seat of my pants

5 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

So it's been a while since my last FR... I actually wrote this one a while back, months ago, and then forgot about it. I stumbled across it when checking some old unfinished pieces I'd been putting together. This one reminded me just how important non-verbal communication is. Aura, exchanged looks and dominant presence, used to achieve an extremely rapid escalation. So here you have it.

I was driving overnight to visit a friend. (It's a good way to avoid traffic.) Decided to stop at a services to stretch my legs and get a coffee. I'm not looking my very best, my hair is a bit of a mess but my style is still very unique so I tend to still get some looks anyway. If I just did basic t-shirt and jeans, I don't get the looks. Cultivate your own unique style gentlemen and you'll get noticed for it. So I head for the coffee shop and order my coffee to sit in. There's barely anybody around, the place is almost deserted except for a couple of people eating at the burger king.

The petite chick who served me is very much the definition of a butterface. Looks early 20s, face is about 4 or 5/10 but she had a banging body 8/10 and her uniform couldn't hide the distinct hourglass shape and big perky tits. I'm not even thinking about gaming this chick, so I just wait in silence and read the scrolling news on the big screen telly. All of a sudden, she engages me in conversation, wants to know what I've been up to. Now banging this chick was not on my mind at all, but I'm used to running a very lazy style of game (I don't go out of my way to approach, I let the situation dictate the terms of engagement for me which allows me to achieve a much higher conversion rate than a PUA - I waste a lot less time and focus on myself)... as a result of this style of game, it tends to flow more naturally and makes me much more reactionary which has helped me to improve my ability to identify signals and read between the lines. If you want to practice this, then just put in less effort and start analysing more for signs. Care less about whether you bang her while you're learning. So this chick keeps stealing glances at me, some of which were certainly not looking for my face/eyes. So I indulge the conversation and talk some bullshit about being a model and getting back from an exhausting shoot.

She ate this up and was interested and asked more questions. (Seriously, me... a model. I am nowhere near good looking enough to be a model... but chicks will eat whatever bullshit you give them if they're into you. You can use stupid shit like this to test her.) It's at this point I decide I'm going to game her, throwing a quick neg about her hair being disgusting at the moment. She laughs and shoots me a smile and some eye contact. She serves my coffee and I use the chance to do some intentionally "accidental" kino. (Get that psychological barrier broken.) She asks if there's anything else she can do for me and I tell her I'll let her know. Walk off, don't look back and go enjoy my coffee. She cleans up and glances in my direction now and again. I'm busy on my phone browsing stuff while I drink my coffee and plan my next move.

With the coffee finished, I head back over to her and ask where the gents is. She tells me, but I tell her she should show me instead. She's hesitant and I point out there's nobody here to serve except me and "I require your service." (Note: If my SMV wasn't high enough, that would have come across as weird or creepy, but because my SMV is high enough, it's cute flirting.) Cheeky grin with that and she's smiling ear to ear, then comes bouncing out through the door at the side. At this point I'm pretty sure she's a little confused but also intrigued. Add some casual kino as she comes to lead the way. (Back of hands touching, arms touching, simple casual stuff when you're in her space.) I push her gently on the small of her back saying "lead on" as a way to estimate and see if there's any negative signs. None.

So we get round the corner, literally like a 20 second walk. It was truly pointless from a rational perspective, but I needed to move her to a position where I could escalate properly. There's nobody about, so I just open the disabled toilet door and say "after you." Her eyes go wide and she covers her mouth to try and hide her big smile in a slightly coy, cute way. Extended eye contact from me that she keeps breaking, she's just rooted to the spot. Probably thinking "is this really happening?" She tells me that she can't and I just continue to give her the "I'm going to fuck you senseless" look with a smirk. So I don't break eye contact and step forward and take her wrist. Then make a bit

of a show of looking around and seeing nobody. I smirk and then tug her wrist gently and she follows me inside. No words. Close and lock the door and she's got her hands all over me immediately and is practically ripping at my shirt.

Not a huge amount of foreplay, but while she's sucking my dick I pick up my jacket and thank my past self for putting the couple of condoms in my wallet. Always make sure you've got some condoms on you guys. - And make sure you take the time to get condoms that fit properly, it will improve the experience immeasurably.

Anyway, we're all done and I tell her to wait inside until I knock on the door. I'll just stand outside checking my phone if anybody is around, and when the coast is clear I'll knock on the door. I don't want her to get sacked for having fun with me and giving in to the tingles. So I check the coast is clear, knock on the door and leave.

I think this FR is particularly useful to guys because you should note how little I actually said to her. Most of that was identifying opportunities and making the small escalations I could within the circumstances... most of which were heavily between the lines. Pure non-verbal communication won the day. Practice your non-verbal communication, prepare yourself to react as things develop. Sexual desire can't be negotiated, if it's there then it is there and you may act on it. If it's not, then it's not and you can't act. This was purely flying by the seat-of-my-pants and helping her into a position where she could let go to her urges without her social status being in question. Check the attraction, then escalate, use escalation as a double check and just lead her into a position where she can throw rationality out the window in favour of sexual desire. I still don't know her name and she doesn't know mine. Desire is the only thing that was important here. Probably fulfilled a fantasy of hers too... and nobody else knows except me... and probably her friends. And now you too.

EDIT: This also reminded me of a talk I watched about a year ago by Liam McRae on Rapid Escalation which is very relevant to this discussion. Take what you like from it. I'm nothing new, guys have been learning to spot the signs and escalate for years if the desire is there.

497
UPVOTES

Feminism is actually hedonism

11 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

I was thinking the other day about the various hypocrisies of feminism and how they want feminine supremacy, but also want to be dominated in the bedroom and approached first... because no means no except when it means yes and yes can mean no in circumstances where yes sorta means yes but hindsight makes it mean no in that no means yes but it really means no when we've finally made our mind up but it still could have meant yes if it hadn't meant no. Am I acting like a feminist yet? This hedging your bets and never making a decision thing is easy. Does your head hurt?

The reason the feminist narrative on things like this confuses the living fuck out of anyone with either a basic understanding of logic, or simply anyone with their head screwed on correctly, is that it takes diametrically opposite views, statements, thoughts and policies without any shame in trotting them out like they actually make sense. "Yes" and "no" are diametrically opposed statements. Yes can't mean no, and no can't mean yes. (We are talking straight talk in here, not womanese for the smartarse who's about to point out how women can mean yes when they say no in social situations.) In terms of raw statement, they mean the total opposite. This is the basis of the doublethink fallacy that feminists are so fond of. It's how they can say that their rights should not be infringed upon, while simultaneously campaigning to infringe on the rights of others.

However I think there is a more instructive term that will better predict this behaviour, their doublethink and hypocrisy.

Hedonism.

They're not interested in feminine supremacy in and of itself as such, that will just be a side effect of their limitless hedonism-fuelled rationalisations and doublethink. I recently posited in a thread that feminism happily praises liberal philosophy when it suits them and provides pleasure (having casual sex, getting drunk, obesity/fat-positivity) but then on the exact same topics, it will adopt the diametrically opposite authoritarian philosophy to try and reduce the accompanying displeasure or pain (slut shaming, rape apologists, fat shaming are their cries.) I posit from this that the behaviour of feminism will act according to hedonistic principles on any particular topic.

Let's look at where they want quotas and where they aren't interested in adding quotas. They want quotas for women in:

- CEO positions
- Major company board rooms
- Political roles
- Science and Technology
- Engineering

All of these positions are either extremely well paid or very well paid and the top three include strong elements of power, respect and control over others. Now let's look at a few areas they don't want quotas to balance out either a lack of women or a lack of men:

- Refuse collection
- Sewer and drainage maintenance
- Secretarial work
- Teaching
- Nursing

The first two are male dominated. They generally have poor pay because they are mostly unskilled positions. There's no respect factor and the work could be considered unpleasant by many. Making women work in these

fields would hurt them. So no quotas because we don't want pain.

Secretarial work is reasonably paid considering how low skill it is. This area is dominated by women. Putting in quotas disadvantages women in a field where the remuneration to skill level ratio is rather favourable. It would hurt women to do this. So no quotas because it would cause pain.

Teaching and nursing are reasonably paid to well paid professions, depending on where you fall on the scale. Both are dominated by women. Again, adding quotas for men to get jobs in these areas to achieve an arbitrary gender balance would cause pain to women. A woman could miss out on a well paid job, that is not maximising pleasure, but by continuing their dominance and continuing this idea that women are better empathisers (and thus have superior softer skills for these jobs) it minimises the pain for women. - i.e. not only do they dominate the fields, but they're more likely to get hired into these jobs too because of the false narrative of being better at it just because they're female.

Whilst we're on the topic of arbitrary quotas for gender, let's logically destroy it as an idea/concept. It's pissed me off for a long time, ever since I had the misfortune of being brought into a discussion with a feminist who couldn't justify to me the need for this arbitrary 50/50 split of gender. It's always dismissed as "women make up half the work force so they need to be represented in higher positions." They could not appreciate the concept that if a company has 75 men and 25 women, and then has 10 positions of power, it is very reasonable to have 8 men and 2 women in these positions of power. This is the likely ratio that a meritocracy would lead to and the ratio for everyone is 1 in 10. When you introduce a discriminatory quota and demand a 50/50 split, you have 75 men looking at 5 positions of power, a 1 in 15 representation for men, while women have a 1 in 5 representation. From this, you are now representing women three times as much as men.

But let's get away from the numbers for a moment, because we know feminists don't like numbers unless they've been made up like 1 in 5 statistics are. (And for the brainless feminist who reads this and thinks that is equivalent to my ratios from before... you're so much of an idiot that you're genuinely beyond helping.) Let's talk about the representation aspect. The idea that since women make up half the population of the country, they should be represented in the running of the country has a veneer of credibility to any fair minded person at first glance. However it rests upon the assumption that a man can't represent a woman's interests. Only a woman can do that.

They don't bother to point out that the entire democratic system would require an overhaul in order to address this factor. All current states in the US and constituencies in the UK would need to have separate male and female candidate lists and elect one male and one female in order to ensure that all women are represented fairly. (Under the current system, your local Senator or MP could be a man... which means he can't represent women based on the fact he has a penis.) No, instead we will force all-female quotas for top positions, because this makes the progression for these women easier, maximising the pleasure for women with no extra effort.

However, they conveniently gloss over things like the "He for She" campaign, which by definition demonstrates that **men can represent women.** The acceptance and endorsement of male feminists within their community shows clearly that genitals don't actually matter to feminists either when it comes to representation. It's about following their narrative. Enforcing this doublethink means they don't lose out on mangina puppets spreading their propaganda, the reduction in pain and increase in pleasure/things that benefit them all rolled into one. So the very basis of their argument for increased representation doesn't even stand to begin with.

Everything feminism does is selfish. It is not an ideology and has nothing to do with equality. It is defined by the actions of a group attempting to legislate and manipulate culture for the sole purpose of enabling optimal female hedonism.

Mic drop.

66
UPVOTES

On Leadership

21 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

Upon returning home, I had a quick flick through my previous comments to remind myself what I said I'd do. After noticing a couple of comments sitting in minus figures, I re-read them so I could have a laugh at what silly newbies were getting upset about... basically that I wasn't providing help to the specific standard that they demanded. Take a break here so you can have a good laugh at how entitled that is.

Let me tell you something right now, I (nor anyone else on TRP) is here to lead your life for you. There is only one person who can take the lead in your life and who is best suited to lead you... **that is YOU.** You should already have a reasonably good idea of your strengths and weaknesses. Since you know these more intimately than others, you're in a much better position to make the decisions for that person.

Now this is one of the little nuances of TRP that gets misconstrued all the time. It's why TRP is called dogmatic and dictatorial. Which it is to a certain degree, a necessity in order to maintain the quality of the area. If you want to disagree with the basics, then head on over to purple pill debate. We don't have time to keep having to rejustify the sidebar every time a newbie shows up. The attitude is: if you agree, then come read more. If you disagree, there's the door. It's pretty simple and actually more libertarian than you'd think since it emphasises a space for individual decisions. For leadership of your own life.

One of the biggest problems in the modern western world, due to the feminisation of it, is this submissive and indecisive attitude which is being taken up by so many. These people don't want to make decisions because they might make the wrong choice. Instead they look to someone more qualified to make the decision for them. As logic, this isn't a bad idea. Unfortunately life does not operate on pure logic or frequently allow you second chances or perfect scenarios. It's a messy, ugly, irrational world you're dealing with that has little bits of logical sense scattered here and there. There is a reason we developed irrational instincts... because they worked in a pragmatic approach to things we don't 100% understand. And because they're irrational, they too are not going to work correctly 100% of the time either. We are all making educated guesses about most things in life either because we don't have all the facts or we don't have the time to analyse things properly. This isn't bad in and of itself... it's just the reality we have to accept and base our decisions upon.

So this brings me to something that raises the fear many guys have when it comes to taking command of their own lives: You're going to fuck up. You're going to make bad choices. You're going to look back and realise you did something wrong and you're going to have to pull it back together, get up, dust yourself down and carry on. And it's not because you're beta. It's not because you didn't get the right advice. It's not because you didn't do the right reading. It's because you're a human being and humans are prone to error. When you're living in a world where behaviour is sometimes rational and sometimes irrational, it's not surprising that you can't figure out which is going to happen on every single occasion. It's normal. And it's normal to feel a little upset or sad or angry when things don't go right. That's natural emotion. Nothing wrong with it. (Just don't be sharing that heavy stuff with women.)

However, you need to start taking those risks. You need to make those fucks up, because even if you make a decision and it's wrong... YOU made that decision and you led. This is the goal for all TRP apprentices. You may seek advice and do reading... but don't look to anyone else to sit in your shoes and say what they'd do... because they're not you. I can react as me to a chick you describe... but ultimately only *you* can decide:

- how **you'd** best react to that chick.
- What interests you should be pursuing.
- What style best suits your features.
- What attitude and style of game will work best for you.

It's important that you take the lead on these individual aspects instead of asking for others to do it for you, because these issues are personal and if you try to fake being a different type of alpha to your own style... women will sniff

it out and sense there's something fake about you. The end goal is to make your attitude, style of game and dress sense seem natural. Look at what **you** could be... not who is most successful. Those guys might be genetically different to you and therefore you're unable to become the same type of alpha as them... but that doesn't mean you can't be an alpha. Alphas are as diverse as the human gene pool.

You also have to remember that women want a natural alpha. The guy who "just gets it." She is not interested in the process a man goes through to become an alpha or the hard work he puts in. She wants the end product. Women can't see that it requires effort to become high SMV. This is because they don't need to put in effort to become high SMV. An HB8 or HB9 develops into that due to her genetics, as long as she doesn't overeat/not bother taking care of herself. The reason they want the man who effortlessly achieved great value... is because if the man did that effortlessly, it's because his position at the head of the pack was determined by his genetics. Hence great genetics. Hence she wants him for babymaking. So if you seem like you're not naturally at the head of the pack and you're faking your position there... there must be something wrong with your genetics. Bitch don't want faulty genetics. And that's all she wrote on it. They don't think about how a guy "eventually gets it" because they don't care. They want the guy who already "just gets it." So you need to become that natural.

Which brings me round to why leadership is so important for a man and how it fits in around here. As I said earlier, you are the one in the best position to know your strengths and weaknesses. Remember that alphas are as diverse as the gene pool. Similarly, the successful Red Pill men on here are also very diverse and each brings their own style of leadership to the table as demonstration. But the one thing they all have in common is that they're leading their own lives. They're standing up for their own analysis and their own opinions. They're not looking to others to do it for them. If you're respectful of the other men here, then they will be respectful to you, so you have nothing to fear in putting forward your own analysis/opinions and then standing by them. **BUT** remain humble as a new leader. As an inexperienced leader, you're likely to be worse at it than others, so be prepared to be proven wrong and accept the learning curve. That said, **it's part of the process because you must become a leader.**

This is because TRP is partly about teaching all men to become leaders. Leaders in their own lives, and leaders of women. Since most women are naturally submissive, they will look to you for leadership. You want a successful sexual strategy? **You need to be able to lead them.** You want to raise your SMV? We can give you the starting word: "LIFT!" But you've got to decide what programme you'll start and how you'll approach your diet. You're the one leading the project to improve your SMV. You're the one leading the project to become whatever it is you've chosen to be, whether that's a plate spinner, LTR man or MGTOW. Seek advice and knowledge, not decisions. You can still compare and contrast your approach with what others would do as a learning experience... but in the end, **you need to be making your own calls sooner rather than later.** Get on that learning curve ASAP!

Just because you aren't leading the TRP sub, does not mean you are to be a follower. Leaders can work effectively together. Seek out my old post titled "Roles, Respect and Responsibility acceptance as an alpha trait" for an explanation of how they do.

Alpha males are leaders, not followers. You don't need to be mod, manager or president to be a leader. There's living proof all over this sub.

99
UPVOTES

Basic Guide to Argumentation and Intellectual Growth

30 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

Summary: Firstly, this is a very long read. (More than twice the length of an essay.) The topic attempts to give you an overview of how argumentation works to achieve the goal of better understanding/getting you closer to truth. It is also *very meta,* because of the way I'm trying to get above the arguments themselves and provide some more generalised tools with wider applicability. I've tried to be as brief as I can, but this simply can't be covered effectively enough in a couple of paragraphs.

Background (or why am I talking about argumentation?)

When I first started posting my own original content to TRP after months lurking, I did a piece on intellectual growth entitled "On Debating and Discussion as a Man." Let me quickly give a little background for context. I felt qualified writing about that early on because I'd spent the better part of a decade as a competitive debater, teaching it in schools and universities while competing up to international level. As with all types of analysis and critical thinking, argumentation is a skill that needs to be learned.

You do not need to be a competitive debater to learn the skills or enjoy the benefits. We typically learn good and bad arguments as we go along through life. However I would say circa 99% of people can't argue for shit even when they've got a good argument. Some don't really care enough, while others have selected their views, and that's it. The latter are a waste of oxygen in my opinion. An open mind is the way to intellectual growth. Being right is all well and good, but the way you actually grow and improve intellectually is through being proven wrong and changing your mind when a stronger argument comes along. Going into all discussions with the possibility that you could be wrong in the back of your mind is a good way to achieve this. (Not to be confused with being a pushover who changes their views with the political winds like Hilary Clinton.) This is fairly well covered in my original post that is almost a year old.

Typically I avoid talking about argumentation because it's not very popular. (It's not popular because it tends to destroy dogmatic emotion-based beliefs. - It hurts when you've stacked so much on your emotions and your mind can't back it up. - Bloopers don't like it for that reason. Idiots don't like it because they can't comprehend it, since you're applying generalised knowledge to a variety of facts and situations. Similar to how we apply Red Pill theory when we're out in the field observing the interactions of men and women.) However I've got a couple of reasons why I'm bringing this up now:

1. There has been a number of newer members with dogmatic views and lack of nuance. Rather than writing a massive 10,000 word dissertation on the nuances of the Pill from my personal vantage point, I want to give others the tools to dissect and separate nonsense from quality on their own terms. i.e. I won't give you a fish, I'm going to try and teach you the basics of fishing.
2. Being able to intellectually beat up someone else is legal, and I'm reasonably sure from both my days as a greater beta (and more recent months) that being able to intellectually dominate someone will turn on some girls. (Not all, obviously. But as I always advocate, more tools in the box is better. You'll typically be seen as a better leader if you have better ideas and can prove it.) Usually these women are not feminists and a little more intelligent and in control of their emotions. Attracting a better quality of plate/LTR, who is easier to manage, is never a bad thing.
3. Part of TRP is to continually strive to improve yourself. It is important to think this way in order to have a successful sexual strategy. You alter your workout based upon changes and new information to continually improve your body... you should alter your thoughts and approach to things to continually improve your mind too.

4. Intellectual growth can actually become a pleasant thing in its own right. To quote /u/IllimitableMan "Arguments (proper debates, not Machiavellian point scoring) are a great way to learn from others, so if you enjoy listening to logic as well as learning, they're a wholly pleasurable activity in and of themselves." I think many learning TRP are not merely happy at finally having a way to enjoy sex on their own terms... but actually learning something which makes sense in regards to the interactions between men and women is a thoroughly pleasing experience when you realise you were previously flailing around in the dark.
5. The entire reason you've been able to swallow TRP is because your mind was open to the prospect that the mainstream thought was possibly wrong. I ask you to keep that open mind and consider the tools I offer you. You reserve the right to reject them if you wish.

So now I'm going to try and provide a really basic overview of argumentation and the difference between making a point and refuting it. Then I'll show why we should bear this in mind when we're reading suggestions and engaging in discussion here on the sub. My hope is that this will provide the tools for you to make better critical analysis of what you see and hear on the sub, which in turn will allow the cream to rise to the top more easily.

When you make a good argument, it typically consists of three things:

- Fact(s)
- Base principle(s)
- Causal logic (which links to the final conclusion.)

As an aside - The fourth thing which is taught to a competitive debater, but is less useful for you guys is rhetoric. Rhetoric is all about using things like weasel words to downplay the weaknesses of your argument and utilising emotive words to try and engage the emotions of your audience to sympathise so that those without analytical skill will also be convinced by you. (Believe me, it works, I've seen a couple of hundred students go from anti-death to endorsing cold blooded murder on my terms because I told them it was okay. Originally I was proud that day... after looking at where society has gone today, I look back on that day and feel frightened at how easy it is to sway idiots.)

Those three things make good arguments, without at least two of them you have nothing but a statement of opinion. Such a thing is worthless unless it can be backed up. The process of using two or three of these to build an argument is similar to putting down foundations and building a tower. The difference that refuting an argument has is that it's much simpler to refute something. There are two ways to refute something, you can prove it untrustworthy by pointing out a counter-example where their logic doesn't fit or you can demonstrate that their logic comes to a more ambiguous conclusion than they first showed. (I will try to provide brief examples in a moment to try and be as clear as possible.) Or you can destroy it altogether. The latter requires more work because it is effectively building an argument that shows the opposite of what has been put forward.

Discussions rarely have something 100% right, so it is a matter of seeing which is more right, or sometimes which is the lesser evil. Since everything is mostly shades of grey, discussions that start from a black and white perspective will typically end up exploring the nuances. So for example, if someone has created an argument around AWALT, the refutation of this is a counter example of the behaviour of some of the ladies over on RedPillWomen. This refutation leads to an exploration of the nuance around AWALT, which I won't get into detail on here. Suffice to say it's about the base biological urges and feelings which can be controlled by some women, some of the time. Further Reading.

For the statement women are perfectly capable of love as a man hopes and all Red Pill is just bitter... this is a wide sweeping argument that would need to be built on some cherry picked examples of marriages and the behaviour of those couples. You'd not want to just show a counter example or show that it doesn't always end happily ever after. Here is where you'd look at a complete counter argument that destroys it completely... which would pick up huge

amounts of reading across TRP. Basically most of the sidebar would be employed to give a full explanation as to why this statement was completely false. (Again, I'm trying to be brief, - and writing out the whole of Red Pill in one post is not brief, but I believe that this demonstrates the point well enough for everyone to understand the application.)

Once an argument is refuted, it no longer stands. Much like yanking a supporting beam from the tower, it doesn't stand anymore. When the person tries to shore it up, that's when you get into discussion of nuance and get to the specific positions of the people involved. That is the basics of what can happen in every single useful argument ever.

I want to enhance something /u/IllimitableMan said - "I am incredibly capable of listening to entire counterarguments, I will even agree with many of an argument's pointed critique of my views, but ultimately nine times out of ten I will still retain my stance in spite of an enhanced understanding of the opposing viewpoint." - This is where you can get into nuance and enhance your point of view to try and take into account the points of critique and explain them. Or you can weigh up and contrast whether the opinion can still stand, even with the critique or not. This is all intellectual growth and self improvement. More understanding means you can address more things in the world around you. More tools in the box.

People shouting statements at each other, trading insults, attempting shaming tactics, responding to a strawman, or just repeating their original point do not contribute anything useful and you can't grow intellectually from listening to them, so move on. That is the generalised overview that you can apply to absolutely any topic you discuss to get the most out of it and to know when to walk away. (And believe me, you will walk away often in the real world.)

A quick point on engagement

While there is a huge amount more to discuss in general terms about argumentation (to the point at which I can teach people to be capable of arguing about almost anything with minimal knowledge of the topic, and be convincing), there's one thing I see often on TRP: disengagement.

Disengagement is the term used to describe when someone entering an argument will try to refute a point or counter it by switching the specific topic of the argument. This is bad because no specific conclusion can be reached since the territory of the discussion keeps changing. It is similar to the way women tend to move the goalposts in a fashion that infuriates most men, even the bloopers. However it is not always as obvious as you'd think.

A now-classic example of the non-obvious version, on TRP, is the responses to the censorship by mods of material in order to keep the signal to noise ratio high. Since this is subjective, you naturally end up with some people who disagree with the decisions they make. The standard reaction from them is "but my free speech" or "you say you have open minds about things and you clearly don't." On the surface these seem like logical or intelligent responses since TRP does indeed like us being able to speak freely without things like political correctness getting in the way, and we do indeed have open minds because we want to have the best knowledge and discussion on sexual strategy. However, they are disengagements (and some naturally sense this and dismiss it without being able to explain it.)

To engage with the point on censorship you must either:

- challenge and disprove that the censorship the mods engage in, does not achieve the goal
- demonstrate that the censorship is actually counter-productive to this goal
- or logically demonstrate a method that would be more effective and why it would be more effective **when compared and contrasted** with the current method at achieving the end goal

(You can probably appreciate that this is all very targeted at achieving something from the discussion. This is why good understanding of argumentation is a great benefit - it allows you to get so much more out of disagreements and

discussions.)

While my general view on this is that it's not our role to decide on how moderation works, I'll address this from principle instead to show the disengagement. The mods have shown time and again why the censorship fits with the pragmatic principles of TRP, so what has actually happened when the disengagement has occurred, is the guys disagreeing have strawmanned the base principle of TRP to be classical liberal individualism above and beyond everything else. It is not. (To put it another way, they are effectively stating that maintaining the liberal principle is more important than achieving the goal set. Forgetting that the principle of pragmatism places the achievement of the goal as the top priority.)

While the liberal principle of free speech is used to some degree on the sub, it has been adopted for pragmatic reasons (free exchange of ideas to achieve better understanding) so the principle is trumped by pragmatism here and that is/has been/probably always will be, the stance of the sub. (For the actual specifics straight from the horse's mouth, go here. I'm merely using it as an example you guys are likely to be familiar with, in order to demonstrate a point.) That's not to say moral and political principles can't be discussed, it's to say they won't be ruling the sub and hopefully this provides a reasonably accurate explanation of the underlying reasoning for these nuances - and subsequently why the disengagement causes confusion among some people.

That last sentence is an aside, but the three points can be generalised to anything:

- the logic does not actually reach the conclusion
- the logic/method actually achieves some other conclusion
- compare the benefits of this with an alternative and contrast to prove the alternative is better

Keeping those in mind will ensure you always engage directly with the issue being discussed. In addition, I would tell you to never be afraid to concede points. If you are interested in learning and growing your mind, then you need to understand the PoV of the person you're talking to and they need to understand you. So it is very useful if you make it easy by pointing out the things you agree on, so that you can get down to the specific nuance where the disagreement is happening. You'll learn a bit about what you think and value in doing this. (Hurrah! Both personal and intellectual growth all in one!)

This post was a very meta overview and I've tried to include specific examples to demonstrate the application. As a result, I am well aware that it can be tough to get your head around and that may be down to me not being clear enough. In my attempt at brevity, I'm sure this has happened somewhere. So I'll be watching this topic to answer questions and provide clarification where I can. If you're interested in me writing more on this, then let me know and I'll see if I can put together something on base principles and assumption analysis. (Again, generalised, widely applicable to any topic.)

19
UPVOTES

Reggie Yates documentary on the manosphere - A review

16 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

For those of you who aren't aware, there was recently an hour long documentary put together in the UK on the manosphere. I recently watched this and have put together a review so that you won't have to waste your time watching it.

Background:

In short, the "documentary" - and I use the term loosely - by Reggie Yates on BBC 3 entitled "Men at war" (a part of his "Extreme UK" series) doesn't seem to actually understand the topic they're covering. (If you're wondering who Reggie Yates is... just consider him a minor celebrity from the UK.) In addition to this, the choice of language Reggie uses gives a clear indication of his biases and prejudice. His mind doesn't seem open to any of their views in the first place. Most of the men featured in this have their statements put forward and then (if they did justify the view afterwards) their justification or logic behind the statement is edited out. So this is pretty standard misrepresentation and spreading of misinformation about the manosphere.

Reggie himself is a feminist supporter because "I have sisters and like they need to be allowed to blossom" or some such nonsense. Demonstrating that he hasn't actually done his research properly. Everybody here knows that feminism is not a cut-and-dry "we want equality for women" movement. It does not act that way when you research it properly and you don't have to look far to find this evidence. A brief youtube search will find videos full of evidence. Stop assuming feminism can do no wrong Reggie, they can, have, and continue to do so.

The Review

So let's hack this shite into a few pieces. First off, they're poisoning the well rather nicely from the outset. When the show is called "Extreme UK" it's automatically suggesting that anyone who participates in the manosphere is an extremist of some description. (Nobody here could possibly be remotely reasonable, durr!)

A major issue for Reggie is that he is from another world where the problems we talk about don't actually exist. In the realms of celebrity, your SMV is artificially inflated so he'd never have any reason to seek out advice from the likes of Roosh and has no incentive to truly consider what he has to say. Roosh himself is misrepresented through some clever editing. Once again it is the issue of keeping the outrageous statements and skipping over any logic or reason to back them up. Reggie notes that the men going to Roosh's talk don't want to be seen and says this is odd... but then never tries to actually understand why. There is no further attempt to research and understand why these actions are being taken. This is a familiar theme in the documentary: they take statements and behaviours of people and portray them in a way to make them seem as absurd as possible and then never try to make any sense out of it. It is quite literally the definition of how not to make a documentary.

Which brings him to his discovery of MGTOW. When the community hears he's trying to interview some of them, the community itself closes ranks and advises everyone to stay away and keep their faces well away from this. Again, Reggie makes no attempt to understand this, instead he remarks while chuckling "we haven't even done anything yet." - Again, trying to portray them as stupid instead of actually trying to comprehend their behaviour. I've said before that the BBC is horrendously biased, and many of the MGTOWs pointed this out too. Reggie dismisses this without any thought. By this point I'm starting to wonder if he's actually capable of independent thought. His behaviour suggests he isn't.

Next, the first interview with Milo Yiannopoulos is absurdly short. It has about 2 minutes of screen time. He builds up Milo as someone worth talking to and then gives him about 3 sentences worth of air time beyond the "hi, how are you" intro bit. Seriously Reggie? You think this is making a quality documentary?

The biggest problem that Reggie faces is that his mind is closed. When you see him discussing false rape with the 18yr old MRA, Reggie states "rape is happening on every street" which is an utterly absurd claim when you look at

the stats. (You should be happy Reggie. We live in a society where gang rape isn't a bonding ritual and rite of passage like it is in some parts of Africa.) If the MRA took him to task on that, then it was cut during editing and the statement is left out there like some universal truth. The whole rape culture myth is probably one of the most damaging myths our society currently spreads. It's extremely disheartening to see it so casually reaffirmed. He's basically just a mouthpiece for the mainstream. There's no analysis or research going on here. I'd probably get a better level of research from a school kid.

Reggie comes back to check on how the MGTOWs have responded to his call for an interview. And the MGTOWs have basically told him to fuck off... quite literally. Some of the abuse is directed at his race and it's simply not making the MGTOWs look very good. Giving him precisely the ammunition he needs to portray them negatively.

So now he moves on to talk to Laurie Penny who does the standard complaint about abusive messages etc etc. No point delving into the fact that this happens to both feminists and MRAs Reggie... no, that would be balanced reporting and you're too busy doing a hatchet job. Seriously, there is no analysis of her views or what she says... it's just a pity party for the fact some idiots send her mean messages and shop her face into porn pics (if this is the worst thing that happens to you... oh boy have you had an easy life!)

But no hatchet job is complete without returning to Roosh for the piece de resistance: quote mining Roosh's books and who could forget that "make rape legal" piece he wrote. Basically all of the most retarded things Roosh has ever written being read back to back in the space of 60 seconds. Then he describes him as the "mammoth of the manosphere." Great... In fairness to Reggie, he does keep in the explanations Roosh gives for these things... but it seems like the well has already been poisoned and he describes Roosh as attempting to act like a victim. Which he does because he is partially a victim - he was assaulted in Canada. We just see what we already know, that Roosh isn't the brightest penny in the fountain when it comes to PR.

The whole thing ends on a rather odd note where he talks relatively coherently on the issue of male role models. He uses this to have a dig at the men in the manosphere having "issues" and "inadequacies." Makes you wonder what he'd make of the role models here on TRP. Perhaps he could interview GLO on the topic of treating women like children? He wraps it up saying that all these men really need is just a confidence boost. That's a nice coat of gloss to put over pretty much everything you've missed.

I don't think Reggie is a bad person. He seems like an affable kind of guy, but I think he's probably just too lightweight to deal with something like the manosphere. (Also, an hour is nowhere near long enough to actually do a proper documentary on it. They'd need to do a series of hour long episodes to explain it all properly.) In summary, the research was poor and there was a lot of quote mining to simply reinforce the mainstream image and portray all of this as a large number of extremists. It's what we've come to expect from the BBC... they are feminists through and through. It is their religion. They blindly believe everything without question and parrot it all back to the viewing public. I wouldn't bother watching this: it's just another misrepresentation.

278
UPVOTES

Jennifer Lawrence explains her fee-fees

6 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

Just a quick reminder that Red Pill examples are all around us and that the blue pill women have got it wrong.

During my lunchtime browsing and keeping up with the news and so forth, I stumble across a piece that doesn't really belong in my trawling. However, the title screamed Red Pill at me, so I figured I'd double check.

The article was entitled Jennifer Lawrence talks love life after Chris Martin: I'm single because I'm picky. Now before you click the link, let's make some predictions based upon what we know about Jen and about TRP theory. Jen is extremely successful and has press telling her she's a 10/10 beauty. (In my humble opinion, she's at most an 8, but remember... objectivity is irrelevant for women. I've seen 5s confidently declare they're easily HB8s.) So her view of her SMV will be stratospheric - 11/10 level. Therefore she'll only date 12/10s. The sort of men who have looks, muscles, status and bank big time, or a man with truly supreme status within his industry and the public view. From that alone, we already know the title is a rationalisation because she's not going to be attracted to many men. It's not that she's picky, it's that she's so successful that her pool of potential men is ridiculously small. This is not "where have all the good men gone?" this is "why are there no men in the first place?"

We can also assume that she probably shit tests off the charts as a result of this. Giving a girl absolutely everything will make her completely entitled to the very very best of top tier men. So let's turn to the article now and see what we can find that supports or refutes these predictions.

> She is one of the most desirable women on the planet but Jennifer Lawrence as revealed that she is extremely "picky" when it comes to men. Speaking to America's Glamour magazine, the Hunger Games star revealed that despite the constant speculation surrounding her personal life, **she rarely feels attracted to someone.**

Straight out the starting gates and here we have it. Check the emphasis. Her perceived SMV is so high, even in Hollywood she can't find men with the stratospherically high level of SMV required. Women only feel attraction to superior men, and her dating pool is tiny.

> "I'm picky. I feel a spark very, very rarely. **And it's really only about spark for me.** Not really anything else. **You should see some of the people I find attractive. You'd be shocked,"** she said. "I don't, like, date a lot. I don't meet a lot of guys who I want to go on a date with. **I'll find a guy attractive maybe once a year."**

Emphasis mine, the last bit is just reiteration of the previous point. The rationalisation here is that she's picky because there's no other way to explain why she doesn't feel the fee-fees for many men. Once a year. It's all about spark just reaffirms what we know, women tend to follow their feelings first on things. Attraction is non-negotiable. "The spark" is either there or it isn't. That's just womanese for attraction. (Because they can't actually say shit straight up because fee-fees are very important.) After all "there's no spark" is much nicer than "I don't feel any attraction for you. In fact, you showing romantic interest in me, when I'm not attracted, repulses me."

Finally, let's keep this balanced: maybe I would be shocked at some of the men you find attractive. Though I bet I could still explain it. Status trumps all. So perhaps my statement that she wants looks, muscles, bank and status is a little much. Perhaps she's referring to guys like Jack Nicholson.

> The screen siren, who currently stars in semi-biographical comedy drama film Joy, previously dated her X-Men cast mate Nicholas Hoult. In August 2014 she was romantically linked to Coldplay frontman Chris Martin, 38, following his split from ex-wife Gwyneth Paltrow. The couple are said to have ended their **turbulent relationship in 2015.**

Her taste in male status is going up. Not surprising considering how she's become more and more successful in the past few years. There aren't many men in music with higher status than Chris Martin. Given the sort of music he

writes, I'd say he's pretty blue pill and submissive, so combine that with the shit testing levels I previously predicted... a turbulent relationship is not remotely surprising.

> While the prospect of being alone might frighten some people, the Oscar-winner insisted that she didn't believe she was lacking anything by choosing to stay single. "I'm not a lonely person. Me not dating someone is not a lack of anything in any way," she explained. "I feel completely fulfilled. Yes, when I spark with someone, it's exciting, but I definitely don't need that."

A woman rationalising that she doesn't really want what she can't seem to get? Nothing to see here guys.

> Her frank revelation come just weeks after she admitted to sharing a secret smooch with her Hunger Games co-star Liam Hemsworth, who plays her character's best friend Gale Hawthorne. The pair have been dogged with romance rumours ever since they first showed off their on-screen chemistry in the first instalment of the franchise back in 2012.
>
> **"Liam and I grew up together. Liam's real hot, what would you have done?** Yeah," she said during a game of Plead The Fifth on Bravo's Watch What Happens with Andy Cohen.

This is the most interesting. The woman attracts the most top tier of men that other women would happily murder their entire family in order to fuck, and there's clearly some physical attraction. But they grew up together, so she's seen his beta side (and make no mistake, no man is alpha all the time throughout his entire life. It's impossible. That's why we encourage you to take time away from your women and hang out with the guys, so they don't see the moments of non-alphaness. Only the guys who don't care about that will.)

I would say this statement that she'll smooch such a high SMV guy... but won't date him... is a very clear indication that status trumps all. She won't settle for reasonably high status men. No, she's got to have the very highest of the high.

Feel free to jump in with your own thoughts. Keep your eyes open and you'll spot all women act exactly as TRP explains they will. None are immune.

56
UPVOTES

Wants vs needs: the sexual attitude nuance

4 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

It's been a while since I've posted anything on here. I have been writing things, but I've been holding them back for a blog because I'm fucking busy all the bloody time. So I'm going to make a special effort to keep an eye on the comments section here despite being away for the weekend.

There's a toxic attitude starting to take hold with some people on TRP around the idea that sex is a need. In simple terms, it's not, it is a **want** rather than a need. Now there's a reason I'm being quite pedantic about this – psychological mindset for one. Secondly it'll hopefully provide a bit of background to that slightly paradoxical phenomenon where the man who doesn't seem to care about sex being more sexually successful.

You can give and take around things like physique, game, status etc and still be successful with women to varying degrees. However if your attitude is wrong then you're highly likely to have a bad time sooner or later. This is because the attitude of sex as a need over-values it and gives too much power to women. While the abundance is real, this won't be an issue for you because you will genuinely just move to another woman when one asks too much of you. However, what about the times for the newer guys when they're faking it until they make it? Or the guys who've hit a little bad luck and all of their plates smashed at once? This is when the over-valuation can harm you.

Let's get one thing out the way first and foremost: the philosophy of sex as a need is central to those perpetual betas/omegas who forever complain about inceldom. (This alone should be enough to have it extinguished as an idea from TRP, but unfortunately we have some contrarians here who hear the feminists saying "men aren't entitled to sex" and just **have** to disagree with them. Sorry guys, even a broken clock is right twice a day.) Much of the confusion here lies in the nuances. This attitude of entitlement to sex simply for existing is wrong. Having an attitude of entitlement to kino/drinks/sex simply for being there in the field is good and will demonstrate confidence/high value etc etc. The field is the difference. The attitude in the field is a necessary projection of attractiveness, the attitude on the sub and in private needs to be one of objectivity. You aren't entitled to sex. You've got to better yourself in order to be worthy. Remember, women are the gatekeepers of sex. You are the gatekeeper of commitment, and only a weak man commits to a woman who can't prove herself worthy. As a man, it is your job to prove yourself worthy of passing the gate for sex.

Now that we've got the attitude difference out of the way, we're going to talk for most of this in the objective view you should use for the sub. Much of TRP is dedicated to achieving sex, however the various bits of self-improvement are there because they link into achieving sex. They increase your value and make you more worthy of sex. When you scale it back to more simplistic terms, increasing your worthiness for sex is the same as making effort to increase achievement of sex. They do the same thing since achievement will be closely tied to worthiness. There is a cheat though, achievement can be made using game without having true worthiness – PUAs are the prime example. This can also be done through fake status or situational status. So if the difference between worthiness and achievement is only cheating, why does the sub endorse achievement over worthiness? The reality is that it endorses anything that achieves. The rest is down to you to decide.

Which brings us nicely to the wants vs needs of this. There are four basic human needs (five if you include shelter/warmth):

- Oxygen
- Water
- Sleep
- Food

I can tell you to go without sex for a day and you will not die. If you go without oxygen for a day, you will die. Oxygen is a need, sex is not.

I can tell you to go without sex for ten days and you will not die. If you go without water for ten days, you will (very likely) die. Water is a need, sex is not.

I can tell you to go for a month without sex and you will not die. If you go without sleep for a month, you will die. Sleep is a need, sex is not.

I can tell you to go without sex for six months and you will not die. If you go without food for six months, you will die. Food is a need, sex is not.

The difference should be *very* clear from those examples. Differing levels of need and sex isn't near any of them. Sex is a want. The fact that we want it every day or twice a day is neither here nor there. It's high up the "want" list, but it's still on that list. The requirement to differentiate between the two is highlighted by the post "Never settle for transactional sex" by /u/Archwinger who explains:

> Remember, if a woman ever imposes rules or conditions on sex, makes you wait for sex, or makes you perform or behave a certain way (e.g., an unofficial payment or trade) for the sex, the sex is never worth what you're going through.

This is something that would be acceptable to a man who views sex as a need and is therefore worth trading for. A Red Pill man would never accept that. (Partly because transactional sex tends to be low quality anyway and in my experience low-quality sex isn't worth the effort.) If it is a want, then you can easily take the stance that trades are unacceptable. You can't do that with a need, because needs must be fulfilled and if costs must be met to fulfil that need, then those costs will be met. Wants allow you to choose and weigh up what you're willing to put in for what you get out. Thus it allows you to reject unfavourable terms. If you haven't drunk water for five days and someone has a bottle of water, you would accept extremely unfavourable terms to get that water. It would actually be rational and sensible to accept unfavourable terms for that bottle of water. However in this day and age where our basic needs are met (and then some) we seem to have forgotten what we can and can't live with. This has allowed us to start accepting unfavourable terms for our wants. In a word: don't.

As sex is not a need, we leave you to decide its importance based upon your own libido, interests and a variety of other wants. TRP gives you the rawness of achievement, including both cheats and ways to improve worthiness. You weigh this against your other wants. You get to decide what is worth your time and investment. Merely taking the lead in your own life and making these decisions for yourself is an instant improvement in attractive attitude and behaviour so I always advise people to start there. Seek the advice required to achieve what you want to achieve, don't ask others to decide for you or try to order your list of wants for you.

A final note on field attitude: the thirsty beta has sex at the top of their wants list. So much so, that they accept unfavourable terms simply to be in the presence of an attractive woman. Make sure your wants are balanced enough that *you* are the one in charge of deciding things. Whether this means increasing your worthiness or cheating, I don't care. Just know the difference and order your wants appropriately to your own life without allowing sex to end up too high up that list causing any bad trades. A man with abundance mentality does not have to do a trade because he'll take it on his terms or not at all. If you're unwilling to do the "not at all" then you can't have the attitude of "I'll take it on my terms or not at all." It's a package deal guys and women are good at spotting fakes.

307
UPVOTES

Compassion for your fellow man

9 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

This post has been thrown together quickly, so I make no apologies for the quality level. Decide for yourself.

For the EC weekend I wanted to discuss a shorter topic which seems to be forgotten regularly on TRP. That is the situation in our society that lacks compassion for the average man. You'll see regular examples of this in the man paying alimony who can't afford it. The man who can't afford his child support being sent to prison. The general indifference a large chunk of society has towards the male suicide epidemic going on... you'd think in such an advanced society we'd see something like that as a national crisis or major emergency that needed solving as a priority. However it's treated like any ordinary national problem alongside the new doctors contract... to be sorted in due course, but not worthy of being fast-tracked.

It's generally accepted on TRP that you can expect society to largely ignore your needs. In addition to this, in order to remain attractive, you can't expect compassion from women when you are struggling. It makes you look weak and less attractive. We've seen plenty of examples where women admit the feelings of repulsion for a man who requires emotional support from them. (Usually combined with guilt.) Which leaves two places you can get this compassion. Your male friends, and TRP. You could also consider the internet in general, but going to places like r hamsters will usually just get you blamed for being too needy or some such nonsense.

Anyways, one of the things that TRP prides itself on is the freedom to come here and vent. Want to get that anger out? Fine. We're not going to judge you for it. Need a little emotional support because stuff has gone to shit? Fine, we'll do that too. How about you need a kick up the ass for being a little baby? We've got you covered. However this one is where some don't realise where it comes from. All of these are different ways of helping our fellow man which comes from a level of compassion that we all have, (and need to some degree), which is lacking for the men of today. Even when we're telling you that you're a pussy and need to man the fuck up, it comes from that compassion to adapt the message in the best way to get men to excel. In some cases, that challenging language works best to motivate.

The flip side of this is that there are some insecure men who need to tell others they're faggots or pussies mostly out of aggression or directionless rage. In other words, the language isn't there for motivation and compassion never drove it. While I have no interest in tone policing, I would make an observation from a self-improvement perspective: If you're laying down some aggressive language, ask yourself one question: is it to challenge them out of compassion? If not, then perhaps there is some introspection you could do to figure out where this is coming from.

This journey we're on never ends gentlemen. We are all apprentices in a craft we shall never master. Now watch as this post brings out a few of the guys who could do with the introspection I've just mentioned.

32
UPVOTES

[Repost] Roles, respect and responsibility acceptance as an alpha trait

15 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

This is a repost of a piece I wrote a year ago. We've just had somebody bitching at the mods for banning someone who called a post dumb and the mod was "not alpha." This style of interaction does not fit for a man who's internalised TRP. This post will shed some light on how the interactions between alpha men work and how they can work best. The complete repost along with old context follows.

TL:DR - There is no TL:DR of this. Poor role understanding within the sub has caused the mods to have to post about the leadership and direction of the sub multiple times in the past 12 months. This post tries to solve the root of the problem. If you believe in the overall goals of the sub, then you'll read it in full.

I recently posted a mini-analysis of the functioning of the sub using an analogy of a military base that didn't quite know the role of every academy graduate. This was an attempt to explain why we keep seeing people questioning the direction of the sub and positing how to solve it as a long term issue.

Keeping with that analogy, we essentially see the squaddies thinking that they can run the base better than the base commander. When the squaddie challenges the drill sergeant on methods of training... they're surprised to be called into the base commander's office and get told they're going to be thrown out. "But... you said that command was always open to ideas? That this is a place where we care about debate and understanding reality ahead of everything else? Without caring about language?"

Yeah, we do. But you fucked up your basic social skills. It's also why your attitude probably isn't working quite so well in the field. Achievements through numbers rather than skill. This is because (while some dominant alphas have natural genetics making them good looking enough that they can pretty much get away with murder) you don't have the ability to completely ignore social etiquette. You can bend it a little maybe... but you're not Jagger. He can ignore all social etiquette and send three 9/10s back to his hotel room to wait for him. You on the other hand, when you ignore social etiquette, it's a demonstration of low SMV. Poor social skills.

Alphas generally don't have poor social skills. So what does this mean to be an alpha without being an 11/10 superstar? It means you've got a role to play. **If you understand the game and the goal, then you'll find your role.** With women, playing the role of the modern dominant alpha will give her the tingles because women like to put guys in boxes. It increases your SMV once you end up in that box in her mind. While this particular bit of info isn't the main thing I want to discuss here, I wanted to demonstrate that an alpha knowing how to play his role is a positive thing for pure sexual strategy. However the crux of this is going to diverge slightly to address how a group of alpha male leaders work best together.

Firstly, I'd like to make a side note about AMOGing which I like to call the AMOG fallacy. This is the idea that when someone AMOGs, he is the alpha of the group. This is an oversimplification. While he may be the apex alpha in terms of status, this does not mean that all other members of the group are beta. Following that logic would suggest that only /u/RedPillSchool is alpha on the sub and everyone else is consequently beta. That the base commander is the only alpha simply because his captains, sergeants, squad leaders and trainees all have to obey him. The football team out drinking will have an apex alpha in the group, but that doesn't make the rest of the group are betas. There's a reason such groups and fraternities all get laid - because they're all alpha, whether it be by status or, more importantly, behaviour.

/u/Whisper recently made a post (which amused me greatly) about Gronk! For those of you who didn't read it, Gronk! is the typical example of an insecure alpha wannabe who seems to be under the impression that alpha is achieved by dominating everyone around you and demonstrating you have the biggest muscles, the greatest height, the biggest dick, the most swollen balls and the purest testosterone. He tries to AMOG everyone. Have you ever met a Gronk! before? His social skills are laughable. In fact, when I see one out and about... I'll stop playing my game and grab some popcorn so I can find a comfy chair to watch the show. Why do I do this? Because in the same

way I don't respect the modern woman, I don't respect Gronk! Like women, he has been placed on this planet for my amusement. Gronk! is undoubtedly my inferior. Why? The man who tries to out-alpha me is my inferior. How? Because he hasn't figured out how to be my equal. This swings back to my point before which now explains why members consistently keep trying to question the direction of the sub. In making dominant leaders, the sub itself creates young men who want to try and assume the leadership role and try to dominate those around them. However they were never given good role models growing up to see how dominant alpha males interact with other dominant alpha males. How they treat equals. **And if you can't treat an alpha as an equal, then you aren't his equal.**

Surprisingly enough, Gronk! isn't welcome in the group of dominant alphas. There's an excellent scene in Gran Torino where Clint Eastwood demonstrates to the kid he's taken under his wing, that secure heterosexual men who are friends have a level of implied respect within the most derogatory of statements. The kid then tries to imitate this and is told that it's incredibly offensive. It's probably the most basic social skill which isn't taught enough on here - finding the right mix between polite and kissing ass to start out and then allowing the relationship to progress. This is similar to finding the correct tone to be assertive with women without being controlling or weird. The nuance in tone that allows you to rant like bloke and not whine like a woman.

The reaction of the Italian threatening to "blow his gook head off" reminds me of a reply made by /u/RedPillWatchTower to my original analogy of a military base. It's why you should avoid being confrontational or needlessly offensive. I don't feel I need to add anything to this:

> I think a lot of new guys confuse "alpha" with "peacocking" or "AMOGing". What I've noticed lately is guys with 3 month old alt accounts coming in, declaring that they've lurked on TRP since the dawn of time, then proceed to start telling ECs they don't know what they are talking about.
>
> This is the Reddit version of a guy who spent 3 months at the gym, goes to the local bar, and picks a fight with the biggest guy in the place to try to prove something. Little did he know that the guy he picked a fight with is not only going to kick his ass, that guy is also friends with the bar owner. So now he's got a black eye and is in jail.
>
> That's pretty much how it works here.

I don't continue to post here for myself so much anymore. I've learnt what I need to learn. I've enacted what I need to enact. I have a solid understanding of theory so, without being humble about it, I believe I can explain and pass it on to boys who are now in a similar position to the one I used to be in. I know my specific role - pass on the knowledge and analytical ability. Help train the next set of men. My role **is not** to lead the sub as a whole or decide on the direction. Don't get me wrong, if I think I've got a good idea, I'll voice it. When I do, I will do so in a respectful manner. I won't tell any of the veterans that they're wrong. That's needlessly confrontational and sets the wrong tone. These people are my equals and I'd like to think many of them are my friends (depending on whether you think you can be friends with people you've never met) and should be treated as such. I'll voice my opinion and let them make up their own mind. Blue Pill men need others to listen and adhere to what they think. Red Pill men don't need to care. We share our knowledge to help any man who wants to listen... if they won't, it's not our loss. Ultimately, if you're not looking to listen to ideas you hadn't considered before then what the fuck are you doing here? Go back to the mainstream.

So now comes the big question: how do we identify our role? TRP has always been excellent for telling the new recruits what they need to do, pointing out who the drill sergeants are and showing that the base commander is in charge. What it has struggled with is the graduates, the squad leaders and the corporals.

- If you're a raw recruit then you'll be reading the sidebar and keeping quiet. No exceptions.
- If you've become a squaddie after a month or two, chip in where you think you understand what is going on and keep your ears pricked up in case the drill sergeant or a corporal comes round to correct you. Don't be the

dickhead who posts for two weeks and then tells the drill sergeant that he doesn't know what he's talking about. You'll be seen for the moron you are.

- If you've begun applying things in the real world and you're getting positive feedback on your understanding then congratulations on your promotion to lance-corporal. Keep contributing where you think you can and listen out for further advice from the drill sergeants and base commanders.
- You've secured your first plate or two? Excellent work corporal. See what parts of the training you can help with from your personal experiences. Just don't let that first success go to your head. The drill sergeants have been doing this a lot longer than you have and will help to correct you if you've had a rare experience without realising. Keep that mind open and keep learning.
- The drill sergeants and base commanders don't need to be told what to do. It's self explanatory.

You have a role in the group. Sometimes that role is just team member and not leader. It's a logical fallacy to think that not being the leader makes you less alpha. The alpha will take his role and work it - he knows that for the group to succeed, all members of the team need to work their role effectively. You've chosen to be a part of the team and I'm assuming you've done that because you want to see that group succeed in its goals. Alpha men will gladly defer to more experienced/knowledgeable men when they're trying to achieve a mutual goal. It's just common sense to let the expert/more experienced/more knowledgeable guy lead the group.

As a community, the TRP sub is no different. Alpha men work together for the good of the community, taking on the roles required of them. Women bitch and bicker amongst themselves and nothing gets done. See twoX as a prime example. It pretends to have purpose... but nothing ever gets achieved there. Here on TRP, boys become men. They go from hopeless and unfulfilled to confident and satisfied. You want to be a part of it? Then take on the responsibility of your role and treat the other roles with respect. That's what an alpha male does.

723
UPVOTES

Commanding 101

26 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

This is a supplementary guide following on from my TRP Field Toolkit. While commanding is used as part of game when you're out in the field trying to get laid for the first time, it's predominantly used on plates or LTRs. As a result, you should be confident and proficient in the majority of aspects of base game before implementing this guide.

Introduction

Women love being commanded. Telling a woman, straight up, what to do allows her to feel safe and secure being led by a strong, confident man. You'd think this was a straightforward topic. Tell women what to do, they obey, job done. Unfortunately, since women no longer wish to love, honour and *obey* it has made the issue of commanding more complicated than it needs to be. It's made it socially acceptable to perform the shit test of disobedience.

Since the dominant/submissive dynamic is still the one that creates the best harmony between men and women, we as men must simply find ways around the problem society has presented us. Naturally, we found a way, it's called not caring. However this is not ideal from the perspective of managing a woman. In experimenting with different plates I have found the most successful method of long term management is not caring less, but leading more. This guide should help you to do that and feel confident in the way you go about it.

Commanding is a broader subject than we tend to give credit on TRP. When we talk about commanding, we talk about commanding respect, we talk about issuing commands and we also talk about instructing, educating and laying down boundaries or rules. It is an essential part of leadership and a multi-faceted tool for managing the relationship dynamic (for either LTRs or plates) and altering the subtext exchange in order to deal more effectively with the plethora of mental and emotional needs a woman has.

From the bloopy perspective, this is the raw essence of the manipulation in TRP. Telling a woman what to do. Which it could be... but in that case it's only the male equivalent of a woman moving her body in a sexually suggestive way while asking a beta to do something for her. Just like the man can refuse to do her bidding, she is welcome at any time to say no to you. It is because your SMV is high and she trusts you that she will submit/obey your instructions. They try to discourage this in blue pill land because, underneath it all, they know that an order from a man with a commanding presence will be followed by most women. They don't like this because it takes power away from them and gives it to men, and in the great power struggle of our opposing sexual strategies – they want the ladies to have the upper hand. I don't. We should have the power. This is the correct dynamic. I would also state that the man being in the leadership role is absolutely vital for the success of an LTR. The opposing dynamic is what causes relationships to fail frequently.

As a point of reference when it comes to game: commands and commanding are used less in the beginning and more as a woman settles into being a good plate or an LTR. The reason for this is that your SMV is not cemented in her mind early on, however once she's slept with you once or twice she is less likely to presume your SMV could be lower – this is an ego defence mechanism because women need to rationalise that they've only slept with men of high value. If they didn't do this, it would risk them admitting to themselves that they are low value and not worthy of going after the highest value of men. They can't afford to admit this because it is counter to their optimal sexual strategy. (This is also why you have post-relationship rationalisations from women and the light switch effect, rewriting of history in her mind etc etc. She absolutely cannot let go of the idea that she would never willingly choose to sleep with any man who is not high SMV. Some other factor caused her to make the mistake. Usually some way of framing it that sees the man as a con artist who tricked her.)

The purpose of commands

All forms of command are heavy handed dominant moves. They are the clear assertion of your leadership

combined with a demand (not a request) for submission. Therefore they have a threefold purpose:

- To assert the dominance/submission dynamic
- To achieve a specific outcome
- To set the stage for the future social dynamic

The specific outcome you want can be anything from demanding a respectful interaction from the woman (e.g. don't speak to me like that. Apologise.) through expecting her to control her behaviour better, (e.g. don't do that – said in a fairly dismissive/disappointed manner) to simply wanting a specific task done (e.g. go make me dinner.) The specific context is what will decide what outcome you want and, when combined with the current relationship dynamic, will inform how heavy handed or subtle the command should be.

The other two parts, asserting the dynamic and setting the stage for the future dynamic are intertwined and both part of the subtext of the interaction. I separate them because they have active and passive subtexts. The active subtext is to tell the woman "you will submit to me now." The passive subtext is after she has submitted, she is effectively replying "I will submit, I accept that you are the leader of this social relationship dynamic." Similar to the sales idea that once you've got someone to say "yes" to you once, they are more likely to say yes to something else you ask.

Basic commands

In the most simplistic of terms, a basic command is to just tell a woman to do something e.g. "Come here" or "go get that table" or "bring that chair over here." The important distinction that separates it from a request is that you do not use words like please. It is an order that is given with the expectation that she will fulfil it. The expectation is what gives the subtext its strength and thus why it is heavy handed dominance.

(As a sidenote, you may have come across women issuing you basic commands in a similar fashion. The correct response to this is something like "what's the magic word?" much like your parents may have said to you as a child, instructing her to change her command to a request. When she complies she is submitting to your framing of the situation and returning to the correct dynamic. You may use commands, she MUST use requests.)

Basic commands are a good way to assert dominance at any time when dealing with women. They're also an excellent addition for passing comfort tests in a more dominant fashion. For example, you identify the nonsense she is speaking is a comfort test. You issue a command such as "sit" while patting your lap, and then follow with physical comfort such as a kiss. If you want to add further emotional comfort you could add something like "I don't kiss girls who aren't attractive enough." That's off the top of my head, but the point is that you can adapt this general use to be more personalised to the situation and woman depending on her emotional needs.

It is also a good idea to get into the habit of using basic commands on a first date. Simple commands like "wear a red dress tonight" or "come here" or "go get the tickets/drinks/food" are all excellent ways of setting the tone and the appropriate social dynamic.

Instructions/Suggestions

Instructions are a softer form of command, essentially coaxing the woman to submit to you. These are useful as a measure of your comparative SMV in her mind, along with all the benefits of a typical command. On a basic level, an instruction would be "you should do X" or "why don't you do Z?" It holds the same straight talk as issuing a command, but instead makes it a suggestion. If she responds to this as a bratty child, then you know the dynamic is not set properly. Respond as if it's a shit test (as it effectively is... she's subtextually challenging your leadership.) While it does not assert the dominance in the same way an assumptive command does, it will assert leadership and imply the dominant/submissive dynamic.

The subtext involved is "I know what to do, follow my lead." As with all commands, the woman can choose to

submit or not. This is particularly useful because instructions or suggestions give a woman the social “out” that she needs in order to disobey you without looking like a bitch. So when she does submit, it is much more telling for the dynamic of the relationship and more telling of the future intentions of the woman (and thus indicative of how heavy handed you can be in the future.)

Simple examples would be “I’m hungry, why don’t you put together some sandwiches?” or “Empty glass here. You should grab us a refill.” These are all socially acceptable things which are easily included in general activities as a cheeky little line and will reinforce the correct social dynamic you want with women. They can also be incorporated quite easily into first dates to test the waters of the dynamic.

Educating/Demanding respect

Educating or demanding in simple terms is just telling the woman how you expect her to behave. There are various ways to communicate this and it is unlikely to work without a set power dynamic already in place. However, if the dynamic is in place and the woman still sees you as a highly desirable man, then this is the most minimal-fuss way of altering her behaviour. When using education commands or demanding commands, there is no room for disobedience as this is breaking subtextual womanese communication in favour of direct masculine straight talk. Disobedience must result in punishment, whether that be radio silence, increased dread or a hard next, something bad that she doesn’t want to happen, **must** happen.

In general you’ll tell her straight what she has done which is unacceptable. You’ll tell her not to do it ever again. Then you’ll tell her how you want her to behave and usually end by commanding her to apologise and think of a way to make it up to you. Dissent is not acceptable. If your frame is weak or she doesn’t respect you sufficiently then she will probably try to justify herself to you, usually interrupting you to try this. Simple retorts that speak back over the top of her “don’t interrupt me, it’s rude. Wait.” will reassert the power dynamic.

Note: never issue an ultimatum. There’s no point to it, and it implies that you think there’s a realistic possibility that she will disobey your command. Never leave that as a possibility that has entered your head. You are telling her what will happen. The subtext is very simple here: “your behaviour has been poor. Correct it now.” This is particularly powerful because as a man with strong game, you typically speak womanese to her. The fact that you have broken this and descended into one-sided masculine straight talk is a strength in itself, when used late on, as it implies that her ability to communicate subtextually or understand you on a subtextual level (read: speaking womanese) is not good enough and you have been forced to deal with her differently.

The classic shit test response of commanding respect comes under a similar umbrella in my view. I’ve said before that the subtext of dealing with a shit test in this way is a slightly exasperated “I’m tired of your shit. I’m obviously higher SMV than you, cut this shit out or else.” The “or else” in the subtext is always read by the woman since a high SMV man has options. It is one of the reasons that commanding works so well. While she is naturally submissive, she will also test you for your ability to lead her and she is acutely aware that if she won’t follow, then another woman likely will. This is also the same way you’d have a talk setting down boundaries or rules for your relationship, whether that be a plate or an LTR.

Rudeness and disrespect should not be tolerated. There are multiple ways to deal with this. Dread is the most highly recommended method. With multiple plates you can simply switch plate. This is a strategy that has served me very well. However, I don’t like only having one tool to correct problems like this. Commanding respect is the other major option and it’s such a heavy handed or “hard no” response to a shit test, you use a similar response to incidents of disrespect. Don’t tolerate it, come down hard.

Group Leadership Commands

These are the most diverse all-purpose commands. They can be used on first dates, established plates and just as regular reinforcement of the correct leadership dynamic in an LTR. In simple terms they are an order mixed in with a statement of intent. “You go do X while I sort out Y.” They clearly assert both dominance and leadership by

providing a plan of action for the two of you as a group while also being an order for her to follow. For example: “You go get dinner started while I clean up in here.” Or “You go grab the table/drinks while I get the drinks/table.” These are also very socially acceptable since they don’t come across as orders despite the fact they are part order. This is a subtle way to enforce the dynamic on particularly bratty women. Well behaved submissive women all react particularly positively to this because they feel like you’re investing in them when you’ve stated you’ll do something to share the load with her. It’s a sort of mini-commitment share, so it’s a useful plate management tool and something to point to dismissively/amused masterly if she says you don’t do things together.

Closing thoughts

Overall, game for me is a bit like woodworking. You’ve got your tools and your raw materials, use each tool correctly and you can put together an LTR a ONS or get a plate spinning. Commanding, on the other hand, is a bit more like moulding clay. Moulding the dynamic and using that to then tweak the behaviours. Try to think of it as a relationship dynamic tool that supplements your game.

As an example of my own success, my main plate (who has slowly learned excellent behaviour), joked once about me “scolding her” for her bad behaviour. She responded well to these demands for better behaviour, but this remark from her is clearly a test to see if my frame will bend at all on my demands for her behaviour. Of course, it will not. After I told her “when you step out of line, you need a spank” she responded very enthusiastically in her body language and demeanour. (Remember, even after all those months your women will still test you.) She was satisfied with my leadership and responded with more physical affection than usual. A beta would have apologised for behaving in a fashion she labelled negatively. Remember that you’re in charge and you’re sure of your decisions. Don’t budge. Tell her she needed the reality check and you command her because you care. When you hold women to account they will love you for it and follow you almost anywhere.

103
UPVOTES

Sokal 2.0 - The open secret of feminist philosophy

3 October 2018 · /r/TheRedPill · Archived copy · Reddit

You'll already be aware of the "Academic Grievance studies scandal" which, to anybody who has been paying attention to mainstream culture in the past few years, is basically the social justice academia being embarrassed yet again. This scandal is providing some good evidence of what we already knew, that people working in the social justice arena are not intelligent, their work is a sham and their PhDs are false awards. They are not called professor for being intelligent, knowledgeable or capable of providing anything useful to modern research. They are given it to meet diversity quotas. They are given these titles to shut them up. They are awarded these PhDs to quiet their shouts of ***misogyny.***

Respected independent magazine Quillette has published a set of responses to this Sokal affair 2.0 from academics in various fields. It is a worthwhile read for the entire article. However for this post I want to look at one response in particular which is very relevant to the recent quarantining of TRP. For context, our quarantine is due to a claim of "misogyny" which is thrown quite calmly around without any specifics. Backed by the academic idea of positive masculinity endorsed by what is acceptable to feminist academics. We have not adhered to that, so we must be punished. Except... there's a very interesting statement regarding the school of thought we refuse to adhere to in this response piece.

> *Neven Sesardic is a Croatian philosopher who has taught philosophy at universities in Croatia, the United States, Japan, England, and Hong Kong.*
>
> One cannot properly judge this new (multiple) version of the Sokal affair before studying the fake articles that were part of the project conducted by Lindsay, Boghossian, and Pluckrose.
>
> Among all these submitted papers mixing “absurdities and morally fashionable political ideas” the project collaborators single out the article that was accepted by the journal *Hypatia* (A Journal of Feminist Philosophy) as their most important success. Indeed, kudos to them. **Yet the reader should know that it is a carefully guarded secret in philosophy that feminist philosophy is often not characterized by intellectual rigor and high academic standards.** (The secret is so well-guarded, though, that many philosophers do not dare to admit even to themselves to know it, let alone express it publicly.) So *Hypatia* was a logical and easy choice for the attempt to place a fake paper in one of the well-known philosophy journals.

Emphasis mine. It is an open secret that the feminists have been given a seat at the table despite the fact everybody knows their ramblings are unworthy of giving even slight consideration. I wonder why they did that? And I wonder why they keep it a secret that they all know these feminists are spouting illogical nonsense? Might it be a cry of misogyny that they are trying to stay away from? That perhaps holds weight as an accusation even when it is being applied incorrectly? Are we living in a society where terms like sexist and racist are flung about with great abandon, applied incorrectly on a regular basis, simply as a manner of smearing political or ideological opponents who won't fall in line with your religious doctrine? I do not answer these questions but leave them for you to consider. Let us continue to the example evidence that Neven provides:

> Occasionally, however, unintentional absurdities of feminist thinking have crept into much better philosophical journals than *Hypatia*. A good example is an article from the Australasian Journal of Philosophy in which a feminist describes a “phallic drama” involving two statements, p and ~p (the negation of p):
>
> ***There is really only one actor, p, and ~p is merely its receptacle. In the representation of the Venn diagram, p penetrates a passive, undifferentiated universal other which is specified as a lack, which offers no resistance, and whose behavior it controls completely.***

> Note that this is no longer a Sokal-type hoax but an instance of authentic feminist philosophy. Sometimes it is impossible to tell the difference. For more information about how caving in to feminism damages philosophy as a discipline see the 2014 article co-authored by Rafael De Clercq and me.

(Emphasis for Sesardic's quote from another paper.) Most interesting. It appears that feminist nonsense (and I use the term advisedly because that example is most certainly what Sokal would have referred to as nonsense) is seeping outside of their own little echo chamber despite failing to reach basic academic evidence and theoretical standards.

This is the measurement by which we are judged by reddit to be misogynists. They have openly stated such by linking to show "this is what positive masculinity is" and linking to feminist academia. Academia itself considers it to be nonsense, so I'm relatively confident that the reddit admins are also spouting nonsense in an attempt to appear smart... not unlike these feminism PhD holders.

The Red Pill is the idea that there is forbidden truth. Something going on behind the curtain. When the reddit admin make up a spurious reason to reject any appeal and ban us for this nebulous "misogyny" as defined by their feminism academics, we have yet further proof of our own position. This is a persecution. A witch hunt against those who will not fall in line with the feminist religion and dare to have the heretical thought that men, men's thoughts and men's interests actually matter too.

Register over on TRP.red today unless you wish to have the blue pill forced upon you.

COMMENTS

Oh, The Feminist Jimmies This Will Rustle

440 upvotes · 22 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Oh, Milo you magnificent faggot!
>
> How do you combine rational logic and trolling all into one? NEVER FEAR! MILO WILL FIND A WAY!
>
> I would bet money that TRP will end up funding several grants.

Woman hits the wall at 25, has mental breakdown: single mother with no money comes to realise no one wants to date a single mother, has vitriolic anger towards her ex who's still living a happy life and having relationships.

361 upvotes · 28 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > The guy however, he's paying the child support he needs to, doing the quota of parenting he needs to, and still manages to get around having some action. Absolute red pill by the looks of it.
>
> Yeah, there's nothing red pill about being a voluntarily absent dad. Try to control your revenge fantasy hardon.
>
> (Edited to remove the word "deadbeat." It wasn't the correct description.)

I Met an Orbiter

331 upvotes · 21 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > "Thanks for worrying about my feelings. You're such a nice guy."
>
> Ooooh, stone cold. That's an ice burn.

Newly Divorced Beta Gets His Heart Crushed in Under a Week

260 upvotes · 4 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> On the upside, now you can write more realistic female characters.

I'm Milo Yiannopoulos, AMA

251 upvotes · 17 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Hi Milo, thanks in advance, it's very generous of you to give your time here.
>
> Despite the rise of the cultural libertarians online, here in the UK we still have a deeply engrained sexism within our political system that allows female politicians to openly state words to the effect of "fathers don't really matter" (Harriet Harman) and openly laughing at the male suicide epidemic "because every day is international men's day." (Jess Phillips.) Naturally any male politician who said the female equivalent would have been sacked on the spot. It is truly galling to see Jess Phillips is still in post.
>
> Given the way our political system works, that most politicians come from very privileged backgrounds, do you see them actually coming around to balance things out? From what I can tell, it's in their favour to keep the focus on sexism and racism as a distraction from rising levels of inequality and poverty. (Particularly given the recent research from the LSE indicating that there is a glass floor for people born into wealthy and powerful backgrounds.) I'm not really convinced that there is a significant level of either sexism (against women at least) or racism in our society, and I'm also not convinced these people are genuinely as stupid as the SJWs who think there is. That's my educated explanation of the situation. Would be interested in your thoughts.

Take her out to breakfast the morning after

187 upvotes · 23 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Breakfast won't save you. Waste of money. She'll just go how she was intimidated into doing what you told her to do. Or she reluctantly went along because she didn't want to anger you etc.
>
> The text message is the best thing to get. Something which gets her saying how she had fun etc because it's practically impossible to twist that type of evidence.

[FR] Plate's friend got violent with her boyfriend

181 upvotes · 7 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Exceptional FR. Personally I think situations like these have no perfect way to handle them given the way the law operates (i.e. in some domestic abuse situations, after the woman has hit the man and there is a visible sign of injury, the police will just arrest the man without any questions.) You did extremely well OP.
>
> As practical advice for all Red Pill men... if any woman you're involved with shows signs of attempting violence against you, it is an automatic hard next regardless of circumstances. No ifs or buts.
>
> My interpretation of this FR reminds me of something similar which happened to me, without the escalation to violence. I would say that she saw your high SMV and resented what she couldn't have. Attempts to shame you would have demonstrated you were beta and so nothing to want anyway. But you held frame and batted away her nonsense very well. So were obviously not beta. As she gets more and more upset about the fact you're high SMV and she can't have you, she throws a temper tantrum like the child she is and then lays into her punching bag... literally.
>
> Women will call high SMV men "asshole" in two different tones of voice depending on where they perceive their own SMV. He's an asshole with a smile and adoring eyes if she thinks he's within reach. He's an asshole with venom and hatred if his SMV is too high for her and she can't have him. (Therefore must sabotage him and make sure he gets with no woman. But that never works. It's just petulant childish behaviour driven by jealousy. Or maybe it's the old fallacy about "I don't want that" which you couldn't have anyway.)
>
> I've received both statements in a night before with a mate, his gf and her friend. My mate was well aware that I did nothing remotely different or offensive either at the start or end of the night. However his gf cock blocked me expertly. Her friend was lapping up the kino and taking pictures with me... (Then begging for it not to be put up on facebook because "they'll know I slept with him." -yes, I found that particular choice of words interesting too, so it stuck in my memory.) then goes to the bathroom and when she comes back I brush against her arm and she practically cried rape. While my mate wouldn't blame his gf, he certainly noticed a very distinct shift in her friend's behaviour. He'd seen her lapping up my attention earlier and figured she was just a crazy woman. We know better gentlemen. My mate's gf must have subtly hinted that her friend's social status would have been at risk if she continued enjoying herself with me. Standard cockblock. But I'd say there's similar feminine hypergamy influences causing it.

GaylubeOil's Guide to Using Meals to Give Women Feels

170 upvotes · 12 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Around six weeks into a hookup relationship women start feeling self conscious about getting creamed by a dude that only sort of remembers their name.
>
> We have to remember their names now? God dammit! This sub just keeps raising the bar.

Don't Use TRP To Empower Women

160 upvotes · 15 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Women who are sluts suffer whatever the psychological equivalent of money illusion is. For those who want a quick definition, money illusion is where you get a raise of 2% but inflation is at a higher level, say 4%. You feel richer, but you're actually poorer.
>
> By broadcasting her sluttiness, she gets attention and sex at will, selecting the more attractive of men in her circle. This makes her feel more attractive. However her sluttiness means no self respecting man or high value man will ever consider her relationship worthy and she's actually significantly less attractive. Such is the problem of operating on feels first.

Why you HAVE to be a social butterfly

152 upvotes · 6 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Even if you're ripped, well dressed, and handsome, they're still going to peg you for a loser.
>
> This is the stupidest statement I've ever read. If I could take away points from you, I would. A ripped, well dressed, very handsome guy will have women wanting to get to know him. Through the halo effect, his looks will make them assume that he is very popular.

"He understands how lucky he really is"

152 upvotes · 30 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Newsflash for the newbies: she's going to bang Chad anyway.

Oh, The Feminist Jimmies This Will Rustle

144 upvotes · 22 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> If that happens, it'll start discussion. Discussion is what Milo wants! He is deliberately provocative for this reason.
>
> Why are we accepting black-only scholarships? Why are we accepting women-only scholarships? Yet we can't accept a white-male-only scholarship? That is discrimination against white men.
>
> The logic works and the grievance is valid. This is how Milo will fight the politics, and he will get supporters who believe in classical liberalism. I take your point that you believe none of this will make a difference and he'll just get shouted down to maintain the narrative... but the thing is, for Milo to fight the system like this... he's coming from the starting assumption that he can change things. - And I'm starting to think his assumption might be fair. I'm not saying it'll be easy, but it could be possible because he's written about the rise of the cultural libertarians. I don't know about the US... but in the UK, privately, an increasing number of the population (men and women) are getting more and more pissed off at the blatant anti-white sentiment everywhere, less so about the anti-male sentiment but many blokes have noticed it.
>
> This is the culture whose national dish is Chicken Tikka Masala. Seriously, most Brits don't give a shit what colour you are, but they are getting tired of being put down for being white. Milo knows this... and you only have to look at his rise to fame to see that people are starting to feel like they can actually say something about it.

OP's girlfriend doesn't want to be sexy for him, even though he tries to "be understanding", "negotiate", etc. Relationship subreddit calls him a misogynist anyway. Geez, there's just no winning with these people...

142 upvotes · 1 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Just to give you a different perspective, I am a woman who personally feels that lingerie is a pretty sexist, as

> there just isn't a male version of it.

Yes there is. It's called a Power Suit. Women love taking that off slowly.

ATTENTION: Visitors from VICE

140 upvotes · 30 August 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> She misses the follow-on sentence: this is because the ideas hold up to real life scrutiny of what is independently observable. You can literally go out and test TRP ideas and get positive results.

I'm in the gym losing weight and gaining muscle and LTR says " I don't want you to get too skinny"

139 upvotes · 1 October 2018 · /r/askTRP

> It's an attempt to hold you back and prevent your SMV rising any more. Keep going and she'll soon clue in on the fact that this is happening whether she likes it or not. At which point you will see what sort of girl you're with. She'll either try to keep up and raise her own SMV, or she'll become toxic and actively start expending energy trying to lower your SMV. Any signs of the latter and it's time to dump her and get back out there to find a proper woman... who will likely be more attractive.

Bill Burr BRILLIANTLY Exposes Just How Little Women Do/Seem to Care for Men in Relationships Today [Brand New Joke]

137 upvotes · 26 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> "And for 20 minutes, Bill Burr felt like a free man."
>
> In fairness... women do very little for men today... but they will certainly go out of their way for Chad. One of my plates has an ever-growing list of things she "owes" me... just finding things she knows I'll like and doing them. She's ticked off a few, but keeps finding more. I'm going to add make me a roast beef and mustard sandwich to that list... with a beer.

Oral but no sex?

135 upvotes · 2 November 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Oral plate. Gold.

Innocent men now can be ordered to inform police of sex they have 24 hours before it happens or face 5 years in jail (UK)

135 upvotes · 24 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I saw this nonsense. Who the fuck passes such a brainless law? Who the hell uses this?
>
> It's impractical for the innocent. (A woman isn't going to wait 24hrs after being seduced for a fucking. She operates on her fee fees in the moment.) It's unenforceable for the guilty. (They can't publicise his name, so there's no way for women to know this man has this order against him. The police don't know where he is. Why would the woman report him if it was not rape?)
>
> This is the definition of a worthless order/law.

Sweden, the most cucked country in the world says they've had enough.

133 upvotes · 7 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It doesn't matter how many times you deny it... reality will keep slapping you in the face until you accept it. It won't tire.

"He understands how lucky he really is"

128 upvotes · 30 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> He's afraid for the woman he loves and accepts his fear; he embraces it. He allows it to guide him and help him understand how important this woman truly is to him.

What in the actual fuck does this even mean? It's so retarded that it's gone full circle and people might mistake it for being deep.

LTR thinks experimenting with another girl is not cheating.

118 upvotes · 14 February 2020 · /r/askTRP

The correct response is "yeah, we should totally go find a girl for a threesome."

The rest you put about her disqualifying herself as LTR material is all accurate.

[FR] met an old plate at a party, she now has a LTR (4 yrs, even bought an house together). She asks me tips on how to cuckhold the guy. Then wants the D.

116 upvotes · 21 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

This was an amusing read that I'm still chuckling at. I also completely agree with your conclusion:

> (imo this is exaclty the kind of mess that you should avoid at all costs, you don't want to be around when Bob and reality finally collide. Also that level of sexual promiscuity is a red flag)

It's one of those situations where if the beta male went seriously violent, you wouldn't say it was unjustified given the way he's been treated. This is not to advocate violence, simply that I wouldn't have any sympathy for those who wronged Bob in this scenario suffering those emotion-fueled and unpredictable consequences.

Matthew Santoro melts down and cries on camera while describing "extreme emotional and physical abuse" by ex-girlfriend Nicole Arbour

116 upvotes · 11 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Woman abuses her beta bux. Beta cries like baby.

In other news, apple falls to the ground from tree because of gravity.

If this isn't a reminder to guys that you don't want to be that beta with status who gets an attractive chick... well the blue pill sub's that way. Powerful example bro.

Getting Laid a Lot Requires You to be "Creepy"

114 upvotes · 16 December 2020 · /r/TheRedPill

Creepy when used by women has always been translated as "I don't find you attractive enough to be saying or doing what you are saying/doing." If Brad Pitt did the same thing she'd be into it. As Warlock says in these comments, it's all a power play. She considers your SMV too low. You probably get the negative reply on tinder because the chick is seeking validation rather than sex. Any up and coming lad will end up with this bullshit being thrown at him. Recognise it for what it is, the standard response to chicks not appreciating a sexual advance because they expect you to have the social skills to know when your advance is welcome or not. Ignore it and move on.

Do you think the left/SJW are waring a war on 'nerds' and for what reason?

113 upvotes · 24 November 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> I was chatting about this the other day actually. The discussion was focused on the gamergate pushback. Trying to explain it, why they stood up while the rest of society tends to crumble under unreasonable feminist demands. Theoretically, the feminists have control of the betas but they've been ignoring the omegas. Most hardcore gamers are omegas because by definition of the amount of time they have to put in... they don't tend to go out or do other things very much. However, the omegas have had it made abundantly clear by women everywhere that they'll never get any and by retreating from social contact after discovering this, they can't be controlled in the same way the beta can. (No promise of ever getting any... so why care?) This is where the fightback is likely to come from, from the omegas who have nothing to lose in telling these women to shut up and go away. By shunning that section of the male population, the ladies don't realise they've created someone immune to their social charms/manipulation. I haven't thought this through in depth, but it seemed interesting enough to throw out there.

Rule Zero: The Mission

110 upvotes · 24 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You are a man who wants to improve your own control over your life, mostly your sex life.

I think this one confuses a lot of people. One of the things I noticed was that my success rate shot up once I'd internalised outcome independence and abundance mentality. i.e. when I wasn't really caring about my sex life anymore because there was enough other stuff going on in my life that I wasn't too fussed if I got it right that time because women and sex had dropped down my list of priorities and were more of an amusing diversion... and that I didn't really care if I failed to bang that particular chick that night.

I've got a half written piece (lying around somewhere) on this sort-of-paradox "if you want more sex, stop caring so much about getting sex."

So I think a lot of guys just don't quite grasp the nuance on that and broadly fall into two camps - those who care massively and their thirst shows. And those who think it doesn't matter at all. From my perspective it's one of the standard nuances of TRP that doesn't get picked up very quickly by people because they've been trained to think black and white during their blue pill days.

With so much interlinked and the natural state that sexual strategy ends up including so many aspects of life... it's no wonder these guys are so confused - particularly given that they're coming here in a confused state in the first place. Personally I've always felt the community to be quite understanding of this... and probably more forgiving of it than they could be.

Girl bangs NFL star and posts it over social media

109 upvotes · 4 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Another demonstration of how women can't get out of feminine thinking. "I shagged X, therefore it increases my value." (That only works for men.) While any man watching is less interested in her as a result. You should re-flair this as an example rather than theory.

Nuclear shit test example

107 upvotes · 12 August 2023 · /r/TheRedPill

> Ergh. The premise of this is rejected. Go back to the sidebar and start again.
>
> If she is a plate, her dumb behaviour doesn't matter. If she becomes work, you drop her and get a new plate to replace in your rotation. If she is your only plate, you have fucked up.
>
> If she is an LTR, why are you taking your LTR to a club? Why are you indulging her in slootland? Open disrespect in an LTR is an immediate hard next and you then need to reflect on why you LTR'd up a sloot who

> could show open disrespect to you, that is a failure in your vetting. If she's still being vetted, demote to plate.
>
> This is all basic stuff. The long post wasn't needed and your entire view of the situation is purple pill nonsense. You cannot use red pill methods to achieve blue pill dreams. The club slut is a club slut, not LTR material, she doesn't matter beyond any status as a plate. Women don't matter in themselves, they only matter if said woman is actually adding something to your life beyond a warm hole.

Misinterpreted RP Thinking You Must Address Now

107 upvotes · 18 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm Alpha now, I'm better then everyone

Having high SMV is just a rating of how fuckable you are. Think about that hot bitch who has such an awful personality that you could probably only hatefuck her. Is she really better than everyone because she has high SMV? Nupe. Even low SMV people sometimes have useful things you can learn from them. (Even if it is sometimes just what not to do in social situations.)

Good nuanced piece.

Tinder slut explicitly admits her AF/BB strategy

105 upvotes · 30 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> ten guys by now

I surprised by you, sir! Being so generous to a lady/whore.

Innocent men now can be ordered to inform police of sex they have 24 hours before it happens or face 5 years in jail (UK)

105 upvotes · 24 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'd do it just to waste their time and resources.

CorporateLand: How to Kill it in Your Job Interview

100 upvotes · 21 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This should be stickied. As a guy who used to do this myself for a number of years I can confirm all of this to be spot on. (Particularly the small bit on shaking hands... which I couldn't believe there were some people on TRP a number of months ago talking about needing to squeeze the other guys hand harder as a sign of dominance.... seriously, we talk about those guys afterwards in the office and discuss how fucking autistic it is to squeeze too hard on a handshake.)
>
> The piece is thorough and as an added "behind the scenes" look... myself and my colleagues can always find a reason to disqualify someone in a job hunt simply because we don't like them. Now, don't like them is not always personal, but similar to the "I will get rid of SJWs" point made in this piece, if you give off a vibe that you'd be any kind of risk to my professional reputation - you're gone and I don't care if it's fair or not. I come first. When you're hired, the risk is always to me and my professional rep for getting behind you. Keep that in mind.

TRP Office: The King Is Dead, Long Live the Hamster

100 upvotes · 29 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You should get in touch with your old boss and see if he might have a position for you or if he knows of anyone else in the industry who might. Networking is the best method of securing a new job over proper applications. It

> increases your chances of a decent offer by like 300%.

Working on your looks is much more important than working on your game

99 upvotes · 18 February 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> A brief education.
>
> You should work on everything. Status trumps all, but there is no reason to avoid work on any particular area.
>
> Your looks, status, indicators of wealth and fashion sense provides your initial SMV that a woman will interpret. (Hence why when it is laughably low, she has no idea why you'd approach her.) Game allows you to alter her perception of your SMV relative to her own. You need to be above hers but not too far above or she will disqualify herself as a social precaution.
>
> Maxing looks is good... but it is not superior to game. It appears you're citing someone who can play pretti-boi game. i.e. they are good looking enough that by being beta, they reduce their SMV enough for women to think they can get them. These little boys get to have sex on easy mode, but they tend to have dysfunctional and emotionally unrewarding relationships that break off after a few months. Do not envy these men. Good game is far more important than looks as it gives you far more tools in the box.
>
> 5/10 for this post. Must try harder.

Got more likes on Gay side of tinder in a day than i Did in a year

98 upvotes · 29 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> A reminder that women judge very harshly. Nothing more. Continue as normal.

Nail ex gf the day before her wedding with a beta.

94 upvotes · 9 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Am I the only person on here who remembers not to stick his dick in crazy? Has the sub's stance on this changed or something?

I went to a high-class escort and thought I'd share some details with you.

91 upvotes · 3 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Being a respectful, courteous, interesting, feminine lady is apparently now a rare talent worth thousands per hour. (In fairness, I have no doubt that the market is almost as depressing for women seeking a confident, masculine man.) How far we've fallen...
>
> Fuckin' hell bro, you're gonna send me back into the depression phase. The irony is, I wrote about how we'd become the poorest men in history. I still believe that men want more than mere wealth. We want that feeling of power, admiration, wealth, love, romance, respect etc etc. The alterations in the gender dynamics have made this almost impossible. The young boys growing up now won't just struggle economically, but they're going to struggle culturally, emotionally and intellectually. We've allowed women to implement their race-to-the-bottom style of competition across society so completely that in some areas, the effort and reward are not just unproportional... they're now just suckers games. Just like marriage. Depressing as fuck, but needs to be accepted if you're going to try and play the game.

[FR]Just had my first ever date, and it went terrible.

90 upvotes · 5 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Success is a lousy teacher. Keep that in mind when you think over mistakes and failures.

“if you meet an asshole in the morning, you met an asshole, if you meet assholes all day, youre the asshole”

87 upvotes · 16 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Hang out with better people.

Jennifer Lawrence explains her fee-fees

86 upvotes · 6 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Congratulations on winning the internet. Made me laugh so hard.

This is the 'Rape Joke' That's Rocking Apple

84 upvotes · 16 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Unless you have been through real trauma , you should not be able to claim PTSD.
>
> Yes. Fuck them. As usual feminists can't stick to the real definition of something.
>
> I've helped in the treatment of men who've suffered PTSD. I wouldn't wish it on my worst enemy. It's a very serious life-debilitating condition. You don't get it from words. This shit needs to end. Dispute it at any opportunity gents and stick to your guns.

Still have some doubt about TRP? Read the raw keylogs from my cheating ex-girlfriend following the moments after we broke up.

84 upvotes · 11 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Here's some highlights for me.
>
> > you will hate yourself less if you just break up but cheating is easier
>
> Women are both mentally and physically weak. They have no moral code because they're too mentally weak to enforce one upon themselves. This is why they require firm leadership from a man.
>
> > i hate that i did this to him but it was the easy fast way out
>
> Women are conflict avoidant and lazy.
>
> > but he is all about monogame <del><del>y even if we aren't have<del>ing sex
>
> Women feel entitled to high quality sex without effort. They see it as the man's responsibility.
>
> > i might make one righ <del><del>t now lol JUST TO SWIPE
>
> And straight onto Tinder. Women get dick so easily, it's not remotely impressive. I like to compare her first date with a new guy with the guy's first visit to the gym... because they're about similar levels of ease after a breakup.
>
> > maybe someoe <del>one will finally have anal sex with me
>
> There are no words.
>
> > a little sad but this relato<del>ionship was dead
>
> Light switch.

> yeah had a e<del>way bigger dick i know that not to sound vain but i know ll<del>ol aw

This is just funny.

> send me cute dog pics

Are you still taking women seriously?

> so obviously [ihaveameme] knew didn't speak to me this morning :<del><del>LOL did not come home tonight

Because causing emotional pain to a man she sees as weak is funny to her.

This really is pure distilled feels-led hypergamy. It's worth reading the whole thing to remind yourself what's really going on.

Buckets Story

82 upvotes · 12 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This should be required reading for newbies.

FR: Tinder Date with more red flags than a People's Revolution

81 upvotes · 8 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Talks a bunch of SJW crap (said I was "mansplaining") at one point.

As a general rule, if a woman ever uses the term "mansplaining" then you get up and leave in silence and hard next her. Also:

> She looked like a 7 in her pics, and was a 3 IRL.
>
> my plan was to just hit it and screw cause I was horny and she was good enough for a poke.

Raise your fucking standards. Only betas and women can't walk away because their sex drive has too much control over them.

"You missed out"

81 upvotes · 19 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This nuance is so important to remember. It's the one danger to your attitude that the sub can create. Because the sub is devoted to sexual strategy, it can become very easy to see sex as too important.
>
> This is not to say that sex isn't important. It is. But in terms of life priorities, it might rank in your top 5 priorities, but if it's ranking in your top 2 then you probably need to rethink things. The idea that sex is the top priority on your list is pretty much the definition of putting the pussy on the pedestal. (The pussy/acquisition of pussy is more important to you than anything else.) This is not a red pill attitude. It's also not conducive to the other underlying attitudes needed to be successful in your application of sexual strategy.
>
> This sort of topic is a good reality check on attitude towards sex, keeping it in perspective. You are the dominant male. You decide if you want to have sex and she is along for the ride if she chooses. Sometimes I wonder about the attitudes of some members of the sub who appear to have no circumstance where they'd turn down sex. I think that's an incredibly dangerous attitude given the increase in false rape allegations. (Demonstrated examples here on an almost daily basis.) You should be able to think of at least one situation where you'd say no (and not

> just because you're in charge of deciding your own destiny), the one that jumps to my mind is the crazy chick. The sex might be great, but the potential fallout just doesn't seem worth the risk. I'm sure there are others like the chick being too ugly or not shitting where you eat etc etc.
>
> Your life is YOURS gentlemen. You've unplugged from the matrix so don't take their priorities anymore. Set your own.

Keep Your Goddamn Mouth Shut!

80 upvotes · 16 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> If it's a group and women are present... don't bother with logic. It's irrelevant to the feels. Also, reading this, you probably came across as very cold and heavily implying that these women deserved what happened to them. (Remember, bloopers like to misinterpret us. We're all a bunch of rapists who hate women and can't get laid, remember?) You need to be VERY specific when you put forward a stance like this. So in this case you'd need to add something like a line or two about how you believe the woman didn't deserve it, nobody deserves it, but stopping police from issuing advice designed to try and **prevent** these awful crimes just so a victim can avoid their share of blame is not a sensible trade-off. This isn't about telling a whore she's a bad person for dressing that way (though in reality it is)... it's about accounting for the fact we don't live in a perfect world and taking **some** precautions to avoid harm where it can be avoided.
>
> What they heard when they listened to you was "leaving the car door open and the keys in the ignition means it's your fault when you get it stolen. Oh and if you ever dress attractively and go for a night out drinking it's your fault when you stumble down an alley and get raped." When I read it that way even I'm starting to think it's a tad harsh. What you see as dressed like a whore and drunk, they see as a normal night out drinking being dressed nicely.
>
> As for your own identifying the mistake, good on ya. We've all fucked up at one time or another and this one seems like a big one. Test the waters carefully and play it by ear. At the extreme end, be prepared to look for another job. However, if the subject comes up again then you should be ready to apologise. Probably say something along the lines of getting carried away and the common resistance to prevention advice really annoys you because you believe nobody should ever have to go through that and anything that will prevent sexual assault should be taken very seriously. Hopefully he'll sympathise with that and remember you're a decent guy.

There Are No Hot Girls! (Or Maybe You're Looking But Not Seeing?)

78 upvotes · 22 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> What the fuck has happened to this place?

Three Long Overdue Red Pill Reality Checks

77 upvotes · 16 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > "Me horny! Me go fuck girls now,"
>
> I think this probably also leads to a level of jealousy among the RP awakened. The ignorance truly was bliss... and what's better than knowing the truth of the SMP and getting laid?
>
> Not knowing the truth of the SMP and getting laid.
>
> In a way, Red Pill men have the mixed blessing that is intelligence. An ability to rise above the white noise out there and analyse reality for what it is. Now we can see it... we don't particularly like it. Like when you can figure out how the magician performs his trick... there is no mystery or wonder anymore, and thus no joy.
>
> While we've grown to a state to try and achieve more from our lives than meathead Chad... I wouldn't be

> remotely surprised by RP men admitting that every now and then, just occasionally... they wonder what it would be like to take away all the negative shit. Hell, I've questioned all my life whether I'd be happier if I was dumb. And to be honest I've concluded that it would probably be down to dumb luck.
>
> The reality is that Chad is idealised in this way as the perfect natural alpha for sleeping with women... but never really for anything else. Would we all really find fulfilment in that? Or would we simply have a new set of woes like seeing men around us who were richer, smarter and contributing more to the world?
>
> Ultimately, reality is always a compromise. As you get bigger, you tend to get less agile. As you get more intelligent, you tend to spot more negative things in the world that you're not in control of. As you become richer, you tend to appreciate the simple things in life less than someone worse off than you would. Chad has to live in reality too... he has compromises too... the real question you need to ask yourself is this:
>
> Is sacrificing who you are and your personal potential worth all the sex with all the hot bitches out there?

I repeat: it is unproductive to hate fish (women) for swimming (hypergamy)

77 upvotes · 8 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The hatred simmers down until you finally realise it's not really hate... it's disrespect.
>
> It's quite right to have zero respect for a slut. She's so stupid that she doesn't even realise the game she's playing and is playing it incredibly badly and then complaining that she's not winning or getting what she really wants.
>
> Think about it - the average slut wants commitment from chad but can't get it. She rationalises away all failures in order to keep her self esteem high enough to keep hunting for an alpha. However in playing the game the way a slut does, she not only shows the alpha how low value she is, but she also contributes to the way an alpha has so many sexual options and has no need to commit. Effectively sabotaging her own chance to achieve that commitment she wants.
>
> The modern slut deserves no respect. Particularly since she wants to be fucked with zero respect for herself and this turns her on. So not only does she ask to not be shown any respect.... she acts like someone who deserves no respect.
>
> If we move past that too, and look at productivity: it's productive to have zero respect for the modern woman. Respect can still be earned. You don't need to respect someone to provide basic courtesy (this should be part of your basic social skills anyway) but by having zero respect for women you set the right tone. Your attitude sees yourself as superior (as the prize) and you leave her to demonstrate her worth to you. You'll get laid more from the sluts and, if you're interested in an LTR, then the LTR material will reveal itself to you because she will slowly earn your respect.

Do Something December

76 upvotes · 7 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I am looking forward to Jackhammer January.

How I started making LOVE not WAR with Feminists. Sex + Socratic method = Social Change.

75 upvotes · 3 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> A good argument contains some rhetoric to make it easier to swallow. Shame that this whole thing rests on the assumption that the woman is open minded and has the intelligence to comprehend when her views rest upon certain assumptions. This will not work across the board. Many women (thanks to the lowering of standards for everything female) have not developed either an open mind for self-improvement or developed their intelligence and understanding of topics beyond shopping and shoes.
>
> Additionally, once back in their group, a woman will rejoin the hivemind rather than risk becoming a social

> outcast. Feminists are for pump and dumps. Nothing more. We have no interest in saving them or changing them. If changing the world is your mission, then head for politics or big business and get to the top (assuming you don't have your principles corrupted along the way obviously.) Otherwise, you should steer clear of this minefield.

Is it better to game 5's than none?

74 upvotes · 19 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> 5s and 6s are harder to game than 8s in my humble opinion. 7s are typically the most difficult because they tend to get the most attention as they're above average attractiveness but not so attractive that the average bloke will rule himself out. As a result they tend to get more attention than 8s and 9s do and it inflates their egos to crazy levels.
>
> My advice? Just game whatever chick is nearby and not ugly. It's easier to engage the DGAF attitude when you're genuinely just choosing based on proximity. It's also very good for abundance mentality.

LTR refused sex

74 upvotes · 4 September 2018 · /r/askTRP

> It's over. She's trying to weaponise sex. It's a massive luminous red flag that cannot be tolerated. Hard next. Go spin some plates and get your head right before even considering looking at LTRing again. When you fuck up an LTR it reflects upon you and how you have failed to screen properly. Ruminate on this while you spin some plates for at least 6 months.

I Met an Orbiter

73 upvotes · 21 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Probably the butthurt you're showing. Nice guys get mad a lot. Get your IDGAF up to lvl 1 and then see how you're doing.

24 and got a hookup pregnant, what do I do?

71 upvotes · 17 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Not only are you too stupid to use a condom, you also appear to be too stupid to click on the sidebar article on this topic, located under Red Pill Problem Solver.

Ex starts dating top 1% (in school)

71 upvotes · 8 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> There is always someone better than you. Even in the things we're best at.
>
> Women will always trade up. If Brad Pitt came to your school tomorrow and offered to date her, she'd fuck off with him, ditching wrestler boy in a heartbeat. Focus on how you're doing and use these men as inspiration to be better. It's both feminine and beta to wallow in self pity wishing these men weren't so good so that you'd be better off. A plate of mine would leave me tomorrow if a significantly better man came along. That's just the nature of the game and the nature of hypergamy. I know it feels personal, but it really isn't. It's just the nature of competition. The only thing you can control is how you choose to react. The emotions will pass, but what you do will define you.
>
> Women need the competition to come down. Men rise to competition. Figure out what you've got in your pants. Is it a dick and a pair of balls? Or is it a vagina? Act accordingly.

"All those other guys are like garbage"

71 upvotes · 7 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> If he really did that, then we are all proud of him. If it was just a bit of artistic licence, then I thank him for the laugh he gave me.

Never compare your sex life with a girl's sex life

70 upvotes · 27 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Men and women aren't comparable. At all. On anything, not just sex.

Sexbots: Why Women Should Panic

69 upvotes · 16 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I mean, look, I don't mean to be rude, but most of the reason I went gay is so I didn't have to deal with nutty broads.
>
> Oh Milo, you magnificent shitlord!
>
> The article itself is absolutely spot on! We'll probably see the changes within the next 20 years. Unless the crazy feminists manage to get sex bots banned. (Not unreasonable given the number of stupid and illogical laws they've had passed already.)

LTRs are hard-mode, Monkey-Branching is real

68 upvotes · 11 February 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Lessons I learned:
>
> Always remain the prize
>
> Never give her 100% because she has nothing left to chase and will seek that validation elsewhere.
>
> Further lessons:
>
> - Do not try to play LTR game until you have spun multiple plates for months and have fully internalised the pill.
> - Do not attempt LTRs unless you've started being selective with plates based upon assessments of red and green flags. (Seriously, how do you overlook online prostitute?)
> - Learn to walk away more. Dread is your friend.

GaylubeOil's Guide to Using Meals to Give Women Feels

68 upvotes · 12 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I thought my sarcasm was obvious. I was merely enjoying GLO's usual level of entertaining writing.

LMR from your LTR: Never let a women decide when you DON'T have sex.

67 upvotes · 4 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> I don't understand the need for this cheating. If you're in an LTR, you should have picked a woman with high RMV. Using sex as a powerplay is a demonstration of low RMV... just next the bitch. What's the point in an LTR with a worthless bitch? You can spin plates instead. If you're a high quality man then you deserve better and won't tolerate such crap.
>
> Weaponisation of sex is a massive red flag in my book... it'd get her demoted down my plate order at the very least.It certainly disqualifies her from being an LTR.

The "She's trying to put me on a leash" thread

67 upvotes · 8 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> .....using something she's said to counter a conclusion drawn from something she's done.
>
> Does nobody around here pay attention to what we tell them?

[FR] "I'm getting married in 14 days, I'm gonna have some fun."

67 upvotes · 10 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > "If my bridesmaids weren't here, I'd totally be going home with you."
>
> That was the moment you could have rescued things. The straight talk translation would be "please put me in a situation where you can fuck me and I have plausible deniability." And you failed that test by offering your number. A number swap and sorting things out later prevents her from hamstering the preferred excuse that "it just happened." and "it meant nothing."
>
> You should have told her if she wanted to have fun to buy shots or something then find an excuse to go outside and she'd follow (need air or some such shit.) That's just off the top of my head, but essentially a reassertion of dominance was needed because when you went down logic road with the line about that didn't stop you making out with me, you triggered the hamster causing her to assert her frame "I'm doing X in 14 days. In meantime I'm going to do Y." Then the bridesmaids bit was a shit test to see if you'd reassert dominance and you did not, you stepped into her frame and played it her way by trying to accommodate for the bullshit. You could also view it as a form of Anti-Slut Defence, but it's essentially dealt with in the same way. I treat the Anti-Slut Defence as just a variation on a standard shit test that asks for helping her hamster along with dominance.
>
> I'd add more assertiveness to your game and a heavier dose of 'I really don't give a shit if I ever see you again because you are just another of many many women in my life.' - I'd assume you kinda feel that way already, but it's not truly oozing from your pores yet and she picked up on that. (Hence the shit test.)

FR: “I hate-fucked a guy”

66 upvotes · 13 August 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> ICYMI: Shitty Women use sex as a weapon... usually because they don't have anything else.

Women Are Forgiving, Men Are Shallow

66 upvotes · 3 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> You thank god??? for being born a shallow man???
>
> What kind of pathetic pile of shit are you? Women are forgiving? What nonsense is this? Women are not remotely forgiving. They're not even deciding based upon what is relevant to the discussion of the topic. They're deciding based upon whether you're fuckable or not.
>
> You appear to have caught some of this stuff but jesus christ your choice of language to convey your points is bloody awful. But this piece of shite:
>
> > Because women are forgiving.
>
> Is categorically fucking false. They won't forgive you. They may stick with you, but that's a whole different ballgame to being forgiven. Bitch sticks with the multi-millionaire cheater because she doesn't want to go work for a living and can't guarantee herself enough income from alimony to satisfy her lifestyle. That's all that's going on there. Nothing more. Nothing internal. It is a cold, calculated choice.
>
> How do I know this? Because if that bitch had any self-respect for how high her SMV was she'd leave him and go

> get herself something better. It's the same advice I give blokes who allow themselves to get abused and traded below their real value by women.
>
> Based on this post, it's looking like I need to return and give some lectures on the basics lol.

Use less words.

66 upvotes · 23 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'd call this an oversimplification.
>
> As a general approach I'd say less words when pushing. When putting the pressure on her. When leaving her to prove herself to you.
>
> More words when you're pulling. When you're asserting your frame over the social interaction. When you're making a dominant move without making that dominant move physically.
>
> Push and pull gents. Balance. Use the right tool for the job.
>
> Don't elaborate on thoughts and esp not feelings. Most chicks can't understand if you elaborate on thoughts and it leaves them feeling uncomfortable and frightened. Whereas elaborating on feelings just makes you look weak and/or insecure. If you want examples of the latter, head on over to the SRSMen sub to see exactly how that turns out. (Warning, serious cringefest!)
>
> In addition, elaboration early on is a bad idea. Mystery is your friend. Do things they don't understand and they'll be so curious to figure out what the hell is going on that they'll chase you anywhere. Stay mysterious and let her come to you.

My preferred pronoun is 'Master'

63 upvotes · 21 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Amusingly, it is technically correct too... to a certain degree. If you are a young, unmarried man, your correct title is Master rather than Mr. It is the male equivalent to Miss vs Mrs which denotes your current social station.
>
> I recall learning about this because the most elderly members of my family, who were "quite proper" Brits, would address post/cards/letters to me as "Master NightwingTRP."
>
> There's not a huge amount of practical value to these things... however, there are some women who will see a strong knowledge of etiquette as an indicator of high social status. You'll just have to hold frame against the bitchy chicks who're pissed off that their lack of etiquette is broadcasting their low social status to the world... and believe me they're angry about it and pretending that it doesn't matter to them.

[FR] How a dumb bimbo almost set my place on fire

63 upvotes · 13 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> 10/10 - best field report I've ever read.

Canada Environment Minister: Climate Change is Sexist

63 upvotes · 16 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Canada has officially become the joke nation of the world.

First "Date" after swallowing TRP. Shit test, after shit test, after shit test.

63 upvotes · 23 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Some shit tests are really good at agitating you. Look at it as her trying to wind you up, but you are the zen master who is merely amused by her girly demonstrations of how stupid, privileged and detached from reality she is.

> When you spot something like this you could try taking a deep breath, purposefully giving her a smirk or cocking an eyebrow... in this silence, the action oozes confidence and demonstrates you're completely comfortable, AND (more importantly) it'll give you time to think of something more fun/cheeky than arrogant/direct.
>
> In terms of seeing her again... it's upto you what you do. Keep abundance in mind... is she worth the effort to you or not? If you wanted to give her another shot but didn't want to worry too much, take her somewhere for like 30 mins and if she continues being too shit testy for your taste, ditch her and say you've got other plans you're moving onto now and you'll catch her later, then ghost her. (Doing that in itself can make your phone blow up... which then gives you further options. But that's a conversation for another day.)

Cassic BP MO – The BP backstabbing

63 upvotes · 15 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> There are two things which made me laugh at this story:
>
> > So not only did this excuse for a man ask for advice he completely ignored, but he also tried to backstab me by taking it and using it as fuel for his original plan(?) to friendzone his way into pussy.
>
> While that was funny, this deserved a salute alongside the laughter:
>
> > because I find her bitchy personality not worthy of my glorious phallus.
>
> But yeah, in all seriousness... be more careful of the thirsty ones. They are basically as treacherous as the average woman while trying to play their useless beta game.

[Mod] If you bitch and moan, I'm a throw you out.

61 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Good stuff.
>
> Rational, level-headed thought was downvoted badly in that thread. None of those you ban will be missed. In the same way that TRP offers help... you can lead the horse to water... can't make them drink.
>
> However you can send the horse packing.

Do Something December

60 upvotes · 9 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It was a joke.
>
> We regularly do "No Nothing November" but this is the first time we've done "Do Something December." Jackhammer January must be right around the corner.... it isn't really.
>
> There's something depressing about explaining jokes when a number of people don't get it. Welcome to the locker room.

Been very red pill with gf, but she isn't lusting over me like she used to or like I lust over her?

59 upvotes · 7 February 2018 · /r/askTRP

> > Been very red pill with gf
>
> Does not compute with
>
> > I have spoken to her about this slow decline in lust.

This is blue pill as fuck. Actions. Not words. Actions.

Now then, let's get a couple of things you're going to find insulting out the way first. You are still blue pill. You have attempted to use red pill principles to achieve blue pill dreams whether consciously or otherwise. You are therefore an idiot and need to accept that you've been an idiot. Which leads me onto my next point that you have attempted to run before you can walk.

Discovered TRP 6 months ago, had a few fucks and thinking you're ready for an LTR when this alone:

> she has been in an abusive relationship

shows that you know nothing of LTR game. You are the equivalent of a teenager who has decided at 145lbs he's going to kick the ass of a 200lb prize fighter. And you are getting the precise result one would predict.

She's caught the beta within you that you can't conceal. Hell, you're listening to her words like they have ANY value at all.

> She insists she needs time and that she WILL start to crave me as much as I do to her given enough time.

What is this faggotry? Actions. Not words. Actions. A woman's word is worthless. Review Briffault's law for clarity.

Ignore the rest of the nonsense being spouted here about just dreading her. The problem here is fundamental and it is with you, not her. You bit off more than you could chew. You didn't understand what you were playing with and you will now suffer the slow decline and associated consequences of the female relationship/dating strategy.

As a general rule I'd say guys should spin plates for at least 12-18 months before considering an LTR and BEFORE even considering an LTR they should be reading the LTR game material. Playing LTR game is not the same as spinning plates. It is harder because you surrendering a major card you used while spinning plates and you also lose the effectiveness of some of your tools. You need to become better at handling the relationship dynamic and this is best assessed by seeing how long you can keep a plate spinning for. If you can't keep her hanging on without commitment for over 6 months then you're not ready. Practice things like enforcing double standards. e.g. she cannot see anyone else, but you can fuck who you like. If you're able to pull off something like that then your game is reaching the sort of level you need along with your SMV being high enough to start that LTR you dream of.

Before you do that, remember you cannot have the LTR of your dreams. She will not love you unconditionally. She will be influenced by all her past experiences, so choose very carefully. (For instance, when picking a plate, her attractiveness tends to be number one priority. When picking an LTR, it's a secondary priority since LTR material is very rare. Men have a bad habit of following their dick when it comes to this and making the plate decision when it comes to LTR choice. Very dumb. If you wish to make that decision, just spin plates.) Finally a recognition that the goal of an LTR is very different. It's not about sex on tap (that should just come with the territory) it's about managing a child who is going to place their practical future and emotional future in your hands so you'd better be ready to lead them to that happiness. You will sure as fuck be blamed when they're not happy. Luckily their happiness tends to be very closely related to yours.

If you've made it this far then you can remember that there's no shame in fucking up. Only if you've got the balls to own it.

Dump this chick now. Go back to spinning plates and work to advance your game to the point where you're spinning women for a substantial amount of time without issue. Then and only then start looking at the LTR material.

The Viability of the Red Pill in the Future - Border Erosion

59 upvotes · 4 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> she then immediately says that she can't help it.

I have had multiple women admit to me that their vagina has more control over them than they have over it. They really can't help themselves and I think many of them know it. The thing is, once you realise that... if you admit it, then you basically confess that your opinions are worthless and anything you say could be driven by a fleeting emotion. So they try to deny it - because otherwise they'd never be taken seriously. (Which they shouldn't be.)

I passed this hilarious of all shit tests last night, god bless TRP (FR)

59 upvotes · 4 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

For the record, that was a comfort test. Not a shit test. There are also more dominant ways to beat them. The confident reassurance route worked nicely for you this time. Well done. Reward well earned.

She acts like a child because she is happy

57 upvotes · 4 April 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

I first observed this about a year and a half ago. After a while, I raised it a few times on TRP that I wondered "do all women eventually devolve into acting like children and doing really stupid childish shit?" and I cited that it'd happened for the past three women I'd been with.

Speaking as of now, it's happened with all women but one. The one it didn't happen with was a complete pain in the arse to manage and only lasted as a plate for a couple of months. I imagine she may have been alpha widowed or perhaps had another alpha giving her an easier time. I didn't bother verifying her situation much since she was never a main plate.

Essentially this is the target. If she's basically acting in the same way that a loving little daughter of 5 would act around her father then you are currently the alpha of her world. While it can be irritating, it's a hell of a lot better than the alternative, so get into it and you'll enjoy it.

Take her out to breakfast the morning after

57 upvotes · 23 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

There is no 100% foolproof way due to modern rape hysteria. However the text is by far and away the best we've got.

Hello, It's Me...

57 upvotes · 7 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Absolutely love your style man. I think one of the things we sometimes undervalue on here is novelty. Taking a chick to a novel place can work wonders. Appearing to be novel in your choice of cheap gift works well too. Anything which separates you from the crowd. Women need to look normal to be attractive, men need to seem abnormal (but in the good way, obviously, for those who are desperate to try and twist that.) We need to stand out from the other men. Whether that's in your style, or your method of contact... novelty is a good thing to experiment with.

Being called by a guy she was interested in is very different to being texted by him. It's in the novelty... and you've got to remember that those bitches hitting the fuck you button or quickly killing the conversation could have their boyfriend in the room, or another chad. Or maybe they were on the rag, or maybe they were feeling angry at the world... whatever, responses like that are mostly because of the chick's feelings at the time.

AskWomen throws a massive bitch-fit after realizing that men have preferences.

57 upvotes · 21 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'll try briefly- basically it's like, for some men, if a woman does not meet the standard of whatever he considers to be 'attractive' it's like she literally does not exist to him, or that she doesn't fit into the category of 'woman'

This is just projection 101. In fact most of it is just projection.

Raising a Glass Tonight to a Fallen Friend.

56 upvotes · 22 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Very sad day for you man. One of the things you realise when you learn about mental health issues like depression and suicide, is that sometimes it's actually logical. I recall a case my mentor dealt with where the woman was contemplating suicide for a variety of reasons. The reason the case was so hard, is because her reasoning was perfectly valid. She had a life that was truly not worth living.

One of the things that society needs to come to terms with is the way that the legal system can be abused and thus turn decent men into slaves. Your friend had become an enforced slave due to the system... we then have to ask ourselves the very real question... if you had to work like crazy to earn money that then goes to someone else, and there's no end in sight to this, it literally looks like the rest of your life is just that all the time, day after day, month after month, year after year. And from all this work, you get to live in a cot in your office. Does suicide start to look like the logical option? It's an awful world for some people. As much as he caused the problems himself... the broken nature of the system is equally to blame. A man who works hard deserves better.

Enjoy your drink.

[FR] Cousin Brought New GF Home For Thanksgiving

56 upvotes · 29 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

You're not the first guy she's been more interested in than your cousin.... **and you won't be the last.** "It's only your turn" is the phrase used to describe these women. They belong to nobody. They are no longer capable of it. Some have accepted this and intend to play that way until their looks go, and others will be left miserable because they don't want to be that way. I can see why you feel for your cousin, because he's completely oblivious to this fact and he's going to get very badly hurt. Unless he likes being a cuck obviously.

For slightly depressing stories, I remember seeing a pic of my ex from years back who I dated ages ago. She was 17/18yrs old when I was seeing her. Wanted to be free and explore herself, the expected break up reasons given to the beta I was. Anyways, the pictures I saw were her early 20s, and I remembered how she used to have the most gorgeous eyes I'd ever seen. So full of love, hope and innocence. Now the new pics I saw... soulless. It's like each man she gives herself to, she also gives them a little of her soul. And little by little, she gives it all away. Never to regain it.

I went to find an old pic to double check, yup, when she was 18 she had a youthful love and hope in her eyes... by early 20s, it's all gone and is what is colloquially known as the thousand cock stare.

This was one of the things I didn't originally believe... but having seen a girl change in such a short space of time... I'm reasonably certain now that this is a thing guys can detect as an adaptation to help us avoid an unreliable mother to our children. Keep an eye out for it. You can read more at the Chateau

Every guy is thirsty so how do we cope the most effectively at making us seem less thirsty?

56 upvotes · 15 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Err... don't be thirsty. Be willing to walk away. It's all about having self-control. Perhaps I will write up a relevant

> field report later this week when I have time.

[FR] Plate's friend got violent with her boyfriend

56 upvotes · 7 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is an excellent point and a good catch. Part of what feminism tries to do is a sort of unionisation of pussy. They try to force the best deal regardless of how talented the worker is. So the laziest, loosest, ugliest pussy can still charge top dollar despite giving inferior service.
>
> Not only does a woman giving up sex so easy lower the price of sex which is bad for women as a whole in terms of their bargaining position. A girl who is an SMV 6 sees a man she would like to have commitment from. She then sees an SMV 7 or 8 giving him sex without commitment... she is literally priced out of the market completely. If other men she desires cotton onto this... it doesn't matter that her SMV is 6 and it's higher than a girl who is a 4, she's still quite literally worthless in the marketplace because everything she wants to buy is priced at 8 or above. This is quite literally a woman's worst fear.

Attention Idiots: The Red Pill is NOT About Self-Improvement. It's Not ABOUT Anything.

55 upvotes · 27 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Faggot followers dislike this realisation. When they come here, they're told to read the sidebar. To go lift. To go internalise my Field Toolkit. They are led to the well of pussy and taught to drink their fill. The problem comes from the fact that in doing this, **they are still followers.**
>
> One of the things which will distinguish an intermediate TRP man from a more advanced one is whether he's learned to lead for himself yet. Is he looking for advice in order to be told what to do? Or is he looking for advice specifically from experts to help inform his own decision? That is the subtle nuance involved in leading your own life.
>
> Is he engaging in the discussion to improve his own mind? Or merely to waste time trying to prove he's right about everything? The former is worthwhile. The latter is the means to the end of the former. The latter without the former is for the stupid.
>
> The tools for all manner of projects are here. There is only one person who can lead your life project. **You.** The sooner you start leading your own project and deciding what tools you need, the better.

Has this TRP stuff gotten you second guessing your every move?

54 upvotes · 5 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Your IDGAF level is too low.
>
> Please reduce the number of fucks given in order to achieve IDGAF lvl 2.0
>
> Let yourself make some mistakes - success is a lousy teacher.

All Men Must Have A Purpose, Even If That Purpose Is Becoming a Mass Murderer

54 upvotes · 7 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The world loves to label people "insane" and condemn them. This is because most people are too retarded to realise that when analysing something bad, you need to understand it in order to prevent it in the future. But no, let's go with the wastebasket diagnosis of "insanity" which is a term that has no real value.
>
> I've said for years amongst friends that many of these bad things aren't actually insane decisions. They're logical and rational conclusions to what position society has put them in. I'm pretty sure that we're causing some of the extremism and radicals going off to join ISIS from the UK. When you take away a person's chance at being able to build a decent life... a romanticised fight for God against infidels who are smearing the planet with their

> existence tends to look much more appealing than working a dead end job and living in poverty.
>
> Much like this, the beta loner who's done everything already and is receiving no rewards or incentives to continue... what else is there worth doing? A bit of vengeance and going out in a hail of violence is a significantly more romantic vision than sitting at home wanking to porn, eating pizza and watching Netflix. If you can't achieve anything worthwhile... might aswell satisfy your emotions - even if that emotion is just jealousy and hate. You'll finally have a smile on your face... if briefly. It still worries me that these things can be explained rationally. Whether I'm right or JP is right is inconsequential really... it's the fact that these explanations even exist that shows the failings of the modern world.
>
> Just set the benefits to outweigh the negatives enough and anything becomes rational. This includes all crimes.

How I Manage My LTR

53 upvotes · 7 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> The fuck is wrong with you and everyone who upvoted you? Women lie. There is no surefire way to ensure she does not tell you lies. Blind trust is for fools who are unaware of awalt. Trust but verify has been a mantra on here for LTRs since the start.
>
> All of you who agreed with this are a very long way from Red Pill. TRP is always about embracing reality, no matter how unpleasant that reality may be. Go re-read Rational Male on this topic of women who appear to be good. Your trusting nature will get you burned badly and you will deserve it. Anyone here with any sense will be there when it happens with a lemon to squeeze onto that burn and tell you "say that you deserve this pain." Because there is no other way men like you will learn the more unpleasant of truths without getting hurt first.

You are better then every girl

52 upvotes · 13 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Such women deserve no respect. Why would you respect someone who throws themselves at you when you mock them? Since they're not worthy of respect... why would you be anything else but her superior? It's so natural.

Be careful of being too Alpha, Comfort Tests are far more lethal than Shit-Tests

51 upvotes · 20 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Plate management isn't a topic we deal with very often. However I do think it should be discussed more as a precursor to whether or not you're ready for an LTR. If your plates are smashing every month and you're fine with that... great. If your plates are smashing every month and you're looking for an LTR, you've got a whole bunch of work to do.
>
> A simple way to look at this problem is just see whether her problem is reasonable or not. If it's reasonable then it's probably a comfort test, after all, shit tests are not reasonable or realistic. They're just nonsense that breaks the rapport to see how you respond. They should be treated as the nonsense they are. Comfort tests tend to be looking for something from you. This is a very clear difference that you'll likely be able to pick up on instinctively.
>
> Remember, taking on an LTR is similar to adopting a child. You've just made yourself responsible for all the feelings and behaviours of the chick you're dating. She expects you to lead her and make her happy. This workload is the downside to an LTR. But if you get good enough at it, then it's less of a workload and she will consistently put in effort too that will reward you. For shit/comfort tests in general: dismiss/mock her nonsense and solve her problems. That's what you've signed up for long-term.

The Light-Switch Effect - Why Women Re-Write the History of Relationships.

51 upvotes · 28 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> A flawless piece. Typically the light-switch effect is dismissed by bloopers using NAWALT. "It isn't women in general, you were just dealing with a crazy bitch." The thing is, that argument has a veneer of credibility about it because the rational man looks at the situation and can see the behaviour of the woman **is** crazy. Don't fall into that trap, I would venture that most of us here have seen this in action during our BP days. Once we've seen it enough times... it's highly unlikely that all of us just so happen to have dated the collection of insane women out there while also not dating the sane ones. In my opinion this little quirk of women makes a solid case to just assume all women are born pathological liars as a result of the propensity to define reality by emotion.

The tables have turned. DC police are looking for 2 women who sexually harassed a man.

51 upvotes · 10 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> If you look to the comments section, you'll see a thirsty beta being upvoted for wishing women would do that to him and he wouldn't ask them to leave. It's these thirsty idiots who play off the double standards as simply "not really an issue."

This is not a political sub. But this is the Red-pill view of politics. This is what the SJW's have done and it's simply fucking realism. What can we learn from it?

50 upvotes · 12 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> What can we learn from the mistakes of the liberals?

You could start by not calling them liberals since they are fundamentally illiberal authoritarians who like to moral police society. This is part of their strategy that we must reject. Unfortunately the conservatives rather like it because it splits people into "liberal" (misused) and "conservative." The actual conservatives like having their numbers swelled so they're happy to associate liberalism with the horseshit SJW nonsense. It's a bit like the feminists going "oh you don't believe in discrimination? That makes you a feminist."

On TRP we are in the business of dealing with reality. I've recently hypothesised that much of the shift of the Overton window is down to the misuse of language. The SJWs have managed to corrupt language for their own agenda. Much like holding frame with women, we should demand that the frame of interaction is reality. And as with holding frame around a woman... if she won't accept your frame... you walk away. The election of Trump has demonstrated that there's enough people fed up with this fake reality. Even with all those reluctant Clinton voters, she still lost and you have to remember that those reluctant voters are also fed up SJW bullshit too. Politely correct people back to reality and many will say something along the lines of "oh, right. I didn't know that. Thanks."

With regards to:

> I feel like TRP has become a circle jerk with identical posts (and blatant reposts) being offered on a daily basis.

There is only so much you can learn from TRP. You should be able to learn it all and implement much of it within 2 years. If your fashion sense is solid, your fitness is good, career is on track and your game is strong then your sexual strategy should be fine. There are obviously specifics from there depending on whether you want to go down the LTR route or continue spinning plates. However at that point you're either using TRP to educate others or you're using it to keep yourself reminded of the basics to ensure you don't slip back into becoming a beta.

It's Hip to be Square.

50 upvotes · 19 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You need to conform to the in-crowd if you want that pussy.

No you don't.

> And the Chads aren't bad people. The Chads are actually some pretty swell guys, they just aren't beta. Everyone hates the Jocks until you get in on the Jock group and become a Jock; then you realise the Jocks are cool people.

Did I accidentally wander into a high school film? All groups have decent people and asshats in them. Nerdy losers, preppy lots, jocks, the wannabes, the prefects, fuck whatever. You'll find cool people in all groups. What you've just described is pure chick-think, ordering people by their social status rather than who they are as individuals.

Look, it's great you've got some status now and all, but seriously, don't pretend that higher status guys are any nicer or nastier than any other clique. If I remember my Kipling well: "If you can walk with kings, nor lose the common touch." Think about it.

> Dressing for your age is important, don't look up fashions online, look at what the people who are "cool" and getting pussy around you are wearing.

Sure, but I still recommend peacocking in some way. Other than those crits, good post.

Carousel rider lies to her husband about her history, gets busted after marriage

50 upvotes · 3 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Someone should contact the husband to see if he lives in that "rape by deception" state so he retroactively withdraw consent XD After all, her lies were what got him into bed.

I Thought Girls Were Supposed To Be Good With Hints

49 upvotes · 29 August 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> There is a point at which you switch from womanese to straight talk if she doesn't take the hint. You have clearly not learned this lesson yet, which is fine, but your real sin is this whiny sounding post. The shit you are suffering is your fault. You didn't go looking for a solution and you instead came here to whine at us.
>
> You have even failed to grasp the nuance of the "gauge how you're doing" advice. The SMV of women you are attracting. Shit breaking down, plates smashing is all irrelevant. It is about the start, the opening, what level of woman is interested to know more?
>
> Next topic for you to look up, learning to lead. You may have raised your SMV, but you are still behaving like a beta male. Ignore these dumb bitches, and if they show up at your house, be straight and unimpressed with them. They have failed to grasp the social indicators which demonstrates their low value. Don't be afraid to put dumb bitches like that down. They wouldn't have the slightest hesitation in doing the same to you if you'd committed similar offence.

The Symbolic Death of Femininity

48 upvotes · 22 March 2023 · /r/TheRedPill

> Overthinking without purpose.

[FR] How my LTR downgraded herself to a FWB

48 upvotes · 11 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Lessons learned:

- Don't have discussions via text message.
- LTRs get one chance. If they do a nuclear shit test, they're gone. Anything else is anti-abundance mentality and rewarding a woman for poor behaviour. There are not three chances. This is not baseball.
- Learn to use silence.
- Listen to your own lessons - you drop her as LTR because she's a crazy chick, and now you're going to plate her despite knowing she's crazy. Did the memo about not sticking your dick in crazy pass you by? Why not send her a text in all caps saying "please make a rape allegation against me."
- Women make lousy friends. Beyond social proof in public, she has little purpose now.
- Make sure you have options. When a plate smashes, you ideally want to have something else you've worked on a little that you can up your game on to get spinning as a replacement.
- Don't justify yourself to women. That implies that her nonsense is valid - it is not.
- Learn to command. Women require leadership otherwise they will follow their fee-fees over the edge of a cliff. It is your job to tell/order/instruct her to stick to the road rather than heading for the cliff. If she has feelings for you, she will submit and obey. The command respect response to a shit test is heavy handed dominance and somewhat ignores the womanese subtextual talk, but it's also extremely effective once she's invested in you.

That's all I can think of off the top of my head.

The Washington Post - "We should stop putting women in jail. For anything."

48 upvotes · 11 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> in Britain, there is a growing movement, sponsored by a peer in the House of Lords, to do just that.

If you click through to the article on the British peer, you'll see it's from 2007. We've had 2 general elections since then. The idea died shortly after the silly old tosser brought it up.

What Women Will Do

47 upvotes · 10 September 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

The craziness of a the stories on TRP is more a reflection of how off the rails the guy is. As you read through this you get a clear picture of a man who has raised his SMV and is having lots of fun, but is still searching for himself. Doesn't entirely know what he's doing but he's certainly enjoying it. You should always bear in mind that the higher your SMV, the less game you need and the more you'll observe women going out of their way to make things happen in one way or another.

The main downside to this sort of thing is your increased risk of STDs.

Counterfeit Red Pills

47 upvotes · 7 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

What's more is there are multiple solutions to these problems... which makes the MGTOWs and angry, whiny, bitchy little boys even more laughable. However I, nor anyone else, can prevent the stupid being stupid. (As I recall there's a genetic factor involved so they were genuinely born that way and no amount of explanation or encouragement is going to change the fact they weren't born with enough of the basics required to make the journey.) So ultimately the only reason we talk about this and tell people that we don't hold responsibility for their success or failure... is because they need to learn that they too hold no responsibility for this. Beyond that,

> the topic is moot.

Regarding recent influx of beta posts.

47 upvotes · 31 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I think mods should strictly filter bluepill content. It's a sin to lead the bluepill beginner males in the wrong direction.
>
> They already do this. However there's a fine line to prevent TRP becoming a complete echo chamber that is devoid of any ability to evolve. It is the very open nature of debate and discussion here which has had the sub evolve and grow, allowing guys here across the board to grow as men too. As a result the rules are broad and while blatant blue pill thoughts will be deleted, something which isn't red pill can still get through. One of the biggest problems we have here is the lack of nuance some guys show for the difference between what is alpha and what is red pill alpha (and to a lesser extent the exploration of a red pill sigma.) Unfortunately I don't see a better solution, pragmatically speaking, than what they do now.

Innocent men now can be ordered to inform police of sex they have 24 hours before it happens or face 5 years in jail (UK)

47 upvotes · 24 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> His address, wife's number and the number of another woman (who'll be at the same address naturally.)

Attractive 45 yo female posts that she thinks men are feminized, shit storm ensues

47 upvotes · 16 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> These comments appear to have disappeared from the thread now. But just to give you a proper taste of the sort of people who have been passing judgement on these comments... let's look at one comment which struck me:
>
> > [–]**robert_paulson** -47 points 17 hours ago
> >
> > I kinda see where you're coming from. As a guy I too feel like certain gender roles in relationships have reversed. Call me old fashion but I've often times felt like I was born in the wrong era. Looking back on history, having trouble not seeing a better fit in say the '50s or so. But alas, it is what it is. As Hank moody said, "I'm just an analogue guy in a digital world."
>
> Re-read that comment and realise that it's merely a lament about his own personality and preferences being more suited to a different era. He doesn't say we need to go back to the 50s, just that he would probably have enjoyed life more there. It's a very personal comment rather than a societal comment. He doesn't suggest women need to get back in the kitchen, he doesn't suggest women don't deserve the vote and he doesn't say women should have their rights taken away.
>
> Yet almost 50 people read it and needed to downvote it. Let that sink in for a moment on just how far the thought policing has gone... that people will act as a group to downvote a comment simply because it mentions the 50s in a slightly positive light or declaring himself "old fashioned."
>
> Yeah... it's such a crime to hold "old fashioned" opinions. /s

FR: The Wall is Unforgiving

47 upvotes · 23 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> As a standard response to any woman bitching to me about wanting to settle down, husband, kids etc etc I always say (in a semi-serious fashion) "leaving it a little late aren't you?" Add a smirk or smile depending on your own

> game style. I've done this with a disinterested look elsewhere if the chick is very hot.
>
> While this one can backfire if you misuse the tone or don't have a high enough SMV, it's perfect for forcing her to begin trying to sell herself to you. Instantly resets the interaction. Hope that will help some guys in future. (If it does backfire then it'll be an excellent test of your stoic aura and frame. How to deal with bratty little girls 101.)
>
> Nicely handled OP. No better way to run it. Enjoy your time with Waitress.

The Guardian: Swallowing the Red Pill: a journey to the heart of modern misogyny

46 upvotes · 14 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> He suggests that rather than being angry we can't find "love" we should try finding "love."

Hahahaha.

Oh thank you Stevie-boi. We've just not been trying hard enough (I literally can't stop laughing while I'm typing this.)

Though I do have a genuine question regarding this:

> Locker-room talk goes like this: you say to your friend, my God, did you see the tits on that yoga instructor, and your friend says, it hurts you, doesn't it, and you say it does, it does, and he says you know I've sucked tits like that before, and you say yeah right and he says really and you say who and he says in Brazil and you say of course it would be an unverifiable claim, and he shrugs and you laugh and he laughs.
>
> The quantity of locker-room talk is inversely proportional to familiarity with women. So, as you fall in love, maybe even get married, it no longer becomes feasible to talk with friends about women's bodies in such specific detail because, say, your friend works for your wife, and you don't want him thinking about her cleavage when she's firing him.
>
> But very quickly – mid-30s, really – a new locker-room talk emerges. The new locker-room talk goes like this: you ask your friend what summer programming do you have your daughters in, and your friend says I'm trying to find something with science in it, and you say, yeah, you gotta fight those cultural assumptions about girls and STEM, and he says totally, and you say I'm just trying to do little things like nature walks and trips to the science center, and he says we should go together some time, and you say totally.

What is this pure triple-distilled faggotry?

Also, in case you're interested, he tells us why he loves the taste of them blue pills:

> It is exactly this capacity for contradiction that the boys of The Red Pill lack so utterly.

Cognitive dissonance lads! Become more feminine. Reject logic, accept that nothing will ever make sense (despite the fact that modern science and stats have shown us we can make sense of things which don't) and just follow that narrative.

> What the boys of The Red Pill need, in all honesty, is a massive dose of Romantic poetry. They need a dedicated course of treatment in the novels of Jane Austen and Dostoevsky, combined with significant therapy in negative capability.

Have you ever read any Austen or Dostoevsky, Stephen? I have. Still here.

> They need to read Freud, who wrote that every man wants to murder his father and sleep with his mother

> and that the only way to be civilized is to recognize that everyone is barbaric way down deep inside.

Have you read Freud, Stephen? Again, I have. I ended up here.

> Instead of culture, the world offers the boys of The Red Pill contempt. Instead of education, outrage.

Your suppositions and speculation are nothing but a load of words designed to act as pseudo-intellectual propaganda. i.e. "Look how well read I am. If only they'd read this stuff too then they'd be much better off." The epitome of poor argumentation, ya know why, Stephen? It's based upon the assumption that YOU turned out correctly. Something we fundamentally disagree on and precisely why you're a moron. Take care Stephen. Don't come back.

[Slight FR] My oh my, women have a skewed perception on "average".

46 upvotes · 9 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I think you've misinterpreted. He gives the tingles and the reason the chicks are so interested in the BMW and how much land his parents own is because they're wondering about future security (since they've already established the genes and status.) i.e. does this guy have the bux too? Or should I just get alpha cock and keep searching for a better providing tool at the same time?

The natural entitlement of bitches also demonstrates a limitless greed. Like that chick who got a billion dollar divorce settlement and complained it wasn't enough. It's why so many on here find that plates will try to lock them down into a committed relationship while still out there trying to get a better deal and branch swing up. The greed is limitless.

"My Girlfriend just discovered what Cuckolding is"

45 upvotes · 16 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> To say that such a thing is a potential deal-breaker is an understatement.

It's instant-hard next for a chick to even watch that shit, let alone mention it. There is nothing to be discussed here, the fact she's even entertained the idea out of curiosity demonstrates she is not LTR material and I'd even go so far as to say this is the biggest red flag imaginable from a chick regarding her long term relationship suitability.

There's nothing to even talk about with her. The moment she's said it, you get up, leave and hard-next-ghost her. I can't even think of a rationale for why my method here would even be up for debate from a RP perspective. To stay any longer is to provide her with the idea that you might hang around while she tries to implement something like this.

If she's smart, Watch Your Six

45 upvotes · 4 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Dread doesn't work on her... massive red flag. Dread works on the basis that a woman doesn't want to lose you... if it doesn't work, it's because she doesn't really care. I think you've found a well practiced "Good girl." At an estimate I'd say she's got you pegged as a backup maybe? Careful not to catch the feels or you're gonna hate yourself when it's all over.

Flashback Post #1: She doesn't love you by SoftHarem

44 upvotes · 26 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

As an addendum to this post, I recently stumbled across a video by Turd Flinging Monkey on youtube which

> addressed this exact same thing.
>
> A woman posted a confirmation that she'd noticed her own nature and realised she can never love a man unconditionally.
>
> No matter what she says about how much she loves you, add "for the moment" onto the end of it. Because it is not forever. It's all conditional.

Stop lying to yourself and living in denial, you want that validation that comes from being a high SMV man.

44 upvotes · 21 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Chad Talks (might actually use this as the title of my threads from now on, kinda like a red pill Ted Talks) -
>
> Oh? I should pay attention.
>
> > You want to hang out with cool high value guys, not talking neckbeard philosophers that have society figured out but watch porn because girls don't want them, I mean real high value guys that people respect and girls want.
>
> Oh wait, no I shouldn't. It's another idiot.

[FR] Back to Basics - Body Language

44 upvotes · 24 November 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> I think more FRs like this should be posted. It almost goes without saying that improving your inner game will improve your outer game... yet we never seem to see it put into practice with that specific goal. At the end of the day, women are a nice distraction but you need to focus on yourself first. The odd party like this is probably worth five failed party attempts to improve your outer game.
>
> Rock on brother!

You guys are right and it's annoying

43 upvotes · 15 June 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> Trying to use red pill methods to achieve blue pill dreams does not work. You still need to internalise the reality of what women are after and how little control they have over their own feelings/attraction.

Posting, and What Not to Do

43 upvotes · 12 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Spot on. Our education system has not been fit for purpose for a long time. The exam systems also don't test whether people understand the material or not.

Moved to a big city, want to leave LTR to chase tail

42 upvotes · 30 November 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I feel like I'm raising a little kid when we're together
>
> This is standard for an LTR.

Massive censorship on reddit of discussion relating to migrant gang rapes with clear implications for red pill.

42 upvotes · 12 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's worse than that mate. The UK already has problems trying to build cases against anyone who doesn't fit the narrative. See the Rotherham scandal. I also recall in a documentary that the police are not able to do their job due to PC laws. For example, an organised Romanian crime syndicate is operating in an area amongst some innocent Romanian immigrants. The police would like to target resources at that area to focus on the Romanian gang... but no. That's racial profiling, so you can't do it.
>
> I wonder how long it will take for white women to realise that on the oppression scale they appear to rank less important than coloured people. Therefore they can be raped with impunity until this sort of thing changes. (As long as it's not by a white man obvs.)
>
> The whole thing is horseshit and from what I've read about Sweden's police covering things up too... it looks like the Swede's police force have their hands tied too. Only going to get worse.

Surprising discussion of shit testing on Advice Animals

42 upvotes · 23 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is a body positive campaign I could get behind.

Vanity Fair's "Tinder and The Dawn of the Dating Apocalypse" is chock-full of RP truths

42 upvotes · 7 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Turn yourself into the gatekeeper of both commitment and sex. One of my plates openly stated a few weeks ago how she was surprised I hadn't booty called her yet... and that she'd be totally ok with that if I just called her up late at night and told her to come over to mine. I laughed at her, told her that'd be fun and I'd keep it in mind. Then told her goodnight. I gotta be up in the morning. Got things to do.
>
> Why'd I basically laugh at plate serving herself up on a plate? It's not about the sex anymore... it's about where it fits into my life. Everything must be convenient to me.
>
> Denying a chick sex because it's not convenient for you is probably one of the most alpha moves you can pull. I swear, she wants you infinitely more. I am consciously very aware now that I am the gatekeeper of both commitment and sex with this plate.
>
> I would even go so far as to say that we should be aiming to move plate-style-relationships from her giving up the sex regularly in hope of commitment to her no longer being in control of giving up the sex and simply being around you in a stupid hail mary long shot of getting commitment. The more control you have over the interactions, the more dominant (and thus attractive) you are... and the better you are able to manage your life.

AFBB: Rationalizing eugenics with 'patriarchy-smashing', but of course beta husband can't know

42 upvotes · 3 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> "I'm not a bad person honestly."
>
> I almost fell off my seat laughing. Gentlemen, I present to you: a pathological liar.

Its It just me or are girls increasingly turning to Onlyfans and the like?

41 upvotes · 1 June 2021 · /r/askTRP

> > Now, to be fair. I blame the guys who enable more than the women.
>
> Honestly I'd say it's the dysfunctional dating market more than anything else. Many men have urges beyond getting their dicks wet. Thanks to the discouragement of men having strong relationships with their male peers

(from women invading everything and then setting the standards of maleness by how many women are talking to you) you've got a whole generation of men looking to women for their emotional needs. They're looking for relationships to fulfil that and many of these onlyfans chicks purposefully cater to that creating the "girlfriend" fantasy for these guys to buy into. All you have to do is pay a little of your paycheque each month, just like you would with a real girlfriend, and you can have a little fantasy girlfriend who's probably way out of your league.

Is it their fault they're pathetic? Yes and no. Just like any man who comes here, it is entirely our fault we were beta... but it was also because we were misled by society. Take full responsibility for practical reasons, but when talking about the theoretical we'd best give them their due.

Typical THOT encounter

41 upvotes · 27 April 2021 · /r/TheRedPill

> I asked her if there was anything I can do to make her feel better.

This was a beta move bro. Offering emotional support or trying to nice your way into a chicks pants makes them dry up. Avoid at all costs. You are having fun and she is welcome to join you - keep it roughly to this and you won't experience this problem anymore.

She hit you up for money because you told her between the lines that you are a beta provider. Avoid sending that message by mistake in future and this will happen less.

This is the most Horrific Dead Bedrooms story, I read it a month ago, amazed it hasn't been posted here.

41 upvotes · 17 December 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Single moms don't get to be promoted past plate status, period.

Guys on here are too eager to promote plates. It is a very serious problem when you read some of the brainless stuff on AskTRP. 99% of the "girlfriend" problems are because they have promoted non-girlfriend/partner material beyond its natural place as a plate.

Woman on AskMen isn't attracted to her loving/doting beta provider husband, and is planning to kick his ass to the curb. Happy Thanksgiving.

41 upvotes · 24 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> "was never attracted"

The light switch. "I am not attracted now, therefore I was never attracted at all."

This is why everything a woman says is utterly worthless. There is no consistency and her words are worth less than the air into which they are uttered. Watch her behaviour instead.

Single Swedish women will be able to get inseminated with state-funds starting April 1st

41 upvotes · 3 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Interesting side thought... at what point did having a child become a right rather than a privilege? I've never understood these people who look upon people having children in this way. To me it was and always will be a privilege that comes significant responsibility to raise the child well. However modern society seems to believe every woman has a right to have children... with no further thought beyond that. No wonder western civs are imprisoning more criminals than ever before... so many, that we need to downgrade crimes and give less prison

> time because we simply don't have the space to incarcerate all these people.
>
> I sometimes think I'm the only person who notices these correlations.
>
> Also, we already know how Sweden appears on the world stage... restating that they appear the most cucked nation on the planet is contributing nothing to discussions here, nor does it address the issue brought up by this member. Think before you type.

Jennifer Lawrence explains her fee-fees

41 upvotes · 6 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Men can indeed crush pussy without learning womanese. I would say in response to this that you have fewer tools in the box than me, and I am therefore likely to be better able to address more situations in my life. Try to think nuanced rather than black and white. When we say "don't listen to what women say" we don't literally mean that. You'd end up with conversations where you sound mentally unhinged.
>
> Edit: I should also point out that a dismissive attitude towards things which give you further chance to improve is not red pill. **Constant** improvement is the burden of man.

Woman admits to being a sexual terrorist towards her husband.

41 upvotes · 15 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's that horrifying moment when you can't tell if something is serious or somebody trolling.

FR: She cheats because she is bored.

41 upvotes · 9 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Too bad kids have to be involved. As the saying goes, when a man steals your wife, there is no better revenge than to let him keep her.
>
> So true. And it's such a sad state of affairs that these women risk the upbringing and emotional stability of their children simply because they're bored. So pathetic.

[FR] Go ahead, AMOG. IDGAF

41 upvotes · 28 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Good FR. While you're not her first choice, you've kept yourself as an option in her eyes for whenever mcalpha natural isn't giving her the attention she wants. Good plate material. Hold frame well enough over time and you'll overtake mcalpha in SMV. The most useful takeaway for you now is to remember that **the reason you don't need to care is that this gives you proof that you're sexually visible to most women now.** (Abundance is yours for real. It's no longer fake it until you make it.) Congrats.

Someone discuss this with me...

40 upvotes · 5 February 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> Depression stage. You're seeing the women you meet for who they are, and many are not impressive or admirable people. Keep going and you may bump into one or two who are. They are people and they are who they are as shaped by their biology and their experiences with society. You'll reach acceptance soon enough. If you wish to speak privately then I'm open to a discussion if you need it.

You guys suck at Tinder.

40 upvotes · 2 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> All those tinder basics summed up nicely. Much of this also applies to online dating in general. See OmLaLa's

> guide as the gold standard for that.

Women have sex on the first date all the time - they just don't want you to believe so (Video Source)

40 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> There's a reply by a woman at about 0:47 who responds to the question "why not?" with "because it would be way too easy, there'd be nothing left to figure out."
>
> This chick has it instinctively figured out. She can't appear like her commodity of sex is cheap. (She knows her holes are the big commodity she brings to the table.) Because that means she is too easy, cheap, low RMV. She wants guys who "just get it" but she doesn't want one who gets it straight away because that guy has all the power and she has none. Feminism has told her she needs power in a relationship (that's all feminism is, a grab for power) and she is blindly trying to pursue a relationship in that happy medium area. I'll still wager a large amount that Chad will get her into bed on the first night.

BBC releases incredibly sexist "documentary" because GIRL POWER!! XD (Girls can code)

40 upvotes · 16 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I saw a minute or two of this... it's the most retarded show I've seen in a long time. It demonstrated nothing of value to me.
>
> However I did get to watch some classic-level hamstering from these chicks trying to protect their own self image. (Which was indeed the reason I went "this is retarded" and turned it off.)
>
> Also, as a side note, I don't think the link is likely to work for our cousins across the pond. I don't think the BBC iPlayer is available there unless they use a UK-based proxy.

Women beg to stop the "slow fade", however have no problem doing it themselves

39 upvotes · 9 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Thus watch what they do, not what they say. Words are merely tools to fulfil their emotional desires. Don't pretend that words for women are like words for men, where men use words to communicate ideas, thoughts, intentions and instructions.

Jennifer Lawrence explains her fee-fees

39 upvotes · 6 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Good.
>
> Points the post gives besides AWALT:
>
> - Women always date up, regardless of where they sit in the sexual market.
> - Predictions of TRP can be made based upon basic knowledge of a woman.
> - A woman's SMV is perceived, not objectively set.
> - Attraction is non-negotiable.
> - Status trumps all.
>
> None of it is advanced stuff. It's not aimed at advanced members, though it never hurts to reinforce the basics. Come back when I write my more nuanced pieces, you'll get more from them.

Remember that "Elite Tinder App"? Here's the latest hamster from the creator

39 upvotes · 21 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This app is going to be a joy for alpha men... since the women are going to outnumber them ten to one, they'll

> have all the power and can happily pump and dump 4 or 5 times per week.

Got more likes on Gay side of tinder in a day than i Did in a year

38 upvotes · 29 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> No. A shit test is a direct or indirect challenge to your dominance.

Women and Their Animals

38 upvotes · 24 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > she will fuck you here and there,
>
> She does not fuck you. You fuck her. That is the way of things.

On Freedom of Speech

37 upvotes · 22 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> On the upside the response is fucking hilarious. Excuse me while I go get my funny-walk-boots on.

The Viability of the Red Pill in the Future - Border Erosion

37 upvotes · 4 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > The dangling of blue-pill candy is a known persuasion technique in manipulation circles. You start off by presenting safe, agreeable statements and building trust, then slowly walk the individual to the place you want to take them. You play to people's instinctive biases. Play on people's inherent desires for fairness and equality, and to be seen as socially upstanding.
>
> This is exactly how political correctness went from "you shouldn't call black people niggers" to the modern nonsense of "you can't be racist against whites," and "don't call them coloured people, that's racist!" This shit doesn't happen overnight, it's happened over many years. Little by little, with seemingly good intentions, the overton window is shifted. Little by little.
>
> Bloopers have a different set of base assumptions to me. Theirs is mostly based on the idea that words hurt. Mine are based on the idea that words only hurt if they reveal some kind of inner truth about the person... otherwise those words are irrelevant.

Things like hypergamy and women not dating down continue to be picked up by mainstream newspapers (Telegraph)

37 upvotes · 10 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > The feminist rhetoric about beauty standards for women is such unbelievably transparent projection.
>
> It's not projection, it's the rationalisation hamster trying to explain why they're not getting the quality of man they believe they are entitled to - "because men's standards are too high, they have unrealistic standards of female beauty... which I don't reach and never could reach because I don't have the genes." Conveniently skipping out the connecting logic that tells them they were born without having relationship market access to that level of man. They only ever had pump and dump status there. Once again, the standard problem of a woman thinking "if he wants to fuck me, then this is the level of man who would want to date me."
>
> Because it's just a rationalisation, the standard double-think comes into play and that's why it would appear to be projection.

The most important thing to remember is that as a man your entire life is determined by your value

36 upvotes · 11 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Ahhh, it's been a while since I've seen someone advocating the idea of judging men and their entire existence by their SMV. I wondered when this would come up again. I see the feminine point of view is creeping back into the sub.
>
> You forgot the nuance: your bear this feminine judgement in mind for practical purposes. Beyond that, you must rise above it. After all:
>
> > Remember the general rule that no one cares about the plight of men, especially low value men.
> >
> > NO ONE CARES ABOUT THE PLIGHT OF LOW VALUE MEN.
>
> We here at TRP do. Otherwise we wouldn't be teaching betas to make something of themselves. A note of caution: Do not become the arrogant bully beta who has simply raised his SMV.

Oh, The Feminist Jimmies This Will Rustle

36 upvotes · 22 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Milo is not targeting them. He couldn't give a shit what retards think. He's too busy picking fluff off his blazer, darling. If anything, said retards only strengthen his position with rational, intelligent people.
>
> He wants discussion and he'll get discussion when the idiots respond with that sort of nonsense. Then when he exposes the nonsense, he gets new allies in the form of more rational, intelligent people. This is how his following grows. HE WANTS SJWs TO REACT AND DISMISS HIM WITH THEIR RETARD LINES.
>
> This is why he's winning. He is the voice for a voiceless majority and every time they try to smack him down he just gets more popular as a result. As I alluded to before... people may be keeping their heads down as a result of political correctness, but it doesn't mean they're not pissed off. Milo feeds from that.

[FR].. What the fuck just happened? Is there such a thing as being too RP?

36 upvotes · 17 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Timing is everything. Once there's time between things, the emotions disappear and you'll have to put in the work all over again. (Plus, bitches trying to be logical after they've let their emotions run wild... oh the fun.)

Why her body count matters

35 upvotes · 1 December 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Would this be true for a guy? Like as a guy, should I then indulge in casual sex or have sex in relationships (before marriage)? A woman has a high body count because other men fucked her and thus, it is indirectly our responsibility as men.
>
> You are not responsible for the actions of women, nor is one group responsible for another. We are talking about individuals.
>
> You must also bear in mind that women and men are not comparable. We are playing two different dating games, with different goals, different rules and distinctly different styles of play with different strengths and weaknesses. You are committing the cardinal sin of red pill logic here that is encouraged by the equality movement - that men and women are the same, we are not. This is not to say there shouldn't be things like equality before the law (there should, and there isn't, look to the stats to see how women fair much better in both family courts and criminal proceedings just for being born women) but it is to say that in the realms of considering sexual partners

and relationship partners, things like being the gatekeeper of sex or the gatekeeper of commitment will influence how you evaluate the way the individual has played the game.

Sluts of both types are generally considered low value by the opposite sex. A woman who gives sex too easily to too many men is considered low value and typically used for sex. A man who is willing to donate his time, resources and commitment too easily will usually end up being exploited and abused by women, none of whom ever respect him. These are things which play out over and over again and have not changed over the decades despite the lies spread by people who wish reality didn't function how it does.

Don't use prostitutes unless you must. It is important to learn the skills to seduce, lead and sexually gratify women as these are important skill sets if you desire either regular sexual partners (plates to spin) or a healthy long term relationship. Without these skills, relationships become very hard as she will unconsciously test your frame, leadership and continuously evaluate you against what she considers her other mating options.

Any advice for this one?

35 upvotes · 27 January 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> How do I get this girl to open up to me? How do I get this girl's heart?

Have you even read the sidebar? Do you even grasp where you're posting this?

How do you treat guys who are mate guarding?

35 upvotes · 6 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> hey, I really like Suzan, back off.

Did you get permission from the feminine imperative first?

More to the point, this whole thing is muddled and confused. I'm not even sure you're using language correctly. Mate guarding is referring to a guy or girl who is dating someone. Why are you threatening someone about how you like his gf?

> We're on someone's patio. The girl is beside me and the dude is across the from me. We're basically all in a group conversation and I'm trying to explain some band I saw recently to them just break the ice. The girl im interested in is super into and helping me but the guy across from me asks if I'm watching the tv behind me, which I turned on to show a clip earlier and he's like yeah y'all can continue yalls little conversation. And steps around me for the remote. I cringe really hard and am trying to fight my urge to knock this dude

What is even going on here? You have a tv outside the house? You're incapable of handing him the remote and demand he gets up nice and close so you can smell his scent? You're in a confined area and complaining that when he wants to get something from said confined area he has to go near you?

> he basically stepped over a boundary. I take it as an offense but I keep it to myself.

I'm still struggling to see the offence. The whole thing reads like a group with poor social skills. Hell, look at the answers here and the multiple interpretations. One guy thinks you're butthurt and intimidated by him and another thinks you were absolutely sealing the deal with the chick. I'm very doubtful of the latter and I'm unsure why the former would apply. Perhaps some better explanation would sort this one out.

Disappointment in the Lack of Critical Thinking

35 upvotes · 30 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> I sense Peterson never really gave this some thought. When caught out on the gay marriage cake question, he changed his view because he'd not really thought about it properly.
>
> Some of his individualism is cool. Some of it is quite obviously fulfilling the feminine imperative and making men assist them in their selection process. The old "be yourself" being the absolute epitome of this style of advice. The funniest thing I see is how "man up" is used in the feminine style by Peterson, but we use it here sometimes. Here it is in the masculine. Man up does not mean "suck it up and help her" it means "suck it up and sort yourself out." Something I know Peterson does support. It's what he's trying to achieve, starting with all that room cleaning. I wonder if he's ever noticed the difference.

Berkeley feminazi writes hate article on white boys; is utterly demolished in the comments

35 upvotes · 1 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> As with all feminists, she is merely running whatever narrative version is needed to optimise her own hedonism.

[FR] The Ultimate Response to a LJBF rejection

35 upvotes · 5 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Nah. Sleep with her hotter best friend. That's the ultimate response.

The "She's trying to put me on a leash" thread

35 upvotes · 8 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Look, essentially this entire thing comes down to you in my view. Not her.
>
> > I can feel my frame breaking for this girl which really sucks because I honestly want to continue with a LTR relationship with her but I am not happy with the fact I am practically submitting to her requests.
>
> This suggests to me that you're crap at leading and commanding. My advice will be very simple.
>
> - Go away and think to yourself long and hard about whether you seriously want an LTR with this girl.
> - If no, then up your IDGAF to level 6.9 and start prepping for a new plate 'cos this one gonna smash.
> - If yes, then accept that you are currently woefully inadequate to meet all of a woman's needs. Being mr alpha gym bro can in itself be enough to get laid... but it sure as hell won't navigate relationship waters.
> - Up your game and put in some research into LTRs. Double check red flags to ensure you're not making a mistake. Cultivate the green flags. There's an excellent 8 part guide by OccamsUsername on LTRs which I would say is essential reading as a starting point.
> - Finally, learn to use TRP correctly. This sort of post belongs in AskTRP, **not** the main sub.
>
> I think I've covered everything there. As general advice, it sounds like you need to focus on practicing speaking in subtext generally... it's also known as womanese for a reason. Also, trust your gut... if shit worries you then you've got reason to be worried.

Last Night I Got 3 Women Arrested For Groping And Assault.

35 upvotes · 10 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> After all, feminism is just the political movement to enable female hedonism.

The Push for "Gender Fluidity" is Literally Driving Men and Women Batshit Crazy

35 upvotes · 8 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Trust me, if the majority of trannies was creating a net increase in more male shitlords, this whole phenomenon would be identified as the mental disorder that it is.

That's the crux of this nonsense. Any rational person would have pointed out from the start that identifying as the wrong gender is quite obviously a mental disorder. It's because we assumed that not being straight isn't a mental disorder (it's not, according to the latest research we're all technically bi... just to varying degrees) we've falsely drawn it across to gender identity.

Take any information and you can create a logical fallacy from it.

> If you don't like trannies, you hate women

My chad friend called the girl I like beautiful . Some one explain to me his success

34 upvotes · 1 November 2018 · /r/askTRP

What happened behind the words. Your SMV 6, she thinks her SMV is 6. (I'm being arbitrary with the numbers, you could both be 4s or 5s for all I know.) She sees him and goes "oh, he's a 7. No point bothering there." He then calls her beautiful. She thinks, "hmmm, maybe I'm not a 6, maybe I'm a 7."

You come back and talk to her. She's thinking "ew, this revolting 6 possibly 5 thinks I'm in his league. Gross." Goes out to his car, "let's see if I'm a 7, will he kiss me?" The rest is tedious. You should also note that you may have drunk enough to compromise your clear perceptions of things. You don't need to be drunk to compromise your perceptions.

Long story short, raise your SMV if you're being outdone by skinny tall quadroons. I suspect this chick is like a 5 or a 6 and not one I'd be wasting my time on, but you've gotta practice somewhere when you start out.

Beta game can be run successfully by attractive men on women who perceive they are 2-3 points lower than them in SMV. The woman's perception is key. If she thinks you're way too hot for her she'll disqualify herself as a social precaution to avoid embarrassment and demonstrating poor social skills. If she thinks you're way beneath her then she'll disqualify you thinking you have shit social perception by daring to think you have a chance. This is why high status men or naturally high SMV men can play beta game and get laid. It's called pretty-boi game. It works short term but the guys don't get good LTRs, they fall apart in a predictable manner and they get treated like shit.

Final point, there is another interpretation of this which could explain it in which the other guy was actually quite alpha, gave no fucks, simply expressed interest and then left, leaving her to chase him. Though that one is not especially useful for you to note. Better to assume your base SMV isn't high enough yet.

Side note: don't behave angry or pissy about any of this in public or private to her or him. It will situate you as a beta in the mind of her and any chick she tells. Just ignore her and move on quick.

[RANT] Felony Stalking Class 4 - No Good Deed Goes Unpunished

34 upvotes · 21 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> when I saw 6-8 vehicles from her city's 'fugitive apprehension unit' cross the street and make bee-line for my car.

If ever you thought the police force isn't as corrupt as fuck. EIGHT vehicles of men to capture one man with no criminal record? Ridiculous waste of manpower. I'd put money on the overkill being because she's a cop.

> We have an excellent chance of winning, but in order to do so, I expect to spend between 20 and 50k and have my name and reputation dragged thru the mud in the meantime.

> Are you looking at reclaiming costs either from the city or from her specifically? This sort of thing should not stand. It shouldn't be too tough to prove the malicious and frivolous nature of the claim by citing a lack of tangible evidence and demonstrating a motive of revenge. The only way this shit will ever stop is if men fight it.

Am I wrong to think that fame is the ultimate catnip for women?

33 upvotes · 7 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Status trumps all.

Three academics just proved the nonsense of Gender Studies that led to our quarantine

33 upvotes · 3 October 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Mein Kampf where the word jew is replaced with man.... is officially accepted by academic feminism. Who on TRP is surprised to discover this? This is why I am anti-feminist and I consider it a very rational position.

I Keep A Negative Person Around As A TRP Experiment - The Toxicity Reeks

33 upvotes · 11 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It reads like you bragged to him or point-scored through virgin shaming. Men don't give a shit how many chicks another man is banging unless we're on the pull and it potentially lowers our SMV by association. Men find value in many things. It is only women who declare a man low value because he is low SMV.
>
> You complain about toxic negativity and in the same breath you then respond in the most negative put-down you can think of to this "friend." You are a hypocrite and projecting your rationalisation hamster. If he's toxic then don't keep him around. If you want him as a good friend then encourage him to be more positive and provide positive alternatives to his blue-pill world view. What I highlighted that you wrote here helps nobody. It doesn't help you, it doesn't help him and it sure as hell doesn't help the sub. Think more deeply, correct and move on.

Little piece of advice if a girl isn't interested

33 upvotes · 1 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Gladly.
>
> Betabobble! Get a fuckin' net! They're way more efficient.
>
> Betabobble's oneitis, come join me for a drink. I'll show you my net.

97% of women have been sexually harassed according to UK media

32 upvotes · 23 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Never forget the context for this. All harassment is just an unwanted sexual advance. It also extends to include innocent actions thanks to betas trying to beta their way into a girl's pants so frequently these days. A hand on a shoulder because she's having a hard day? Sexual harassment. As a general point these days I strongly recommend zero kino of any kind in the workplace. A joke with a double meaning that she didn't find funny? Sexual harassment. Why? You weren't attractive enough to elicit a laugh. Most chicks don't laugh because things are funny, they're laughing because it's the appropriate social response. Much of which is decided by how attractive the guy is or how far up the social ladder the other girl is.
>
> The stat is likely a lie. However if you asked a girl whether she's ever had interest from a man who she wasn't interested in? 97% would probably say yes.... and so you start to get where this sort of thing comes from.
>
> This is nothing but a reminder to avoid shitting where you eat. If you're cold approaching and chick doesn't engage back, remove yourself from the situation asap. When a chick dresses in a sexually provocative fashion, she's after attention. It's just she wants attention from men she finds attractive and any attention this generates

from men she doesn't find attractive she considers outrageous. Don't these men know they don't have a shot? They're even less attractive than they seemed because apparently they also have poor social skills to not realise their sexual advances are not welcome.

This is the crux of the issue and the mismatch between guys and gals on this topic. Many normal men can't tell if a girl is interested or not until they've made an advance. By that point it's too late, if it's unwelcome then you've committed sexual harassment. Of course it all makes sense from a girl's point of view. It's a good idea to make unattractive men feel fearful of making an advance. They'll make fewer advances and make your life easier as a girl and make it easier for you to filter since more and more unattractive men just won't approach anymore. BUT OH NO! Where have all the good men gone? Why am I not getting asked out on dates? Men need to man up and come ask me out.

Ah the female mind.

Ex-gf will not leave my house

32 upvotes · 28 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

> and hit me once

Instant hard next and ghost. Nothing further to be said. You're an idiot for letting this slide.

> How do I get her to leave my house?

Tell her "do you seriously want to save this relationship?" Once she says yes then tell her "then you need to leave, go home, and give me my space to work through your betrayal of my trust. Every day you stay here denying me my space is further disrespect of me as a person."

Some emotional-based shit like that should work to get her out the house. Then hard next and ghost, keep an eye on any texts she sends and save them as you may end up facing some false charges for domestic abuse or worse. You may even want to secretly record your conversation with her and bring up the fact she's hit you as part of the conversation.

Being controlling doesn't work

32 upvotes · 20 February 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Think of how your acting in third person perspective.

First things first. Go away and learn the difference between knowing your shit and knowing you're shit. At the moment you are the latter.

A quick distinction needs to be made here. Ordering your bitch around is not the same as being controlling. When a chick talks about being controlling, what she means is that a weak man is attempting to give her orders or guidance. She sees this as being controlled because she does not respect the man. Exact same words coming from an alpha and suddenly he's providing advice, guidance, helping her, leading the two of them etc etc. Refer to my piece Commanding 101 for further information.

The essential statements of this piece are true and are a good warning to men who wish to look at having an LTR. If you wish to enter an LTR, just know that the girl you're with and you've invested so much time and emotion into... you care so much about her and you're going to watch her. And if she decides she's going to hop on another guy's cock, you're likely to watch that happen too because you can't directly stop it and survive. Everything about that would scream low value. The scarcity, the losing out in competition, the emotional weakness/lack of self control.

> When you take an overview of the excellent guide issued by u/HumanSockPuppet on how to manage your bitches, you will note that it is extremely reactive. The adaptive nature of it needs to be, otherwise it wouldn't be so useful. The same goes for managing an LTR and why you will be stuck in the sort of half-way-house of being in control but unable to control her. The best you've got is trust but verify. Trust because there's little point in not behaving like you trust her, the outcomes are all negative. Verify because you don't want to trust blindly and become a literal cuck. (You must have seen the examples on here of women behaving in the most evil of fashions towards men they claim to "love" and feeling zero guilt for it.) Vetting is the only way to avoid these problems and if you vet wrong... prepare to suffer some humiliation. You deserve it and if you're truly red pilled, you will know that you deserve it.
>
> Don't control, learn to lead, go read the guides.

[FR] Banged some chick from TBP

32 upvotes · 28 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is fucking glorious. You have raised the bar in terms of sex for amusement. There is nothing more to add.

The Toxic Girl: A lesson on AWALT

32 upvotes · 12 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Tinder for women is ordering sex in the same way we would use an app to order a pizza.

2XX: We all basically disagree with TRP. Well, sort of...

32 upvotes · 20 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> > TRP is full of men with low social value. They wallow in a group delusion to shift the blame of their failure onto anything except their own various deficiencies.
>
> My favourite comment so far. They genuinely believe TRP is like the complete opposite of what it really is.... to convince yourself of that, you've got to have one hell of a hamster or possibly an IQ below 70.

ATTENTION: Visitors from VICE

31 upvotes · 30 August 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> This was my personal favourite bit:
>
> > Except, people on the left generally don't call rape survivors liars, or reckon they know better than the overwhelming majority of climate experts when it comes to whether or not climate change is real.
>
> Apparently we're climate change deniers. Not sure what that has to do with sexual strategy but ya know how it is... everything is about sex, right?

Liking Sex Doesn't Make A Woman A Slut; No Self Control Does.

31 upvotes · 22 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Avoiding those scenarios where she'll be unable to control herself are the mark of a good woman. I've had several women flatly admit to me their vagina has more control over them than their brain. It is 100% true.
>
> The woman who identifies this... and then refuses to avoid certain inappropriate situations with other men while in a relationship because "muh equality, I wouldn't do that, feminism, I'm not like that really" etc etc. That kind of woman is one to watch out for because she is the laziest type of woman.
>
> Once you identify this, you can train your woman through instructive commanding behaviour to ensure that she

> will no longer allow herself into those situations. This is the only way a woman can solve the problem of her self control.

My girlfriend was banging her landlord in college and he still lives in our town.

30 upvotes · 5 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

> New plan for the lad, he needs to start by getting himself a pimp hat and explaining what "love" really means to her.

Last minute resistance

30 upvotes · 27 October 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Hard next. Don't even analyse this. This is some next level mind fuckery, not LMR.

Raising a glass to a fallen brother

30 upvotes · 13 August 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> If memory serves from what little I'd heard of this story, he'd had some training.
>
> > I'm not a licensed psychologist but... I'm sure something is wrong mentally
>
> Now what you've done here is unhelpful. You are announcing you are ignorant of the topic yet happy to put forward an opinion. Don't do that. Mental health is a complex topic, I know, I've studied it. Random lay-opinions only muddy the waters.
>
> What if he didn't have any mental health issues, but just snapped? If that's the case saying he had mental health problems could be taken as he had something going on already before he snapped. Do you see how this confuses things?
>
> The reason it's important not to confuse things here is the OP has taken to discussing how the way society has taken the feminine imperative too far is putting men under more pressures than ever. Including mental pressure. As anyone who's studied the mental breaking points of people will tell you, we've all got one somewhere. It's just a matter of how much pressure needs to be put on you. When you dismissively state that he's got a mental health problem and end with that, it implies that there wasn't any problem going on... just that he was particularly weak. What if he wasn't? What if he was like you or me and just had an inordinate amount of pressure put on him? If the latter is the case, then we've got something to learn because a normal guy snapping could happen to any other normal guy out there.
>
> Keep focused on what we're learning here.

There is no reason not to be a slut.

30 upvotes · 10 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Look everybody! It's the latest piece excusing women's bad behaviour masquerading as red pill thinking.

Urbanism, Ruralism, and Dunbar's Number

30 upvotes · 10 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > This picture explains what liberals and conservatives really are. Liberalism is urbanism. Conservativism is ruralism.
>
> Please stop conflating leftists and liberals. An actual liberal has more in common with a libertarian than they do with a leftist "liberal." The left has hijacked the term with illiberal behaviour and you really shouldn't legitimise

> their doing so by not pointing out the difference at every opportunity because it perpetuates their bollocks. It's a bit like "oh you believe in equal rights? That makes you a feminist." I'm sure /u/CopperFox3c will pop up to plug his blog that covers this topic very well.
>
> Obviously the rest of the piece is solid. I have no criticisms on the Red Pill theory, it's the same conclusion I'd come to. Give women more options and they'll behave more hypergamous, hence more sluts and fewer decent LTR options. I just needed to point out the liberal conflation because part of the reason we've ended up in this modern shitshow is because the left has hijacked a whole bunch of terms and warped their meaning... and people have either stood silent or been suckered in. This has then led to the warping of legal definitions of things like rape and conflating of stats that turn "feeling uncomfortable" into rape and ka-boom! We've got the 1 in 5 bullshit stat. Essentially it's the start of where Canada is now going with enforcing what you MUST say, which is a significant step further from simply banning what you CAN'T say. Eventually the bullshit conflations become socially accepted norms and then they head towards legal changes. That's where the serious damage comes and from what we've seen time and again on the sub, we really shouldn't be ignoring these.
>
> My point is a sidenote, but I believe I've shown why it's an important one. If we can't even stop ourselves from making these conflations when they're inaccurate then why are we questioning it when they do it?

Surprising discussion of shit testing on Advice Animals

30 upvotes · 23 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> They don't look nice, they don't feel any nicer... I think it's just an excuse for a chick who likes to get her tits out to do it without being called a slut because "I wasn't showing my tits, I was showing my piercing."
>
> Such low level horseshit, nip or clit piercings are massive red flags for a reason. At the same time, I'm not above telling the dumb bitch "let's see 'em then."

I stuck my dick, and then my whole hand, in crazy

30 upvotes · 26 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > She oils up her hands and starts giving me a slow hand job that starts okay, but then she keeps insisting on putting her finger in my asshole. I tell her I don't like it, I never have. She says, that's not true, all men like it,
>
> This reminds me of the time this chick was trying to bend my hard dick at 90 degrees about halfway up the shaft and when I grunted and told her no... she confidently replied that all men like that. All her previous lovers really enjoyed it. Honestly, I have no idea where some of these chicks get these ideas from.

Silent Majority: Social Anxiety

30 upvotes · 22 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is a very important point to remember. Monk mode is there for a reason. If your base SMV is still too low then you're invisible to chicks anyway and there's no point approaching because the outcome isn't just weighted towards rejection... the rejection happened before you even made your approach.
>
> That said, you can also get trapped in monk mode waiting to be that little bit better. Newbie gains come on fast and shoot your SMV up by a point or two straight off the bat within the first month. I'd say as a general rule of thumb, if you've been in monk mode for 2-3 months and working consistently then you should be ready to make those first approaches. Plan it as part of your natural progression.

Was "taught not to rape" in sociology class today (rant)

30 upvotes · 11 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah, this stuff seems so retarded that it's actually confusing how no student (male or female) has stood up and

> said "this is retarded." I get the whole social pressure to conform and all that, and being shamed... but there are people out there who genuinely do not care about that. That said, do any uploads anonymously for your own protection.
>
> While I'd laugh at the absurdity of it all... I also want to see it to actually believe it... it's one of these things which is so unbelievably stupid that you can't truly believe it until you've seen it. (Esp the bit about the police officers victim shaming? How can they do that when there was no victim and you're just advising women to take precautions to prevent them becoming a victim? Even if we accept their dumb assumption, it still doesn't make sense.)

The Red Pill Handbook - an free e-book of TRP posts

30 upvotes · 22 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Awww, the black knight has feelings. Here was me thinking he looked upon lost limbs as "just a scratch."

FR: Dealing with Threatened Betas, and Female Group Leaders.

29 upvotes · 26 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I didn't do much because I didn't have to, he did it himself.
>
> This is my standard response to betas. I tease and egg them on a little then watch them commit a very public seppuku for the honour of their lady. Sometimes that in itself is enough entertainment for me. (But I quite like cringe comedy.)
>
> > not firing back at the guy was very beta
>
> No. You just move the conversation on. Gnats are unworthy of anything more than a dismissive swat. Observe the social dynamics and you'll see who's in charge in the group. The King doesn't need to speak the most or address everyone.

[FR] 10mins from meet to hook up.

29 upvotes · 4 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I must admit, considering I've read through the majority of the Shit Test Buster replies, this is some pretty clever shit. I'll have make note of this one.
>
> You're not the only one who saw that and made a mental note. Adding that one to my deck.

How BP friends can turn on you, and how easy it is for them to throw you under the bus.

29 upvotes · 26 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Bro... I read this and I want to know why you didn't sit him down afterwards for some man-to-man straighttalk? The greatest advantage we have with our male-male friendships is that we can use straighttalk. Even betas understand it. As the dominant male, you have more influence over the friendship than you think you have. As a submissive beta male, he needs leadership in the same way women crave it... it's why he follows the lead of women. There is no way a beta male will suddenly become a capable leader overnight, they require role models and an urge to become that, but that's a discussion for another day.
>
> What I want to focus on here is that it's very clear from your post that you still want a good quality friendship with this guy, but you're seriously pissed off at him for what went on in this example. From what I'm reading, I'd analyse this situation as the woman showing just how powerful her dominance over your beta friend is... so much so, that she can stir tension between the two of you and create drama. Chicks love drama, particularly when

they're just watching it by proxy. You need to sit this guy down seriously and explain to him why his behaviour is not acceptable if he wants to be your friend. (Focus purely on your friendship. If he brings her up then tell him in no uncertain terms that she is irrelevant to this point. She has no influence on you or the friendships you choose to have.)

Secondly you can go on to talk about why it's showing him as less of a man to be pandering to every last little thing this chick wants (to soften the blow, you can say it's ok to do a bit for chicks and stop them crying etc, but there is a line you **don't** cross and he needs to appreciate this. Betas cling to moral codes like codes of behaviour because they need guidance. Use this to your advantage.) You appreciate the feelings he has for this girl, but if he doesn't start to get a handle on them and stop behaving like he has no impulse control and no line he won't cross for her, then it's going to destroy the other relationships in his life (like yours) and if he ever does something like this again, then you're not going to tolerate it next time. You'll notice how this reads very similar to the ideas on telling your woman to behave. The principle is similar, but this should be easier because you two *should* already have an emotional connection based on the male way in which we care or have affection for others (non-conditional) and because a man can understand logic being put forward and will typically appreciate it.

I've also hunted out this useful video from Elliott Hulse which covers a similar issue. If he reacts poorly towards this sort of thing then the friendship is beyond saving anyway and you'll just have to bite the bullet and cut him out of your life. I really hope this works out for you bro, but we can never guarantee anything when emotions are involved. I think this gives you your best shot at salvaging things.

Girl posts snap grinding with her “friend”

28 upvotes · 27 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

Ignore. She's not yours, it's just your turn.

Automatic disqualification as LTR material. Never to be promoted above plate.

Nothing else to see here. Though if you start developing feelings that you can't dismiss then you need to hard next immediately.

Rage.

28 upvotes · 20 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> I called bullshit bad idea.

Categorically not. Bullshit must be called out otherwise you'll look like an autistic twit when confronted with shit tests.

The bad idea was engaging in the argument which gave legitimacy to her nonsense. Call her an idiot, laugh at her and mock her nonsense until she stops spouting nonsense.

Transitioning – A Red Pill Lens on Transgenderism

28 upvotes · 22 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Transexualism is our modern equivalent of trying to electrocute the gay out of you. The doctors have got it wrong.

In simple terms, we have a diagnosis for eating disorders that says if the factual objective reality of the person does not line up with their delusions (i.e. they see themselves as fat when they are skinny) This advert which was run to raise awareness demonstrates this point quite viscerally.

However for gender, they are instead saying that despite the medical fact that you are male or female... your feelings are what really matters. What do you "identify" as. NO! The girl in that video "feels" like she is fat. She

> is not seeing reality and now the social justice brigade are telling boys who don't feel male that they can be girls. NO! Just as we tell the dangerously underweight girl that she is wrong, we should be telling the boy who thinks he feels like a girl that he is also wrong.
>
> The way we currently treat gender dysphoria with gender reassignment is akin to us treating an anorexic with a course of laxatives to flush out all those nasty calories and then some liposuction to remove the remaining fat. We are indulging their delusions with extremely damaging consequences and I believe it is the shame of our time that we have allowed politics to convince us that we should indulge this out of "respect" because everybody is a person.
>
> Yes, we're all people. Some have mental disorders. Boys who want to have their penis chopped off have a mental disorder that should not be indulged. End rant.

TRP at a Ballroom Dancing Class

28 upvotes · 15 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> My mate used to do dancing in competitions and he had a partner who was known for constantly trying to lead the men she danced with. He was partnered with her, in his words, "because I'm the only one who can tolerate her silly attempts to lead."
>
> Quite frankly the conversation in that class should never have happened. When I learned ballroom dancing the female of the two teachers was very clear with the women - "ladies, control yourself. It's the man's job to lead."
>
> Also... this...
>
> > She smiled and looked into my eyes the whole time through.
>
> Not sure what you were doing but with ballroom dancing you don't look at each other. It's known as the "anti-social" dance for this very reason. I remember my mate used to describe the dances in different ways, some being about showing off the women, tango being the most amusing for "bitch is mine and I will do whatever I like with her." If memory serves, he described ballroom as "politely ask lady to dance, then ignore her and strut around the room physically announcing how awesome you look with this chick as a minor fashion accessory draped across you."
>
> I don't see this as a particularly good TRP example, but I do encourage dance classes as a good way to meet/grope women (yeah, it's basically socialised/socially acceptable feeling up of the opposite sex) and a reasonably fun skill to learn.

Brisbane, Australia: A woman has started a social club for "Well endowed men" and "Women who respect them". Membership is signified with a particular silver ring linked to a mobile app, and requires men to be over 7 inches to join.

28 upvotes · 16 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The Size Queen club - "A place for loose women."

I spit the redpill back up, I'm struggling to get it back down again

27 upvotes · 7 February 2023 · /r/TheRedPill

> > We almost immediately enter into a relationship
>
> Found the fuck up.
>
> > I had a solid understanding of redpill and I tried to stick to the mindset they preached. It worked for a bit.

> That first sentence is a lie, or hubris, or both. Any relationship requires substantial vetting, you did none - you have no understanding of TRP on relationships.
>
> LTRs are game on hard mode because you're removing your most powerful weapons from your arsenal. You don't even know how to spin plates successfully and you think an LTR is a sensible idea? Moron.
>
> Spin plates for at least 18 months without fuck up. No relationships in that time. All plates making ultimatums must fall and be replaced. After that you will have a solid understanding of the pill, not before.

Massive potential deal-breaker with prospective LTR

27 upvotes · 4 October 2021 · /r/TheRedPill

> What does this contribute? What lessons have been learned?
>
> The fact you even tolerated multiple dates without sex was enough to say "you need to spin plates." This is a textbook example of attempting to use red pill tools for blue pill fantasies.

“Don’t shit where you eat” still applicable to short-term job?

27 upvotes · 2 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Having to reason your way around fairly simple rules to bang one particular chick when there are literally millions of others out there is an indicator of scarcity mentality.

Any of you ever fucked a girl then smashed her friend/ best friend/ sister soon after?

27 upvotes · 28 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> > but still wouldn’t Fuck me cause “her friend would be mad”
>
> Shit test. Proceed as normal.

Nail ex gf the day before her wedding with a beta.

27 upvotes · 9 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> On the 1-10 scale of crazy, there is no such thing as a woman who's less than a 4/10. So typically when we call a chick crazy, it's because she's like an 8+ on that scale. It comes from the normalisation of our expectations. So in terms of crazy, 6/10 crazy becomes the baseline from which we measure, and we then call the 4/10 sane (despite the fact that objectively you'd need to be a 1 or 2 out of 10 to be considered sane.) For example, my main plate is probably a 5 or 6/10 crazy. I'm confident she'd never throw a false rape accusation at me. That said, she also sometimes makes cat noises at me to get my attention. There's nothing sane about that random behaviour. See what I mean by the baseline?
>
> Hopefully that should reconcile the two for you. When we say "this chick is crazy" we mean she's at the top end of the scale for crazy.

Is it okay to go through an LTRs phone?

27 upvotes · 6 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> The general rule: Trust but verify.
>
> When you're dealing with plates, it doesn't really matter so you wouldn't bother going through her phone. However you put a significant investment into an LTR. Not checking that investment when you feel like it is just stupid. It'd be like investing in stocks and then never checking the market. If something seems up, it probably is.

AWALT - Straight From the Horse's Mouth.

27 upvotes · 22 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> (I was so proud of her).

You are also a beta in this story. Learn to give no fucks what some bitch thinks or says.

The dangers of echo chambers

27 upvotes · 25 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Most of the criticism levelled at TRP has no logical basis or follows any causal links based in principle or fact. It starts from the idea of women being equals and then goes from there. This is poor debating because you base your arguments on an assumption... one in this case which can be challenged. Women are typically physically weaker, typically less intelligent and typically far less emotionally stable. - I fail to see how this is equal.

The second problem tends to come from those who criticise TRP being incapable of understanding nuance or the subtlety of similar yet mutually exclusive points. i.e. they can't reconcile the ideas that believing women are inferior, and also believing they deserve similar basic rights to men such as not being tortured, not being violently assaulted, not being robbed, not being defrauded etc etc (just list laws that apply for the protection of both men and women here.)

That's a fairly broad basis to dismiss a large proportion of the nonsense which disparages TRP. Due to this, there is a fairly large proportion of the basic material on TRP which you should question and evaluate more privately because we've already done that. (It is also why you're told to read the sidebar first before contributing.) If that was not the case, we would be constantly inundated with topics that questioned the basis of TRP (poorly) and it would flood/waste the space of the frontpage.

I've posted on how Debating and Discussion as a man will promote intellectual growth. There may be mere echo chamber guys here... but there's also some independent thinkers. It's down to you to decide who is who.

It Is Every American's Patriotic Duty to Affirm Transgender Beauty

26 upvotes · 10 April 2023 · /r/TheRedPill

> GLO writes in a subtle nuanced way.

Hey! I could seriously hurt myself falling off my seat laughing like this.

Her male friends and games, constructive advice please

26 upvotes · 29 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> she played a lot of games like posting tinder messages and matches on her private story after telling me she deleted it

That was where any LTR vetting ended. Forever plate material. Never to be promoted above. Act accordingly.

Whats the proper way to deflect LTR re-telling stories about Ex/FWB etc..

26 upvotes · 11 October 2017 · /r/askTRP

The more pertinent question is why have you LTR'd a chick with such a massive red flag flying from the top of her head?

How to handle "I have a boyfriend."

26 upvotes · 22 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Schrodinger's boyfriend is a standard shit test and should be treated as one. Adapt to your style of shit test management. The thread should basically end there.
>
> So much irrelevant self-aggrandising, egotistical nonsense in this post. I believe your self-assessment because your ego is spilling out everywhere. That ego belongs in the field, **NOT** on TRP.
>
> As I said a long time ago
>
> > There is a big difference between your ego out in the field, and your ego on TRP. Your ego/confidence, (maybe even narcissism at a push), should be absolute in the field. Once you get back on the TRP sub though, you should kill your ego in order to be more objective.

Feminist writes article about cock carousel filled with red pill truths

26 upvotes · 15 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > *women would rather be a cat lady then with a beta
>
> False. The only thing worse for women than being a woman with a low value man is being a woman with no man at all. This entire piece is Laura thingy trying to convince herself that she hasn't got everything completely wrong. She's not bothered that she's giving out bad advice to other women that will cause misery. She needs to hamster publically in order to follow her fee fees.
>
> There is no such thing as a WGTOW movement and there never will be due to the very nature of women.

Stop putting money into women and start putting it into savings.

26 upvotes · 2 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> As a general point on finances I'd encourage all men on - Begin investing as soon as possible. Essential reading: The Intelligent Investor by Benjamin Graham

UVA Jackie lied about gang rape, terminal illness, and invented a dude, all to manipulate one guy into fucking her

26 upvotes · 10 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Until we start prosecuting these nutjobs it will continue.
>
> I understand, in the UK at least, that there are some prosecutions of false rape accusations... but if there is, it's not publicised enough. We don't just need to prosecute them, we need to put the fear of God in these women who lie about rape and let them know they will be caught and they will be punished. Real victims will always be shown to be real victims when investigated.

AMA - Milo Yiannopoulos - Thursday, Dec 10th, 5pm London time, (12pm EST) on TRP

26 upvotes · 2 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Cracking stuff! Really looking forward to this one, Milo is such a fabulous faggot. Legwork much appreciated Cis.

[FR] Keep your feelings to yourself, and especially away from women.

26 upvotes · 28 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah, all women have the capacity to be like this if they've been raised poorly. Keep that in mind when you're

> plating women and observe their actions to figure it out. This is the reality of why we distrust first and wait for a woman to earn the trust through her consistent actions. (Because many will fake it, just like this chick was with her "boyfriend.") I've been there too and it annoys me too, but that's life. Move onwards and upwards.
>
> To OP I'd say this chick just disqualified herself as a plate since she's willing to lie to you and anyone else for her own ends. That selfishness of hers will come back to bite you in the ass. Pump and dump material only. You'll also want to cover your back against a potential false rape claim before you ghost her.

"Some are not ready to be unplugged."

26 upvotes · 16 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> So you're fairly new here... and your idealism is heartwarming. But it is ultimately impractical. Go have a search for a few of the horror stories of guys who tried to help their blue pill friends and were subsequently thrown under the bus for their trouble. You'll see then why it is necessary to take a fairly cold look at the friends you have and decide whether or not you can realistically keep and/or help them. The bitterest thing about the pill is that you have to let go of any idealism you once had.
>
> The biggest problem with blue pill men is that many are in denial about the nature of women. They refuse to see the obvious and sometimes even refuse to make any changes whatsoever to their life. They act like women - they genuinely believe in the message society has told them that just being yourself will get you what you desire. It's the worst pile of bullshit ever and contributes to the anger felt when unplugging. This is because most men who will unplug are the ones who would never have shied away from work in the first place - so they see the lie as what held them back rather than themselves... but they also can't help but blame themselves for not seeing through the lie sooner. It's emotional turmoil that just feeds its own anger.
>
> The Red Pill is not some cult to be spread. It is an explanation of reality, whether people want to see it is upto the individual, not you. Don't try to take that on your shoulders. You are responsible for you. That is the most you can expect of yourself.
>
> Notice that I don't describe my attitude as good or bad in this regard. It is simply a pragmatic approach to the reality of modern life.
>
> EDIT: Please stop downvoting him guys. He's new here and he needs the opportunity to learn without being shunned. He's made a mistake, we are all guilty of that at least once in our lifetimes.

Realize that many women won't like you

26 upvotes · 30 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The feminine sexual strategy is based upon maximising rejections as a filtration system. As a result, they do reject perfectly suitable alpha males in the expectation of something even better. Since this is something they tend to cry about later and regret, the illogical and sometimes counter-productive nature of their sexual strategy means you shouldn't care about them using it. It's like getting upset that lions eat gazelles. It's natural, amoral and it's going to happen. It may seem personal, and I suppose to some degree it is... but really it's more about them thinking they can do better... and women are very wrong about this sometimes (based upon the number of "where have all the good men gone" articles becoming more frequent... I'd be willing to say they're starting to get it wrong more times than they're getting it right.)
>
> Her mistakes aren't your problem. Keep on moving and don't forget to shout "NEXT!" at the top of your voice.

FR: The Wall is Unforgiving

26 upvotes · 23 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The women know this comment is true. That's probably why it works so well, demonstration that it's a man who "just gets it." Plus it is fairly universal unless she looks like she's in her teens. Even a 23-25yr old woman is

> leaving it a bit late to find a husband and settle down. 23 is probably the last age where they can afford to fuck up dating the wrong man for a year or two and still leave room to develop a fresh relationship over a year or two and then be fertile enough to have a couple of kids once married.
>
> Women can hamster away a lot of things, but deep down inside they know the reality and they know that biological clock is ticking and they should have started looking at 18 (that gives them 5 years and 2 or maybe 3 failed relationships to learn.) But tingles! Fun! It's just too tempting. Feminism tries to help the feminine imperative get around this idiocy, but as usual a short-term patch and fix job doesn't sort out a problem which should have been addressed long-term.

IM's Hardest Hitting Reddit Rants

26 upvotes · 16 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I thought I had more "vitriolic misogyny" to share, but I can't immediately find any more as it stands.
>
> My disappointment knows no bounds. They'll be even more upset over on the blue pill sub.

Suicide Note of Wilkes McDermid - Basically an Elliot Rodgers that didn't kill anyone else.

26 upvotes · 12 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You're right about this guy. He wasn't an idiot or selfish or wrong. He just didn't find the Red Pill in time... He was ready to face reality, he just thought he had no options and was alone in this reality he'd begun to see. I don't feel sad about the fact he died... it's the fact that he *could* have been saved.

Drinking with girl last night. She said she needs to find a boyfriend... but... she has one already?

26 upvotes · 29 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> A couple of translations:
>
> > I think I love him, but I'm not sure if I am IN love with him..."
>
> His SMV is not low enough to mean I need to break up with him, however it is not high enough for me to genuinely be attracted to him since I stuck him on the poison drip.
>
> > "Not at all, his trucks messed up, he hasn't fixed it, he isn't bringing in money and he doesn't seem to care much. He's gaining weight too."
>
> My focus is on whether he is continuing to grow up the SMV curve or not in line with other men. It does not look like he does since he's not maintaining his resources, expanding his monetary income and lowering his physical attractiveness. Note the total absence of anything which is not completely shallow and within a man's own control in that sentence.
>
> > "Not the guys I want to be with."
>
> The reality of my SMV does not even enter my mind. I've fucked men who are 8/10s. Therefore I must be a 9/10 and deserve a 10/10 for my LTR. For me, this is reality.
>
> There's some nice clear hamstering in this that shows anyone exactly how a woman will reconcile reality with her intentions in order to convince herself that her actions and thoughts are perfectly acceptable and reasonable. Excellent example.

Being raised with beta mentality

25 upvotes · 8 October 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> It takes time to turn the oil tanker around. It's hard, you'll push for days, weeks and months on end and see you're nowhere near where you want to be every single day. The people who get to where they want to be are the ones who keep pushing on every single one of those days. That's not to say it's easy, but it is to give you the proper perspective of the task at hand. It's long and hard. Take it one day at a time and focus on doing the short term bits of your long term plan. That's how you turn the oil tanker around, slowly with perseverance. There is not other way, the red pill shows you reality, but that reality is not pleasant. The question is what do you do once you know? Good luck!

What girls am I most likely to lose my virginity to (20-30), as a low value male?

25 upvotes · 29 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Wrong question. How do I increase my SMV? is the correct question.

Saw this little "open letter" a bunch of girls on my fb news feed have been sharing.

25 upvotes · 8 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Most of this describes child abuse and paedophilia. That's not the norm, nor does it prove sexism. It proves whoever wrote it and whoever identifies with it is a long way from normal.

Be careful of being too Alpha, Comfort Tests are far more lethal than Shit-Tests

25 upvotes · 20 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> You're still asking women for their opinions on how to deal with women? I'm not remotely surprised that she's told you "be a good little emotional tampon."
>
> When I say solve her problems, I mean if she comes whinging that she's failing to do something then go do it for her. Don't try to get her to solve her own problems. If she comes to you with a problem that you can't directly solve quickly then that's a case where she simply wants attention. All of these sorts of things are leadership tests. i.e she wants you to demonstrate you're leading everything in a direction that makes her feel good/safe/secure/attracted.
>
> Be irritated by stupid whining over nothing otherwise you're setting yourself up for a lot of it. If she wants your attention then she'd better be doing something good. If she brings you a small problem like lifting/moving something heavy, then she owes you reward for your efforts and you command her to do whatever you feel like as reward. Drinks, food, or sex. Doesn't matter. Just so long as you're showing leadership and dominance. You're the prized rock. Show that and she should already know you'll expect her to behave like you are that craved prize.

Why do women say "It was only sex" when referring to their CC days?

25 upvotes · 29 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> The essence of this lies in the way women see love differently to men. Their love is always opportunistic and when it comes to explain their feelings-driven behaviour in a rational and logical fashion... they can't do it. Because it was never rational or logical. It was irrational, emotions based actions that, with the help of hindsight, anyone with a brain can see was fucking retarded.
>
> But women are incapable of admitting fault for the most part. This is a necessary ego defense mechanism to keep their sexual strategy on track to avoid settling for a low quality man. Due to the irrational nature of their method for pursuing their sexual strategy, women are prone to fucking up often, so this ego defense mechanism is essential to keep them going and prevent them falling into depression and following the usual human cycle from there towards suicide.
>
> Apply this to a woman talking about how she was having sex, but it was just sex, no big deal. She's trying to downplay her squandering of her major asset, giving it away so easily and her failure to get the reward of

> commitment she wanted in return. She can't admit to herself that she's low value otherwise she can't justify in her mind that she deserves the highest quality of man. This is the essence of the laugh we have of fat chicks demanding top quality men despite them being fat and lazy and bringing nothing to the table. These women can't face the reality that they're low value, they find ways to rationalise that they may not be in the same league as the supermodel actress... but they still think they're valuable and not far behind that woman.
>
> As per usual with women, it's more about her than it is about you.

Welcome to Theory Friday - New Posting is Locked Today

25 upvotes · 22 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Opened up the sub this morning... saw a sea of posts from RPS. Thought to myself "it's finally happened. He's flipped. The stress must have been too much and somebody posted something particularly cretinous to drive him over the edge."

"Oh you poor thing, you don't even have 10 likes!"

25 upvotes · 7 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I'm coming from a strictly looks standpoint. Those exist, at least in my opinion.
>
> Objective 10s do not exist. Personal 10s do exist.
>
> Oh and I read your thing - reads like she shit tested you with that likes thing. Almost seemed a little flirty. Based upon what you wrote, it seems like you failed it. It doesn't read to me like she cared what you thought, she just listened politely to your response and then withdrew interest at the first possible opportunity - body language changed because she was indicating she didn't want to engage in further conversation with you.

How accurate is Rollo in saying that "if a girl truly wants to bang you, she will make it happen/make it easy"?

24 upvotes · 2 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> The vast majority of women are quite passive. So she won't so much "make it happen" as she will go out of her way to help it happen. You can ask much more of a woman who is attracted to you.
>
> As usual, Rollo's verbose nature has managed to make something simple seem complicated.

You only want me for sex

24 upvotes · 15 December 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Could have been a bit more positive about her apology if you're trying to build an LTR here. You've got to praise her when she behaves the way you want her to. Right there, she atoned for her sins and submitted. That is behaviour you want to encourage. You could even have helped her by pointing out she ended up there because she managed her emotions poorly and you expect her to manage them better in the future. Alpha aloof-indifference to all her behaviour will eventually make her smash like the plate you are treating her as.

On unplugging all the way: You need to chew before swallowing.

24 upvotes · 8 February 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Such is the inherent problem with trying to train leaders. In order to learn to become a leader they must follow. However their leader can't tell them to stop following in this environment. Some get stuck following forever.

Some Of You Really Have It All. Wrong.

24 upvotes · 29 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> If that is what you base your dominance and manlyhood on you are a pussy.

What an odd statement.

I've pushed for a while that there's a paradoxical nature to the goal of sex. Those who want it the most will typically not be getting any and have scarcity mentality. While guys like me who've become generally disinterested, can feel like having sex, show up and close within an hour. It's the paradoxical nature of this sub and the advice that I stand by for new guys. "You want sex? Stop caring about sex." It seems crazy, but it works so well. So I agree with your opening premise.

However your piece seems more like a rambling rant than theory.

> Being kind and courteous, until trampled on. Always being ready to destroy the enemy yet never wanting to have to do so.

This reads like that nonsense the Mike Cernovich article recently posted on here was on about. I've replied to that post already so I won't repeat myself here. Suffice to say, it's not alpha. However if that's not what you were saying, you need to make yourself clearer because you're talking a lot of confusing platitudes in this.

Your final paragraph concerns me the most. A large portion of this piece reeks of the anger stage and directionless aggression. But this final paragraph, I'll need to break down.

> Setting aside your beliefs and ideals just to get laid, your no better than the muck slut bar flys you hit on and succumb to. Re-think your shit.

But that's precisely what TRP is. TRP, at its core, is pragmatism. Your previous ideals and beliefs were founded upon blue pill lies. Now you know the truth, you're going to have to create a new set of beliefs on what you feel is acceptable and unacceptable behaviour... based upon the new rules of reality you've learned from TRP.

> Stop masturbating to images on a computer screen and jazzing into a kleenex 3 times a day since you were 13, and go make something of your life

What about the guy in monk mode? I don't recommend making yourself sexually frustrated for months on end while you're improving your base SMV.

> Build some fucking character. Move out... save money while moved out... If you are living at home past the age of 20 you're a fucking child.

Black and white thinking. A lack of nuance. What if they're looking after a beloved family member who is dying? What if they need the extra money saved to pay medical bills? What if they're able to begin a startup there, whereas not being able to do that if they moved out? I've seen guys in their teens and early 20s do this, a few years sacrificing their pride and they're doing better than most in their mid 20s.

Your heavy black and white thinking and your lack of nuance and ability to empathise with anyone who isn't you is very worrying. (You'll likely get caught out by some women who suddenly realise this and decide your social skills are too poor and her tune will change for no discernible reason. Women are actually quite good at sniffing out what your attitude is.)

Most things in moderation do no harm. Your passive aggressive response to someone playing video games is a prime example of this. I still occasionally play video games. Am I no longer considered alpha despite my reputation and my post on roles as an alpha... because I've played a video game? It's just about making sure

> something like that doesn't take over your life or prevent you from achieving other things. If you want to do it as part of your relaxation time or your time socialising with your buddies... go for it.
>
> There's not a huge amount of black and white dogma when it comes to the pill. It's mostly grey and requires you to personalise your approach to it. Think about that before you make your next post.

“Know the Difference Between Fuckable vs. Dateable”. A females pov.

24 upvotes · 27 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is basically it. OP's post fumbles around the point and spews emotional rubbish in various directions like some clueless teenager trying to unhook a bra for the first time.

If you don't know, with absolute certainty, that she is girlfriend/wife material, then she isn't

24 upvotes · 9 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I think after reading this, everybody should immediately go and read the Rational Male article on Good Girls
>
> This will remind the men with less experience why the LTR game is so dangerous if you don't already have a significant amount of experience with women, and esp with those "bad girls who've never been caught."

Should LTR be "your best friend"?

23 upvotes · 16 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> No. Your best friend should be a man who you can speak freely with.
>
> Your LTR is your partner and support player on the team - your first mate. Your best friend is Captain on another ship who you'll see in port whenever you dock and take stock.

631 tinder match, not a single one above an 8

23 upvotes · 15 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> > I still cannot match with any hot chicks.
>
> 8/10 is not hot? Da fuq world you livin' in?

Got cheated on by LTR, to next or to plate?

23 upvotes · 6 September 2019 · /r/askTRP

> This is actually a good example of the blooper scarcity mindset in its most insidious form. "The sex is great."
>
> So what? You'll find more women. You will find better sex and you will find worse sex. You'll find better and worse from the same chick. Why are you trying to grasp and control something which is fluid that you have no control over? If you must do something to let her know why she's dumped, then dump her through her hot friend or something and tell them the truth about why she's dumped. They might decide that the hivemind girlcode doesn't apply here and she can freely fuck you.

I saw gf on a date with some guy. Did i handle this correctly?

23 upvotes · 29 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> You vetted poorly, started playing LTR game with plate material like a dumb fuck.
>
> > sweet innocent christian girl, i took her virginity and she was not only submissive but respectful and full of confidence.
>
> Congrats, you got duped by a "good girl" type. You didn't take her virginity (given her confidence level.)

> Looks like you've handled it okay. I mean dumping her on the spot is kinda the obvious bit. Though personally I would have walked over to the table, said hi to her and introduced myself to her guy and given him a little speech about how this is my ex. He should beware because she's not loyal, how this was him witnessing her literally getting dumped. Recommend he enjoys the sex but obviously she's not really relationship material due to the whole loyalty issue. Wish them both a good night then head off with your buddies. Obviously she is hard nexted and ignored from this point onwards.
>
> In terms of evaluation, you need to look at yourself and decide what you want. Are you after an LTR? If so you need to learn that LTR game is very different to plate spinning. You will also want to avoid searching for an LTR for at least 6 months to get your head straight.

Game is used as an umbrella term but what does it mean? Can anyone tell me what it is vs what it isn't?

23 upvotes · 20 April 2017 · /r/askTRP

> Game is a shorthand for the "seduction game" or the "mating game." You play the game whether you like it or not (MGTOW's say they opt out, what they're actually doing is forfeiting.)
>
> Game is all the behavioural stuff that goes into the mating dance. So everything you are actively doing at the time of seducing a woman is your attempt to play the game... more specifically, YOUR game.
>
> This includes everything from attitude, beliefs, approach and style (inner game) through to your conversational skills, ability to flirt, your ability to tease, joke and even be silent, hold your posture, hold the frame of discussions and control your body language (outer game.)
>
> Game is a huge topic so I can see why this one is unclear. My TRP Field toolkit is a basic guide in 4 parts to game, covering all the basics you will need in the field. You'll find it on the sidebar here on AskTRP. I subsequently added Commanding 101 as a supplementary guide for those who are interested in advanced game.
>
> Understanding game allows you to understand all the dynamics of the subtextual conversation going on between you and the woman. In essence it allows you to manipulate your SMV in her eyes. She will see you and have a base idea of your SMV, but in interacting with you her perceptions of your SMV will change based upon your behaviour. This is why guys with pretty average to low base SMV in the PUA community can still take home a chick at the end of the night. Combining all these things is what allows Red Pill men to exceed a PUA.
>
> You might be able to tell from this that game is a real passion of mine haha!

2 female friends whom I thought were 'good girls' now might have genital herpes from going raw with same guy.

23 upvotes · 24 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's exactly this that is the problem. Men and women are not remotely comparable and you've just made such a blue pill fueled statement that demands implicit agreement that men and women are comparable. And I'll bet you didn't even know you were doing something blue pill... THAT is how deep the programming goes. Even now, even on TRP, we have upvoted sentences that are based on blue pill concepts. It's a constant battle.

This is the 'Rape Joke' That's Rocking Apple

23 upvotes · 16 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> And just like that, they've won.

Discussing MGTOW with Anteros

23 upvotes · 25 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> MGTOW being attacked by feminist groups does not make logical sense since they theoretically want to be left alone to do their own thing.

It makes more sense for them to attack MGTOW because these are the men checking out of the game altogether. These are the men that women have completely lost. Each man removed from the game makes the game more difficult for women regardless of whether they're riding the CC, trying to pin down an alpha or following AF/BB as a strategy or looking to nail down their BB meal ticket. The core of MGTOW is right, women need the game but men can take it or leave it. This is why our biggest card, to walk away, is the most powerful card it's possible to play.

Remember, everything that benefits feminism is won off the backs of men. None of them actually do anything useful themselves, nor do they tend to front policies that help women to help themselves. They look for special privileges along with maximisation of female privilege and the pussy pass.

Paying for first dates - #$@#$ hamster

23 upvotes · 5 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> She said I did not pay and that is a deal breaker for her.

That is a lie. Something else was the matter and that was just a convenient way to brush you off without being offensive by saying something like "you don't have big enough muscles" or something stupidly shallow like that.

There are various strategies you can take. Get your thing first before she arrives and sit down with it. If she arrives late, a solid move of mine is to tell her "you're getting the first round" or "you're paying for lunch" as her penance for being late and keeping me waiting. I've never had any bad reactions from women for that... and if I did I'd simply tell her "ok. see ya round." - I am not a walking ATM.

There is no 100% way to figure this shit out beforehand. I've actually had a chick turn up without her purse before. She was immediately ditched after I laughed at her and said "I don't hang around with disorganised children." or words to that effect. She immediately tried to chase me down, apologise and promise this that and the other... but no. Briffault's law. She lost already. You've just got to react mate and don't let yourself get taken for a ride.

And trust me, women who are into you don't care about money. One of my plates paid for a week away for the two of us a few months ago. When a woman is attracted, she will spend her money in order to get more of your attention.

Thoughts on being unattractive on purpose

23 upvotes · 4 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> In this post: Machiavellianism 101

Are girls with hair dyed unnatural colours a red flag for you?

23 upvotes · 7 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Yes. Tats, piercings and dyed hair are all nice obvious red flags.

Jennifer Lawrence explains her fee-fees

23 upvotes · 6 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I don't think there's any examples as sidebar material. Since the sub is constantly getting new people, there's no downside to adding a fresh example of the base principles at work when it comes up. It'll help the newer members relate to something current. Plus it reminds the veterans here that it all still applies, and it allows me to

> keep my own analysis reasonably sharp.
>
> So for you, someone who is well grounded in the basics, this is mostly redundant. If you believe that, skip over these sorts of things and focus on getting involved in conversations on other topics.
>
> Many members leave the sub because most of it becomes redundant once you've learnt to a certain level. I wouldn't blame you for doing the same. Most of what is written here is redundant for me. For what it's worth... I am planning on adding some more fresh analysis on the more nuanced issues.

I'm Milo Yiannopoulos, AMA

23 upvotes · 17 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's got to be controversial. If women's word can no longer be trusted, then it means we don't need to put any value on what they say - the logical conclusion is that women simply shouldn't have a voice in anything because that voice is both unreliable and irrational.
>
> They don't want their voice taken away, so they'll fight this tooth and nail. They'll run every hamster rationalisation available to pretend their words always have worth. (Realistically, it's just down to us to decide whether their words have worth on a case by case basis.)

And She Won't Get an Abortion: A DT Guide

23 upvotes · 8 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This DT guide is the reminder that if the situation calls for it... you have to be the bad guy. No ifs or buts.
>
> One of the measures of a man is whether he can make a decision, a plan of action and execute it in a no-win situation. Real is not now, nor will ever be, a utopia. No-win situations exist and need to be addressed like any other situation. And sometimes the way to address it is to be that guy that society doesn't like. Society is motivated to dislike certain behaviour, but sometimes your personal losses are simply too great to care what society thinks. Don't let them guilt you into sacrificing yourself. Accept and embrace the bad guy position as needed.
>
> You're already doing this as part of Red Pill. Desire can't be negotiated. When you withdraw affection for bad behaviour, you're being mean to her. Rightfully so. If desire could be negotiated then you'd never need to do that, you'd just tell her to sort out her behaviour. But it can't, so you've got to be the bad guy sometimes.
>
> This is the most stark example of how you need to throw away the blue sky with rainbows view of the world you've been previously fed. I don't advocate being the bad guy all the time obviously... but I also don't advocate being decent 100% of the time... just as much as you reasonably can.

Told her we would never be anything, the act dropped IMMEDIATELY [FR]

23 upvotes · 3 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You read things like this and you're genuinely wondering whether every woman ever has been handed the same script to work from. In literally this order.
>
> The way it switches from trying to gain a sort of pity love and commitment out of pity because she's crying, straight to "well I never loved you anyway" is eerie.
>
> Let's also note that the end of this script consists of the woman throwing a tantrum when she doesn't get what she wants. Lashing out like a child. It's not very helpful to the bloopers trying to dismiss the idea that women are basically overgrown children... when throwing tantrums like children appears to be in their default script.

Holy Shit!!!!!!

23 upvotes · 9 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Lucky escape. You're a fucking idiot. If you're going to do the rhythm method of contraception... for god's sake know what you're doing.
>
> Oh, and by the way, that could still be a false negative.

Sexbots: Why Women Should Panic

23 upvotes · 16 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I like this line: "All that talk of the feminist sisterhood is a myth: ladies behave absolutely abominably to one another, socially and in the workplace." So true.
>
> You could literally write a book on all the subtext of women "swapping pleasantries" and how most of it is subtle insults.

Any advice for this one?

22 upvotes · 28 January 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> Then here's a perspective: Go read the sidebar. You're pretty much as blue pilled as it gets.

Coach Red Pill claims that he can get any girl he wants and you can to. True or BS?

22 upvotes · 3 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> This is untrue. There are too many variables involved. It is an appeal designed for blue pill guys, those with oneitis who want a specific girl. If you are red pilled, you don't care about being able to get "any girl" because those who aren't interested don't matter - you have abundance. You'll happily swap out one HB7 for another HB7.
>
> The guy is a fraud imho.

Anyone here with experience dating a girl with herpes?

22 upvotes · 27 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> How low is your SMV that you have to settle for an LTR with a chick who has the herp?

[Rant] The Cuckening is real.

22 upvotes · 31 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Worry less about it. I'm banned from the relationships sub... I've never bothered to visit it, let alone post there. Which means some sad moderator of that sub came over TRP, went through postings, found me and decided I needed to be preemptively banned from their sub because of something I said over here, having violated none of their rules or ever participated or shown any interest in ever participating.
>
> While I'm not precisely sure how the reddit rules would view such an incident, from a pragmatic perspective it makes no difference to me. The question you must ask is "why?" It's because they've been raised on fear and hate. They project it on to us, but they're the ones who can't leave everyone else alone. They fear us and they hate us. They know nothing else. Once you've been raised to dehumanise your enemy then you can treat them as though they are not human... as they will with us. In behaving this way and just disregarding basic human decency in nothing but an ideological disagreement, they demonstrate how utterly worthless they are.
>
> They need to strawman TRP in order to virtue signal at each other. They'll pick and choose those who understand the pill the least as their demonstrations. Never do you hear them talk about some pretty well known things in TRP, like the fact that a bedrock of TRP is acknowledging that women are people too. i.e. they do just as much bad shit as any bloke could do. Sometimes we forget that most of what the anger stage guys get angry about, things like paternity fraud or a chick cheating just because she can... because the feeling took her... would receive

equally angry reactions on TwoX. Morally speaking they're far closer to us than they can acknowledge... if they acknowledged it then we couldn't be monsters anymore. Then they couldn't virtue signal about how they hate monsters.

In honour of the new year, I'm going to break a little from my pragmatism and be a bit more idealistic. We've seen from 2016 the pushback on a global scale against the moral authoritarians who decide via labels... in our specific case, misogynist... and they are losing the battle any time someone questions their label. Because the label doesn't stand up to scrutiny. As Karen /u/girlwriteswhat said a couple of years ago on PPD, something which has stuck with me.

> I don't think there is a universe that could exist where men, in general, hate women.

(Link for those who wish to read this brilliant destruction of the idea that men hate women or that they oppress women.)

Keep questioning the labels. Keep pushing deeper into the discussion and figure out the truth. The truth is there and it doesn't matter what they say, do or think, the truth doesn't care about their feelings and it will not change to fit their moral interpretations or their political ideology.

TRP may not be the river of truth that a larger than life hero like Captain America would plant himself next to and tell the whole world to move. However I am convinced that TRP is a place where you will find some of the waters collected from the river of truth and we will guard our vault of these water samples from the moral authoritarians no matter what it takes. We are prepared. And in this great drought where people are finally asking for some clear distilled water... we have prepared some bottles. So come and drink. Each time someone drinks we become stronger while they become weaker from thirst.

After all, an intellectual diet without truth is as stupid as a diet without water. Their failure is guaranteed. It's just a matter of time and they'll eventually die of thirst. 2016 showed us the first symptoms. Let them carry on denying themselves the water. Roll on 2017. Let's see how long they can last. Myself and the other men on TRP are ready for the long haul, we're stronger than they are, and we're getting our two litres of water a day. The last thing we need is a little more compassion. It's hard when you're angry. However it will pass in time. Continue to do what's right and don't forget that these other people may be idiots, but they're still human. We will not stoop to their level or declare them monsters, nor will we otherise anybody we disagree with. We will do what is right from the truth we understand, no matter how many people tell us we're wrong and that we're monsters. Why will we do this? Because at the heart of TRP is one simple rule men must adopt ahead of even their sexual strategy:

Be better than you were yesterday.

Worry less about the cucks and focus on doing your bit for yourself and you'll end up doing it for everyone without even realising.

I Keep A Negative Person Around As A TRP Experiment - The Toxicity Reeks

22 upvotes · 11 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> A girlfriend
>
> Self explanatory.
>
> His response: Random garbage dating advice.
>
> My conclusion: "Go fuck yourself you eternal virgin". This one hit him hard. Yet, for some reason he still won't change.

> What use is this to anybody?

The lie is easy to believe

22 upvotes · 12 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Why is this controversial here? I see a below 70% upvote tally. What the fuck is wrong with the current inhabitants of TRP?

Don't waste your time arguing

22 upvotes · 31 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Can anyone really think of a time they changed anyone's fundamental core beliefs on an argument?
>
> Couple of times off the top of my head... probably more. It's less fulfilling than you'd think.
>
> > I've never changed anyones mind by opening my mouth.
>
> I have. I once turned half a room of so-called liberals who were initially opposed to interfering elsewhere in the world... into people who endorsed cold blooded murder just because I said it was the right thing to do. Don't underestimate the power of rhetoric. There's a reason Russell Brand infuriates people through his cretinous levels of intelligence... yet can command an audience brilliantly and seduce a level of woman that even the top guys here would nod respectfully at.
>
> Anyhoo, the main thing I dropped in here to point out is that there's actually purpose to argumentation if you know what you're doing. It's the logical way in which we can discuss issues and gain intellectual growth. There's an old guide to this I wrote a while back in my submissions history if you're interested. I wrote this because most people suck at arguing... they don't actually understand what they're doing because we're never taught it at school. (But everyone thinks they're great lol.)
>
> As you say though, keep it separate from your seduction. It doesn't belong there and will never help you... unless you're doing some sort of public debate in which case winning will give you massive conditional status boost (status trumps all) and you'll probably get hit on by some women from the audience after the event.

[Advice] Be confident not a prick

22 upvotes · 1 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Me and my date discussed the night with her and these are some things we concluded
>
> You're a moron. Firstly, women don't understand themselves, let alone other women. The entire conversation was a total waste of your time and no conclusions should be drawn from it. This annoys me because if you're as far along as you claim to be with your passive aggressive put-down of this guy:
>
> > I noticed immediately he was pulling some of the classic 101 seduction moves. That's ok, everyone has to work with what they've got right?
>
> Then you should know better than to make the first grade mistake of consulting fish on how to catch fish. It's stated with enough regularity around here. Get your ego in check and go work on whatever insecurity is causing you to behave in a passive aggressive gossipy fashion. It oozes the bitchy feminine.
>
> Secondly, a woman's reaction to a man's behaviour around others is dictated by what her beliefs of her social perception will be. It's no longer down to pure attraction or lust, you've thrown the social dynamics of the entire

group as a spanner in the works. This is why we typically advise when you're pulling, you need to isolate her. Otherwise cock blocks outside of your control come into play and that's a pain in the butt when you've invested time in gaming a chick. All that happened here is you two turned up and her friend wanted to appear like she wasn't remotely easy and then rationalised her decision as his fault. After all, she can't admit that she wouldn't go for a high value man, because that would make her seem like her attraction radar is broken. She also can't say she's not attractive enough. It's got to be his fault... "he's creepy" is the standard response of women in a pinch.

In simple terms... to expand on another comment here:

> There was no way your date's date was going to slut out and go home with that guy in front of both of you.

It wasn't his fault at all. He didn't invite you two over. You two came over and cock blocked him. Maybe your date manipulated you in order to do this... women are catty like that. Who knows. Who cares? All I know is you got this backwards, you're the cockblocking twat in this scenario and he's the poor bastard who couldn't do anything about it because he didn't know how he would potentially address the situation.

The Devil Worshippers

22 upvotes · 21 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

This piece comes at a very opportune time. Black and white thinking is never particularly good. We seem to have had an influx of guys lately who aren't grasping this need to ditch right and wrong in favour of a more nuanced approach. Putting yourself in the shoes of others is essential to truly appreciating reality in its entirety.

Girls interrupting you and shit testing you may be annoying, but when you step out of your position as a man and look at things from her perspective, it's bloody useful! It's essential to a successful sexual strategy for a woman. I've written before on how feminism is just a group advocating for female hedonism... the issue that it has is that as per usual... women don't know how to make themselves happy so their hedonistic pursuits inevitably end in unhappiness. They need a man to lead them. They need a man's frame to sit in: comfy, protected and finally happy.

The irony of TRP is that they call us woman haters... yet we actually know we're more likely to make women happy for the long term than feminism will. It's all just bratty children trying to say that daddy couldn't possibly know what's best for her. "You don't know me!" And yet here we are... chuckling away, amused at the silly little girls who don't know what they're doing and wondering why after having fun they've ended up with lots of sex from alphas, provision and validation from betas, marriage, children and then free money from a divorce... and they're still not happy and they can't fathom the possibility that their approach might have been wrong. After all... AWALT - No responsibility here. Must be the devil's fault or something.

Nah, let's just head over to the blue pill sub and blame Cis. It's probably his fault 'cos he says things that hurt the fee fees. He's such a smooth tongued devil.

"I'm not having sex with you tonight."

22 upvotes · 25 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Most of this is just retreading old ground for the vets

We've all done a FR about this. So we don't bother reposting it. We just wait for you guys to figure it out and share.

To be honest, beyond that I have little to say. You've played everything very well.

Personally (and so to help guys more like me) I'd have employed more pressure flip style game. So for example the bit where she responds to your sex joke

> H: "It's not like you haven't either..." (admission of guilt)

I would have responded something along the lines of "Only attractive girls make it into those fantasies." Feed that little hamster. Might have reduced the LMR.

Then again, I can't talk... since I've been told by a chick "we're not having sex tonight" in between sucks on my dick sooo... yeah. (She was lying too, for those who couldn't figure it out.)

How do you respond to a girl who says “make me” playfully/sexually

21 upvotes · 5 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> She probably means "spank me."

What does RP think about psychological therapy?

21 upvotes · 6 January 2019 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Cognitive based therapies have a lot of good science behind them. However, psychology is a field that has been compromised by social justice ideologues who have repeatedly tried to twist things to suit their own conclusions. As a result the question of "does it have value?" gets an answer by an informed red pill man of "yes and no." There's a long list of where you'd go yes and a similarly long list of where you'd go no. If was advising a man about getting therapy I would suggest seeking a man with strong training in cognitive therapies. Do not go to a woman for counselling as she will likely adopt the female mindset which is less useful for a man trying to fix his own mindset. You will have better results with a male adaptive mindset and empathy on the part of the counsellor will be both easier and stronger.

Anyone get a little disgusted by your girl's social media history?

21 upvotes · 10 September 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Your disgust is a reflection of yourself. My LTR does not have this problem. Why? Because I screened well. Perhaps you shouldn't be having an LTR when you're clearly not ready for one.

Raising a glass to a fallen brother

21 upvotes · 13 August 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Insanity is a sane response to an insane world. When you say he was mentally ill, what do you diagnose?

The effects of monk mode for 2 months at age 38

21 upvotes · 3 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> During monk mode you're typically cutting yourself off from the world for 1-3 months depending on your requirements. He's talking about picking up phone numbers. That can't reasonably happen in monk mode because you wouldn't be social enough for it to happen without something seriously random and crazy happening.

Working on your looks is much more important than working on your game

21 upvotes · 18 February 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> The SMV criteria is as follows:
>
> Facial Aesthetics Body (includes height and dick) Game Money Status 1 and 2 get you in the door, and without game, your money and status will be manipulated from you as a beta bucks because you simply dont know any better. A man that is a complete broke drunk who says everything socially unacceptable but still has top tier looks and cock can still be considered a 10/10 (Chad), whereas a man who only possess game,

> status, and/or money cannot be a 10 by default for merely existing.
>
> Status is ranked below money because in todays metoo age, any status can be destroyed in an instant over false allegations whereas a financial empire cannot unless you're a retard (i.e. marriage).
>
> Everything else is hamstering.

This is objectively wrong.

Firstly, status trumps all. Your argument against is so ridiculous I don't even know where to begin. Status is attractive while you have that status... just because it can be destroyed and thus go to zero, does not mean the status when it is had no longer has value. The chick still wants to bang the lead guitarist in the club. Stop posting and go back to reading for a bit.

Second, dick doesn't matter unless you have a micropenis. Learn sex god method and you'll be fine whatever size you're packing.

Third, you don't need or want to be a 10/10 because then you will have the problem of women disqualifying themselves as a social precaution. If you've never gamed attractive women who underrate themselves then you won't grasp this. Get out there and game more.

Fourth, under your method the kid without a perfect face and poor height is just fucked. This is untrue. Height and face are just negatives on the SMV judgement. Good dress, style, attitude and social proof can reverse this comfortably. You've got much still to learn young padawan.

My sister is a useless bitch. Western culture poisons women.

21 upvotes · 11 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is what happens when you don't hold women to account for their actions.

Woman hits the wall at 25, has mental breakdown: single mother with no money comes to realise no one wants to date a single mother, has vitriolic anger towards her ex who's still living a happy life and having relationships.

21 upvotes · 28 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> But we know this can't possibly be true.

Absolutely legit criticism.

My primary concern is that OP is building up the actions of a man who got a chick pregnant (not Red Pill. Short of a chick off her pill and your condom failing, this shouldn't happen with a plate) and then is apparently doing nothing to raise the child (thus damaging that child and creating another beta or slut and effectively reversing his positive contribution to the gene pool by allowing it to become a negative one.)

The point is not so much that we don't know the truth... it is merely that the "truth" being shown to us is being endorsed as Red Pill behaviour. We could debate all day about all the possible realities that could be going on here... all I'm focused on is what he's endorsed which categorically not Red Pill behaviour. The guy may be an alpha, but he's not Red Pill. We would not end up in such a situation and even if we did, we'd probably handle it differently - it's *highly* unlikely a Red Pill man would end up in this situation, behaving like this. It would require a combination of lots of bad luck and a big dollop of fucking up badly on his part.

Berkeley feminazi writes hate article on white boys; is utterly demolished in the comments

21 upvotes · 1 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's like their constantly reprogramming their own morality from situation to situation.

That's because they are. The hamster is strong. Now you know this, use it.

[OBSERVANCE] "I know, [my bf] is such a flirt!"

21 upvotes · 10 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This girl is a unicorn. She's an easy 9 and her personality makes her a 10. I know some of you don't think they exist, but they do. They're just already locked down.

Honestly? Does nobody around here listen to anything?

If Brad Pitt showed up and asked her to go to his hotel room, she'd ditch her boyfriend in a heartbeat. Stop being so absolutist. Stop with the nonsensical unicorn talk and remind yourself of the basic reading on AWALT and hypergamy.

Ex starts dating top 1% (in school)

21 upvotes · 8 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

Right, number 1 - Do not ask fish how to catch fish. I have quite small eyes myself, so trust me when I say it's not going to prevent you banging hot chicks. Women just say what they think you want to hear so you'll like them more and give them more free attention. Never ever ever ever ever go to a woman for advice on dating ever again.

Yes focus on your exams. Yes, go with your money making ideas. Money is an excellent facilitator and allows you to increase your societal status more easily. Remember, status trumps all!

Also, have a think about what you want out of your interactions and time with women. Once you know that, I can advise you how to proceed.

"If you made more money, we would have more sex"

21 upvotes · 7 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Can confirm. I have a friend who is in an LTR with a girl who works in the legal profession. She's constantly looking to branch swing (and has actively interviewed me, when my friend would pop to the bathroom, for said branch swing. Not happening on my watch, but doesn't mean it won't happen on the watch of someone who doesn't care about my friend.)

Is it possible to escape the 'orbiter zone' and be more than friends with a girl?

21 upvotes · 9 December 2015 · /r/askTRP

If you leave her for like 6+ months and come back a noticeably different man, she might re-evaluate. Otherwise, no.

[Mod Warning: Temp Ban Policy] Posting Shit That Belongs in /r/askTRP in the main /r/TheRedPill Subreddit

21 upvotes · 6 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I've seen this on the rise too. Along with those guys who think they're above formatting their threads. So I've just stopped helping these people. I suggest everyone else does the same.

Response to "Don't Hate Women For Being Women"

21 upvotes · 3 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I haven't read Omlala's piece and I doubt I really need to in order to address this. This is coming at one of the nuances of the pill which you'll identify faster if you remember that TRP is focused on reality.
>
> Women have natural urges towards hypergamous behaviour. Sometimes this behaviour will cause her to commit crimes like assault or criminal damage when she throws tantrums and doesn't get her way. Should she be held accountable for this? Absolutely. However the limits on this are decided by the legal system. She may not be held accountable and that's just our modern culture that arbitrarily arrests men in a domestic dispute regardless of circumstances. That's reality.
>
> If you're in a relationship with a woman and she branch swings because of hypergamy and you're left broken hearted because she thought she had a shot with a more alpha guy than you... should we hold her accountable? No. She's doing what women do. You already knew this, so it's your responsibility to act accordingly and there is no legal reason for her not to act that way. That puts the onus on you to adapt. There is nothing in place to discourage her behaviour. That's reality.
>
> Are you seeing the common theme here? The buck regularly comes back to you because all we can give you is reality. All we can tell you is women will be women and there's no point hating that because they're going to act that way regardless of what you think. Should we allow them off the hook for responsibility? Depends whether that is possible. Again, let's focus on the reality and whether it's reasonable to be able to make her take responsibility for her actions. If whatever she did was legal, then you're probably shit out of luck holding her accountable - so it's time to adapt.
>
> If you're not satisfied with this answer, you can always become an MRA.

Hitting the wall seems to affect white American women in a different kind of way.

21 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > They don't just stop there either, they keep trying to turn men against in each, single out guys that want to enjoy the sexual market place, and intervene in the business of other people who are trying to enjoy their own youth. What I saw with my friend and his frat boy aspirations are the same things I have seen play out a few different times.
>
> Nah man, they view him as a beta. Betas need to know their place. If he joins a frat then by virtue of status he becomes alpha. "A beta masquerading as an alpha?!! OMG he's like raping women by deception!"
>
> So they tell him he can't do that... because it's creepy. (Again, the definition of creepy is "a beta performing any action that would be welcomed if an alpha performed it.") Team grrrl in action - doing their best to maintain the filtering system between alphas and betas.

Interesting AWALT stance by some UK taxi drivers

21 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Somebody needs to go back to school. Your understanding is not quite there and the analogy doesn't hold.

If a girl shows you interest after 3 years of not talking is she worried about her SMV going down or sees how your smv has gone up.

20 upvotes · 1 June 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Dear diary, there's this one girl who I keep thinking about 3 years down the line after being rejected....

Girlfriends ex is still trying to harass me 6 years after their break up. What do I do?

20 upvotes · 2 July 2020 · /r/askTRP

> So you've been tolerating this for 6 years? Well get back to us once you've grown some testicles and got your basic reading out the way.

Signs she might be a former carousel rider looking for a provider.

20 upvotes · 25 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This has been up for 21 hours and only 30 upvotes?
>
> That's why I consider the majority of the TRP community to be morons who don't know what they're talking about. This is high quality and a timely reminder for anyone.
>
> On the issue of the thousand cock stare... I think you've got to experience it to really know it. I remember a girl I dated when she was 17 and innocent. Gorgeous eyes, so deep and full of love. Three years after we'd broken up when I'd been Red Pilled I saw pictures of her and those eyes. They'd lost all the warmth, all the love. They looked hollow, like she'd lost her soul in a bargain with the devil and was a broken spirit. Before I saw this I thought the thousand cock stare was just a myth. Nope. It's completely real. Keep an eye out for it. (It can get you laid faster.)

Just unplugged, my story.

20 upvotes · 24 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Get it off your chest man. Here's the only place this will be understood for precisely what it is. On other subs they'll tell you how mean you were or that she was just a particular bitch because of something which isn't her fault.
>
> No pussy passes available here. When a cunt acts like a cunt we'll going to call her a cunt. And your bitch was a total cunt. All bitches are capable of being total cunts if they're allowed to be. Time to get your lifting on, get your diet in order and start reading. For your starting attitude adjustment, read my TRP Field Toolkit series. Best way past that dumb bitch is to get with a hotter bitch.

[FR] Everyone starts somewhere.

20 upvotes · 23 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Congrats playing on hard mode. It takes balls. I could write an essay of feedback here but I'll just put a few points with practical solutions so you can focus your steps and not try to change too much at once.
>
> With regards the drinking... sticking to one drink is an option. Though it's probably better to get watered down drinks and/or slip in a virgin cocktail here and there (great little mystery piece if you can do it with confidence and overcome any attempts to mock you for it that a beta male might try.) You could also order a shandy or a bottle of cider with a pint of ice as bottles contain less and the ice waters the drink down. Any of these are alternative practical solutions to avoid getting drunk too easily. Pick whatever works for you.
>
> > I shouldn't have asked this. If I'm going to try this, I should state it to gain some connection: “I seem like a real asshole, huh?” Something like what I've seen in speed seduction's "This would be really soon to kiss a guy, huh?"
>
> Never say anything like that. You never need to ask her opinion on anything you intend to do or seek her validation. You don't want or need it. You are already the shit. You want to kiss her, go for a kiss. You don't care if she thinks you're an asshole because asshole can be said in many ways with chicks.
>
> > Blonde says she thinks I think she’s dumber than me.
>
> "Do you always test whether a guy likes blondes that way?"

Let her fumble, then follow up with "It's a little too transparent. You should learn to be more subtle." (Cheeky grin.)

> I start getting shit from BF & GF about not buying Blonde a drink.

"It's her round/turn."

> “I won’t ditch my friend,”

Translation: Please find a way to ditch my friend.

> “I don’t invite guys over to my place.”

Translation: We'll need to go back to your place, but you'll need to find a way to do it that protects my social image.

So this is a matter of isolating then venue switching. Take her outside if you can drink outside. Off to stand at the bar, something like that. When you venue switch, you tell her to text her friend to tell her where you're going under the guise that you don't think it's right of Blondie to drag her friend away from what she's doing and instead leave her to catch up later. (This provides her the acceptable excuse she's looking for that she can justify her actions to her friend later.) Once you switched venues, grab another drink together. If you're buying her a drink through a rounds system, get her to buy you both a shot to do for "funsies." This keeps her overinvested in you. Once you're done, tell her you're done for the night and when she realises she's about to be left alone in a bar... she'll be eager accept the "come back to mine for a nightcap, then you can head on home." Or "I've got a fantastic bottle of X at my place, which you just have to try before you head home." The rest writes itself.

Should I stop going out with my friend?

20 upvotes · 14 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> pretty much maxed my SMV.

I don't believe you've maxed your SMV if you've never been approached by a woman. Plus the way you write this, your inner game is clearly suffering. Tell me what you've been doing to address your inner game? This in turn could be affecting your body language and putting women off.

Do British women have masculine voices?

19 upvotes · 18 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

No. Next retarded question?

Why did RP'ed guys started rejecting and criticizing " She's not yours, it's just your turn"?

19 upvotes · 3 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Red Pilled Guys are claiming that it's wrong and unhealthy?

Please cite which endorsed guys are claiming this is wrong and unhealthy. List usernames in reply.

Anybody who is not endorsed has not been vetted over time and their remarks should be viewed as "red-pill-in-training" so they can get things right and get things wrong. So if there are no endorsed guys claiming this, then you have your explanation.

The folks saying "it's wrong and unhealthy" are not red pill and are incorrect in their assertions. Report such posts

> as "not red pill advice."

What's the deal with "Red Pilled" women

19 upvotes · 21 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> > always shit testing me
>
> You've obviously never read that sub. They try to avoid drama and shit testing their man a lot since it can damage a relationship.

How I Manage My LTR

19 upvotes · 7 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> You have just committed the cardinal sin on this sub. You compared men and women as if they are remotely comparable.
>
> Men and women are very different both biologically and psychologically. They have different sexual strategies, different goals in relationships and different strengths and weaknesses. The idea of measuring these two different types who play very different games as if they're on equal footing is beyond absurd. Go to the back of the class.

Rule Reminder: Why keeping RP to yourself will save you trouble.

19 upvotes · 6 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> I was once at a group thing chatting with a couple of guys about dating and the behaviour of women. Casual chat, dropping some RP truth because why not, I'm the god damned Nightwing. Just some simple stuff about the attraction process, women's behaviour in dating and relationships. Anyways, they started pushing back against some of it and saying "that's not true, doesn't work like that, women aren't all like that" etc etc.
>
> A quick poll sorted things out. "Raise your hand if you have a girlfriend." Just me. "Raise your hand if you're currently having regular sex with a girl, at least once a week." Just me again. "Gents, somehow I think I may know a little more on this topic." Then chalked it up to my academic background to prevent too much anxiousness from the cognitive dissonance. (And hopefully give them pause for future thoughts on women.) But hey, doesn't affect me if they become Red Pill or not. I can just do this because I've been around the block a few times at this point.
>
> Moral of the story though: men will cling to their convictions until they can see some tangible proof that they're wrong. All that said, I DO NOT advise taking RP truths public, particularly for newbies. You will be eaten alive.

The Store Cashier With 300K+ Followers

19 upvotes · 2 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Modern social media is breaking attractive women by artificially boosting their perceived SMV to 11s and 12s where nothing but a celeb will do it.

PSA: Girls do not ejaculate

19 upvotes · 15 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I was wondering when this was going to come up. For those interested in the science there was a study done on this in 2014 to figure out what was going on. OP has stated it well enough so I won't repeat him.
>
> If I was to make an educated guess as to what was going on during the methodology used for stimulating "squirting" in a girl... sexual stimulation building to orgasm combined with increased pressure on maybe part of the bladder (depending on how small the girl is, if I remember my biology, the bladder is partly south of the cervix), but definitely in the urethra, forcing the muscle controlling the urethral opening to contract harder. Since

> that muscle is not the heart, it can and will fatigue eventually. Fatigued muscles lose their strength and relax against the will of the person. Given that orgasms are known for reducing our control over our muscles, she achieves orgasm and the muscle relaxes so she then spontaneously pisses herself (or "squirts").
>
> I have no particular problem with guys who want to make a girl squirt. Watersports is a fetish after all... not my bag, but some people like it. Though I think this topic is very important for clarifying things for everybody. We're not here to moralise on TRP... just to give you the information. For this reason I think we should leave the squirting piece up on the sub. Though I would recommend /u/Clint_Redwood puts some of this info in his article so that guys know what they're getting into.

2 years unplugged.. I'm so damn tired.

19 upvotes · 14 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It sounds like you merely have attractive qualities. You haven't harnessed your natural inner alpha.
>
> My next couple of pieces will be covering the differences between alpha behaviours vs attractive qualities and then types of alpha to try and kick start people thinking more naturally about their goal/achievements etc. What type of alpha would you naturally be? Have a think about this as a starting point and also have a think about your leadership skills.

12 Reasons You Should Date a Retired Party Girl... and other fantastic advice

19 upvotes · 7 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > She is over her bad-boy phase
>
> I fell off my chair laughing at this. Honestly, all of this seems more geared to trying to convince herself that she's not the loser she appears to be.
>
> On a separate note, the author's favourite movie is Trainwreck.

Women have sex on the first date all the time - they just don't want you to believe so (Video Source)

19 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Women who spell out that they're not having sex on the first date say so precisely because they have a decorated past of fucking on the first date.
>
> Yeah, they do so because of that past. The woman who doesn't have sex on the first date simply doesn't allow herself into a situation where she could. She doesn't go back to his place, she doesn't invite him back to hers. She doesn't accompany him into areas alone. She doesn't try to tug at his pants... or my personal favourite: she doesn't say "we're not having sex tonight" while she's naked and giving him a blowjob. - True story.

Double-Think Overload or False Rape Claim Volume 3543543

19 upvotes · 10 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> In the comments section we have such an obvious and instant destruction of the entire article in one sentence: "For no other crime would "it will upset the defendant" be considered a valid reason to defer prosecution."

Free speech is so last century. Today's students want the 'right to be comfortable'

19 upvotes · 24 November 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> If being comfortable has become a right.... then I need my rights enforced. I have a list of people who make me

> "uncomfortable." They irritate me if you want specifics... We'll have to clear out most of the country, but I think it'll be worth it to make me feel better.

/r/AskMen asks "Men that opened up to the girlfriend/wife when they asked you to open up and be more vulnerable, how did it work out for you?" and gets the wrong answer...

18 upvotes · 12 February 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> NEVER EVER expose yourself like this. This is why you cultivate strong male-male heterosexual relationships. And why you will remember that in this reality the other men who come to you CAN NOT rely upon a woman for this. Support your fellow men in their times of need and build strong friendships.

My life expirences don't match the redpill

18 upvotes · 1 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

> The exception does not disprove the rule. Go enjoy your life.

Found out my gf had a threesome with two dudes and it's making me sick

18 upvotes · 18 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> She claims she hadn't any ONS apart from that threesome

Never had a ONS.... except for a threesome with two guys.... if you believe that you'll believe anything.

I assume you plated her for whatever period of time. Her original encounter with you could have been a ONS. Did she seem very apprehensive about hopping into bed with you?

You can raise these two points with her and say she has this one chance to tell the whole truth if she wants to keep you. You'll then get to observe trickle truth in action as she admits to other ONSs.

> for me a woman that has done this kinda stuff isn't really something i would consider LTR material.

Does not compute with:

> The weirdest thing is that I knew about this from early on (I completely brushed it off) but it didn't bug me until things started to get more serious,

Essentially you're saying you knew she didn't pass the LTR vetting test.... but you then LTR'd her. Are you mentally retarded? Or do you just not grasp the point of vetting the women you LTR? Or do you just set standards for yourself and then ignore them because you're so desperate for an LTR?

Girl is trying to manipulate me into commitment

18 upvotes · 16 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> She overtly told me she doesn’t think we should hang this week and that in order to feel more secure she thinks she should talk/fuck other guys.

So she's automatically disqualified herself as LTR material. Nothing more to see here. Plate her if you want but she's not LTR material.

> I obviously don’t want her to do this since I am vetting her for ltr

The vetting is over. Vetting is a process of watching how she behaves. She's literally trying to fuck with your head

> and is openly talking to you about fucking other guys. The vetting has worked, it has demonstrated she is not to be invested in because she is not LTR material.
>
> You don't get to negotiate attraction and you don't get to negotiate your way around vetting her behaviour to create an LTR. She is either good LTR material or she is not LTR material. Vetting is what you do to get the answer on this. The answer was a resounding "DO NOT LTR ME."

Alpha cuck wtf?

18 upvotes · 26 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> High SMV does not equal alpha.

20M my girlfriend keeps disrespecting me

18 upvotes · 12 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Looking at your previous posts, how long the big red flags have been flying and how long the relationship has been in the wrong dynamic (which you have noticed and has made you sad because you can sense it in your gut)... this one isn't worth saving.
>
> Dump her, go lift and get your life back on the upswing. Game some girls and spin some plates for a couple of years, the way you speak you do not seem ready for an LTR. You don't have the skills or the inner game for it.

LTR: The Bare Minimum You Need to Know

18 upvotes · 9 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> When porn doesn't do it for them anymore... they've got to do something while they're masturbating.

2 female friends whom I thought were 'good girls' now might have genital herpes from going raw with same guy.

18 upvotes · 24 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah Gronk! You fuckin' tell that dumb endorsed guy about the red pill. You fuckin' educate him! You put down your comeback in the most condescending way you possibly can to demonstrate how you haven't read the second post on the sidebar. Thanks, I needed that laugh.
>
> Unfortunately, you've now forfeited the chance to learn anything Red Pill from me. Instead, here's three blue pill concepts you're demonstrably following from your two posts here:
>
> - women are wonderful/pussy pass - you absolve women of their shit behaviour and make arguments that imply that their nature makes their behaviour acceptable.
> - you work from the implicit assumption that men and women are comparable. They are not and even when this is highlighted to you... you carry on anyway and fail to distinguish between the two separate games that the genders are playing.
> - blind faith/failure to work from the assumption that you're wrong. This prevents intellectual growth. This third one is the most important. You've got a lot of work to do to unplug, and I sure as shit won't be helping you again.

A funny exchange with my mother

18 upvotes · 20 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > You have uttered the name of GaylubeOil and in so doing summoned his holiness!
>
> If you look into the mirror and say it three times at your gym, he appears and starts shouting Arnold quotes at you

> for motivation.

AWALT. Even in your own family.

18 upvotes · 29 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is why you must remind yourself regularly that men and women aren't equal or the same. As a man, you are better than women. And it's dead easy to see it in your own family as you discover the truly disgusting behaviour of the women you are related to. Sometimes you'll wonder how it's possible to **not** be better than them.
>
> If you wanted to watch just how much women rely upon men for everything, you'd just tell them in regards to that conversation that they're both appalling human beings. Then watch how they react. It will be to try and shame you, then brow beat you, demand an apology, defeat your point of view, dismiss it, then come back to beg for an apology. A man can dismiss the views of others if they're foolish... women can't. If you held your ground they'd go mental trying everything to make you concede that they're not bad or to try and win back your approval. Women are pathetic.

Don't ever show jealousy

18 upvotes · 16 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Same principle must be generalised to schrodinger's boyfriend.

[FR] Everyone starts somewhere.

18 upvotes · 23 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> The number of fucks you give is far too high. Please reduce for improved funsies.

Post wall workplace women out to ruin me

18 upvotes · 4 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This reads more like you have piss poor corporate social skills than some kind of actual problem.
>
> HR women have always exercised power over meaningless nonsense in the corporate world. They tend to be the equivalent of a nagging wife who just won't stop until their demands are met. While you may not like this, typically the men give in to their stupid demands just to shut them up. Why? Because they've got better shit to do than worry about the ass of a subordinate.

Honest question for this community

18 upvotes · 20 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Typically the way TRP is misrepresented is due to a lack of ability to understand nuance and pragmatism ahead of black and white thinking with a dash of utopian dreams. I began writing a post on that once but then realised it was going to become ridiculously long. Like, absurdly so. If you look at the reply by u/red-curry here, you get an idea of just how much needs to be written to even begin clearing things up.
>
> Try approaching TRP, not as dogma, but as a nuanced guide to understanding the sexual market place. This guide is therefore multifaceted because such a wide degree of things affect your SMV, and the way you approach the SMP will also impact your wider life.
>
> The reason you misconstrue things like:
>
> > The consensus is that women are basically unworthy of living past the age thirty
>
> is not so much that we think that. It's because the discussion is about the sexual market place, and the vast majority of women have very little value once they hit 30. Naturally "the wall" comes at different ages for every woman. Many Asian women don't hit that looks wall until their 40s. However the reason women tend to lose their

looks around 30 is because they naturally lose their fertility around that age, it goes downhill very fast over the following ten years. Nature is simply telling you as a man that this woman is not as fertile anymore. When you're discussing sexual value, then for women we're talking about their looks and fertility. This is separate to value as a human being. Do you see the difference and why the latter isn't going to be widely discussed here?

If you want to read where that's put in... have a look at some of the LTR game guides. Things like the unicorn hunter checklist will go through admirable qualities in a woman that provide her with greater value as a person. Skills, personality traits that make her pleasant to be around, goals that provide her life with direction. All these things would add value to any person's life.

Unfortunately, due to the deregulation of the SMP and subsequent fall of female value in the Relationship Market Place, it's becoming logically obvious to men everywhere that the RMP is no longer worth the investment of time because women with high RMV seem to make up less than 0.1% of the market. So what is the logical conclusion you'd draw? The main focus of discussion shifts to the SMV and how to be successful there. And with that, the value of women is far more frequently discussed as merely their SMV and their RMV is rarely noted. Now I will do my best to answer your questions.

> do you see your mom and/or sisters in this same view point?

Yes and no. Women will display all the typical traits to varying degrees. So there I say yes. Do they have the same value as a random woman I'm interested in? No, family naturally has inherent increased value as people to me.

> Since women don't hold much value outside of simply the ability to carry a man's seed, is there a reason to pursue a relationship with any woman and if so who would that type of woman be, and would they ever be able to prove that in fact not AWALT or are AWALT and some are just good enough to tolerate for the basic necessities?

Just like female attractiveness varies, the personalities and additional value of women varies from one to the other. Due to the way the RMV of the majority of women these days is pretty low... it gives the impression that all woman have no value. This is false, you've just got to appreciate the nuance and then navigate the fact that many people lie about their value. After all, a woman of poor relationship value is still going to try and get a man of high value if she can. We all want to try and get the best person we can, there's nothing wrong with that in itself. The problem comes when some will lie about their value to get access to someone higher value than they would otherwise get. Women who have high value as individuals are worth knowing for more than just sex... but again, thanks to the way society has changed, these women are now rare.

> Was there some woman in your life that caused so much hurt that this mindset seemed the only truth or have you met a woman who defied these beliefs and who was she?

I've had women who've hurt me in the past. Their behaviour was all in line with the way TRP would predict it. Women who have not hurt me are still in line with the way TRP would predict. I have yet to meet a woman who has not acted in the way TRP would predict. My feelings are irrelevant to the way the women in my life have chosen to act. It is my actions which have caused changes in their actions. Not whether I was hurt or not.

As has been mentioned many times in this thread, different women will react differently to their urges and feelings. Some will control them better than others. But they will all feel them.

> Does the average red pill man stay monogamous or fore-see monogamy as part of a relationship with a woman one day? Or is the idea that once a woman hits the wall, we should always have a younger plate to spin?

This depends how you want to live your life. Some men want to have relationships, others want to spin plates,

> MGTOWs want out of the whole thing entirely. There is no right answer to this. Only what you choose that will make you happy. Personally, I'm keeping an open mind about all three options.

A discussion on how the explosion of Tinder, etc. may have ripped a hole in the continuum of dating

18 upvotes · 10 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> For goodness sake guys... being too short is no different to being the wrong race. You can't control it and it's not attractive and you can't change it. You play the game with -1 to your base SMV. Can we all please get over this before I actually have to put together a post on it.

[FR] Plate's friend got violent with her boyfriend

18 upvotes · 7 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah, it's a colloquial phrase. Means realising something which isn't obvious.
>
> Use Google next time. You're here to ask questions, sure... but not ones you can find out on your own. Try your own research before asking questions in the future. You need to start taking the lead in your own masculine development.

[FR] Plate's friend got violent with her boyfriend

18 upvotes · 7 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is actually a complex one because it's really obvious that a lot of feminists are not attractive. So it's tempting to put the whole thing down to an attempt to subvert the SMP because of the fact that feminism has had a significant impact on both the SMP and RMP.
>
> However you've got to remember that women play everything between the lines. It's never up front. This is why womanese is such a needlessly complex and sometimes contradictory language. Once you reconcile this with the way women drag down competition, while men try to exceed the competition... you'll start to get an idea of why a creature that is mostly led by her emotions of the moment, will try to play nice with a man they like one minute and then try to tear him down in a jealous rage the next. The jealousy is the unique factor here. Women will typically disqualify themselves from pursuing men who are significantly above their SMV because they know it will hurt their social status for appearing to have such poor social skills. (i.e. they can't read the signals or the sexual hierarchy and are therefore of low value. It's similar to a man who can't game for shit. Once he's found out, his poor social skills make his SMV plummet.)
>
> However the emotions strike in a moment where she feels entitled to have the attention of a man and his SMV is in range, then she suddenly realises that it isn't. Her perceptions of the gap were false and the ego defence mechanisms jump into play, getting the hamster running to protect her image of her SMV - the hamster does have a purpose for women to ensure they keep their standards high and only accept a high quality partner... even when they're shit. Hence the 20/80 rule regardless of the fact that a woman's SMV falls on a scale which demonstrates a normal distribution. Irrational things can usually be explained as rational if they are pragmatic.

"Some are not ready to be unplugged."

18 upvotes · 16 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This post isn't so much about whether someone wants to be unplugged or not... it's about not wasting your time with feminised "friends" who display all the signs of having no testicles, come to you for advice, then don't take it. They waste your time and should be avoided as much as possible. Find new, better friends.

Dealing with girls who flake

18 upvotes · 22 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I have a no tolerance policy on flakes. Even with an excuse. Either she's not invested in me enough and I've fucked that up somehow. Or she is... which means that she will treat a man she's after with total disrespect because you're easily replaceable.
>
> Thing is, you can't be sure if it's you or if it's a typical sign of her own behaviour. Err on the side of caution and assume it's a sign of her attitude and behaviour. I have no time to waste on this shit. Next bitch in line please!

9 Assumptions People Make About Single Women That Are Totally True (but this hamster rationalizes as bullshit)

18 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > For those of you that are smart, good times are ahead bros - good times are indeed ahead.
>
> "There's always something suspect about an intellectual on the winning side." - Vaclav Havel

Opening a restaurant specifically for men

18 upvotes · 16 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Here in the UK we still have *some* (not many) old fashioned pubs which are pretty much devoid of women. You may occasionally find a married woman out with her husband, but predominantly it's an all-male crowd. (Mostly married men 40+ come to shoot the shit.) There's also the occasional working man's club which tends to be a 99% male space. But again, it's all guys who're well past 40.
>
> One of the things I've learnt from these places is that the men who go there, think the current generation is a bunch of wussy faggots. Despite being from said generation, I can't really fault them. The majority of my peers are not very masculine in my opinion. They're also not very smart and don't deal with competition well. (They don't grow from it at all. How they've ended up here is a debate for another thread.) From this I'd say you've definitely got a market, at least in the UK you would, but I think you may struggle to attract the modern metrosexual man in his 20s. That's not right or wrong, despite my personal belief that it's a bit of a tragedy, but when you're dealing with business you need to be quite cold about the economics.

Exes.

17 upvotes · 10 April 2023 · /r/TheRedPill

> > The reason why women break up is that they wake up in the morning and **perceive** they have better options than the person they are with.
>
> FTFY. Otherwise you start falling into the mad world where women logic is objective and supposed to make sense.

am i being dramatic or should i withdraw attention from a girl ive been casually vetting

17 upvotes · 28 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> > I thought things were going pretty well with us and progressing towards a relationship so idk why she thought it was worth the effort to create an entirely new profile on the app.
>
> And you were wrong. She is now forever plate material and can never rise above that level. Your vetting worked. That is the purpose of vetting, to observe and disqualify unsuitable girls. She has demonstrated through her actions that she is unworthy of a serious relationship with you. Hit up other girls if you're still unicorn hunting. Spin her as a plate and have fun until she breaks. If you have concerns you may develop oneitis then you must

> hard next preemptively.
>
> There is nothing more to discuss.

Why do girls go so crazy over guys they find attractive?

17 upvotes · 21 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> > And just like magic all of this pussy started being thrown my way.
>
> This is exactly why I remind folks of the counter-intuitive rule that: if you want to get laid, stop wanting to get laid.

What makes girls fall in love?

17 upvotes · 26 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Answer to your title: Perceived value.

Met an Asian girl who's LTR material, or is she? Need some help to decide.

17 upvotes · 24 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> > When I first met her, she still had a fiancé she was engaged to for 7 years.
> >
> > I met her at a club (she slapped my ass to get my attention) and she fucked me on the first night.
>
> LTR material? How is this even a question??? Have you got mental problems or are you just a troll?

ATTENTION: Visitors from CNN

17 upvotes · 2 November 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Hahaha! They gave man some basic tools and to the surprise of the establishment, man began to evolve using these tools to better himself. Now they have to convince us to put them down... nah. We're good.

Thoughts on Race and Help for Dark Meat Guys Gaming White Girls

17 upvotes · 21 April 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is grossly under-upvoted. I guess straight truth hurts the most and all that.

Field Report - Worst Daygame Lay Ever

17 upvotes · 7 February 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > We've sat down with our drinks and the date structure was pretty usual despite being in just one venue (she refused to change it mid-date).
>
> How did this happen? Could you provide a little more detail? It might confirm a bit of feedback I'm thinking of.

2 female friends whom I thought were 'good girls' now might have genital herpes from going raw with same guy.

17 upvotes · 24 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > People say here if she came from a good family/strong father figure she's a lot less likely to have slutted it up, I say abandon all hope

> Actually I'm beginning to wonder if a strict family might have the opposite effect when they come to rebel. After all, there are no "good girls" just those who are more adept at hiding their bad behaviour. You'd need to raise her so that she admires her father so much that she wants to behave in a way that pleases him.

N-count matters. It's how we keep score of how far we've progressed.

17 upvotes · 11 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> There's no way to internalize an alpha mindset EXCEPT TO ACTUALLY FUCK AS MANY GIRLS AS POSSIBLE.

So Roosh is more alpha than Rollo? You carry on kiddo, you carry on. I'm gonna go try and sew my sides back together.

The whole raising of your n-count starts off as a very satisfying novelty. It makes you feel attractive and successful again... right up until you start to realise how much time and effort you're putting into women that you later discard. They're not investments, they're temporary liaisons design to satiate a specific urge. This is not to say that's wrong in any way, but it is to say that the novelty will wear off. You're basically in the bargaining stage right now. Eventually you'll realise there are better ways to invest your time and women are way down the list of priorities in life. Do you have a mission yet?

Guy spends hundreds on a girl to get what I got for free.

17 upvotes · 3 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> She says they got through an entire season of some anime tv-show watching it together (shmuck couldn't even make a move the whole time).

There's a couple of ways to interpret this. Yours is a valid interpretation. However you've got to remember, if this guy is loaded then £200 to him is like 20p to you. Would you consider spending 20p on a dinner to be a big deal? Maybe he wanted to have a nice meal and your chick was just the company and the fuck he'd have later when he felt like it?

You also talk about the weeks it took him... maybe he was crying alone in his mansion dreaming about her... or maybe he was balls deep inside some other chicks in the meantime? Perhaps he picked her up then because he felt like it, or maybe his prime chick had gone home for whatever reason.

My overall point is that you're ascribing beta to this guy from second-hand knowledge (from a chick... don't trust their words... "couldn't let him down with a no" could be her lying to you when she threw herself at him?) when he could be alpha or at least reasonably alpha and simply comes from a different world than you where sex is so easy to get he's never in any rush. (It's a sort of successful blue pill world... I know a guy I used to go to school with who's like that. He's intellectually and physically feeble, balding dad bod in his 20s... but he's still very confident and loaded - literally millionaire - so he gets 8s and 9s easy at his own pace.)

AWALT? Yes. Beta? Maybe. Jealousy? Maybe.

If you ask me, she's just told you all this story to try and make you compete harder for her. It's the exact sort of tactic I've seen bitches use to try and get a guy's commitment.

If you need hard evidence that AWALT, then look no further than this Instagram page.

17 upvotes · 4 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Some days I jump from dick to dick like it's an Olympic sport, other days I drink wine at home alone and

> cry myself to sleep... It's called balance.

They're emotionally aware that the CC is damaging them and lowering their value. But, as with all chicks... "oh look, other chicks can relate. All of them are lower value too so my competition isn't too bad."

Woman hits the wall at 25, has mental breakdown: single mother with no money comes to realise no one wants to date a single mother, has vitriolic anger towards her ex who's still living a happy life and having relationships.

17 upvotes · 28 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah, absent fathers who pay their child support are excellent role models and precisely what we want to be... it's not like we've identified that behaviour as a problem or anything...
>
> Stop posting for a month or two and lurk more.

Little piece of advice if a girl isn't interested

17 upvotes · 1 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Nets are more efficient than spears anyway.

Don’t waste your time. When in doubt, force her to act or move on

17 upvotes · 9 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Excellent, sir. Next!
>
> When I started out, I used to give chicks 2 chances. Now they only get one. This is how abundance mentality grows.

36 Questions that Lead to Love

16 upvotes · 25 February 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> Bonding happens at different paces for men and women. Bear this in mind with your little blue pill fantasies about "falling in love"

Its It just me or are girls increasingly turning to Onlyfans and the like?

16 upvotes · 1 June 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Pretty much yeah. It's basically prostitution-lite. Obviously if you're out in the field gaming chicks, you don't care about it. You're fine with it and non-judgemental and all that. In here talking theory, this is basically a woman commodifying her SMV at the expenditure of her RMV.
>
> More specifically, she is exchanging her RMV for money at a rate determined by her SMV. $20,000 a month is serious cash that some of the more attractive women are apparently earning. If you quizzed women on whether they'd like husband they love forever and kids etc or $20,000 per month... I'm honestly unsure whether this generation of women would even pick the husband and kids.
>
> We should probably add onlyfans to the LTR red flags list. If she's ever had an onlyfans at any point in her life, instant disqualification for LTR material. Though might be a green light as a plate if you're into making amateur porn with them.

She blocked me

16 upvotes · 27 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> That your value is so low in her eyes you not longer even seem like an acceptable beta provider. Congrats on unlocking omega level.

> Forget about her and get back to your plate set, whether in the gym or the sheets.

Found out current plate potential LTR is still using Tinder

16 upvotes · 30 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> She's no longer potential LTR then. There's nothing else to discuss here. Option B.
>
> You could theoretically confront her when she brings up the talk again and basically tell her "you're not behaving like someone who wants a serious committed relationship with me. You're behaving like someone who's playing a game and hedging her bets for whatever she can get. Obviously you can have fun with someone like that, but why on earth would I even consider a relationship with someone who clearly behaves like relationships are an accessory to gain in life rather than a serious emotional commitment that requires trust and investment from before it even begins?"
>
> Ultimately that sort of confrontation should be reminding you of option B anyway. This isn't a girl looking for a deep bond. This is a girl who is embracing her hypergamy to its fullest.

My ltr randomly dyed her hair pink and I hate it

16 upvotes · 23 March 2020 · /r/askTRP

> You're angry because it's openly disrespectful. Women know their looks are a prime attraction for men. To change her look without caring what her boyfriend thinks is basically a giant middle finger to your commitment to her. That's why you're angry about it, because you're angry at her for disrespecting you and angry at yourself for choosing poorly. Typically this is something that demonstrates low relationship value. You should probably be looking at other options while downgrading her to plate.
>
> If you're looking to approach any kind of conversation about it... you're in for some fun because it's borderline impossible to address this sort of problem without appearing beta and controlling. She will lose further respect for you if you fail this massive shit test. I think the only way to pass this one is with a combination of amused mastery and some heavy "I'm disappointed in you" delivered outside the usual womanese. (Of course, that cannot be done if you haven't demonstrated a fluency in womanese to begin with. If you've always been doing straight talk then you can't flip to it in a manner that holds weight. - When you do that it's essentially to tell the chick, your social skills are poor... so poor that I'm forced to use straight talk with your dumb ass.)
>
> Any conversation like this would have to begin with essentially laughing at her. "Why on earth did you dye your hair like that?" blah blah, stupid reason. "It looks so unbelievably awful." Laugh some more at her. Be very clear that it is the hair which looks awful rather than her generally or you'll end up in a whole different load of nonsense. She might leave it at that, in which case you're on to a winner because she's sticking with the womanese and not bothering to defend her stupidity. At which point, withdraw attention, add some light dread, absolutely no sex with her but don't tell her why. The hamster will spin and eventually land on "omg my smv has gone down. Better reverse this."
>
> If you get the possible pushback to the initial conversation like "you're trying to control me" and stuff like that. Drop the laughter suddenly and just address her clearly with something along the lines of "I've little interest in how you behave in your daily life. However, I've invested in a relationship with you and you have supposedly invested in one with me. Mutual attraction is a key component of any relationship. The fact you seem to think my opinion of your appearance holds no value is bizarre. It's like you've never had a mutually respectful relationship before." Some sort of disappointed tone and look should accompany this small bit of straight talk. Make sure you get away after that. She's likely to go very defensive because you've literally just called her social skills/relationship skills low value. Deflect all defensiveness with something like "I'm not arguing with you over dumb little things. I've gotta go do X. I'll catch ya later." Similar post-chat stuff of withdrawing attention, light dread when you do give attention and no sex for a couple of weeks.

> Again, that should leave just enough for the hamster without falling into beta trying to control her territory. She'll probably try to defend herself by phone to you. Ignore the texts for good few hours and respond with "Ok" or "k" or whatever curt I-don't-care-what-you're-saying-here response seems to fit for you. This could work... but honestly I don't think it's worth the effort. It's easier and less emotionally taxing to just bed a new chick.

"You're being very touchy-feely."

16 upvotes · 24 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Stroking hands is gay as fuck. It's something a grandma does to a child to reassure them. You're supposed to be pushing her slightly outside of comfort, give her an emotional rush. Betas provide comfort and reassurance.
>
> Responses to a touchy feely comment.... hmmm
>
> "Testing out the goods is important business practice for a modern man in the dating world."
>
> Or "I haven't decided just how touchy I might get yet."
>
> maybe "I feel everybody all over, even the guys" if you're cocky enough to pull that sort of A&A off.
>
> I'd probably go with something like this "Heterosexual girl dislikes the male touch. That's a new one, are you always this nervous and weird around guys you like?" Seem amused by this. The subtext of this one is all about "your social skills aren't very good love, you gonna keep being that weird girl who doesn't know how to test men without scaring them off?" I tend to go with stuff like this because it reasserts frame, throws in a bit of a neg and makes her start trying to justify herself to you. Calling a girl's actions weird is a good social skills neg to remember, but you need to have the confidence and DGAF attitude to pull it off. Though don't do it with insecure girls, they'll disqualify themselves as a social precaution thinking they've been found out which obviously ends everything on the spot.

Girls are using my dick.

16 upvotes · 20 March 2019 · /r/askTRP

> > full psychopath nofeelings mode
>
> This is not a goal on here. Go review the better quality material. Stoicism. Not feelingless nonsense.

Made this comment on an Aziz Ansari thread in 2X! You'll never guess what happened next! Oh yeah the thread didn't go their way so they ended up removing it instead of simply locking it.

16 upvotes · 17 January 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Think about how many stories you've heard about the persistent guy who lands the great girl.
>
> I see the bloopers are out today. Persistently chasing the same girl is not the same as repeating an escalation. (Aziz did not escalate, he asked permission... repeatedly. It is a beta tell.) If you are returning to a girl who has already rejected you (not her approaching you) then you are displaying scarcity mentality, not persistence. This is why women are creeped out by it.

Oral contraceptive changes preferences for male facial masculinity

16 upvotes · 20 April 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Actually, if memory serves, it simply keeps her in one phase of her menstrual cycle. I remember there's a fair bit of research on this and the long and short of it is, whenever she's not ovulating she wants feminine features in a man. (Hence the tremendous success of so many men who look very girly. See the Justin Beibers of the world.) While when she does ovulate, she becomes particularly attracted to very masculine features, far more attracted than she is to the feminine men during that time.

> Your theory that it fits in with AF/BB is quite interesting and definitely holds water. For practicality, if you have very masculine features you should try to soften them a little. Hairstyles and beards can accomplish this. Everything else is down to game. All of this is simply playing with base SMV.

Take her out to breakfast the morning after

16 upvotes · 23 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Because that's easier to disprove. When she says it about breakfast, you'd need to take her somewhere with CCTV but even then she can say she's faked her smile.
>
> With the text, they can check the telecoms info to see where she sent the text from. So if she says you intimidated her into it, they can read the text exchange and find out whether you were physically together. If you weren't and the text exchange reads as casual, then that's about as convincing as it gets that she's bullshitting.

Trickle truth. Watch out.

16 upvotes · 7 February 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Obviously this chick is to be hard nexted for her behaviour if she's an LTR. If she's just a plate then I'd say why bother with this in the first place. Those are the obvious bits. Anything other than that is not Red Pill.
>
> > Don't think I'm a fool. I give second chances.
>
> No, you are/were a fool. From this point onwards, you don't give second chances for trickle truthers. When you give a second chance, what you do is you legitimise her behaviour by your reaction. She does something shitty which is not just undesirable in an LTR, (undesirable stuff like forgetting to clean things can be tweaked) but is completely unacceptable if the relationship is to succeed... and you allow her to get away with it. She does not lose your commitment for this behaviour, therefore that behaviour is fine. It's just being caught that will cause her to feel bad. So what does she do? Clears out any evidence and redoubles her effort to be more careful in future. Anything other than a hard next under these circumstances is categorically the definition of blue pill. She's shown her personality, your turn to show yours.
>
> Now, this bit is where we have advice for everyone. How do we deal with trickle truth? It's fucking annoying isn't it? If only there was a way to cut past the bullshit. Oh, wait! There is.
>
> Once you catch a trickle truth, if you are so inclined towards straight talk at this point, there is only one option. Commanding respect and demanding submission. Specifically you tell her directly that her behaviour is unacceptable. Tell her she will stop lying to you through omission and half truths and that she gets one chance, now, to give the whole truth. Any further inconsistencies which you find later, any omissions or half truths will be treated as lies and she will be dumped on the spot. She should take a moment to gather her thoughts and think hard to remember everything because anything she forgets will be assumed to have been "forgotten" on purpose and she will be dumped.
>
> In essence trickle truth is a shit test of sorts. Within an LTR, the only response is commanding respect. She is performing emotional terrorism and this administration has a zero tolerance, shoot to kill policy. This lines up with the other theory because of the way commanding respect is an incredibly heavy handed response. In subtextual terms it is the equivalent of saying "your reading of subtext is shit. Therefore I've been forced to confront this directly. This is what will happen. Do it." No other response short of total submission and compliance is acceptable for this. It is a powerful and tactless reassertion of the dynamic. If the dynamic is already broken then she may shit test you on this. Such behaviour is instant-hard-next-worthy. This is because the subtext of such a shit test is "This is the dynamic. Comply." followed by "No. I don't think you're dominant enough."
>
> Whether she actually did something with the other guy is irrelevant. The trustworthiness of the other guy is

> irrelevant. This is about the correct dynamic which works and maintaining it.

FR: Calling a woman's bluff can be better than sex

16 upvotes · 5 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> You forgot the line to give her hamster the opening. "I should go. If I stay I might not be able to control myself."
>
> This was too heavy on the straight talk. Making to leave is a solid move for LMR, but you've got to give her the opening to pull you back/plead with you to stay etc.
>
> Also, a 32 year old HB9? Either you're embellishing and/or letting your own preferences get too much say... or I want to come live around where you are. 9s are rare enough as it is... most 9s have become 8s or less by their late 20s.

LMR from your LTR: Never let a women decide when you DON'T have sex.

16 upvotes · 4 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> I am not talking about any man though. I am talking about a (supposedly) Red Pill man. Regardless of whether you have actually become top 20%, the theory dictates that you behave like you are top 20% in order to run effective game.
>
> Your entire comment is a disengagement.

2 female friends whom I thought were 'good girls' now might have genital herpes from going raw with same guy.

16 upvotes · 24 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > So, in the story above, it's celebrated that the "new cheated on Alpha" banged the girl, while at the same time she is looked down on for having sex with him?
>
> Men and women are playing different games. Our sexual strategies are opposing. We don't win the same way. A win for one can be a loss for another.
>
> For a woman, attaining commitment is the key goal. Sleeping with lots of alphas is irrelevant to the success of her strategy. While the dual mating strategy changes this slightly to accommodate being knocked up by an alpha and dumped... it's still not the peak goal that a woman originally pursues. She wants to lock down an alpha with solid resources. Ending up with a partner count of 40 and no locked-down alpha is a failure. She can up that partner count into triple digits, it's still failure.
>
> For a man, sex is the goal. Being a beta bucks means providing commitment for no sex, that is failure for a man. Getting sex (whether providing commitment or not) is the success for a man.
>
> Are you able to apply that and come to the conclusion that in some situations, the man succeeded and the woman has failed? Are you able to see a situation in which both man and woman succeed in their sexual strategies? Can you see a situation in which a man fails in his sexual strategy and the woman succeeds?
>
> > If this sub teaches men how to successfully have casual sex with women, why at the same time are we critical of that exact behavior from them?
>
> Because reality doesn't care about feelings. Not everybody gets to win. This sub is focused on men winning, regardless of whether the woman wins too or not. We're interested in reality. When a cunt behaves like a cunt, you call her a cunt because she's a cunt. It's nothing personal, she just happens to be a cunt.
>
> View the marketplace as it is and stop pretending it's just demonising women for participation. They've got their

> game to play too... the fact most of them are shit at it isn't my problem.

[FR] How a dumb bimbo almost set my place on fire

16 upvotes · 13 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It has everything. A protagonist you can empathise with. Drama. Lies. Danger. A sense of urgency. Excitement. Relief as the hero triumphs over the danger. And finally, some real-world consequences of the problem he faced down.
>
> In a movie OP could be played by Johnny Depp to add a little humour.
>
> In all seriousness, the conclusion of sending the dumb bitch home with a hard next was spot on. Those chicks are a total liability and should be cut from your life as soon as you spot it.

"She's cute though, isn't she?"

16 upvotes · 18 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I had hard nexted her,
>
> That was just a lie you told yourself. When you hard next, you hard fuckin' next. Not contact. If she turns up in person, polite greetings, then find a polite way to go talk to someone else.
>
> Mentality is the hardest thing to internalise. You think you've got it and then you go off taking one too many drinks of a woman's beauty and suddenly you're off your face doing dumb shit you'd never thought you'd do. The problem you've got is you're still drunk. Given that you've already lied to yourself once, and now you're saying you're over it and everything is actually sorted this time... why are you trusting yourself on this? You're a fuckin' liar. Why would you trust someone who's lied to you?
>
> Step one, admit you're not there yet. Step two, put concrete plans in place in order to force yourself there rather than trusting yourself. That'll sort things.

Why do women say "It was only sex" when referring to their CC days?

16 upvotes · 29 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > Still, in the final moments of me ended it she was rationalizing the break up like it was my fault that I couldn't deal with her past. So yeah, it's not stop.
>
> Even their rationalisations are poor. I couldn't help but pick up on this and have to add that it is not your obligation to tolerate anything you dislike. You are not required to date anyone. She IS obligated to tolerate the judgements leveled at her for the actions she has taken in her life. That is out of her control.
>
> Essentially all she's done is complain "he's the one with control. wahhh!"

How to stop hanging out with useless friends, considering they wont have a life without you

16 upvotes · 9 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > because I feel bad not doing it.
>
> You keep following those fee-fees brah. That's never ended badly for anybody before... you know, we don't have like endless examples of why acting primarily on feels is bad for women or anything like that. You keep feeling good about yourself... everything in life will just work itself out without any need for you to take action that is remotely unpleasant.

> Either drag him to the fucking gym with you and get him improving himself so he's not holding down your SMV, or drop him. Find your balls and get on with it.

Red Flags: How to Judge your potential LTR

16 upvotes · 9 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> rape claims in the past, with no court pursued.

Update this to any claim of rape.

- If she wasn't raped, it shows she's a false claimer of rape. Huge red flag.
- If she has regret rape, again, huge red flag.
- If she actually was seriously raped, then she has a ton of emotional baggage. While I feel sorry for her, we must be ruthless thanks to the gynocentric society. Instant hard next for mental problems.

So yeah, any claim of being raped, the details just don't matter at all. It doesn't matter what happened or what she did because every possible outcome is a giant red flag and an instant hard next.

[FR] How my LTR downgraded herself to a FWB

16 upvotes · 11 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Nuclear shit tests by definition are extreme. They're either ultimatum-based tests or action-based tests which perform socially unacceptable actions in order to try and get a response out of you. They are by definition manipulative. (Instant, massive red flag to get the fuck out of that relationship **immediately.**)
>
> The purpose of a nuclear shit test is to try and make you give up your "I'll walk away" card. For plates, you can just pass them as normal with indifference and/or one of the other styles of response. (Indifference is essential in my opinion. She's trying to get a reaction. **DON'T give her one.**)
>
> For an LTR, once you've given up your walk away card, the relationship is over anyway because you've accepted the submissive position and given her the power. (Relationships will only survive if the man needs the woman less than the woman wants the man. Once this power balance shifts then it's game over.) You can never give up this card. A nuclear shit test by an LTR basically says "if you won't give up your power then I'm walking away." There is only one response to this: "Goodbye." Again, the action based version is similar "I've violated the boundaries of the relationship, but you're not going to walk away." Again, there is only one response: "Yes I am. Goodbye."
>
> Managing regular shit tests is just part of the game... but the nuclear shit test can never be used by a woman in an LTR. It is a demonstration that she is not LTR material.

Is this really what I have to look forward to?

16 upvotes · 20 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> - No matter how well presented they are, all women are like that.
> - Women are incapable of understanding their own Solipsism.

Assume all guns are loaded.

> - I have little to no SMV with women older than me.

Grow a beard.

> - The reason why contributors endorse plates is because it's the best way to deal with them.

It is the most practical solution to the modern conundrum.

> - Lifting is more important than anything.

Finding and pursuing your mission is more important than anything. Your statement is only true if bodybuilding/fitness/professional sport is your mission. Plus most of those guys point out that nutrition/diet is even more important.

Should I stop going out with my friend?

16 upvotes · 14 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> but the women are usually unattractive

and

> I do get approached by some 6-7's even a 9 once or twice

This is inconsistent. So I'm going to call male-hamster on your post since you've ended it to try and shut me down. Go work out, then relax for a bit and then come back to this with a clearer head.

I'm Milo Yiannopoulos, AMA

16 upvotes · 17 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

If a tree falls in the woods and nobody is around to hear it. How many women were raped?

The ideology of feminism is clear - even if there is no crime "it might not be in this case, but it's still happening somewhere else." We don't need women in order for you to have committed rape.

Response: Girl from OkCupid

16 upvotes · 21 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Ah, age old female advice- just be yourself! Who cares what's effective or attractive to women in general? Just do what you want to do and the right woman is waiting right around the corner!

I believe this sentence is in the dictionary under "asking a fish how to catch fish." Don't get a rod, just be yourself and fish will jump out of the water at you... ya know... if you're oozing enough fish pheromone naturally.

Things like hypergamy and women not dating down continue to be picked up by mainstream newspapers (Telegraph)

16 upvotes · 10 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> A very average woman who works hard to do the relationship thing right instead of being a whore can easily get commitment from a top 20% man these days.

I don't disagree that it is possible for the average woman to achieve this. I don't think it's easy though because we

have to factor the two types of men in the top 20%. We can discard those who don't want commitment and are merely pumping and dumping or plating women. (Said average woman needs to avoid these men and fight/control her feels on this. Not impossible, but I wouldn't write it off as straightforward either given the modern culture which encourages throwing logic out the window for your feelings - because you can dismiss and rationalise it later.)

The second type of man is the one looking for commitment. We are talking about the blue pill man in the top 20%. You mention he has been blue pilled into having no standards. So such a man will be amongst the few who has naturally high SMV. To be clear, we're not talking about beta Bob who has an SMV of 5. We're talking about the man who naturally has an SMV of 8 or 9, a natural alpha who wants to achieve commitment. The problem that the SMV 5 woman faces is that she has to beat the competition from the women of SMV 7 and 8. While these women will act like whores, they will lie about their sexual past and Mr Natural Alpha who is blue pill conditioned to think women are wonderful, will accept their lies. So from his perspective, SMV 7 with low N count, vs SMV 5 with low N count. I think this shows that the competition the average woman will face to secure the commitment of a top 20% man is very real and her chances of success are not as simple as you make out.

Don't get me wrong, it's possible, but it's not something easily achieved. She's going to need to put quite a bit of work in, and even if she plays the entire game perfectly, that SMV 7 who lies to the natural alpha is going to nab him. That's just the sad reality of the nature of the SMP for those who are a little further down the totem pole.

> They've succeeded at blue pilling men into having no standards and holding women accountable for nothing. That's why fat women believe that they can realistically get a man and why career women think that their husband doesn't deserve their time or youthful beauty.

I completely agree with this.

> They also know that they themselves fawn over the absolute .0001% man from magazines and so they assume men are doing the same thing.

This is the solipsistic projection aspect and I agree it exists. That's not what I dispute here.

> So, they figure men are only looking for the tippity top and therefore have unrealistic standards and reinforce this idea by noting their own standards for "the man she deserves."

I don't think this is where the feminists start their logic. When we read the articles they put up about "where have all the good men gone?" and all that drivel, it doesn't mention that men are being too picky or holding out for a movie star or goddess etc. Instead we see a logic that men are merely commitment-phobes. Man babies who won't man up and marry her.

To me, you can only end up going through that chain of logic if you start off with an entitlement to a top level man and then add that you can achieve sex with that man... but you can't achieve his commitment. Of course we know this is explained by the fact men will fuck down without strings, but the SMV and RMV are very different for what level of woman a man will consider.

Essentially, this:

> You'd think this would be contradicted by the fact that she's a fat whore who'll still get pumped, but she rationalizes that away. If there was another reason for her being pumped, why'd she get all those trophies as a kid?

Leading to what I wrote:

> They only ever had pump and dump status there. Once again, the standard problem of a woman thinking **"if**

> **he wants to fuck me, then this is the level of man who would want to date me."**

Thus why I think it would appear at a casual glance as projection... but really it is a rationalisation of their own entitlement and failure. That rationalisation being that men's standards are still too high despite never having had a chance at the man they feel entitled to in the first place. Because of course... this failure couldn't possibly be their fault. They're a special snowflake!

Neither one of us can be proven 100% right on this. I feel like I'm discussing competing theories of lift right now. Ultimately we know that planes fly, and that's the bit that matters.

Interesting AWALT stance by some UK taxi drivers

16 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Yes he does. But I haven't seen him around before so I can't be bothered to correct him. He should have picked it up from the sidebar material. I've seen your comments before and noticed you've said some good stuff. Therefore I'm more inclined to help you out when you're getting things wrong.

Marriage through the eyes of an attorney.

16 upvotes · 12 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

One of the things which really hits home for me is a friend of mine who is younger than me (mid 20s) and he's finalising his divorce. The financial shit he's got to go through is just unbelievable. They'd barely been married two years... he seems to be turning into a natural MGTOW.

When I think about his woman... she pretty much played it perfectly because she's now got a consistent income that she doesn't have to work for... she's still hot and young and can hop back on the CC, plus security and benefits.

With that in mind... even though LTR unicorn hunter stuff advocates finding her young... you can still end up like my mate. (And his behaviour is fairly natural alpha.) A young first marriage is absolutely ideal for women... can she control herself to stick to the marriage or just be a richer version of her single self? It's such a bad societal situation.

Im a tutor, student wants to fuck. Thoughts?

16 upvotes · 9 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Don't shit where you eat bro.

The fact you're even considering a chick who you've come into contact with through your profession shows that your abundance mentality and ability to walk away is very weak. There are times for women, and times not for women. Going out on the pull, generally socialising with friends and daygame sessions are all times for women. Professional time, self improvement pursuits and time spent on your personal mission are all times where women are not welcome. Discipline yourself accordingly. Weak men don't maintain this discipline. Don't become one of them.

TRP's hatred of mentally ill women - er why?

16 upvotes · 23 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

Surely this one answers itself?

Dealing with a mentally ill woman increases your risk of false rape accusations, violent outbursts, unpredictable extremes like your possessions being trashed or potential harassment of yourself or your friends/folks in the future. All of these are **massive** negatives.

In addition, dealing with a woman with something like bipolar depression or borderline personality disorder

> requires significantly more emotional energy and investment of time. Why would I opt for that over a woman who does not require the additional time and energy? It's just illogical when it's a pure negative (not a trade-off) and no benefit.
>
> And just for thoroughness - if you were looking for an LTR... a mentally ill woman is less likely to be a good mother for your children. On top of this, some mental illnesses have been shown to have a genetic element, so you're also potentially passing on a disorder to your children.
>
> It seems through common sense that these things are all undesirable. Since even Blue Pill guys will tend to concede that I can date whoever I like and I'm not required to be attracted to everyone... for those reasons, any kind of remotely serious mental illness is an automatic disqualifier. Note the choice of language - I don't hate women I don't date... they're just disqualified from dating me. They have every right to exist and live their lives however they wish... it just won't be overlapping with mine.

Plate management 101: Amused Mastery and managing subtle shit tests

16 upvotes · 2 May 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's an incredibly dominant position to be in. Shows just how powerful his ability to walk away really is.
>
> Also, it is criminal that this has not had more upvotes! (EDIT: This is referring to Omega's post.)

A great post from Seduction on the quality of men that women face (commentators in furious denial!)

16 upvotes · 8 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Why are people surprised by this?
>
> The lowering in quality of women and the (almost non-existent) level of effort women have been putting into relationships for the past decade or so.... am I the only one who notices the immediate link between this finding and the Sexodus articles which were eventually taken down?

Why her body count matters

15 upvotes · 1 December 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> > My major concern is not equality but of hypocrisy : That I would be a hypocrite for seeking a girl with low body count when I have a high body count myself.
>
> Hypocrisy is not possible in an asymmetrical comparison. The very basis required to make your logic work is not there. I have laid out what that basis is for you and you've then dismissed it thus:
>
> > My major concern is not equality
>
> You are using the wrong base logic and it comes from the hyperfocus on equality. If you were truly not concerned about it, you wouldn't have made this error in your reply for everyone to see. I cannot make this any clearer. If you continue to commit this cardinal sin of the logic then you will never be able to truly swallow the pill. You will keep hacking it back up with stupid questions like "isn't it hypocritical to think this." No, you've had the explanation why and you clearly don't grasp it. Go read more and participate less for a bit until you can truly get your head around this concept.

How do you handle other men trying to stare you down in public?

15 upvotes · 2 October 2018 · /r/askTRP

> I don't generally see any value in engaging in this public dick measuring. I already know my dick is bigger.

Always save your text conversations, record conversations

15 upvotes · 25 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Sidenote: Not only should you avoid putting your dick in crazy, you should also stay away from crazy.

Field report flirting with other girls in front of gf

15 upvotes · 17 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I control her well
>
> Not Red Pill. That is all.

LTR: The Bare Minimum You Need to Know

15 upvotes · 9 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Honestly... the state of this place. Who are the numpties downvoting a link to a podcast?

How I Manage My LTR

15 upvotes · 7 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Never before have I seen someone so ardently against LTRs. Literally like 9 out of your last 10 comments has been about you telling everyone never to LTR any bitch. It's actually a little odd.
>
> Anyways, the official position of TRP would be that we're not opposed to LTRs. First and only suggestion from us is to spin plates, but we have no interest in controlling your life, so if you want to LTR, we can kinda help with that. There is material on the AskTRP sidebar for LTRs as a for-instance.
>
> Additionally I know some endorsed/senior/vanguards who are in/have tried LTRs. So I'm not convinced by your extremist version of things. You seem to have taken AWALT a little too literally. Nuance is what you need to start searching for if you're going to improve yourself from here. Best of luck.

Found my GF's porn history

15 upvotes · 10 October 2017 · /r/askTRP

> It's obviously time to leave at this point. You're also going to need to grieve a bit for your own fuck up... i.e. you failed the unicorn hunter test in finding an LTR who successfully pulled the wool over your eyes.
>
> I say this because white chicks (or in this case an indian chick who might aswell be white) into black dudes is basically broadcasting some serious underlying problems. In all the various things checking womens' interests in men, the races were rated consistently and black men are at the bottom of the pile. A specific interest like that is basically broadcasting that she is broken in some way. She is obviously hiding it for that reason that she knows it'll hit her reputation among her female friends.
>
> I also recall an interesting piece by Donovan Sharpe (great thinker, his podcasts with IllimitableMan are pure gold), in which he basically recounts how every white chick he'd ever been with turned out to have serious problems and serious baggage. It's basically the reason why I include the rule for LTR hunting that you should see what she's been interested in previously. If she's dropping down the race standards then there'll be a reason for it. Whether it was because the guy was super alpha or whether she's got some hidden issues is something for you to decide. Personally though, since my standards are high, I'm not interested to find out. She'd just get crossed off any potential LTR list. Certainly wouldn't cross her off the ONS list though. Remember, plates and LTRs are very different games.

AWALT Reggie Bush paid his mistress 3 million dollars to get abortion, she didnt, now 6 months pregnant

15 upvotes · 8 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Oversimplification for pragmatic purposes. We tell you it's your fault primarily because you as a man must take as much responsibility as possible and use it. However this does not allow you to give bitches a free pass when they act like bitches. You call a cunt a cunt because she is a cunt. You get the nuance? Also raw dogging in general is a bad idea thanks to the massive increase in STDs.

Women are "naturals"

15 upvotes · 21 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Women can't to learn game. Game is only for men. There is no pua for women.
>
> False. There is girl-game. It's just totally different to men's game. (As everything is between the sexes.) The women today have some level of girl-game naturally... but most of them are absolutely useless at drawing the attention of a man they're interested in. As per usual, men are left doing ALL the work.

How To Stuff Your White Meat Into Asian Girls

15 upvotes · 17 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> We can still have harems of little yellow girls. They make excellent shields to say the most racist things you like for lulz. You could say they're the chink in the SJW armour.

With friends who dominate conversation with boring chat when talking to girls.

15 upvotes · 8 June 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Before you run game, say to them "think I'll try my luck with that girl. Do me a favour and hang back, leave me to see how I do. If I crash and burn and want you guys to join in then I'll wave you over." (Of course you never wave them over.)
>
> Most guys respond positively to straight talk. With you being straight up with them, they should be understanding. If they are not, then you need new friends. If they ignore you and wander over you can take the piss out of them in a semi-mad way after... something like "did you get lost or something? Your captain drunk at the wheel. I asked you to leave this one to me and you steamed in and cock blocked me. WTH man? You owe me a drink for that shit." Just bust their balls a little for their lack of social awareness. This sort of thing can get two types of response... one is embarrassment, which is the target response. They'll buy you a drink, say sorry and you'll be friends as much as normal. The other is anger... if you get the latter then you know you are dealing with betas who are jealous and hateful of your higher SMV. These betas work off the female style of competition, trying to pull down competition rather than raise themselves up. Such men are toxic and should be removed from your life immediately.

Wordless facing a shit-test

15 upvotes · 14 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > I'd rather have a challenge than just find a better one. But thanks for your advice!
>
> Women who don't submit are not some kind of challenge. When you don't ditch such women YOU are the one submitting. Remember, alphas have options and can walk away. By staying on and tolerating poor behaviour, you only encourage her to do it more. In doing this you also demonstrate you're not willing to walk away. It's a lose-lose.
>
> In terms of game advice. Her shit about the cold hands, I'd have used that to turn it sexual. "Ah, you prefer cold hands on your body. Noted."

> Her smoking nonsense, mock it. "Smoking and walking is so hard. Not quite mastered the hand eye co-ordination? I suppose it's difficult to tell if you're putting it in your mouth or sticking it up your nose." Laugh, shake head and go serious. "Stop being such a silly little girl. Come along." And walk off. If she doesn't follow, forget about her and approach another chick since you're out anyway.
>
> Essentially care a little less about the outcome.

I've plated a married woman and it's amazing!

15 upvotes · 24 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> The thing about the amorality of TRP is that it shouldn't be used as a weapon to attack others. Only the idiots do that.
>
> It is a tool, like all the others on here, designed to help us explain and exchange ideas. Take the whole infidelity thing as an example. We can all agree being part of an infidelity is morally wrong by many standards. From a practical perspective, sleeping with a married woman could potentially get you killed if the husband goes off the deep end. (Was that pussy really that good that it's worth your life?)
>
> And yet, despite these most obvious downsides, I would say that sleeping with a married woman who keeps her relationship a secret from you in the beginning is the best lesson you can ever learn to stop you ever marrying. You get a close up look at how deceitful, manipulative, selfish, cold and uncaring a typical looking woman can be. Is that worth the moral and practical trade off? You tell me.

[FR] Everyone starts somewhere.

15 upvotes · 23 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah, things never tend to play out perfectly in the field. Sometimes there are things outside of your control which will cock block you. It happens. You gotta shrug your shoulders and move on.
>
> As a general principle you can apply most frequently... isolating is simply finding an excuse for a chick to follow you. Or even just walking off (sometimes that as a mysterious move is enough to get her to follow you out of curiosity.) So as a couple of examples I've used frequently "come help me choose a drink" is a good excuse to drag her to the bar. "Let's get some air, it's too hot in here" works to get her outside. "Let's grab that table" can separate her from a standing group. "I'm gonna put my pint/drink there." allows you to shift where you're standing. All of these things are statements and orders and they serve to also double check her level of interest. If she's not interested, she won't do as you say. When that happens, shrug it off and stick to your guns - i.e. go off and do something else.
>
> Getting back to her place is all about finding the excuse to go there. It's all about protecting her social image to slip past the ASD. There's simple ones like getting her home safely and you engineer that by saying you're planning to go... if she doesn't bite you're going home alone... if she does then she'll say something like "yeah, I'm gonna go too." You then tell her you'll give her a lift home or you'll share a cab and go to hers first. All of this is all about appearing innocent to protect her social status. Remember, she needs her hamster to be able to use the excuse that "it just happened." So help her hamster out.
>
> Other methods to get back to her place include getting her talking about her stuff. Things she likes to show off, a collection. What alcohol she has (this is fav of mine, she'll have something stupid fruity that you can't get at a bar) and you can show interest and agree you'll have a nightcap before you head on home. Coffee is also a classic... and in my humble opinion is just straight code for sex.
>
> **Remember:** you're not intending to spend the night... **it just happened.** Women have individual interests that you can also cater to. Some chicks love special chocs - you can therefore want to try them. Others like to smoke weed, and while I don't do that myself... it's good way to get back to hers by showing an interest and then just not doing it. Something as stupid as showing interest in pictures she's taken from a recent holiday has actually

> worked for me before. Get to know her and figure out what she'd want to show you that could be passed off as innocent... then when you get there you can escalate and she'll show you a hell of a lot more.

Its only free speech if it agrees with my feelings

15 upvotes · 7 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Somebody needs to turn upto a slutwalk dressed like that.
>
> "Hey! You can't judge me by what I choose to wear! I was never *asking* for this abuse."

Things like hypergamy and women not dating down continue to be picked up by mainstream newspapers (Telegraph)

15 upvotes · 10 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Exactly. That solipsistic woman now needs to rationalise this and explain it. She couldn't possibly be wrong about her equating fucking with relationship-worthy. Even the other women join in on this.
>
> This belief fuels all those alpha widowed broken women out there with an SMV of 5 or 6 who never had a chance in the first place of getting in a relationship with a top 20% man in the modern dating market because they don't offer anything beyond a vagina. But no, it can't be their fault! We must rationalise further! Men must have absurd standards!

NPR story today - For Students Accused Of Campus Rape, Legal Victories Win Back Rights

15 upvotes · 15 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > they feel as traumatized as victims of sexual assault.
>
> Of course they do. We're talking about fundamentally decent human beings who despise rape as much as the rest of us... being told by everybody they're a rapist when they're not... and everybody around them believing that they're a rapist, when they're not! You know precisely how everybody perceives you, as the lowest form of humanity, and there's nothing you can do about it and you can't defend yourself.
>
> It's not remotely surprising to see depression and suicide following these poor kids around. I'd feel like shit too. This is the worst thing a justice system can possibly do, to cause the deaths of innocents.

The Travel-Widow, or why travel for the single woman is a red flag

15 upvotes · 10 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > This is coupled with the fact that traveling is so easy; it requires nothing from her except money and time. It’s not like she is working hard towards a goal that will actually enrich her as a person; she is merely jumping on a plane and then when there she looks around, takes pictures, and eats. It requires nothing from her mentally, much like how easy it is to get sex from that hot guy at the bar.
>
> This is so true.
>
> > Women also seem to think that travel makes them interesting, when in reality it’s the places they go that are interesting; the woman herself is still the same woman who jumped on that plane. She thinks that she has accomplished something, when in reality, all she did was jump on that plane.
>
> I knew a guy like this who genuinely believed he was a better man than me because... wait for it... he'd been to China. Let the way this makes no logical sense sink in for a moment and then think about the sort of person this will work for. We're talking about someone who is not only that stupid, but also someone who needs to delude

> themselves that their personal value is increased by having gone to another country. Not only are they so insecure that they need to feel superior, but they can't even find a way to feel superior that makes any real sense. They need to use a false accomplishment. This is the sort of person who would "visit a Buddhist temple" and try to pass it off as similar accomplishment to "studying at a Buddhist temple." Both expose you to new culture, but given the significant time and effort you'd have to invest, the latter is the achievement that will have an impact on you and your value as a person.
>
> Valuing the former is up there with congratulating yourself for watching a documentary on the telly and calling it a life achievement. If you have the mind to appreciate it, there's culture on offer, but it's a small amount to be gained vicariously.
>
> This is the essence of it. No real worthwhile accomplishment is vicarious. You put a bit of yourself into all major personal accomplishments. Looking at something does not constitute an accomplishment. Standing in a particular spot does not constitute an accomplishment. People go to these places to get the experience, which is something I don't knock... you've only got one life so experience what you can... but it's not actually adding any value. It does not make you interesting beyond saying "I stood where X marks the spot" - people will smile, muse at this and then return to other conversation. Rightly so.
>
> When you look at it this way, what a travel widow is telling you is she has invested time and money in her own experiences and thinks you should respect her more for simply spending time and money. There is no value there. She's actively wasting her youth and good looks. If anything, she should be respected less than the basic bitch who has at least figured out she should be trying to lock down a man - even if her strategy is clearly failing. But no... she's busy looking at shiny! Declaring she's had an experience by seeing something she probably doesn't even comprehend to add some trivia to her repertoire of shallow conversational drivel. What an inefficient use of her life.

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

15 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Because divorced men don't get money from my taxes to help them through their fuck up.

She Keep Saying "Meal Ticket"

15 upvotes · 10 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Bro... what precisely is this chick bringing to the relationship besides a hole to fill? If she's being supportive and brings a fuck load of other useful things to the relationship, then fine... it's a fair exchange.
>
> But from what you've written, she's not bringing healthy cooking/cleaning/sewing etc to the relationship and she's also letting go of the main thing that any woman can bring to a relationship - her body. Think it over... if you're not getting a fair exchange then dump her and find someone who will.

My friend just got out of the friendzone, WTF?

15 upvotes · 23 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> SMV is always relative to the girl's own perceptions. This is why a 7 can think she's a 9 and occasionally an 8 will think she's a 5 or a 6. It also goes the same way with her perceptions of guys. This is why game works because we are effectively telling a chick subconsciously "you may have rated me a 6, but I'm acting like a 9... maybe your estimate is off and you should re-evaluate."

The bluepill escapism

14 upvotes · 25 October 2021 · /r/TheRedPill

> Solid post, particularly on the beliefs system point. An unwillingness to try and alter your point of perception will

> basically ensure you choke on the red pill. There are several examples of where the behaviour or mindset which is successful is counter-intuitive. e.g. if you want to have sex one evening, then you need to basically not care if you have sex or not that evening.

Driving an hour to fuck an 8.5 - Yes or no?

14 upvotes · 7 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Why isn't she driving to you?

My GF is going on a trip with THREE MALE FRIENDS

14 upvotes · 26 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> 1 month is not enough vetting time. 6 months absolute minimum. LTR status is a major promotion and a plate must appreciate this. Look at it from her side... 1 month of barely starting effort (and I'm assuming it's 1 month since you fucked her, i.e. you've known her longer, otherwise it's even more stupid.) 1 month of barely any effort and you give away your biggest, most important card in your hand. You're either worthless or her pussy must be the best pussy ever to cause that. Either way, it's terrible LTR dynamics and you're off to a very bad start.

Three academics just proved the nonsense of Gender Studies that led to our quarantine

14 upvotes · 3 October 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> My friend who is a PhD researcher complains frequently that one of the biggest problems faced at the top of academia is that there are simply not enough truly intelligent folks for all the positions available and they are subsequently being filled by people who are just not bright enough, because the standards have been lowered in order to ensure the growth of the sciences. He makes similar complaints about the medical profession, since his research has him in contact with the doctors of the future, the lowering of standards means that there are some really stupid people who have a decent memory, who are now in charge of your health. They can remember many facts, but don't really understand it... there's a reason I need a drink or two when he starts talking about these things. One of these people may hold our lives in their hands one day soon....

Women Are Forgiving, Men Are Shallow

14 upvotes · 3 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > women will forgive your fatness in other words,
>
> Trust me, they didn't forgive Weinstein his fatness just because he was wealthy and powerful.
>
> > There's a million ways to fuck attractive women, if you're a man.
>
> Be my guest mate. I'm not interested in that. I want women to want to fuck me in that crazy lusting manner they do. I never want to go back to that getting to fuck an attractive woman... but she wasn't seriously after it.
>
> > So yes, you being fat, or balding, or ugly can be forgiven and overlooked once they find out your bank account has 7 zeros, or they find out your some kind of heavy weight champion of MMA. Or a rapper. Etc...
>
> Oh yeah, that transaction fuck. Yeah... I think you might find some literature on that errrr... where... oh yeah this sub.

Field Report - Worst Daygame Lay Ever

14 upvotes · 7 February 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'd agree with you to an extent. You're right that not having a good reason to change venue was bad and you need

> to take a little time and set yourself up with reasons for the future.
>
> However the way you wrote it, being her decision, read to me like you could benefit from a little nudge on your commanding/leadership. The decision to quit one place and go to another is not a debate or discussion. It's a decision you've made and if her choice is simply whether she follows you or not. In this way, you can suffer resistance from chicks, but you stay focused on you and the decision you've made for you. When she says she doesn't want to go somewhere in this scenario, it's a shit test to see if you will alter your behaviour for her. In short you don't. You'll instead get an instant bit of feedback on whether she's really into you or not. If you walk out without her, that's awkward for her but not for you. So it's highly likely she'll change her mind and follow.
>
> Venue switching is vital for rapid escalation. Have your reasons ready. Make the decision, inform her what you have decided to do and tell her to do whatever (e.g. finish your drink) and get up to go. Don't reverse your decision. You're out to have fun, she's just along for the ride if she wants to be, you're in the driving seat, she has no say.

My sister is a useless bitch. Western culture poisons women.

14 upvotes · 11 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> A valid point. If Clinton gets elected then that's it for the American Democracy experiment. Corruption is too deeply ingrained to remove via the system itself. I believe JFK mentioned the next inevitable step.

Stunning 18 year-old princess cheats on her boyfriend with a female friend, loses her mind afterwards

14 upvotes · 6 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> When will idiots on here learn a little nuance between all women are sluts and all women have the capacity to be a slut combining with our current culture of excusing bad behaviour and encouraging no-consequence promiscuity has led to most women being sluts.
>
> Try to keep up kiddo.

Thought I was being beta, maybe not.

14 upvotes · 12 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You hit last minute resistance. You failed to pass it. Nothing else to see here.

Germany taking a big step against Cuckoldry

14 upvotes · 30 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Of all the bloody countries to take action....

Confronting orbiters

14 upvotes · 16 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> You don't command orbiters. You command your woman. She'll deal with the orbiters.

Reddit's new 'Trust and Safety Team' just quarantined the r / european subreddit

14 upvotes · 12 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I unsubbed from there a while ago. Last time I went back people were posting videos like "Hitler's warning" and associated fascist stuff. It had a time when it was good, but then seemed to get overrun with fascists. Not my scene.
>
> I've had a quick look down it now and it doesn't look too bad. (Though of course Hitler is mentioned on page 2... ffs guys!) They appear to be planning to move off reddit.

Tinder profile tells it like it is

14 upvotes · 10 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm also strong, and powerful, and cunning, and extremely intelligent. Respect me. Don't underestimate me. You've been warned.

This woman is hilariously insecure. It makes me smile how weak she is.

Strong, powerful people don't need to tell anybody that they're strong and powerful. Intelligent people don't need to tell others that they're intelligent.

Porn star Bree Olson hits the wall, cries that nobody respects her or wants her anymore.

14 upvotes · 28 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> She's "putting Descartes before the whores" as a great man on Reddit once said.

This comment is criminally underrated.

How to bang on a first date - By Luke Mooney

14 upvotes · 27 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> “I never pay for two rounds or buy drinks for girls!” then you’re a faggot.

The scream of the insecure. Individual style dude. This guide is good, but like many things on TRP it needs to be addressed from the perspective of "take what is useful, discard what is useless and add what is uniquely your own." In this case, yeah, I run a rounds system to make sure I'm not taken to the cleaners. I try to find an excuse to order her to buy the first round. This is a good move because it forces her to be **invested** in the date which increases your chances of success. I have never had a chick walk because I told her it was her round. Even if she did, I wouldn't care.

> Drink Selection Cocktails are good. Firstly the placebo NLP effects of saying “cock” (Can’t remember his name: The Game). Secondly, they contain shit loads of alcohol and taste like sugary drinks. Alcohol is a great lubricant. Be careful that you don’t drain you drink whilst she is still on the first 10% of hers. Avoid pints of lager, you’ll be pissing every 5 minutes, burping like a camel, and your breath will stink of shit. Bottles of Proseco to share are good, cocktails are great, and white wine is good, or shorts, i.e. Jack and Coke, Gin and Tonic etc.

I'm not convinced by this section. I do whatever I feel like on a first date, which usually involves a pint because I like real ale. The advice on cocktails is fine, but the negative advice on what to avoid is weak. As I said, it's never affected me so I don't see an issue with others going for that if they don't want a fruity drink.

Also, love the advice of /u/NicCageForPresident it's great. I've actually run a variant of this on a couple of girls who I've told to pick up a takeaway and come over. No LMR, sometimes even straight to the point from them walking through the door. Bonus of no effort on dinner and she's paid for it and done all the travelling.

Summary: Data That We Here at theREDPILL Will Not Allow to be Misconstrued

14 upvotes · 2 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> The call of feminism has never been "we should get equal treatment" it was always "we deserve more."

Bill Burr made a bad choice...

14 upvotes · 17 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> An excellent analysis. It reminds me of the Thoreau quote "...and not, when I came to die, discover that I had not lived." In my humble opinion, this is probably the most pressing issue facing the modern generation.

Why Asian women hate it when Asian men go interracial.

14 upvotes · 6 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > It doesn't matter if the white guy is a computer geek and the Asian guy is a football player, the white guy is still better.
>
> I don't buy that. Status trumps race. Status trumps all.
>
> > So when a woman like that sees a beautiful blonde dating an Asian guy, her mind simply cannot comprehend what is going on.
>
> I think she can and it's just jealousy. Remember that status trumps all. Not only is there one less beta bucks. But beta boy suddenly became a high status man. "Damn! I bet on the wrong horse... but that can't be my fault. No, actually it's his fault because he's a perverted race traitor."
>
> All of this is easily explained as a matter of status trumping other factors and women being unable/unwilling to own up to their own failings or take any kind of responsibility when things don't go their way.
>
> Stop blaming everything on race. "Unfortunate race" is similar to being short. Nothing you can do about it, but you're playing the game with your SMV at a handicap -1. **Deal with it.** There are ways to get around it. There is seriously *nothing* more to say about race on TRP than this paragraph.

Alpha Fucks/Beta Bucks/Alpha Widow isn't new, but the roles are more defined than ever

14 upvotes · 27 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Women without the restraints and expectations of the responsible use of sexuality and youth have made it so incredibly easy for Alpha males to obtain sex with young, fertile women that nearly every girl will end up an Alpha Widow; this creates a dynamic where even men outside of the top 20% will end up being smart enough to avoid commitment, because commitment is for suckers, so only the most beta bottom 20% men will be interested in commitment, and how can a girl be expected to go from being a wet-hole for Chad Thundercock to respecting Barry Boyfriend; it's not going to happen, and this gap is only growing...
>
> This is extremely relevant to guys looking to play LTR game in my humble opinion. You can no longer seek an LTR as an alpha. You MUST be the apex alpha for that woman. I've seen alpha widowed women, you can fuck them if you're alpha enough to cause the tingles, but they're just so broken (as per the example in this post) that they can never be worthwhile LTR material. Any LTR can't be thinking of a previous lover as superior to you otherwise the relationship is doomed from the beginning.

Three Long Overdue Red Pill Reality Checks

14 upvotes · 16 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Not quite my point. Nor at any point did I suggest that understanding women and their nature is not beneficial.
>
> The overarching point was that becoming the idealised natural chad of today literally rather than just being another form of chad... means you sacrifice the essence of who you are. No amount of HB9s and personal 10s is worth that trade. It's merely a hypothetical because the majority of us weren't born with perfect genes.
>
> The value of chicks remains limited even when it's at their absolute height. That value can not exceed the essence

> of who you are. Therefore you are **always** more valuable than any woman.

If there's one thing a beta can't stand...

14 upvotes · 25 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Precisely, it's absolute genius. He is one of a very small minority of men who have the resources and status needed to achieve this. You or I would do it too. No point being angry at reality and how obviously simplistic women are... just use it as a reminder that women are definitely inferior to you. It feeds the right attitude and gets you laid more.
>
> On the same note though, we should avoid invalidating male emotion. We're programmed to be jealous. I fucked one of my plates last night and told her she won't be getting any more unless she brings a girlfriend next time. She's agreed, so that's solid stuff and I'm looking forward to it. But am I going to pretend I haven't felt even the slightest twinge of envy for this guy? Of course I'd love to take his place. There's nothing wrong with the feeling. We just have to be sure it doesn't have a negative effect upon us.
>
> The only thing which gnaws at the back of my mind is the implication in this post that the basic emotional response is a beta response. It's not. It is how you deal with the emotion that demonstrates whether you are alpha or beta. The beta needs to put the guy down... a very feminised approach... while the alpha knows he wants to be that genius putting in minimal effort for a mega-top-end plate factory. (But knows objectively that hard work isn't enough to reach that kind of level. Anyone intelligent who becomes famous knows there's an element of luck between success and top 0.001% mega-success.) Then he moves on to more realistic pursuits of his own life - focus on realistic goals instead of sitting around dreaming. That's the alpha response.

Things to remember before a solo run

14 upvotes · 5 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Stop being so miserably negative. I met a super hot girl last weekend at some random ale bar in town. I'd never been there before. The women were in the minority, sure... but that didn't stop me having a good time, having a laugh with the DJ and then dancing with (and snogging) said hot chick. I didn't even pick up her name and the DJ felt the need to rush to the door to say bye to me before I left.
>
> The moral of the story? Keep trying different bars and become more outcome independent. More open to having fun. Women are drawn to men who know how to enjoy life. They see it and want to join in the fun.

With the recent wave of reddit bans, you might want to archive your favorite posts - here's how

13 upvotes · 13 August 2021 · /r/TheRedPill

> Everything is already backed up on the TRP.red forums.

My girlfriend had a one night stand one night before we became exclusive.

13 upvotes · 21 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> > we finally had sex, it was amazing and the connection was so intense that I brought up the exclusive talk.
>
> So this is basically the complete opposite of sensible Red Pill LTR theory. There's nothing to really discuss here. You're spending forever agonising over what a chick is saying to you. This whole post is pretty much pure blue pill nonsense.
>
> Your gut keeps screaming at you, yet you keep trying to comfort yourself with her words. The words of women don't typically match reality, and half the time they even admit their own words to themselves don't match their feelings half the time. But you keep on listening to what she has to say, then take more proof of what she has to say. Crack on, let us know how it goes. It might be entertaining for us to watch this eventually crumble.

Girl I was seeing for 1.5 months left me because her ex showed up. After 1 month of NC she decided to try to FaceTime me and then sent a message. I replied the next day and she didn't respond. Wtf? Should I call her? I did like spending time with her.

13 upvotes · 13 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> "The move" is to begin the search to find where your testicles have gone. Report back if you have any success.

LTR and Alpha Male Approach

13 upvotes · 9 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Where's the LTR strategy? What LTR reading have you done in preparation for this? What did you do about your other plates?
>
> There's a whiff of red pill strategies for blue pill dreams here.

Got an STD probably from main plate. How to proceed?

13 upvotes · 7 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Approach it as though she's the only chick you ever fuck without a condom. That you're very disappointed in her and her lack of safety means you can't trust her as much anymore. Therefore you're now using condoms. That should be enough shit to give her and will give you some interesting food for thought when she trickle truths you with what's really been going on. Just keep pushing more "I'm disappointed because of your lack of honesty and openness with me" or something like that to keep the truth trickling in. Should be an enlightening experience for you whatever you discover.

LTR of 4 years broke up with me and she immediately regretted that decision and begged for forgiveness. I am not sure about her anymore. Double check before dumping her

13 upvotes · 20 July 2020 · /r/askTRP

> The moment you accept her back she will instantly lose interest. It's proof of scarcity mentality. I experienced this in my blue pill days and it absolutely screwed with my head... why would she beg for me back if she didn't want me? Because she just follows her fee-fees everywhere.
>
> Don't waste your time. Hard next.

Hello, what is the right way to deal with old-friend beta that is been around for years and starting to interject in my realtionship with his ONEitis.

13 upvotes · 10 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Your LTR has a orbiter. And you come to here to whinge at us? This is not an alpha beta story. This is a story of a beta and an omega.

The Mouse Utopia Experiments

13 upvotes · 2 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Scale it back a notch bro. One less bump of coke.
>
> Edit: For those who did not get the joke, the caps lock amused me. Similar to a friend who gives a sarcastic overreaction, with another friend facetiously telling him to calm down because they're both in on the joke.
>
> Vandaalen is of course correct. It was a dumb question about a topic that has been discussed many many times. The well known "beautiful ones" has been compared ever since the Japanese "herbivore" men became a cultural phenomenon. He was mocking the poster's inability to use the search function. Anybody who didn't get this should not be using the voting function and should lurk more.

The Utility of Beta Men

13 upvotes · 1 June 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> bro code.

Since when does bro code apply to blokes you don't know? I always thought that only applied to your friends. Ya know, because mates don't go around fucking each other over - that's what betas tend to do. (They'll stab their friend in the back in their desperation for some pussy.)

The way I read that, he has no obligation to some random stranger. However, if you wish to maintain a healthy male-male friendship, then why wouldn't you walk away from some chick? If the friendship is so worthless that you're happy to throw it away for a one night stand, then why have you gained and/or maintained such a worthless friendship?

There is much to be said about the way some guys identify within this story, but to me there's more to be said by the way the different guys identify using different contexts (while I'm more interested in what the specifics of the context are.) All I see here is a guy playing things well and a beta getting mad at him for it. I'd reason it as more just-world hypothesis causing anger/depression than some sort of belief in a bro-code.

The Female Version of the Beta Orbiter

13 upvotes · 21 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

1. Women lie. Stop believing what they tell you and instead pay attention to their behaviour.
2. Discussion of female sexual strategy belongs on RedPillWomen. Not here.
3. The female equivalent of a beta orbiter is a slut who becomes a plate or fuck buddy with men and never gains commitment.
4. High SMV does not equal red pill. A man can be high SMV but still beta. These men can end up getting laid but their relationships tend to be awful and fall apart over time.

Women are so bad now they dont cuck men. They make them abort their own kids in case its Chad's

13 upvotes · 9 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yup. Though you've gotta give OP some props on that mad ego narcissism. Could give either of us a run for our money.

Urbanism, Ruralism, and Dunbar's Number

13 upvotes · 10 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

I think we need to stop saying classical liberal so much. We need to stop playing by their rules. If we shift the discussion to authoritarian vs liberal, we see precisely where they sit. The entire reason they've redefined liberal is because it was something **EVERYONE** agrees with. Having your basic individual freedoms, your basic rights, your equality with all others before the law etc. This is why it has been so successful.

It's a bit like "social justice" as a phrase it sounds like a positive thing based upon your knowledge of justice. The way the Overton window shifted was because of our unwillingness to get down into these nasty, boring, picky semantics discussions. I am convinced at this point that we can't shift the Overton window back properly unless we're willing to take these fuckers to task on it. And if we take them to task on this and use our language, our freedom of speech most effectively to shift discourse back where it should be (e.g. islamic terrorism is a problem, fuck your cries of islamophobia... let's actually discuss the several reasons that word is retarded) then to remain consistent we've got to remind each other of this principle in order to push that window back where it belongs.

> Voltaire said that you can take away all my other rights, but with my freedom of speech, I can get them all back. He failed to point out it would be bloody difficult and time-consuming.

Stunning 18 year-old princess cheats on her boyfriend with a female friend, loses her mind afterwards

13 upvotes · 6 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Half the challenge in picking up sluts is the logistics and putting her in that situation where her social status isn't affected by her having sex with you.

Red Pill - Foster Parent

13 upvotes · 4 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Impressive. But I don't see the sub endorsing adoption anytime soon.

Let's discuss frame: How do you deal with nagging/bitchy ltrs/gfs/plates?

13 upvotes · 10 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Is my roommate acting in the most alpha way possible, or could he have done something better?
>
> By joking all the time he's providing no leadership. That's why she's still shit testing him. With plates you can walk off without needing to learn leadership or commanding (but it helps.) With LTRs, this is not a reasonable long term option.
>
> Women are like children. If you LTR one, you've effectively just adopted a child with a plethora of physical and emotional needs that you have to cater to. One of these is providing her with direction... if she has no direction or idea of what she should be doing then she'll just do what comes naturally. Hence shit-testy and bitchy.

Girlfriends ex coming to town for 10 days. She's meeting him.

13 upvotes · 12 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > She's not going on a date.
>
> Yes she is.
>
> > I told her that if she does anything it's over.
>
> You told her not to tell you if anything happens.
>
> > He's coming in town not to meet her but for a company meet .
>
> +1 to his SMV.
>
> > She assured me that she wasn't gonna do anything.
>
> Doing something is actively on her mind.
>
> > However, I think it's fine if they meet as friends, isn't it?
>
> That blue pill must taste very sweet today.
>
> > After all I've read their messages and they just seem to be really distant from the looks of it.

Why meet with someone you're being distant with?

Wake up and smell the coffee. She's weighing her options. This is highly disrespectful to you and you should have told her "you don't go on private dates with your ex while you're dating me." Ignored any nonsensical rationalising she would likely come back with and just repeated your initial statement, and then promptly nexted her if she tried to disobey.

"My husband [33M] is depressed and I'm [33F] fucking over it"

13 upvotes · 26 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You're all giving the woman the benefit of the doubt here and assuming that this guy is a beta faggot and that her description is accurate.

The blue pill programming to take a woman's side is INCREDIBLY deep. It even shows up on here to thunderous applause sometimes.

Everyone's a rapist, my wife isn't responsible, she's never cheated on him but has been raped by 25 men after she goes out drinking by herself, lol.

13 upvotes · 1 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

There is a point at which the consistent repetition of behaviour can no longer be blamed on bad luck or outside forces. At 25 times... I'm reasonably confident that this woman either sees nothing wrong with being "raped" or she enjoys being "raped."

Buckets Story

13 upvotes · 12 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

If you want to be picky about it... it'd be Buckets's Story. The additional S differentiates conclusively between the singular and the plural possessive. It's a stylistic point of clarity.

Women are as shitty as you let them be

13 upvotes · 10 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This underscores a subtle principle of TRP ... you are not a victim. The events around you, your relationships, your interactions with women, are all partially your responsibility. Yes, women suck sometimes, but that does not absolve you of fault.

And to complete the thought: the Red Pill man has enough self respect that he won't tolerate those women who suck being in his life because there are always more options. The responsibility is yours because you are making the decision as to whether or not a woman is allowed into your life.

[FR] Nuclear LMR, AWALT

13 upvotes · 30 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I have her lay down and I lit some candles on some wall sconces that I had just installed to enhance a painting in the room. It looks super cool but in retrospect was a bit much in terms of trying too hard . . . like Eric Stratton's room in Animal House.

That's where it all went wrong. Next time just toss her on the bed and get on with it.

> Then she says . . . “it’s not that I haven’t done this before . . . it’s that I’ve done this way too often.” I respond, “okay . . . well that makes less sense . . .” She gets up, puts her clothes on, and BAILS.

Translation: "Holy shit! I almost slept with a beta!" Run home and cringe to friends.

Hopefully that answers your question.

Some Of You Really Have It All. Wrong.

13 upvotes · 29 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> What if they're looking after a beloved family member who is dying... Wow. Haha.

And thus you prove my point. Thanks.

Show Me The Ho Facts!

13 upvotes · 27 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> They were probably already damaged when you got them.

[FR] The hypergamy is real, always a plan B

13 upvotes · 22 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> She actually later told me "couldn't you tell I was checking you out?"; that is when I had a revelation, if this holds true for all women who look at me in pretty much the same way, then I have more opportunities than I thought.

Nah mate, the modern woman just has piss poor quality of girl-game. She thinks she's doing X but was never actually taught it and then hedges her bets to avoid being rejected. If she openly checked you out and you did nothing, that's a rejection. Women will always try to hedge their bets and leave everything open to a little ambiguity. Gotta give the hamster his fuel. He's needed to protect that pathetically oversized ego.

FR - Flying by the seat of my pants

13 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I'd rather not. It's rather... unique. But I think I can answer the question you really want to ask: what hints would you give me for finding the right style that I should wear to maximise my SMV?

Identify your personality and style of game. Are you the strong and silent type? Are you the stylish joker? Are you the life of the party? Are you the reserved intellectual? Are you the aggressive arrogant ass? Once you know this, then you want to dress a style that fits your personality, think of the stylish and attractive stereotype of that person, then add something to the style that peacocks.

Work with your real self to optimise your game, style and appearance in order to appear as natural as possible. I've said time and again that women are excellent at spotting fakes. I have had no less than 4 women now tell me straight up how they knew what was going through my head within 10 seconds of meeting me. Build on your natural self. Fake it until you make it is good advice, but I would add that you need to build on your natural self so that the transition to that attractive version of yourself you want to be is easier.

[FR] The transvetites-cis party

13 upvotes · 14 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This preselection, as weird as it is, works for me: following that, gay guys and straight girls recognize me and talk to me.

The entire FR is worth it for this line. It's these weird nights that you'll be talking about in your old age bro. Hold onto this one.

Self-control is vital: Nature has not adapted to modern society

13 upvotes · 14 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Months.

Months.

I was average - male average, which given how women view men on a skewed scale, I was like a 4 or something like that. I was a greater beta because of my abnormally high intelligence that allowed me to push my way into status positions within groups... so while I wasn't slaying like a prince, I was having some long term relationships with both attractive and average women. (I didn't really have a clue what I was doing though... I thought I did but really I didn't. Shit never made sense until I discovered TRP.)

None of the change comes quickly, but the monk mode took about 5 or 6 months. I remember during it, I started having a go at making approaches after 3 months. Realised it was a total waste of time until I'd raised my SMV further... as I did more reading and collected more advice, I became more and more disenchanted with what I was doing after collecting my first couple of plates - with quite a bit of effort - and felt pursuing women was a waste of time.

I adapted my game style accordingly and now put absolutely minimal effort in. Quite literally, if a woman doesn't fall into my path then I'm not using valuable energy, which I could expend having fun, going out of my way to speak to her. So most of my approaches either happen at the bar or when girls are literally standing next to me for whatever reason. Gatherings of friends are pretty useful for this because people mingle naturally. I think that doing this seems to amplify my game because I'm having genuine fun any time I talk to a girl and my IDGAF attitude probably measures off the charts.

So yeah, you could probably call my game style lazy as much as it is brutally efficient. Though I'm certain it is partly due to the fact that women rate very low on my list of "things I care about." Not all of my approaches achieve a conversation, but since I haven't really bothered putting in the effort then the negative is about as minimal as it gets. Having plates that you're already spinning will also make sure you're happy enough to walk away from a chick who is too much effort or shit tests too much or constantly tries to control frame. They're not worth the effort in my opinion... again, probably why I tend to move to close so quickly because I'm just not willing to put in effort with women who seem like they won't be a straightforward close. This just seems like common sense to me, but hey... if you're without abundance or in a dry spell then it might be harder to take that attitude. I hope hearing about the end product (am I the end product? I don't know... I try not to think that because I think it's a counter-productive attitude when you're looking to constantly improve) is as motivational as you say it is.

Field Report/Thanks TRP! BP tale of woe and glorious RP redemption with several happy endings.

13 upvotes · 10 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Who fucking says that? Who cares. She's coming back Thursday.

Congrats on the first plate. You've come a long way in a short time. Be careful not to let it go to your head. Since she's coming to the wall and your SMV continues to improve... watch out for the inevitable talk about

commitment that is marching with ill-deserved confidence in your direction.

It's FRs like this which make me so glad to be a part of TRP. Seeing an incel break out of it and finally feel like a human being who gets to live life again is priceless.

> I had no idea it was so easy.

It was so easy because you appear to have calibrated your game to your natural personality perfectly. That's a tough one to pull off right away. Well done.

Do women often not realize that _other women_ are their biggest problem, not him?

13 upvotes · 19 September 2015 · /r/askTRP

Entitlement and victim complex 101. I deserve him... but I'm not getting him... I'm the victim, so it must be his fault for not doing what I expect of him.

They don't think they need to compete. They think they're entitled to happily ever after. You should probably make sure your daughter doesn't fall into that trap if you want to ensure her future happiness. As all the unmarried women in their 40s alone with cats, all the 40yr old women in unhappy marriages with betas and all the 40yr old single moms will tell you... life doesn't hand you happiness on a plate. Women typically won't figure this out until it's far too late to do anything about it.

You want that top guy? Improve yourself to be more attractive and more pleasant to be around than your competition.

Respect and male social hierarchies.

13 upvotes · 19 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

The evolution of a group is inevitable when men work together. The natural urge to find solutions means that when things inevitably change, the approach of the men will adapt to suit that change.

Psychology Today: All Cheating is Because Men Suck

13 upvotes · 5 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Academia is heavily political. The notion that we're searching for truth can only really be applied to the hard sciences because that's where we have a very clear definition of what is right (something reach through a proof) and what is wrong (something which can't be conclusively proved.) The softer social sciences where we simply don't have the understanding and/or data yet... it's pick a causation you like, then see if some data will back it up. Anything which isn't a palatable causation is just ignored when forming hypotheses. The ultimate truth of this is we're not really being scientific if we only consider pleasant things. Until we begin to consider the unpleasant, academic research is mostly a waste of time.

Women will shame high SMV men who refuse to commit. Women believe they deserve those resources.

13 upvotes · 16 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Correct. High status is part of the Red Pill journey towards becoming alpha. That doesn't mean a Blue Pill beta can't have high status. Never forget the "Greater Beta"... they tend to get divorced a couple of years into the marriage.

The ability of a high status beta to sleep around is no different to the high success rate of prettyboi game. It's a different game for different people. Just because it's so easy for some guys doesn't make them alpha... reality is the starting point. Our takeaway from this is a reminder to look at ourselves objectively first, then try to play to our own strengths. Doing that will make you easily outstrip the man who's been given an easier hand to play.

Beta orbiter stories

13 upvotes · 28 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is a reminder why you shouldn't be Dave. Ever. That disgust, hold onto it so you never become the beta again.

Why women hate nice guys - from a woman

13 upvotes · 10 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Just flicked through this site... I'm borderline convinced. It's like "follow our advice to become the most average, worthless low value woman in existence. Cash that bodily SMV as heavily as you can!" Poor blue pill men have no hope against this kind of organisation.

Should I run like hell?

12 upvotes · 8 June 2020 · /r/askTRP

> How is this even a question?
>
> Hey guys, I found this guy in the vatican, he wears a silly hat and keeps preaching like catholic stuff and calls himself the pope. You think he might be a catholic?

Tabloids and online news are absolutely retarded trash written by fools for other fools. Think before quoting or linking them, as they don't represent reality, only the most fucked up part of the human race.

12 upvotes · 8 January 2020 · /r/TheRedPill

> Gell-Mann amnesia effect.

The Intellectual Yet Idiot

12 upvotes · 5 April 2019 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's a little more than that. I strongly recommend both The Black Swan and Skin in the Game by the same author. It's explained clearly enough that you won't need formal education in statistics to understand where it's all coming from.
>
> The TRP relevance is lesser in my opinion, but it's tangentially related. Taleb's writings do reveal hidden truths and allow you to try and bypass your own natural biases, which is useful self-improvement and will allow you to navigate the world better. (Relevant to building power, career advancement, financial independence etc.) Though this piece on what he calls the IYI is probably more relevant to the wider political sphere in which we are forced to operate. Part of the power of the falsehoods that SJWs and associated folks push is not always explained by malevolence or ignorance. It ultimately is that some of the best educated people in the world.... are totally fucking retarded... and cannot grasp this fact. Making them among the most infuriating people on the planet that we've still got to deal with in our lives and thus impacts parts of our sexual strategy.
>
> Avoid Taleb's writings on IQ though. He doesn't grasp the topic of intelligence or the research. It's a bit like the time when Professor Brian Cox decided to venture out of physics to demonstrate his ignorance in the area of political philosophy. This last bit is just a sidenote.

Seeing Girls at a Long(ish) Distance Strategy

12 upvotes · 10 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> This.
>
> There's nothing to gain from LDRs in my opinion. They're purest feminine imperative.

How I Manage My LTR

12 upvotes · 7 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> if we make the assumption she's going to lie and cheat on you at some point and you can never truly trust her

Not quite. AWALT says all women are capable of this. Given the right circumstances they will cheat and will lie to protect their reputation/resources etc. She can vs she will is a very important distinction here with a number of variables involved, such as your own SMV, other men in her sphere, your own management of the relationship etc etc.

> then what benefit is there to knowing sooner rather than later by tapping phones and tracking GPS?
>
> If we go with the mantra that you should be less invested than her (and thus have less to lose) then it doesn't matter when you find out, you take the appropriate action as and when the need arises and ultimately she loses.

I don't know about you, but my time is valuable. I'm not wasting it inside an LTR where she has committed an act that requires the immediate ending of the LTR. I want to know now, not later so I can end it now, rather than later. My time is valuable and is better spent elsewhere.

> It strikes me as nothing more than protection of an already fragile ego; of being able to say you caught it early versus being cucked for x amount of time, when the reality is it doesn't matter.

See above. This is just practical optimisation, nothing to do with ego. I only have limited time on this planet.

> What I personally consider less worthwhile is spending all that time setting up key-loggers, and GPS traces and then diligently sifting through the results until you find something you don't like.

Yeah, for me that's a bit too excessive. But I would advise checking her phone/laptop from time to time and just skim back through things. If you know her (which you should) then you'll spot if shit is out of the ordinary. There is no need to invest countless hours into this, but it is also foolish to invest no time in a brief skim.

Obviously this won't catch a woman who is covering her tracks meticulously. However she shouldn't be aware of any of this. As the phrase goes, trust but verify. Outwardly you are trusting and dgaf what she's doing. This is best for the dynamic of the relationship. You're essentially balancing the best dynamic for the relationship, while also making up for the weaknesses that are inherent in the nature of LTRs as opposed to spinning plates.

In brief that difference here is that it doesn't matter who else your plate fucks. However in an LTR if you have granted her commitment, it does matter if she is not upholding her part of the deal by providing sexual exclusivity.

All of this is logical and comes from discussions had years before most of these kids made it onto TRP. They're all still stuck in their emotional mindset and thus fall upon silly suggestions like "hurr durr, endorsed egos so fragile." Believe me, you don't end up endorsed for years on here if you've got a fragile ego. I've seen these kids calling me names for years. I've seen cretinous comments about how I'm wrong about everything. There's a reason we use the tag. Ignore the upvotes, pay attention to the tags and the points. This is one of the most valuable pieces of advice I can give you. Use it well.

[FR] AWALT - freshly used condoms in her trashcan

12 upvotes · 14 September 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> The lack of an f-close was actually quite the twist.

Even Alphas get cheated on. AWALT

12 upvotes · 19 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> "Be the tingles"

This is unrealistic advice in this context. You can't be the tingles all the time. You're imperfect. This is why it's so very important to vet like a motherfucker. Any woman you consider for an LTR must be vetted with extreme prejudice. A high RMV woman would never have allowed herself into a situation like that in the first place... it's the mark of a low RMV woman to end up behaving like this.

Why North American "liberal" women hate the idea of going for guys of minority groups.

12 upvotes · 11 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

They are not liberals, they are authoritarians. Label them as either "regressives" or "leftists." we are in the business of dealing with reality on TRP, not following the leftist hi-jacking of language. By following their false uses of the word liberal we continue to legitimise it.

Don't. Legitimise. These. Assholes.

Never miss a beat

12 upvotes · 7 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

A sadly undervalued takeaway here.

Being human means you're going to slip at some point. The less you slip, the better, but realistically you're going to fuck up. You'll make mistakes, you'll fail shit tests, you'll fail to control yourself, you'll fail to maintain your effort levels and submit to that urge to be lazy. What you do when you hit these roadblocks is just as important as what you were doing before they cropped up. Just as positives beget positives, negatives do the same.

In simple terms: Can you get back on the horse quickly or slowly? Or are you done once you've fallen? It's better to get back on quickly, but even if you've done it slowly you've still got back up. Appreciating the nuance here allows for the best kind of positive mindset, aiming for excellence but not allowing the negatives to set in if you don't achieve perfection. Keep perspective when it comes to goals and keep on aiming for excellence.

Force fed my friend the red pill by having a ONS with his Oneitis

12 upvotes · 6 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Firstly, broken clocks are right twice a day. Don't dismiss the opinions of someone new for an arbitrary reason like being new. Scrutinise them and demonstrate them wrong.

Secondly, we typically don't like humblebrag posts because they demonstrate a thirst for validation from the guy positing it. Validation seeking is a beta trait and should be eradicated on the sub. Additionally, most bragging characteristics don't tend to contribute substance, this is why you simply state HB8 or whatever number instead of going into detail about how hot her body is. The details of her body add nothing of use for us. (I would view something like height as an exception to this rule, and while I can't think of an example off the top of my head, I'd probably give a pass if her body was particularly unusual or something like that.) It's not a hard and fast rule, but a general principle to bear in mind. This piece definitely had some unnecessary humble-bragging in it, but OP has admitted it and stated he will work with that feedback. I don't know about you, but that's satisfactory to me and it's the way things should be going for progress.

Finally, this:

> the people that seem to get pissed of about them I would guess are the ones not getting any, and still in anger phase?

> is passive aggression. Being passive aggressive is a feminine trait. Cut it out. You've been making very good progress. Don't let this hold you back.

TRP cold approach dilemma: Women who disengage, act disinterested, etc when in fact they are secretly attracted to you and welcome your advances?

12 upvotes · 30 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> No it's not. It's the modern problem that women lack girl-game these days. It's unbelievable how piss poor the average chick is at girl-game. She has very little clue how to signal subtle interest. When you find a chick who can flirt well and send those subtle signals in a clear manner... that's a nice long-term plate.
>
> To answer OP's question, the way around it is to begin physical escalation immediately with light inconspicuous touches and gauge her reaction. Arm to arm, back of hand to back of hand, leg to leg, nothing that could be seen as deliberate. If her body isn't retreating then it's worth hanging around, if it is then move on. Keep watch for eye pops aswell, I've found that girls who struggle with their girl game will use these quite often, but only when nobody else is looking.

Nail ex gf the day before her wedding with a beta.

12 upvotes · 9 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> For all Red Pill men: if you ever find a 3, please capture it safely. Keep it alive. We'd like to study it and look at how to replicate that.

Discussing MGTOW with Anteros

12 upvotes · 25 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Betas are a commodity at all stages (they're the 80%). Less betas does not make the game any harder for women, the only thing thats harder for them at that stage is acceptance of the fact that they've hit the wall. There is no shortage of victims, no matter what greek letter Vox Day calls them.
>
> You need to complete your logic now and explain why women try to shame MGTOWs back into the game if they're so irrelevant and invisible.
>
> The flaw I see is that women can't achieve the AF/BB strategy if the BB has gone MGTOW. So loss of betas/victims from the pool does make the game harder for women.
>
> > I've been pretty clear about my views regarding MGTOW, its not only an implication. They are indeed welcomed here, but we will still tell them to get their acts together. It's inevitable since TRP's experienced men naturally mentor inexperienced men when they see them fouling up.
>
> I don't think it's our role to live other men's lives for them. Simply give them the knowledge and let them make their own mind up. If they're fouling up in achieving their goals, that's fine we'll sort it out. It's not our job to save everyone. If they don't want to bother with women anymore after seeing the truth, that's their choice and the responsibility lies with them, not anyone on TRP. Besides, there's nothing I can say to a faggot to stop them being a faggot.

Discussing MGTOW with Anteros

12 upvotes · 25 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > No, they only want the top 20% who are very very reluctant to leave the game in any case. The MGTOW might as well be invisible.

Beta is the backup option. Once she's hit the wall hard enough, she'll accept a beta provider. What you refer to there as the invisible MGTOW are the omegas. I suspect MGTOW has a combination of alphas, betas and omegas. While I doubt they miss the omegas, the others are all men leaving the game that women do not want to leave the game - whether they're the prime option or the backup is irrelevant. Omegas aside, each man out of the game makes life harder for women. This is why MGTOWs still get blasted despite the fact that really nobody should give a fuck since they're checking out of the game. My point stands.

> Can? sure, its never been easier to quit. Should? No way.

That was an illustrative fact actually. Designed to back my actual point about the most important card in the male hand. (That which underlies dread itself.) That "should" bit you put implies you would take MGTOW off the table entirely which doesn't appear to be the position of the TRP sub:

> So I don't consider MGTOW to be wrong or at odds with TRP. I do think from a personal standpoint that they have a different conclusion as to what will bring them happiness, but I don't blame them for their decisions and conclusions. As such, we have a MGTOW flair here on the forum and I consider them just as much brothers as anybody else here.

"My Girlfriend just discovered what Cuckolding is"

12 upvotes · 16 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I wouldn't waste my time talking with her at all. The instant-hard-next is not up for debate with me.

Randomly Refuse Her Sex.

12 upvotes · 7 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Me: "Ahh, you're right. That was absolutely terrible of me. To not come running to you on short notice. Absolutely appalling. You should really find a guy who treats you better"

You call that a pressure flip? That reads like A&A to me. If you believe this counts as a pressure flip:

> Me: "You don't think I see you enough?"

Then you failed. That's not a pressure flip since it didn't phase her. In fact it gave credence to her position. The ideal pressure flip should reduce her to a babbling incoherent mess that you can then mock for your own amusement.

Good recovery later and a solid move to declare ownership as both gatekeeper of commitment and gatekeeper of sex. Really all that's going on here is a reassertion of the dominance/submission dynamic that she challenged. Your game was initially weak, so she felt there was no threat in continuing to challenge you. Then you dropped heavy dread out of nowhere and she submitted.

Your commanding requires a little work in that you shut things down like a sledgehammer when she's out of line rather than consistently reinforcing the correct dynamic. It will work, but it's inelegant. Try including more regular commanding behaviour in your general times out and about. Order her around more, tell her what to do. When she makes little irritating moves then mock her for them. Tell her she's stupid to do X for whatever reason then laugh at her. Mock her insecure behaviour and tell her insecure girls are unattractive. These are the consistent tools for moulding the correct behaviour you want. They reinforce the correct dominant/submissive dynamic in her language through the subtext "you will behave in an attractive manner for me and if you don't then I guess your SMV is lower than I thought."

Finally heard something anti-TRP that I must say stumped me . . .

12 upvotes · 6 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> EDIT: Srsly, just stay away from things that age you: cigs, too much booze and women your own age.

Pure distilled truth. If you hadn't replied I was gonna ping you about this one.

The fear of loneliness is an interesting one. It weaponises something that both sexes feel, but to different levels. If you speak to a 20yr old chick about when she's lonely... it's because she's been on her own for a few hours! Maybe a day. Compare that to a guy and he won't get lonely unless he goes days on end regularly without contact.

This is reuse of the equality myth - that myth women spread that equality means things are the same for men and women. (Confusing a belief in equality of opportunity with equality of experience and/or outcome.) They are not. Men and women are not equal because they are not the same. They have different benefits and drawbacks.

Female dating advisor shares why women can't find a Good Guy.

12 upvotes · 23 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You can tell from the moment she opens her mouth, before she even tells her stupid ass metaphor, that she's a real slut.

She has the thousand cock stare. Look at her eyes... soulless and broken, trying her best to hide it.

Why is it considered good to be "culturally tolerant"?

12 upvotes · 15 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Preventing racial profiling is without question the stupidest thing we do in the UK. It keeps preventing the police from doing their job properly. The Rotherham scandal is not the only example. Fuck cultural tolerance and all these stupid race related laws. We are all human and have standards of behaviour to adhere to.

Dan Bilzerian Sleeps With Bodybuilding.Com Founder Ryan DeLuca's Wife...and posts it on instagram

12 upvotes · 10 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

That's always what women mean when they want to take a break. It translates to "I am no longer attracted to you, but I also don't want to completely toss away my financial security. So I need to try and get rid of the guilt I'm feeling for wanting to satisfy myself sexually with another man."

It's similar logic that goes into the open relationship.

FR - Flying by the seat of my pants

12 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I don't really care if you believe me or not. Check my post history and you'll find numerous examples of where I state that I don't really care if someone doesn't find my stuff useful. My responsibility is providing it. Yours is about whether you can add something and your current comment adds nothing. I've checked your post history and you seem like someone who hasn't entirely swallowed the pill. Either that or you're so deep in the anger phase that you feel the insecure need to try and put other peoples' accomplishments down.

If it's the former, you shouldn't be posting. If it's the latter then I'm happy to throw you a little advice. **Don't make postings like this.** Just downvote and move on. You just look bitter and it's a very beta trait to display bitterness. You need to work it out of your system or it will lower your SMV. **Women need to drag down competition, MEN will work to outdo the competition.**

> Regardless of whether you think my particular story is true... shit like this happens. Remember the story about the girl who cried rape in that bar in the disabled toilet? The bar then released the CCTV showing she happily followed him in there and then clung to him for like an hour afterwards. Therefore, either you care about this type of sex and should be aspiring to this level of game. Or you don't care, in which case it begs the question as to why you are posting a reply.
>
> This is not even the strangest sex story I have in my repertoire. I did a previous FR ages ago which I had to take down because the night was so bizarrely unique that the girl would have been able to identify herself from it. Naturally it was said when the bloopers got a hold of it that it was complete fiction... but I can happily swear on my mother's life that it was true and such bizarre night did happen and it did culminate in sex. So I'm already used to that and I don't care about it. What I do care about, is about you and giving you the chance to recognise your fault and improve yourself.
>
> But ultimately that is your choice, not mine. Therefore it is not my responsibility. By writing this message, I've fulfilled my responsibility as an EC to offer help to others. Now I can only hope you recognise what I've said and act in your best interests.

Attractive 45 yo female posts that she thinks men are feminized, shit storm ensues

12 upvotes · 16 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> 8 mins ago you made this comment. This thread was originally posted 11 hours ago. I have checked your post history. In the past 11 hours you have made 2 posts. This one, and one in another thread.
>
> I have no idea who the fuck you are, or who you think you are, but here is a simple piece of advice for posting on TRP: Do not lie in your claims of hypocrisy.
>
> > Keep on hating haters. You can be masculine without misogyny. Lots of truth on this sub but the hate is hard to get around.
>
> Your plugged in misconceptions of TRP are showing. Come back when you actually understand what you are talking about. Or don't, I don't honestly care.

How do I get rid of this girl?

12 upvotes · 15 September 2015 · /r/askTRP

> Being unable to say "no" to a woman is pretty much the shorthand definition of beta behaviour.
>
> That's all that needs to be said here. Go away and pull yourself together.

Feminists celebrate women being sluts.

12 upvotes · 11 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is the disgusting reality upon which so many lies were told to men. I sometimes wonder why I don't re-enter the anger phase when it's all laid bare like this.

Why the red pill is ultimately be about sexual strategy and why it should remain that way.

12 upvotes · 5 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Perhaps your experience influences your attitude towards the pill. It's all about getting laid in your view because that is what was lacking in your life.
>
> For me, I was a greater beta before I found the pill. I had got laid with and had relationships with some hot women, and a couple of really hot women. But my success was all extremely varied (sometimes frequent, sometimes not... wins beget wins I suppose) and it usually ended with me getting hurt and not knowing why. (Now I obviously do know.) I found it very frustrating that I didn't understand it.

> So from this background, I view the Pill as an explanation of the SMP and wider reality. That provides information for all men out there so that they can actually make some proper informed decisions and changes to their lives which will achieve whatever goal they want... it just so happens that the biggest issue for men who find the pill is to get laid. Others look for a mission in life. For me... I've got closure on the previous portion of my life and finally understand it. The pill will give you personally what you want, so it's not entirely about sexual strategy... but the SMP is just such a big part of life that it is now and always will be one of the biggest (if not THE biggest) part of what TRP is all about.
>
> Those are my two cents on this thought provoking post. Take it or leave it as you see fit.

Projection, changing an Alpha to a beta, and the Love/Hatred of Alpha males.

12 upvotes · 31 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> they seem to be equally driven to change that man into a beta as soon as they can.

That bit is incorrect. The "poison drip" as it is sometimes called is actually just a side effect of the female mate-guarding strategy. Combining this with the consistent shit testing that is designed to ensure she still has a strong male, is simply an unfortunate counter-productive sexual strategy women naturally use.

It's important the newbies understand the distinction. Women have been designed to do this and it would have been fine if modern feminism hadn't convinced so many men it was acceptable to become beta (in the past men would have reasserted their dominance because all natural male-female relationships had the women in the submissive role. Society no longer encourages the healthy and happy relationship between men and women. In the past, the shit test would actually have been good for the relationship because the man would have reasserted his dominance, passed the test and the woman would be satisfied - attraction maintained.) The difference is subtle, but it's good to remember that women aren't consciously trying to sabotage everything. Suggesting that they are actively trying to turn their husband beta so that they'll dominate and then lose attraction to him is a misinterpretation and would justify blaming women, when in reality they're just doing what they were designed to do by evolution. **The domination and loss of attraction is merely a side effect of the man failing the shit test.**

Since most of your argument rests upon the assumption that women actively want to turn their attractive alpha husband into a beta loser they can control and no longer feel attraction for... I'm afraid I have to reject your conclusions. (That's not to say it's all wrong... just that you need to do some more reading to refine things a little.)

Don't be this guy - a rare form of an honest angry beta AFC.

12 upvotes · 14 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I feel bad for the guy, his entire profile reeks of his blue pill conditioning.

I know, it's like he can feel it's not right... but he doesn't have any alternatives. He can tell what he's been told is not working and the anger flows from that. I'd feel sorry for him, but really all he deserves is a prod towards TRP and then leave him to it.

Signs, that she sees you as Alpha

11 upvotes · 15 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> A whole host of things indicate it: IOIs, breaking her schedule or plans to spend more time with you, investing emotional or financial energy, pushing for commitment, the list goes on.
>
> However this is all caveated by the fleeting nature of these things. It is why some lads on here will recommend adding "right now" to the end of just about anything she says or does.... she may see you as alpha - "right now"

> that can change in no time flat. Never forget the light switch and the principles behind it all. i.e. that SMV is all about individual perceptions and these individual perceptions are fluid, not fixed. It's why women will continue to shit test even after having sex with you a hundred times, you've been in an LTR for ten years, you will still be tested. It never ends because they are always re-evaluating their perceptions and will always be on the lookout for the best deal they can get in the SMP.

Ghosted chick because she was playing games

11 upvotes · 14 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Yeah, that's fine. Now stop giving her space in your head. Your time is too valuable for chicks who mess you about.

Second date or move on?

11 upvotes · 8 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Splitting the bill is fine. It's not a red pill issue. Save yourself some money. Hell, I've told chicks to buy me drinks before going back to their place. You can literally tell a lass she has to wine and dine you if she wants to get laid. It's actually quite amusing to do that, remember, you are the prize.
>
> The money-in money-out approach is how you end up with transactional sex. That's what betas get. If she likes you, she doesn't care about paying her half. This kid had a chick who wasn't that into him, that's all.
>
> Look at it from her side. She had a date with a guy she didn't want to fuck, he had the temerity to behave like a sexual being and then to top it all off she had to pay her half of the bill, that's why her face looked like he'd pissed in her mouth. Even if he'd paid the full bill she wouldn't have gone back with him.

How do you admit your girlfriend is right and apologize without losing frame?

11 upvotes · 17 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> This reads like you don't understand the language you're using. Frame to decide what is being done, how it's being done etc. and disputes over factual matters of right and wrong are two entirely different things.
>
> If you are a good captain in an LTR, you shouldn't be having "arguments." If you are dismissing the input of your first mate just because you need to "hold frame" then you're a shit captain. If discussions are descending into shouting matches then again, you are a shit captain who isn't controlling the dynamic well. A good captain establishes matters of fact before making decisions. The first mate and the captain can both input to establishing what the matters of fact are. The first mate can advise the captain on what decision seems best, but the captain still makes it.
>
> There is no "you can give her frame." She operates within your frame at all times. If she steals the frame or you surrender it, this will reduce your SMV in her eyes and cause problems to ferment within the LTR. It is a basic point of dynamics. I fail to see how your need to maintain frame and maintain the relationship dynamics should be leading to bad decisions being made unless you are a poor captain. Note: All of this assumes you have selected a good first mate who does not have red flags and has a multitude of green flags after significant vetting and long-term plate status.

Alyssa Milano Calls For Sex Strike To Oppose Abortion Law

11 upvotes · 12 May 2019 · /r/TheRedPill

> Merely showing what we know to be true. Most women can't handle actual equality. They just behave like big children.
>
> Politicians put in place a law you don't agree with? - "I'm going to punish my boyfriend. No sex for you! Hey girlfriends, we should all do this."

> It almost resembles those nasty blue pill women who punish their husbands for not being successful enough, and in the process prevent their husband from being able to become successful because his homelife is so stressful and unpleasant. Really gets the noggin joggin.

Working on your looks is much more important than working on your game

11 upvotes · 19 February 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> which is often pretty high.

The fuck have you been reading? Incels?

The bar has never been lower with how pathetic the 80% is!

> Being social, funny, having game got me very little from women.

Your game sucked. Maybe it does still suck and your body improvement sorted you out and chicks think you're the hot retard. Or maybe you were massively overweight and trying to pass that off as not having a problem with your body. Fuck knows. All I know is, the bar for initiating has never been lower.

I thought I was ready... nope

11 upvotes · 15 February 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

Solid piece of nuance. The reality is that women will still use betas while fucking their alpha. Just because you're the alpha this time doesn't mean she won't stop using the betas and laughing at them behind their back. You'll probably get to hear about it and you'll think to yourself "what a horrible human being." It is what it is though.

If you're a good leader then you can train her out of this bad behaviour... but you'll never know for certain because a disobedient bitch doing shit behind your back doesn't look any different to a well behaved girl following her man. It's why when in an LTR it's a good idea to randomly show up sometimes and do things she doesn't expect. It ensures that she's got the thought you might show up in her mind when she's making decisions.

The are no good girls. Just girls who don't get caught and others who are worried about getting caught and losing what they desire most.

Rsd says that i'm already enough

11 upvotes · 22 December 2017 · /r/askTRP

Have you seen the low quality women some of those guys get with? Yes, you are enough if you want 4s. The only reason for this bullshit is laziness. Lazinee by them and laziness by the people who believe it.

Status trumps all but that doesn't mean you don't learn game. Game is enough to outdo your poor starting-point SMV physique-wise, but that's not a reason to avoid lifting. Do it all you lazy fucker.

Girlfriend Cheated, best stuff to read to get though it?

11 upvotes · 30 November 2017 · /r/askTRP

You have to learn game like a motherfucker. Plate a good number of women to gain experience (if you pretend you've got experience after 2 women then you should shoot yourself) there is no set number but you will notice your ability to manage plates improves over time. If you can string a plate along for over 6 months then you can evaluate whether you're ready to take on the challenge of an LTR.

In addition, you'll need to learn more advanced game techniques to ensure you're constantly moulding the correct dom/sub dynamic with a particular attention to leadership. You can consult the sidebar on the asktrp sub for basic guides to game and a good guide to LTRs. Vet vet and vet some more, be extremely picky on attitude.

> Unfortunately this means you won't get to be so picky on looks. We all want to get with that 9 and you can always plate her, but don't start following your dick and decide your best LTR option just so happens to be the most physically attractive woman. It never turns out like that. This isn't a fairy tale. Just establish a cut off point at 7 or 6 depending on your preference. Then be objectively honest about how well you're able to manage that woman. Remember, n count of above 5 is an automatic no-no... and 5 is being generous. Finally, always remember that she must put huge effort into gaining your commitment. It must be given reluctantly because once you've given it then the game changes. It must be clear your commitment can and will be taken away. You will never give up that card and are more than happy to play it in a number of circumstances.

How to defuse this particular instance of "the talk"?

11 upvotes · 5 September 2017 · /r/askTRP

> Essentially this is a nuclear comfort test. This is the sort of dumb scorched earth shit a brainless chick does.
>
> Look at the test in detail at its manipulative manner. "Give me commitment or I will take my sex elsewhere... here's another man who's up for it." It's solipsism at its finest. Because this is what a woman would respond to (essentially she's trying the female attempt at dread... which doesn't work on alpha men because they have options and know they're the prize... without realising that she will be disappointed no matter the outcome.)
>
> There is no way to pass an ultimatum comfort test. If you give her what she wants then you appear weak as you have submitted to her frame and allowed her to lead the relationship. If you don't give her what she wants then you fail the comfort test.
>
> There are arguments to be had about whether it's possible to pass this by providing some sort of comfort.... but the problem is that she's wrapped disrespect of you into her test. (Dangling the ex boyfriend around and intimating that she's going to get upto things with him or he should make you jealous.) This disrespect means you probably wouldn't want to pass this test anyway.
>
> Conclusion: Let the plate smash and get another.

Thoughts on Race and Help for Dark Meat Guys Gaming White Girls

11 upvotes · 22 April 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> The political opinions of the ECs are actually quite diverse. We occasionally remind people that TRP is apolitical because your politics don't matter when it comes to seduction or being pro-masculinity (except with certain left wing philosophies going around at the moment involving social justice.)
>
> We have one or two alt-righters among the bunch, but for the most part I'd say there is a mix of tradcons, newcons, apathists and there are a couple of liberals too. I occasionally take issue when a conservative tries to use the trick of dividing everyone into "conservative and liberal" and then labels all these left-wing idiots liberal despite the fact it's quite clearly a total misuse of the language.
>
> There's an old saying that developed from Churchill's quote "if you're not a liberal in your youth then you have no heart. If you're not a conservative by the time you're old then you have no brain." It summed up the idea quite nicely that left wing politics has a weakness of being too emotional while right wing politics has a weakness of lacking compassion. You can find a way to criticise all political stances. There is no such thing as a political stance that is simply right, there are always arguments on both sides and it's a matter of weighing things up to see what you find most valuable. This is a very useful way of figuring out who is worth listening to and who is just an idiot. I've always advocated selecting your own teachers from among the ECs. Some will teach things in a way that seems more natural than others. This allows you to personalise your own learning.
>
> Additionally there was an excellent piece written a while ago by /u/CopperFox3c called "TRP is apolitical and why." It's well worth checking out. Didn't get much in the way of upvotes, but that's the way with some of the tougher topics. The average man can't understand them. Luckily the community has brought together a collection

> of particularly bright men who will notice when someone has written something excellent that isn't getting the recognition it deserves.

Thoughts on Race and Help for Dark Meat Guys Gaming White Girls

11 upvotes · 21 April 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is essentially it. The idea they're "their women" is collectivist garbage peddled by the alt-right and white nationalists etc. TRP's stance is, and always has been, they're not yours: it is just your turn.
>
> That said, race of previous partners is a useful indicator for LTR in terms of red flags. If she's not asian and she's race mixing, pretty big red flag. This is similar to the attitude I take to girls who have been or claim to be victims of rape. The specifics don't really matter, regardless of how she's ended up saying that (i.e. actually raped or making false rape accusations) it's an indicator that is bad news regardless of what the specifics turn out to be. Real rape victim has mental damage. Fake rape "victim" well... I don't think I need to point how that's even worse.
>
> So if you're a white guy and you find out your chick has had anything but white boyfriends, that's an automatic disqualification as LTR material. You just add that in to the other lengthy list of requirements you'll have for an LTR. This is why most spin plates. LTR material is too rare these days. They'll disqualify themselves in one way or another.
>
> I'm going way off topic here... and I don't care.

Taking the red pill is hard. Feels like I've hated my own masculinity for as long as I can remember

11 upvotes · 26 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> The hardest thing is going to be finding a new group of male friends. It is likely to take you months and the time inbetween may be a little lonely and demoralising.

Always Have a "Girlfriend" or a "Wife"

11 upvotes · 16 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is the most worthless FR I've ever read.

Rhetorical question: How many truly attractive, sexually appealing women do you know who can maintain a multi-year relationship?

11 upvotes · 8 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > As a last point, another rhetorical question: remember I said 2% was a pathetic statistic? Is that a reflection of the women, or of the men? Your answer will tell you if you are beyond the anger phase.
>
> How about both?
>
> Don't go to the other extreme and start issuing free pussy passes for all behaviour and pretend you're enlightened. When a cunt acts like a cunt you call her a cunt because she is a cunt. 'nuff said?

Urbanism, Ruralism, and Dunbar's Number

11 upvotes · 10 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > It's a lot like dealing with women, interestingly enough. When they start acting up and trying to play games, you ignore that shit and refuse to play.

Precisely. I said as much in responding to another guy in this topic. I've come to the conclusion the new Overton window that's being used by society (that is obviously inaccurate when looked at objectively) is just a mass-scale loss of frame by western men. Whether this was out of politeness or not, does not matter. As part of the teachings here, we tell everybody to hold frame with both women and with beta men. No fucking about, this interaction happens on the right terms or not at all. Between two alphas the frame is basically implicitly agreed without words... and that frame is **reality.**

If you're already holding frame for women, I fail to see why we should not extend this principle to compensate for the damage the SJWs, feminists, manginas etc have done to the Overton window. I can't think of any other way it'll get moved back to reality... and with the election of Trump... we are not the only ones who want this window moved back. I would say the silent majority do.

We hold frame with women because they silently want us to. I'd say this applies to all population outside of the SJWs, communists, feminists etc etc. Since they're in the minority... we're going to win. It's just a matter of time and pressure. Taking back the word liberal starts now. No more letting them conflate it with leftists. "What you're supporting is not liberal, it is authoritarian. Please learn to use language to describe yourself properly."

Post Election - remember the 38th Law of Power

11 upvotes · 9 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Waste of time on social media, yes. IRL... not so much if your frame is solid enough and you've cranked your IDGAF up to 10.

The thing about the social justice thing vs the views we hold, is that social justice works by shaming people and making them feel isolated. The Trump victory shows you're no longer alone. Do not continue to fall for their shit during the time when you are able to go on the offensive.

The Well Known Story: How The Blue Pill Almost Broke A Young Guy's Mind

11 upvotes · 27 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I remember the way my mindset was not that long ago and this was my own "how to get a girl" pattern for quite some time during high school:
>
> - Meet (or just see) a girl and think about her a lot
> - Fall in love with her (I once fell in love with a girl I didn't even meet... yeah, I know)
> - Spend time thinking about how great it would be if you two were together
> - Meet her somehow but then don't give her the slightest idea you like her
> - When she doesn't pay attention to you fall into depression and wonder WHY she isn't paying attention to you
> - Proceed to just watch her from a distance when you have the chance and daydream about her. Also never talk to her again
> - Don't see her for some time (due to life circumstances) and fall into depression again
> - Forget about her (sort of) when you meet/see another girl
> - Repeat

Anybody else find it amusing that this is basically the feminine approach to crushes on guys? Yeah... feminisation of society and men is totally a myth.

Beware of the former alpha!

11 upvotes · 25 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Good piece. I've come across these guys from time to time... their women take a significant interest in me. Only bit I'd dispute is this lesson:
>
> > Your worst enemy on the journey will probably be the guy who used to be above you on the social hierarchy and is now below you.
>
> To be honest, I personally think the guy you see in the mirror is the biggest obstacle you will face. Can you drag him upwards as you get higher and it gets harder?

Am I dealing with an ultra senesitive girl or am I being shit tested a lot?

11 upvotes · 18 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> I like your DGAF attitude. It's oozing nicely.
>
> It sounds like she needs some comfort and she's definitely asking for you to lead her and the relationship between the two of you. Whatever that relationship is... is seems like that might be unclear in her mind... but then again, I'm basing this off a small snippet of your interactions. What are trying to accomplish here precisely?
>
> Give me an idea of what your goal is and what the background of you two is (i.e. is she a plate? are you exclusive? what sort of serious talks has she forced you through? etc etc.) From that I'll be able to give some more pointed advice. The reality is that there are multiple ways to handle this, from commanding, through comfort to simple dread. It depends what you want to accomplish.

Modern Feminism: still necessary?

11 upvotes · 16 May 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > Discrimination can exist whitout legal discrimination.
>
> So you concede that there is no systemic discrimination against women?
>
> > Or do you think that from the 1970 onwards no blacks were ever discriminated against ever?
>
> False equivalency. There have never been signs on diners saying "no women." But there's plenty of gyms that say "no men." So yeah, there's a couple of different ways that point doesn't hold up.

[FR] The Ultimate Response to a LJBF rejection

11 upvotes · 5 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > You should be gaming the hottest girls in the first palce
>
> Sometimes strategy suggests gaming her friend. Also, sometimes I see two girls, girl A would be considered hotter by society, however I find girl B to be more to my personal taste.
>
> > But what about the case if SHE is the hottest girl in her social circle?
>
> A hotter girl in the class then. Or her slightly less hot friend who has bigger tits. Women are seriously insecure... so she'll probably assume her bigger titted friend is hotter. The objective reality doesn't matter all that much when you're dealing with women. It's all about their perceptions.

A sign you're leading correctly.

11 upvotes · 1 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> and when it gets to this stage she's usually only a few logistical problems away from fucking you,

This should be highlighted. Most men don't even realise that the biggest problem you tend to face when trying to fuck a girl faster is the logistical issues AND fulfilling her need for plausible deniability/ambiguity in order to protect her social status - both with her friends and in her own mind. Sometimes there will be a cock block you can literally do nothing about, but for the most part - if you're reasonably quick thinking on your feet - you should be able to come up with a solution that you can execute under an ambiguous guise.

Also, as a supplementary bit to this entire post: you can train your woman to defer to you less in matters you make a consistent decision on. i.e. she already knows what you're going to say, so she does it. Wanna know the secret? Order her to. Then mock her when she fails to comply and comes back asking the same question. This reduces your Captain's workload and ensures your plate is training to behave like a First Mate instead of a pirate.

Relationships redditor recognizes that feminist ideals are far from biologic reality. 277 upvotes.

11 upvotes · 26 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> That is the standard beta response, yes.

"Guys we fucked, the anti slut-shaming podcast"

11 upvotes · 19 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Those pictures! Absolutely perfect examples of the thousand cock stare!

"You look a lot like my last boyfriend"

11 upvotes · 12 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> "And you look nothing like the attractive women I normally go for." Give a cheeky smile and watch the reaction as she tries to sell herself to you.
>
> It was a shit test. You failed it and validated her. She made you her emotional tampon as your punishment.
>
> The translation of it was "you look okay SMV-wise. Am I higher than you?" My response basically told her "it looks like your SMV is lower than mine."

Attractive Female YouTube Comic Calls Feminists On Their Bullshit

11 upvotes · 10 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Let's talk briefly about the comedy industry. As comediennes go, Nicole is pretty decent. There's no denying she's using her looks... but she's in the entertainment industry. Everybody knows you'll get further in entertainment if you're nicer to look at. Some of her self-deprecating stuff shows she's not completely up herself either. So as far as I'm concerned, none of this is out of the ordinary for someone in her industry and none of it makes her a particularly special attention whore. Just another low-popularity stand up who's trying to make it in a very tough industry.
>
> Also, given her attractiveness level... she hasn't really chosen the path of least resistance here. You ever tried to do stand up? It's fucking hard to come up with an act and then pull it off effectively. Maybe I'm undervaluing the looks aspect and the way betas will laugh at women who aren't funny, but I do watch a lot of stand up and see at least 20 shows a year (most are the middling/low popularity stand ups because it's really cheap and convenient.) Most low popularity stand ups tend to be funny. In my humble opinion, if they've been doing it for 3-5 years then the difference between whether they're low popularity or big time is merely luck and/or connections. (Seriously, I could list off BBC comedians who should never have hit the big time.)

> For those who are going on about narcissism and attention whoring, let's remember the industry. Dara O'Briain once stated something along the lines of "to be a stand up you need to be one of the most narcissistic and egotistical people on the planet." Gender doesn't really come into it. Being a bit of an attention whore is in the job description. For the other critics who like dismissing this because Nicole will want some seriously big alpha.... well, women be women. AWALT still applies. Why do we care about that? It's irrelevant to her comedy.
>
> So overall, **we** should take her vids as the comedy they're supposed to be. Judge it on that basis. The political aspect makes it relevant to TRP.
>
> So what's the political aspect you say? Political satire is actually a relatively recent phenomenon. It's only been around in the mainstream for less than 100 years. (However, most people alive today will have grown up with it.) The one great thing about political satire from a philosophical point of view is that it reminds us that freedom of speech has one final bastion that shall not and should not be touched by political agendas. The moment it does, we know it's the first sign of totalitarianism. (i.e. you made fun of our glorious leader? That's now illegal. Off to prison with you!) The joy of this reality is that even the less intelligent can appreciate the idea that once you make a sacred cow out of anything in comedy, it opens the door to make more. (Even low-brow comedian Daniel O'Reilly (Dapper Laughs) could figure this one out... although he couldn't articulate it very well.) How do we decide where the line is drawn on that without taking enough steps towards totalitarianism and setting enough precedents that the state can justify completing the journey?
>
> That's the political backdrop to all this. The reality is, there are a lot of authoritarians following their feels in the feminist camp who want to impose their kind of order on everyone. This sort of video that Nicole did, the response it gets and the backlash is a useful indicator to us of where society currently sits in its thoughts around freedom vs autocracy. My thoughts are: there will be arguments about it and then her vid will be left alone demonstrating that freedom is still winning out (if only just.)
>
> So here we watch, we laugh, we wait and see, *then* we learn. This whole thing isn't really about the comedienne, it's about the comedy and the reaction. Spread the video if you can to see how people in your own life react. Even if they don't like the comedy, they'll still have a reaction as to whether it should be allowed to stay up. (Note that disliking it and no reaction are also positive for freedom. We expect people to ignore things they don't care for.) So let's stick to discussing the comedy and the reactions, that's where TRP gets value from content like this.
>
> Edit: Should probably have said too... I don't think this vid even abused feminist as much as it could have. It's reasonably kind in the misrepresentation of feminism. Still probably gonna cause a bunch of feminists to get their panties in a twist though.

Surprising discussion of shit testing on Advice Animals

11 upvotes · 23 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm too grossed out by them to get close enough to even know why they're a red flag

I wouldn't care too much if I were you.

For the onlookers, it's partly that she's comfortable showing off intimate body parts to strangers for an extended period of time for tats... (big slut tell since she basically pretends having no modesty equates to the positive of having confidence, you can be confident and modest, she is merely immodest and trying to pretend otherwise) piercings are just jewellery, which are simply body decoration in the same way as earrings. They're meant to be displayed to make yourself look nicer. If she's getting anywhere pierced that isn't on display normally, it's because she's looking for a reason to show that area off. Typically they're also a sign of insecurity in her own appearance - a slut tell because she's been pumped and dumped frequently enough that she's questioning whether she's actually attractive or merely average enough to get a drunk attractive man. "How can I make myself look prettier and more special? Add some decoration."

I recall a girlfriend from my bluepill days who I saw a few months ago. Despite being very attractive (solid 8, easy) she had horrendous levels of insecurity. She'd changed from being tatt free to being completely covered and pierced left, right and centre. I'm sure her insecurities and feelings of inadequacy are what drove this. As a more general conclusion I'd say that people with piercings and tats are typically motivated by the fact they're not happy with themselves and want to change - and a tattoo or piercing is the lazy/easy option to have that instant change.

Meet & Greet: Omission is lying

11 upvotes · 5 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The fact that she actively tried to obfuscate that fact points more in the direction that she had made the experience of guys ghosting on her after she informed them of being a single mom, and she wanted to avoid this for the future.

More specifically she wanted to hide it until he'd invested so much in her that the sunk cost fallacy would come into play. It's one of the most consistent Machiavellian things that women seem to do across the board.

The Alpha Male Wall.

11 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'd rather be the guy who gets laid frequently at 40 with a fat bank account and no legal problems, then some player in college/HS who gets locked down with a kid or ends up married to an AWALT by 30 (can anyone say Frivorce?)because he doesn't know women any better at the core then the "incels" .

My cousin was a natural. Good looking guy, worked out in his teens, high status surfer dude. Supremely impressive man in terms of SMV. I have little doubt he slayed pussy like a champ in his teens and 20s. Now he's 40, has 3 kids by 3 different women who he doesn't get to see/barely gets to see and is working his ass off paying child support. He recently had a mild heart attack, and had to drive himself to the hospital. Let that sink in for a moment, he had to drive himself to the hospital in agony.

High SMV can influence your chances in life... but when you're weak, your high SMV means fuck all. I would sooner be a Red Pill man than a natural.

A rule of life is that not everyone can be a winner. How come TRP seems to be 100% full of winners? Where are all the guys who tried TRP and failed?

11 upvotes · 20 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

You'll find them over on /r/exredpill After all, much of PUA shows that persistence alone and running numbers will get you some regular pussy. That's not for me personally (far too much effort, not worth it) but that doesn't mean that it doesn't work. So really, the only way to become a loser is to give up.

Typically the only man who won't benefit from TRP will be severely disabled in some way and out of the SMP for all intents and purposes. One of the things I particularly enjoy about sharing my knowledge is that I can help some guys who have to play the game with an automatic -1 or -2 to their SMV. There's nothing more satisfying than beating someone in a race when you've started 200 metres behind them.

RP: If a woman says she thinks muscles are gross and only likes scrawny nerds, what do you think of her?

11 upvotes · 19 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

I would ignore her words and assume because she's said that she's low SMV and couldn't get a big muscular man to take any interest in her.

> It's that ego-saving fallacy. Deny you're interested in what you couldn't have anyway.

Did TRP make you change your political views?

11 upvotes · 15 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Not really, I'm a fairly liberal guy and TRP fits fine around my political stance. I'd already spotted many of the inconsistencies in the modern "women's rights" movements and already come to the conclusion that women already had equal rights and whatever these third wave feminists were complaining about, it either wasn't very important or it was a complete fantasy of theirs. Pretty much all my economic views have stayed as they are. My social views haven't changed much since my main problems with the legal changes going on are: restrictions on freedom of speech, absurdly lowering the (already low) burden of proof for rape convictions and the imbalance in the custody laws needlessly awarding primary custody to a mother when there is no reason to avoid joint custody. (Pretty much the entire reason fathers for justice exists.) I've still got some reasonably left wing views in amongst it, for example I think the state should be intervening to regulate necessity markets. I think it is right that we provide a reasonable level of security against frictional unemployment. Decent healthcare should be a universal right. TRP doesn't make me change my views on this despite there being plenty of RP guys who wouldn't agree with me.
>
> Ironically, I originally clicked on TRP because I'd heard it was massively misogynistic and I felt like having a laugh at some misogyny. Boy was I wrong about that.

What I Learned From Being Chad a Few Times and Approaching Women (Field Report)

11 upvotes · 24 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Women need men and need the feeling to be mutual, but the presence of our male exclusive spaces are evidence to the contrary. It shows that a man, even one women categorize as a provider, can have an enjoyable existence outside the presence of a woman. If a man cannot be controlled by sex, women are powerless.
>
> Absolutely! I suspect I probably picked my understanding up from that very piece.

What I Learned From Being Chad a Few Times and Approaching Women (Field Report)

11 upvotes · 24 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > If you're a single guy that keeps his sex life under wraps (what I do), women think something's wrong, asking "Why don't you have a girlfriend?". If you state that you don't want one, they'll respond with, "of course you do! (insert condescending laugh), you're eventually going to want to settle down and get married. You don't want to be lonely do you? You'll see when you get older". This will happen often. They won't let you escape when you respond with "eh" and try to change the subject. Many people conflate not wanting an LTR with not wanting women sexually. To them, the two concepts are inextricable; the thought has never occurred to them.
>
> I think this is possibly even more complicated than that. My standard response is "I have lots of girlfriends." followed by a sly look at her face then a lingering look at her body (I want her to catch me doing that so she knows exactly what could happen.)
>
> However, I was once shot the question about whether I was seeing anyone by my best friend's girlfriend HB7, maybe an 8 on a good day (the very second he'd left us alone to go to the gents. Anyone smell that fresh groundwork for a branch swing?) - I decided I didn't want to go full beta, but I also didn't want to lead her on. So I told her I had two fuck buddies. Hoping she'd get the message that she was unworthy of my ultra-high SMV. This worked like a charm in the past and the other girl had moved on... not this one though. She immediately

responded by asking whether I was thinking about settling down anytime soon.

Comparing this experience with your own analysis, I'd say women are in very simple minds when they're analysing this. Women are only interested in your status if they are weighing up your potential in their mind. Saying I don't want an LTR triggers a simplistic shaming tactic by them assuming you don't have any sex at all - a man who has no interest in pussy is very dangerous to the feminine imperative because he can't be controlled (hence why there's so much hate of MGTOW rather than ignoring it... which would be the logical step for women.)

If we compare the response declaring fuck buddies, she knows there's interest in pussy, so she can't shame on that front. Though I think there could be a potential attempt at shaming for not committing. It just so happened that this one fancied either a branch swing or a chance to join my harem in the hope of obtaining future commitment. She obviously rates her own SMV extremely highly.. or she's taken my basic politeness to her... (combined with my dismissive mocking of some more attractive Irish chick we met that night) to mean that her value is higher.

I think from this, we could refine your initial conclusion to something much more selfish and solipsistic. That women who find a man not looking for an LTR will either try to shame him into fulfilling the feminine imperative, or she will entertain the idea of her taking him into an LTR. The latter comes before the former due to selfishness. The rest is the solipsistic feminine concept that men can't exist without women or without having an LTR with a woman. - Because women rank themselves based upon the value of the man they can obtain, they can't exist without a man in their lives (it's the worst kind of misery for a woman) they seem to assume that we think that way too... and probably consider their shaming tactic to be "helping him to see the light."

I'm looking forward to the rest of the series.

[FR] Wingmen, fuckwits and the value of muscles and ASD

11 upvotes · 2 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

The reality is that good game will actually outdo a guy with a higher base SMV. (Game artificially inflates your SMV... or really inflates it... that's a debate for another day.) As /u/master_ort said:

> And then instead of shrugging it off you left filled with self-pity, started ruminating and now you come up with a rationalization

This shows precisely where you need to work. You may think you don't give a toss... but you still do. The IDGAF attitude can be sensed... I sense it in your jacked non-friend because of the way he acts. When he gets bored, he walks off without explanation. In a way, IDGAF tends to be more visible by poor social skills... but there's actually a very fine balance. They demonstrate poor social skills in a specific way: not following basic etiquette with people who don't matter. Next time when you're out, remember who is actually part of your life long-term. If they are, follow the right etiquette and treat them well. If they are not, do whatever you like because you'll probably never see them again. Attitude is the part of your game you need to work on most - it feeds into all other areas of game and women are very good at sensing what the underlying attitude of a man is. I've had women literally quote word-for-word my exact thought process within 15 seconds of meeting me.

Are they truly unaware?

11 upvotes · 11 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Women lie... a lot. Even if we forgot the general TRP principle of "ignore what they say, observe what they do" then I'd still say: why would we believe the boy who cried wolf?

My Oneitis Recovery Experiment

11 upvotes · 27 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> she ain't that bad if she's hot

I would suggest OP does not take that attitude. Dominant alpha with abundance mentality does not tolerate bad behaviour. All women will behave badly if you let them. Cultivate the mentality where beauty does not give them the pussy pass. If writing things like this down will lead to that, then it's a win for improving inner game.

Giles Coren: If You Think This Is A Feminist, Think Again

11 upvotes · 20 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Paste the whole thing in here since we can't get it.

Should men have a male VERSION of the classic hourglass physique?

10 upvotes · 26 July 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> The inverted triangle is the male equivalent to the hourglass. You can have your detailed discussion on abs or no abs and how this affects strength gains, but the reality is you won't be wandering around topless much. Make sure your clothes fit well, look stylish and if you're looking to show off your physique a bit, then a tight t-shirt is usually plenty for this - you don't even need to be completely shredded for this look because most tight t-shrits I've found don't tend to be tight around the stomach to show defined abs anyway. You just can't be a slob, you need to keep it flat.
>
> Talking about leg size is more of a personal preference. I don't recall any psychological attraction focused studies ever covering leg size on blokes. It's just a generally good health idea to keep yourself reasonably balanced.

My[20M] Girl[22F] has plans to travel solo in the future

10 upvotes · 10 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Dude, you treat her like a plate and shes gonna do plate like things. The fuck

It's not even this... puts her down as a plate and then he acts like she isn't a plate and her actions outside of fucking him actually matter.

If he's saying he's given her sexual exclusivity for months now... why? Why the fuck would you start a random LTR with some plate well before the vetting period's minimum length is over?

This guy is retarded, and clearly hasn't bothered doing the basic reading.

LTR of 2 years asked if I didn’t want to have sex because she’s fat. I said “yes.” She may have left me... what do I do?

10 upvotes · 20 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> She won’t step on a scale near me at all. And if I bring up eating habits, she will shut me down and make it seem like I’m the BAD ASSHOLE boyfriend.

Sounds like your dynamics aren't set properly. You raise a point and she shows you disrespect rather than dealing with the issue you want sorted.

> For reference my GF is 5’4” or 5’5”. She weighs 150-160lbs.

Jesus mate, unless each of her tits weighs 10lbs, she's basically a whale. Have some self respect.

> I love her and would literally marry her

Does not compute with:

> I followed TRP methodology 2-3 years ago before settling with her.

TRP opposes marriage. This is basic 1st month stuff, let alone 3 years swallowing the pill.

> What should i do? Am I right or wrong for telling her my honest feelings?

Depends what you're trying to achieve. Do you even know what you're trying to do? From what I've read you've not set the dynamics in the relationship properly, she holds the frame and you're scrambling around reacting to her. Whatever the fuck you do, do not go after her or reach out first. If everything you write is accurate then she's never got a hope in hell of getting a man with similar SMV to you. It'd be funny if your little dose of truth had her storm off and jump into bed with the first guy who smiled at her just to regain a bit of validation.

Demote LTR to plate

10 upvotes · 11 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

Drag out the break. See her when you feel like it, texting and contact rules as per any other plate. When she brings up the talk, tell her you've been enjoying your time with her and it's been very positive to pull things back to a more laidback style of dating. You're feeling much more like yourself around her now.

You're happy to date her casually but you're not willing to commit to a full time exclusive relationship right now due to priorities at work/career/other major goals in life. Further down the line things might change, but for now and the foreseeable future you can only give her casual dating. If she doesn't want to stick around, then you understand.

Be aware she may not be willing to take the permanent demotion. The talk is always a situation where a plate can break.

"It's just your turn" , "You are replaceable no matter who you are" Best 2 advices from this sub?

10 upvotes · 11 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

"Find your mission" is also a top piece of advice. You will live a much more fulfilled life if you have a major goal or set of goals for yourself that women are not relevant to. This helps enormously with maintaining the correct mindset: Women are an accessory to your life, to be indulged at your pleasure.

I lack understanding about RP in a "healthy way" these are my doubts. I am looking forward to your advice, thank you.

10 upvotes · 24 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

Ah yes, the blue pill is STRONG with this one. Your essential problem is you haven't actually used the red pill lens on anything. You've read what's written and then applied the blue pill lens. Let's briefly go through your points.

1. "Using women for short term pleasure." You've taken our conclusion and assumed it is our premise. Our basic paradigm is that the world is set, nature is set and we must interpret it to understand what's going on and then adapt accordingly under the rules nature has set for us.

The most basic part of TRP is written at the very top of the sidebar. We're talking about what makes successful sexual strategy, in the world that is increasingly hostile towards heterosexual men. What works and what doesn't work? This is the basic question. Questions as to is marriage viable? have been asked and answered with: it's not

viable anymore. The legal system makes it too easy for a woman to take half your stuff on a whim. It's not a rational risk. So if marriage is off the table, what else is there? LTRs, one night stands and FWBs. Each of these three is encouraged by TRP but has very different sets of reading and different ways of playing "the game" in order to be successful.

I could go on, but essentially this goes back to my first point. You haven't bothered to understand the underpinning philosophy of TRP. It's not about using people. It's about understanding how things work and adapting to suit your own goals in life. Many men conclude that due to the way society is going, they'd rather spin plates. i.e. have a rotation of women FWB situations. TRP is amoral. We make no moral judgements about what does or doesn't work. If you still consider this "using women for short term pleasure" then you are too stuck in the blue pill mindset to ever find any of this any use. We just ask the question, what is the practical way to secure yourself regular sex to satisfy your sex drive. This is the way to do that.

1. "Being a prejudiced machine to manipulate to get what you want." We advocate being attractive. Women don't get into bed with us because we've manipulated them. We have behaved in an attractive manner. That is why they get into bed with us. What you describe is the typical detractor's sad little view of us. "Hurr durr you just manipulate women" yes, if your definition of being charming is manipulation. It's a pathetically bad faith interpretation. Be what women find attractive - the problem only comes because of moral issues. Women happen to find what appears to be mean and immoral actions to be rather attractive because they're an indicator of strength, independence and ability to provide. The fact that those three virtues could describe a successful drug dealer is not something that enters their tiny minds. They have a simplified view of the world where it's just "good" and "bad" that's why they can't grasp nuance or complex views like how "immoral" can be "attractive" and that these two are mutually exclusive.

The blue pill view is women are good and therefore women cannot be attracted to things which aren't good. So the idea that something immoral can be attractive simply cannot be. Nonsense. It's very simple, if you want to have sex with women, understand that women typically want to have sex with attractive men. Here's how to be attractive. That's all it is, nothing more.

1. I have no idea what you're asking about in this one. It's word salad. Though I would note you've written how women are wired a certain way and a red pill man is "tricking" them into bed. Yes, if you consider being attractive and charming to be tricking them into bed, sure. But again it's blue pill mindset that poisons the well. They're red pill men, therefore they must "trick" women into bed, despite the fact those of us who are successful have seen the evidence with our own eyes that women don't even understand their own emotions when it comes to attraction. So yeah, I suppose it's a trick if you don't grasp the mechanics - technology is just magic until it's understood.

2. This is a common inner conflict among men. It's experienced because society has sold a disney dream to men that cannot be. Women are not programmed to be honest and loyal to the degree of fiction. They would need to be raised into this sort of thinking. The modern world has not raised them that way. Most women have been raised without standards for behaviour and as a result, you see the impact on the relationship market. It's a real shitshow out there, filled with single mothers, obese women and divorcees. All these basic things that any man with any basic standards would say is an instant disqualification as relationship material. However the feminists have been chipping away at things to reduce standards to the point some men are accepting this, despite the fact they're left depressed by it. It's a conundrum alright, but we've got as close as we can get to a practical solution to it.

3. You touch on so many red pill principles here that you haven't bothered to understand that I'm not answering this one. The answer would need me to treble the length of this already in-depth post. You've got the answers on the basics of the paradigm. Now go do your reading in this new light and things should make sense. It's just the same problem again, you cling to the blue pill lens and view it all through that.

> Women want men to "just be yourself" because it helps their sexual strategy of figuring out who is attractive and who isn't. Who has the genetics that make them attractive and who doesn't. When you refuse to do that, you make her life trying to select the best genetics harder. But we don't care about that, we care about men being more attractive. That's it.

LTR vs plate spinning

10 upvotes · 2 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> > Most women Ive met wanted relationships straight away
>
> That's the standard experience of a beta who isn't in control of the dynamic. It is perfectly possible to spin plates in the UK.

Unless you're a 10/10 male, how do you embrace our current society? Because it makes me hate life and dread the future...

10 upvotes · 1 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> What is your mission?

Don't Have Kids

10 upvotes · 23 December 2020 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Ten: **Not having kids is amazing for the environment.** Look into it.
>
> Killing yourself is also great for the environment for similar reasoning. If you truly buy this reasoning and you weren't just trying to find excuses to justify the conclusions you'd drawn ahead of the argument, I hope you're selecting a painless method. Formally, as per reddit's rules, I am opposed to you doing this and you should seek help for your mental illness if you are considering suicide.
>
> I know TRP standards have been falling due to the eternal september issue and a constant loss of quality posters. But wow... just wow. I've never seen so many words contribute so little to a topic. Let me give you the current state of the debate on kids in TRP since it hasn't really moved for years:
>
> Leaving a legacy is important and part of successful sexual strategy will ultimately be whether you procreate. Vs. Practicalities of having children in this day and age provide so much power and control to the mother that financial cost/physical or emotional effort for raising them and having to contend with such a toxic societal environment will make it virtually impossible to raise strong, sensible children of either gender who have a good chance to succeed and procreate themselves. Add to this high risk of divorce/separation, automatic rulings giving women full custody and crippling child support payments, it's basically the same cost-benefit analysis as marriage. The major difference being that marriage didn't have the arguments in favour of it like this does. Whether they're strong enough is down to the individual. TRP does not have a specific position "don't have kids" for this reason.
>
> Note how your entire post hasn't advanced this discussion one iota.

Why is she being THIS good to me? "Former" party girl pulling out all the stops to get LTR.

10 upvotes · 2 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Damaged goods.... why is this even a subject for discussion? Auto-disqualification for LTR.
>
> It's just the epiphany phase for her. This is sidebar stuff.

My GF is going on a trip with THREE MALE FRIENDS

10 upvotes · 26 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> So you've been LTR for a month. How long was she your plate for before this? The big question here is whether you've missed an enormous flashing neon red flag so you can improve your vetting.
>
> The fact that she's immediately run the emotionally manipulative guilt-trip stuff is grounds for an immediate and non-negotiable hard next. There should have been an instant "I will not be emotionally blackmailed" response to that. She either gets in line or goes. Why this post is titled "my GF" when she clearly shouldn't be anymore, is the real mystery here.

What are good examples for RP/BP movies ?

10 upvotes · 21 March 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Movies are generally neither red pill nor blue pill since they're fiction, crafted for entertainment. There is a reason the main sub has had the rule "no examples from fiction" for years. Partly because everybody would keep having a circle jerk over fight club which is a pretty naff film of a nonsensical book written by a mentally damaged beta faggot. There is no red pill knowledge available from the weak.
>
> If you're simply looking for inspiration, you could do worse than Gran Torino.

Friend had his 'gf' come out as bisexual

10 upvotes · 21 November 2019 · /r/askTRP

> This is basically the correct response. If she then reacts in any other way than very enthusiastic then you now know she's not LTR material and you can downgrade her to a plate.

How would it make you feel if a girl only wanted you for sex?

10 upvotes · 3 September 2019 · /r/askTRP

> If only. She's lying, and if she's not lying then she's broken in a manner that means it's all good. You wouldn't want her for a relationship anyway.

Gf angry that I slept with other people while we were dating.

10 upvotes · 7 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Play stupid games, win stupid prizes. Also, how does the whole "I had a fuck buddy at the time, she was a virgin and I was trying to pop her cherry" thing work? I was under the impression we needed to fuck a chick before declaring her a plate. I'm starting to think standards are slipping.
>
> "I have this fuck buddy, she even let me hold hands once. So Red Pill!"

Im less attracted to my girlfriend after she gained some weight - what do?

10 upvotes · 31 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Tell her she's let herself go a bit and ask what she's planning to do about it? You'll get a good flavour of character by how she responds to it. If she responds in a hostile fashion, tries to change the subject, attempts to pin the blame on you in some way etc, then you should dump her on the spot. Is her sport not done during the last few months or something?
>
> A good quality gf will respond to your enquiry by noting that you're right. Have a stab at how it's ended up like this. (There's leeway here because you can gain weight slowly without realising. One too many treats each week for 2-3 months and suddenly you're a different person.) Then state how she'll diet or attend gym more often or a combo of both. You can make suggestions and help her plan but it's not your responsibility to follow through. That's all down to her. If there is no improvement within 2 months then you dump her because she has demonstrated her word is worthless. The key difference between a gf and a plate in this sort of scenario is the gf

> knows her word must hold value for any conversation with her to be of value (and thus for her participation in the relationship to be of value.) For a plate, we never care what she's saying anyway. Just watch her actions.

Girls are sluts and I absolutely hate it

10 upvotes · 24 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> This. The difficulty will then come about when you're trying to filter for bitches who have had the epiphany to settle down after taking it every which way. 'cos those bitches will "save the best" and you'll get to marry the whore who fucks like a prude.

Working on your looks is much more important than working on your game

10 upvotes · 19 February 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> I point to the RSD losers as example of game working regardless. One of their popular ones looks like a troll and gets laid with some decent women and other fatties... but he's still getting laid. The reason I know game works so well is I accidentally gamed a personal 10 who I thought I had no chance with in my blue pill days. As you can imagine that all went to shit eventually but the point was that despite the poor body, poor posture etc, confidence, attitude and accidentally running all the right game still worked.
>
> Finally, you should ask yourself in response to this:
>
> > What you dont seem to get
>
> Do you see the endorsed flair? Given that I've been around much longer than you and I have material on the sidebar and an entire series on game... I "don't seem to get" the relative values of these things. Have a think on what you're saying and take a good look at your thought process. It appears to be based on the idea that you know everything, or at least you know something I don't. While the evidence and experience appears to suggest that if anybody had knowledge that the other didn't, it would be me. Perhaps rethink that thought process. Or don't.

You didn't really read the sidebar....

10 upvotes · 6 November 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Comprehension of the sidebar in order to get your dick wet is pretty minimal. Level of comprehension required to gain an endorsed tag is significantly higher. Level of comprehension required to enjoy reading and learning the things that would get you the knowledge and understanding required to get an endorsed tag is similar. The reality is that the former is far far lower than the other two.
>
> The real test of a man is what does he do once he's had some hot chick drain his dick and he's got a bit of perspective. Does he continue to improve? Or does he get comfortable?
>
> Don't get me wrong, some of the manosphere is total garbage. However there's more than enough gold to keep you occupied and growing mentally, but if you truly want to grow mentally you won't be sticking purely to the manosphere anyway. For example I would recommend, investment knowledge and picking up a hobby, whether that be mechanical, construction or martial arts is basically down to you to explore.
>
> Once you take this into account, you see that the guys who have only done the sidebar and got laid a few times... they haven't really become much in the way of men anyway. But hey, that's the thing of our society these days. It's so feminised that everyone wants to be comfortable and have easy solutions... even the majority of visitors to TRP. Just like a woman, they want to be protected from potential hardship and have their problems solved for them. It's pretty depressing to think about... so I don't tend to. I focus on other things. So should you. It's not your job to save anyone.

Does it bother anyone else to find out that the girl who made you wait 3-5 dates to have sex has

had one night stands in the past?

10 upvotes · 20 April 2017 · /r/askTRP

> She sees you as a beta. Congratulations on your discovery.

Suicidal Friend Tells Me About TRP After I Give Him Advice

10 upvotes · 8 February 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Simple, effective. Those first steps are both the easiest and the hardest. To have someone walk the path with him... I wonder if he realises how lucky he is compared to the rest of us who walk alone.

What to do if your girlfriend baits you into cheating on her?

10 upvotes · 29 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> You actually think you're going to get laid off a chick who has been put up to behave like she's interested in you as part of a honey trap?

Mod banned my friend for saying a post was dumb?

10 upvotes · 15 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Maybe, but I saw no gain to be made here in withdrawing benefit of the doubt. Would you guys like me to repost my old piece on roles/respect/responsibility? Seems like the sub could do with a refresher.

Duke opens one of the first male Re-Education and Feminization Camps in the US

10 upvotes · 3 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> They look EXACTLY how you would expect. No style, no swagger, hell they're not even confident holding eye contact with a camera! I wonder if they've ever stared into a woman's eyes and seen that desire they have, while still being able to intimidate them with just that look... actually I don't wonder that at all. None of them have.

Nail ex gf the day before her wedding with a beta.

10 upvotes · 9 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Essentially this comes back from abundance mentality. Once you have abundance of women, you can be picky. If you're not being picky around a set of standards then your attitude is wrong and it will be a less attractive attitude.
>
> All of TRP fits together if you're careful understanding the nuances. There are various reasons that are generally agreed where a Red Pill man will turn down sex from a chick. If she's a work colleague for example. "You don't shit where you eat" is what we'd say. More specifically this is that the cost-benefit analysis of sleeping with her is too risky. While the sex could be good or bad, the potential fallout could be as extreme as you losing your job and maybe even being blackballed in your industry if false rape accusations are levelled at you. The risk of this, even when small, is simply not worth it for sex when you can go get sex elsewhere. So the logical outcome is you walk away.
>
> Same walk-away principle applies to other ideas like the chick being too crazy. Crazy women are very unpredictable and pose a significant false-rape-accusation risk. Women within your social circle are also advised against because they can completely fuck up your social life. That's the risk when you play with these women. Guys can do it if they want, but it's never going to be advised by Red Pill men because our answer is always "well, why don't you go sleep with a different chick? Why her when there's this risk that wouldn't be present with another chick?"
>
> I didn't realise you were a newbie. Hope that explanation gives you a bit of background on why we think the way we do.

You can't be MGTOW or be 'Preferably Monogamous' if you can't get other women.

10 upvotes · 28 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> The power of our card to walk away comes from the fact that the woman doesn't want us to walk away. If you're a beta, that card has no power because she never cared in the first place.
>
> The base of MGTOW is in exercising their power of personal choice. Unfortunately they haven't noticed that some of them don't have power and have exercised nothing when they've made the choice.
>
> That said, I always end up laughing my socks off at the guys who say "all MGTOWs just can't get women" when I remember Lui Marco was where I first found out MGTOW existed. I also have a good looking friend who's not Red Pill, could probably get women (his most recent ex was hot) and he's just naturally become MGTOW without finding their network. So that argument has never held water for me.
>
> When I see a guy focused on building his own life, customising his house and building his own shit while advancing his career... doesn't seem like something requiring mockery to me. He recently built a fountain... no joke... so when I see that sort of achievement and then think how I've been putting effort into getting laid it makes me think one thing: "Figure out how to put less effort into getting laid. It's just not as impressive as some of the other shit you can do with your life."

[Humor] Things Feminists Say

10 upvotes · 13 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Don't pull a No True Scotsman
> >
> > Think about Cypher in The Matrix.
> >
> > Sometimes this shit can just be too much for people.
>
> Well noticed. I think that men who fail too many times and don't have the personality to keep going in the face of adversity will rationalise that TRP is wrong in order to save their ego. I would theorise that any who do have some success will end up leaving to go blue pill in the bargaining phase, or MGTOW in the depression phase.

Am I dealing with an ultra senesitive girl or am I being shit tested a lot?

10 upvotes · 18 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > I wouldn't mind an LTR with her.
>
> This is not the right attitude for an LTR. It is not something to enter lightly. Let me be very clear right here and now: LTRs are very different to spinning plates. Some guys mistake basic dread tactics and alpha behaviour for correct LTR behaviour due to the fact that's how it appears at a glance in MRP. The problem with their logic is that MRP is designed as a practical guide to save a relationship/marriage that is already fucked. It goes on the assumption that she thinks your SMV is low, so you play the game differently. Starting an LTR from scratch, your SMV is high, so employing some of the stuff on MRP will more than likely nuke your potential LTR, because it's not designed for an already healthy early stage relationship. It is also very different to spinning a plate. You're indifferent to a plate smashing. You're not indifferent to LTR ending... yet at the same time, you must still be willing to walk away. It is a finer line to walk.
>
> Now that I've got that disclaimer out the way, you need to figure out in your own head if you want an LTR. Women are serious drains on your time along with your physical, mental and emotional energies. Spinning plates is like visiting the orphanage to play with the kid on the floor. You have some fun with them, but you're not really having to look after them. When you LTR a girl... you've effectively adopted that kid and now you're responsible

for everything. (And women have a plethora of physical and emotional needs that you are taking responsibility for fulfilling since you're the one leading the relationship.)

You have to worry about things like relationship dynamic, balancing the SMV correctly (which does shift according to her experiences both with you and without you and along with her random hormonal emotion swings.) You dread when she's uncertain of your high value, you comfort when she's worried of loss, you command when she's confused and lead when she's uncertain.

Hopefully this has made you sit up and stop being so indifferent to LTRs. You need to do some reading before you make any kind of decision. You also don't sound like you've been vetting her seriously. Here's a basic list to get you started.

- Basic bitch management guide.
- First part of an excellent 8 part guide on LTRs
- Vetting potential wives from the Red Pill Room

Any further questions after you've done your reading, just come back to AskTRP.

Modern Feminism: still necessary?

10 upvotes · 16 May 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> For example: if women and men are equal by law, but every employer only offers a woman half the salary he offers a man, there is systematic discrimination against women.

That is not systemic. That is individual. This is half the problem with feminism, it misuses language to make a problem out to be worse than it really is. When you say systemic discrimination, that means the actual legal and social system discriminates. This is objectively false. Then when you come back with shite like "oh but what in this hypothetical situation when one individual employer does this" it further demonstrates that you're just making up shite as you go along and you don't actually have the original point you state. Stop misusing language.

In addition, I also don't think your example is discrimination. It's called capitalism. The free market. You try to pay as little as possible for goods and services you buy and gain as much as possible for goods and services you sell. EVEN IF I concede that your example happens across all women ever, if they accept that and don't negotiate for more money or line up their job offers more competitively, then that is not discrimination. That is a failing of women as a whole. The real explanation is simple economics.

> Before feminism women couldn't vote

Wrong. Do you even know your history? Feminism has tried to rewrite history to claim the successes of the suffragettes (or more correctly the suffragists... the suffragettes were stupid terrorists who did more harm to the cause than good. They were actually pretty awful people if you look into it.) More misuse of language. Stop it!

Oh and by the by, some women from elite backgrounds could vote before the suffragettes movement. It just depended whether you were important enough and whether the men around you considered you to have a brain in your head... which most women didn't back then due to lower aspirations and so forth.

> I mean I don't think it was a bad as racial discrimination, but the comparison is valid.

With all-women shortlists and women-only positions on boards and women-only positions in university student body/representatives and women-only political candidate lists... your racial discrimination comparison is currently more valid for men. Nice try though.

Let's discuss frame: How do you deal with nagging/bitchy ltrs/gfs/plates?

10 upvotes · 10 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Well this all depends on the severity and how your dominance and submission balance is set in the relationship. Typically if the dominance/submission dynamic is not set properly in the relationship, you will find it difficult to lead. However, any woman who is submissive to you will follow your specific instructions without question.
>
> The key to all of this is, as you say, not seeming butthurt. You're not reacting to her. You are applying the standards you have set and acting according to that. The correct emotional tact to take is like a father who is disappointed in the acts of his child. You're not angry or upset, you're just disappointed. Women live to win the affections and attention of alpha men. If the dominance/submission dynamic is set properly, yet she's displeasing you through her natural behaviour, you appearing disappointed that you have to resort to straight talk (and tell her to behave herself), is an indication that her social skills and value is not up to the standard you require of her. This will dread her and she will panic, concerned that she will lose you.
>
> Women react to these powerful emotions in two ways. They lash out, attempting to disown responsibility. For example, she starts acting bitchy and you tell her to stop, she then gets defensive saying everything from her not being bitchy to her blaming you for her becoming emotional. Shake your head in disappointment and leave. Radio silence her. She'll be apologising in no time. (Edit: The other way that I forgot to include is that she'll just submit straight away and act coy. Order an apology out of her. Don't let her use her femininity to get away with bad behaviour.)
>
> If there isn't the correct level of dominance/submission then women typically react to being told their SMV is lower, with attempts to pretend otherwise. In this case it's basically denial. When she apologises, she's admitting her SMV was lower than you expected of her. You've got to reemphasise that her behaving like that is unacceptable. She's apologised, so she's already in the submissive role, admitted her social skills aren't good enough to read between the lines, so you're open to use straight talk here. She's come to you submissively apologising. Dominate by taking the leadership role and issue your orders. Leadership is about combining the womanese implication talk, leading through actions, and finally straight orders.
>
> Now, going back to the start, what if she's being bitchy while you've got the correct dynamic in play? Just tell her to stop being so bitchy. Tell her you're not going to tolerate it. Tell her to apologise and go make you a sandwich to make it up to you. I've had some good results by telling her to stop whatever behaviour and telling her that it's unattractive. That seems to hit quite a useful personal tone for women. They hate hearing a man they're attracted to telling them that they're unattractive.
>
> My longest serving plate hasn't stepped out of line much, but when she has I've ordered her to apologise for her actions and do X to make it up to me. Works instantly. She's never repeated a mistake yet. Even with the right dynamic, this shit will turn up as she'll test your boundaries. Don't tolerate it.
>
> Disobedience of commands should always receive instant punishment. Deny her your attention. Radio silence, dread her by flirting with other chicks etc etc.
>
> Supplementary note: The subtle difference between a commanding/leading man with a firm dominance/submissive dynamic and the beta trying the same thing is reasoning and explaining. The beta tries to reason, he explains shit, he tries to convince her, to negotiate. He treats her like an equal. DON'T! You're not explaining, you're not reasoning and you're not negotiating. You are telling her she is wrong. You are instructing her how to behave properly and you are ordering her to apologise. You are demanding her respect and commanding that she performs her role as the woman next to you properly.
>
> If the dynamic is right, she will submit and do as you command. She'll then love you for it.

"Conditioning"

10 upvotes · 9 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Point is... as well as what you say u/omlala there is sometimes benefit in actually telling her directly what she's done.

Specifically it's inbetween what you two guys say. As Om says, don't tell her your reasons for whatever. But as you say tell her what she's done. It's more on the side of leadership/commanding her to fall in line. "This behaviour is unacceptable." It just depends whether you can be bothered disciplining this child or not. Not a bad tool to have in your box but it's something a blunt one. That said, you need her full trust in your leadership for it to work or else she'll just continue testing boundaries (as women always do.)

Women's morphing need for male investment. - Dalrock

10 upvotes · 24 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

In the bit Dalrock quoted from some young chick, there's a sentence which is even more revealing than I think he analysed:

> "I don't want to go through those changes with you. I want you to have changed and become enough of your own person so that when you meet me, we can have a stable life and be very happy."

Note firstly that this is again how women don't care about the effort men have to put in to become high value. They just want the finished product. They want the doctor, not an investment in a young man who might become a doctor. They want the muscular ripped man, not an investment in a young man who has a 3 month training program mapped out and a membership at a gym.

Note also how she talks about his journey. Not hers. She doesn't want to take a journey. She doesn't want to improve. She doesn't want to do anything. She just wants happiness because she feels entitled to happiness and a high value man because she just is. She's not even thinking about what she brings to the table to attract you... you will just go for her because you've met her. Sometimes some of the things women say are so subtle and borderline narcissistic that it makes you want to head right back to the anger phase.

Looks Don't Matter (or: Why women consider game "manipulation.")

10 upvotes · 28 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

This is massively undervoted. I am starting to truly believe that anything women complain about men is something they are far more guilty of, than men have ever been or ever will be.

Was not a red pill until tonight.

10 upvotes · 25 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Because she has behaved like this in the past when throwing tantrums and nobody has ever held her accountable. She has been issued a standard pussy pass for all behaviour her entire life. Therefore when life is not going precisely as she wants, she sees this kind of awful behaviour as a perfectly acceptable means to try and get what she wants.

Anyway, OP needs to press charges. He's got loads of witnesses and should run it from the angle of pretending to be a white knight - "this girl needs professional help and she clearly needs a shock that this violent behaviour is unacceptable. What if she does this to a much less forgiving man than me next time? He's going to retaliate, as he's within his rights to do in defending himself, and she's going to get herself seriously hurt. Need to nip this in the bud now for the sake of her future."

It's all rationalisations, but the feminine like rationalisations that focus on feels. They'll think you're such an understanding, caring person who is just being cruel to be kind.

Go Full Patriarch

10 upvotes · 20 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Tell your plate that you're a Red Pill Alpha bro who is all about deadlifting and GayLubeOil.

YMMV when saying this out loud to your plate.

In order to successfully get hot girls, you have to actually want to get hot girls.

10 upvotes · 11 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I will tell you why you are wrong in believing that.

This gave me a great laugh today thanks. I'm basically the living evidence that disproves your post. You only need to check my history to see how I constantly endorse a more natural style of game that doesn't go out of a guy's way to get women and thus focusing on a higher conversion rate.

If you're only looking to rack up numbers then yeah you'll have to go PUA and focus on volume. However I prefer to have a high conversion rate, plate the woman and keep that going for as many months as possible. Solid bitch management is key. This allows you to sleep with 8s and 9s regularly while not putting in significant effort or distracting from the mission.

Sexual Terrorists

10 upvotes · 9 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Agreed. I'm more interested in where it will lead from a racial perspective. As kickboxing beast Ivan Jurcevic said in his recollection of the events "it's like far right wing propaganda coming true."

Only the most staunch left wingers and feminists (who are literally being wilfully ignorant of what's happening to protect their ideological narrative) are denying that these people come from a culture where it's perfectly acceptable to rape white women because they have no value. The natural masculine response to this would be to kick seven shades of shit out of said invading barbarians, as per Ivan's response.

But no... that's not Germany's response... Germany's response is errr... NSFW attention whoring?

Jennifer Lawrence explains her fee-fees

10 upvotes · 6 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

I was indeed being generous. I was trying to avoid this degenerating into a discussion of how attractive she is.

Rule Zero: The Mission

10 upvotes · 24 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I think this deserves a round of applause and a point. One of the best nuanced explanations I've seen in a while.

♂

FR: The Natural and The Facade

10 upvotes · 15 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Step 1 is ask nicely. Step 2 is use their body in some way(Flashing, shaking ass, touching my arm, whatever). Step 3 is anger because that's pretty much the only tools women have.

This is absolutely spot on to my experience too. However I'd call step 3 "throw a tantrum" - most modern women

> throw tantrums regularly when they don't get what they want and their usual methods don't work. They're acting like big children because of the fact they're not being told to grow the fuck up and are instead being coddled and validated for continuing to behave like children.
>
> Most of the time when a woman throws a tantrum, a beta will give in and give her what she wants to stop the tantrum (because it's uncomfortable.) Even some natural alphas do, because it has genuinely become less effort to just give her what she wants than to deal with her tantrum. It's pretty much the basis of the rise of HR women in the corporate world. The exec simply has more important shit to do than fight a woman throwing a tantrum over meaningless shit, so he just gives her what she wants to shut her up so he can get back to the important work.

On Leadership

10 upvotes · 21 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> They groom themselves. That's it. They don't need to spend months on end lifting and pushing themselves. They don't need to spend months and years achieving things in their career or developing skills to raise their SMV.
>
> Simplifying that back down... women are just attractive as time lets them develop through their genetics. Men are (typically) not. That is the difference I was talking about.

Girl agreed to dinner, then blocked me on facebook - how to proceed

10 upvotes · 24 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

> "How to proceed?" - In a word... don't.
>
> She's either stupid, not into you, or crazy. All three mean you don't waste your time.
>
> If she's stupid... she'll be doing stupid shit (stupid by even female standards) and it'll drive you mad. If she's not into you then your SMV is too low and you need to work on that. If she's crazy then you don't stick your dick in crazy.

So now the MRM wants to sell itself as TRP?

10 upvotes · 19 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I remember seeing this and thought exactly the same thing. I don't think she interviewed anyone I have any serious level of respect for. I'd tell her myself if I gave enough of a shit about her obvious idiocy (did you see the crazy feminists she interviewed? You're not going to get anything remotely sensible out of an interview with them.)

Girl asks if guys would look past her 60+ partners by age 23 and accept her for a long term relationship, or is she should lie about her body count.

10 upvotes · 12 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > In the absence of challenges, men bcome weak.
>
> In the absence of the *correct approach to challenges,* men become weak. It's the two generations of female upbringing suggesting to take the easy way over the right way or merely submit and all that similar shit which has done this.

“The leads are not weak, YOU are weak”: On the subject of approaching

10 upvotes · 30 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Now sell yourself to this woman, dammit!

> Err... I am the prize. She needs to sell herself to me you plugged in muppet.

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

10 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > The money goes to the child though.
>
> lol

Why would women want a relationship like this?

10 upvotes · 23 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> You completely misunderstand what Red Pill would advocate in terms of a healthy relationship. Red Pill would focus on the understanding of the desire dynamic. Not "abuse all womyn and cheat cheat cheat! Moar sex means moar alphalpha!"
>
> The assumption of Red Pill LTR game is that you've found a high SMV woman with the right attitude towards relationships, no significant mental problems (so no heavy depression, bi-polar disorder or borderline personality etc etc) still unjaded by the world and a low partner count made up exclusively from serious relationships.
>
> While there may be women who buck the stats and can still end up with a happy LTR while not conforming to the above... no sensible Red Pill man is going to risk it because the losses are simply too great given our gynocentric laws.
>
> Given that... the healthy Red Pill LTR is seen as a fair trade of SMVs and as part of it the man will give up his commitment card. We'll skip over the difficulty of balancing commitment with being able to walk away and still having the air of having options to keep desire high because that's an explanation for another day. The point was in the commitment of the man. I've never seen LTR game discussed with men encouraged to cheat. I've seen one or two newbies post some theoretical stuff like that which seemed mostly rejected... but ultimately I'd say from the Red Pill perspective... if you want to bang multiple women then just spin plates. There's no benefit to LTR game if you can't accept the sacrifice. Unlike the feminists who say you can have absolutely everything... we make the distinction that you can't have everything and you'll have to pick what you want and accept what you can't have as a result.
>
> So, since your example is clearly not in line with what TRP would consider a healthy relationship... I'll answer your question about why women would want what TRP considers a healthy relationship. They'd want it because they get maintained desire for their partner over the long term. They receive the commitment of a man who knows how to lead the relationship for the long haul. Then obviously as you look further forward, children with the commitment of a high value man, lifelong happiness... those things that we'd all quite like. Head over to RPW and see how happy the veteran women there are. I'm sure they'd be better placed to add to this list of what they feel they've gained.
>
> I hope this reply clears up your misconceptions.

When I Get 10,000 Votes, I'm Getting Out of This Place...

10 upvotes · 16 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Popularity is not a sign of greatness. Ask Socrates. In my humble opinion you should have a better method of determining whether you stay or go. Upvotes is not a good one.

FR - Re-Plate success

10 upvotes · 24 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Getting a girl with esteem issues drunk

I did not get her drunk. She did that herself, I did not order her extra drinks, nor did I pay for any of them. Do not try to run feminine-style hamstering here. I prevented her from going too far and ending up a drunken wreck on the pavement outside. While I won't let her kill herself, I am not responsible for her poor decisions.

> banging her when you know she has a bf is asking for many forms of trouble.

Trying to insta-LTR a girl who's fucking a Chad regularly is asking for many forms of trouble. I'm not involved in her social life and she's not involved in mine, so trouble is limited at worst. I have texts from her too to ensure there will be no false rape nonsense.

> Again, as an "alpha" it should be second nature how you won because that's what you do. Your emotional connection and put downs prove either you're bullshitting or you have a long way to go.

It is not second nature to me yet. I'm still running experiments and messing around for my entertainment. If you want to label that non-alpha then that's fine by me. You can call it transitioning-alpha or something. Though I am pretty sure alphas still get irritated by inconveniences, just like everyone else.

Anyway, the purpose of this FR was two-fold. Firstly to share the technique I employed, and then to use it as a blue pill example for newer members to realise the old beta ways will only bring them pain. I feel I have accomplished this.

I'm having trouble accepting this.

10 upvotes · 10 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Welcome to the depression phase bro.

Your little rant reminds me of a piece from Rational Male. This is the game, you can't truly win, you can just try to minimise your losses. In a way, that's a little like life itself. You can't be immortal (win), you're going to die (lose), so it becomes a matter of what you do to maximise what you do have. Ultimately whether you commit suicide now or die in your bed 40 years from now, it's the same outcome. The game is rigged. The house will eventually clean you out if you keep playing... and you can't walk away from the table.

So what does this mean? Have you lost self respect just because you're playing a game you can't walk away from? MGTOWs believe you can walk away from it, so you should do some reading and watch some videos on that. Search youtube for Sandman as a good introduction to MGTOW. The logic is good quality and he discusses very interesting points across a plethora of issues. While it's not the way I've chosen to go, I like having options and being informed.

If, like me, you don't choose to buy into MGTOW, then we come back to the earlier question - Have you lost self respect just because you're playing a game you can't walk away from? No. The game will end one day along with your life, until then you either sit in a corner whining like a blue pill pussy or adapt to play in a way that satisfies you. Date more until you find a woman who you can actually stand talking to for more than 5 mins. They are out there.... but they are preposterously rare. What makes them rarer is that each man has different things they enjoy talking about. It's down to you to make a decision and act accordingly.

All that said, I'd focus on your job and mission in life first and foremost... the women can take a back seat for a little while. Though hopefully this provided some food for thought when you have the time and want to think things through.

Feminism, the sexual starvation of men and the future that awaits us.

10 upvotes · 14 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This reminds me of a routine by Doug Stanhope. Can't find it on youtube, but the gist was "Women view prostitutes like scabs. Women have tried to unionise pussy. If you're a 4 out of 10 you're still entitled to all the benefits a 9 out of 10 gets despite being a much shittier worker. And a woman looking at a prostitute sees a scab and questions... why you go out and sell pussy for market value? Why give it away for what it's worth when we're holding out for so much more?"

You guys are confused, and half the shit you guys talk about is obvious and repetitive.

10 upvotes · 11 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Awww, the kid's found a philosopher. You need to read a hell of a lot more than just Neitzche for a start. Secondly, you need to use English. "Debatedbly" is not a word. Thirdly, you have a **huge** amount to learn about argumentation. It's a skill like any other, unfortunately it's basically unknown for 99.9% of people on this planet. (But everybody thinks they're right about things. Crazy world we live in.)
>
> There are several philosophers I'd recommend you read. (Socrates, Plato, Aristotle, Paine, Thoreau, Schopenhauer, Aquinas, Kant and Locke. I don't agree with them all, but the important thing is to understand their views and take what you can for yourself.) For the time being, your view falls down from being an oversimplification. The first two points need to remain on the sub for newer members who are constantly being added. They need to see fresh evidence that these things are true to help them unplug.
>
> Your third point is weak at best since it's just fact without any real logic or point beyond a statement of fact. But again, an oversimplification. I'm short and can outperform most of the tall guys I know because I play to my strengths. Newer members on the sub don't just need to know that a taller guy has an advantage, they need to know where the other advantages are so they can make an objective assessment of themselves and then calibrate to play to their strengths.
>
> Finally, anyone *could* become a red piller... but they don't. Red pill at the core is about men having a place where they could come together and compare notes in an open and honest way without the feminised mainstream censoring things to follow the party line. There's an underlying philosophy of some truths which have been found by TRP and are now widely accepted by people within the community, but essentially the movement forward is still the same. Talk openly and compare notes. The newer members need to confirm what others already know... that's why there's so much less of the other members trying to move things forward. (And many vets do tend to leave once the sub becomes useless to them. But some do hang around.)

A Message to our Asian Friends

10 upvotes · 21 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Probably the weakest post I've seen by GayLubeOil :(
>
> It does touch upon a really interesting discussion on stereotypes and how humanity uses them. This is something TRP could potentially exploit once explored properly.

Lies Women Want To Hear

9 upvotes · 28 July 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> What is this absolute trash? Stretch the truth by all means, but the further you move away from your actual value, the less you're actually demonstrating women should sleep with you and the more you're acting like some common pick up artist trying to trick women into bed with you. Be prepared for a false rape allegation via the route of "he lied about who he was."
>
> You are the prize. If women can't see that, it's probably because you're not much of a prize. Go work on that.

> The following lies are also beta behaviour:
>
> - You're a genius and an inspiration
>
> *Don't provide free validation or give the idea that she's far above you/out of your league.*
>
> - I could honestly see myself falling in love with you
>
> *You mistake love for commitment.*
>
> - I hate myself for cheating on you
>
> *This one will just plain backfire on you. Mystery is key, let the hamster conclude things like this. Don't explicitly state it even if it's true. It's just plain pathetic when it's a lie.*

Just broke up with LTR of 1.5 years. What do?

9 upvotes · 27 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

> My first instincts are to get more serious in the gym, get more serious about my purpose, and take a break from hoes for awhile, but do any post-LTR brothers have any good post-breakup advice?

This is true. Though ensure you cut her off completely. You can do without the childish behaviour of some women who will proceed to try and rub her new dating life in your face. That sort of thing can screw with your head and make you return to the game before you would choose to otherwise.

Do you have a mission in life already? You can spend a day or two mapping out some tangible steps over the next couple of months towards your goals. This sort of thing is important for your own self-actualisation. Women and relationships remain as they always were, a nice addition to your life but not the purpose of your life. It's very important to maintain this perspective as you return to the mating game.

When you do choose to return, spin plates for a little bit. Make sure you do so because you want to have some fun and not for any of the various damaging reasons (e.g. societal/peer pressure to have a woman in your life. This sort of thing is a subtle way of elevating the pussy to a pedestal that's just not healthy.) Only once you are satisfied with some time you've spent on yourself is it time to look to casual dates as a pleasant addition to your lifestyle. This is also always an individual thing: so bear in mind that the only person to compare yourself to is yourself yesterday.

Also, if you're planning an LTR in the future, make sure you do the reading and don't pick up crazy communist girls who think they have all the right views and anything that differs from their low level of life experience is the "wrong view" to have. It's like a weird form of psychological self-harm.

How do you not let shit like this get to you?

9 upvotes · 22 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> But how do you not let shit like this get to you?

A wild animal bites you.... "how do you not let shit like this get to you?"

Same thing. It's their nature. You've failed some shit tests, probably acted beta in some way, she's lost attraction and jumped on the next dick she liked the look of. She's designed to do that. Being annoyed at her for that is like being annoyed at the wind and rain just because you got wet and you're feeling cold. It's reality. It's life. You deal with it and get on with yours.

Carousel Damage?

9 upvotes · 19 June 2020 · /r/askTRP

> women can't better themselves in the way we do doesn't seem right.

Right here is the cardinal sin. Men and women are not comparable. We are different biologies, leading to different brains with different objectives, playing the dating game in a different style for different reasons with different outcomes.

Stop thinking like you are. This is the insidious poison of the blue pill on display for all to see.

My false rape accusation- an interesting battle for over 1 year

9 upvotes · 26 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

This is the literal damage of the absurd policy of "believe all women" rather than telling women to take some personal responsibility for their actions and think about their behaviour.

This also serves as a reminder to stay far away from crazy. While false rape accusations are reasonably rare on here... they happen for a variety of reasons. From you not being alpha enough, from her own urge to preserve her social status (her friends disapprove of you, therefore she never slept with you, you must have raped her), to vindictiveness because she can't get a relationship with you, or plain maliciousness because you upset her delicate fee fees in some way. Any one of these can happen at any time with any woman. Minimise your risk. Any indicator of crazy must be treated very seriously and if you're not ruling these women out then you need to take a hard look at yourself and whether you have abundance mentality or whether you're faking it and failing.

Women trying to seduce their friend's LTR... AWALT?

9 upvotes · 16 September 2019 · /r/askTRP

Hypergamy doesn't care. I remember noticing this when I was out with a close friend and his girlfriend. Pleasant chats all night, then the second he leaves the two of us alone while he goes to the bathroom, first words out of her mouth "are you seeing anyone at the moment?" The moment you become a more attractive man, the shit women pull that they think is below the radar is hilarious.

What is the RP way of handling your girl going out with her friends and guy friends?

9 upvotes · 3 September 2019 · /r/askTRP

Here's a more pertinent question. How many plates have you spun? This questions suggests you are playing relationship level game when you are not prepared or experienced enough for it.

How to ask out a girl who has a boyfriend?

9 upvotes · 10 May 2019 · /r/askTRP

Her boyfriend is a placeholder. She's interviewing other candidates. Congrats on making the shortlist. Don't ask her out, tell her to join you for whatever and go from there.

Are you getting her best?

9 upvotes · 6 November 2018 · /r/askTRP

You really activated those almonds. Her head started to hurt when she tried thinking about her own behaviour. XD

My chad friend called the girl I like beautiful . Some one explain to me his success

9 upvotes · 2 November 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Improve your reading comprehension. That is not what I said at all. Do you even grasp game?

What do I do now?

9 upvotes · 6 October 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Of course you cut her out of your life. She's quite obviously trying to fuck with your head and emotions. How is this even a question on here?

First gf dumped me then slept with another guy the next night

9 upvotes · 19 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> I've said before that the actual equivalents between the genders here are her getting another man vs you getting in the gym. (Due to the ease which women can get sex. She can decide to go out and have sex in pretty much the same way you can decide to go out to the gym and work out.) So, now that you know she's already hit her gym, the question for you now is: how far behind do you plan to be?
>
> Once you've decided that. Head for the sidebar on the main sub. Shut the fuck up and just read. Absorb. (Don't get yourself banned by being an emotional idiot.)

Lifting and Respect

9 upvotes · 3 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> How do you rule out any impact of height or game in this?

LTR: The Bare Minimum You Need to Know

9 upvotes · 9 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > And that's this idea that you can't tell her what to do, ever.
>
> Absolutely. Leadership is key and leadership requires both making decisions, doing things yourself and giving orders. Whisper says her obedience should be normal, I'd like to add that you giving orders and instructions to her should be normal behaviour. This also applies to guys spinning plates.
>
> The dynamic is key. It'll save you so much hassle in the long term.

Commanding 101

9 upvotes · 27 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Disobedience is either a shit test or a declaration that your SMV is too low and she doesn't see you as a fuckable man. If you wish to insist upon it being her having no respect for you, then the question follows as to why you're wasting your time on a woman who doesn't see you as a fuckable man?

Lots of RP examples outlined last night with plate

9 upvotes · 25 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I mean how could you not laugh at basically all of this?
>
> Yeah. This is a fun FR. Leadership needs work, that's about it. Keep having fun.

Counterfeit Red Pills

9 upvotes · 7 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yes, genetic factor involved. i.e. the complete outcome will be determined by a mixture of environment and genetics. Your conflation is similar to the arguments leveled at evo psych by calling it genetic determinism. By the very nature of the topic it is not that.
>
> As a general rule, genetics tend to provide your limits. Mix it with your life, how well you eat, how much you study, what level of help you get etc and you'll find out how tall you are, how strong you are and how educated you are. Even in primary school, you'll see some kids get something instantly, while others struggle to comprehend that which seems simple to the rest of us. This is just the beginning of the genetic expressions.
>
> There are people out there who are simply not bright enough to comprehend TRP in the first place. This could be down to their education and chances for intellectual growth. Within that group there will be a small number who fell at the bottom end of the intelligence distribution and it didn't really matter if they had a better education... they'd still be unable to comprehend TRP.
>
> This is all just pedantry though since I just identify from their attitude and use of/response to argumentation, if a person is too dumb to comprehend the pill fully. As it's not my responsibility to deal with their life, I care little whether I make a mistake on this. After all... it's your responsibility to present yourself properly to others and your behaviour is on you. Not me. Anybody who shows a good attitude will get my help.

[FR] Recently gave a hard next to my oneitis

9 upvotes · 5 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > She had previously told me that she sees herself settling down with me in the future, but doesn't want to date now.
>
> How you managed to avoid bursting out laughing at that is beyond me. I would have told her that she was mentally ill if she's making such implicitly contradictory statements.
>
> This is a good post. It's underrated votes-wise.

Women are Children.

9 upvotes · 23 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Ever wonder why there are so many gay bars but zero lesbian bars?
>
> Interestingly there was a popular gay bar in a town I used to live years ago, run by two lesbians. Never went, but I hear it was very successful... probably due to local monopoly rather than anything else.
>
> Speaking of women acting like children, I recently experienced a 5th chick literally acting like a child in my presence... making childish animal noises at me. They never do it at first. I get about four to six weeks of them acting mature and then all of a sudden it's like the pretence disappears.
>
> Don't get me wrong, I quite like the cute, positive feminine silliness. That light, happy, child-like air that their personality can bring to the table. It's much more ingratiating than you'd think. (Probably triggers our protective instincts or something.) But sometimes, usually after they've tried getting my attention with cat noises, I do wonder... do I just keep approaching the weird chicks without realising it... or are they all this childish?

Catch-22 as Extremely Handsome Alpha: Double Ostracism

9 upvotes · 19 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Under the halo effect, both men and women who are in the top 5% for looks will be liked by the vast vast majority of people. Given your description, have you considered you might just be an average looking, over-

> confident asshole who comes across as above his station? Just a thought.

The lie is easy to believe

9 upvotes · 12 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > The Blue Pill lie is that women are worth committing to and investing in, and that this is an investment that will pay off over time.
>
> Not quite how I'd put it. The blue pill lie is that worthless women are worth committing to and that commitment isn't worth much so men should give it to any woman who asks or provides sex in exchange.
>
> We can see just how valuable commitment is when we see that women will seek it from beta men as a backup plan and then seek it again after they've hit the wall. It's such a magnificent illusion that they've managed to convince almost every man that his commitment is an insignificant quantity when in reality it is our most important quantity. It's more important than women's sex, and they REALLY don't want us to know that.

Before noon today: female hamstering and BP conditioning witnessed (amusing to me at least)

9 upvotes · 1 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> When did *everybody* become so fucking stupid?

What the hell is up with girls these days?

9 upvotes · 28 June 2016 · /r/askTRP

> The equaliser for men is that we have a range of options open to us to alter our SMV. While chicks with lower SMV can fuck higher SMV guys... they'll never nail one down or be anything more than a casual fuck - and this is what mentally screws up chicks. Alpha widowhood. Leaving them miserable for the rest of their lives.
>
> Things to increase your SMV for men include wealth, social status/prestige, dress sense, physique, game... and what's more you don't even need them all to achieve one night stands with hot women. Look at some of the PUAs, game and dress sense alone is enough for them. Look at some famous guys like skinny rock stars, that's enough for them to slay like legends.
>
> Women are fickle because they only have one thing going for them, their looks. You can alter all sorts... so it's not really an equaliser... it's something that makes us superior. We way overshoot them.

Matress Girl - European Edition Redux

9 upvotes · 28 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> When you've made all your moves in your entire life based upon your looks, you know no other way to achieve anything. It's the race to the bottom caused by uncontrolled hypergamy.

March of the Freak Parade

9 upvotes · 23 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > White guilt is for pussies. Expect an article on that.
>
> I look forward to it. I fuckin' **hate** that dumb shit.

March of the Freak Parade

9 upvotes · 23 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> There is no such thing as a win-win scenario

Free trade.

There are win-wins, win-loses and lose-lose scenarios. I actually believe that economically we could have everybody winning. But we don't because politicians and the wealthy 1% are too greedy.

As you become more efficient, economics of scale increase the amount of wealth in a society through economic exchange and amplification of the free-trade win-win. At any one snapshot in time there is a finite amount of wealth to share around. There's more than enough these days for everybody. We've advanced so far that economically there should never be anybody starving in a first world country.

However our greed and the money in the political machine ensures that the gravy train keeps on rolling for those who're already part of the super wealthy. This is how during the recession, the top 1% wealthiest gained double digits percentage increases in their income, while real income for everyone else fell.

It would be simple to solve the problem. Simplify the tax system, remove stamp duty, capital gains tax and inheritance tax and close tax loopholes while lowering corporation tax for a net gain, then put a law in place saying anyone who resided in the country could only earn a multiple of say 20 times what their lowest paid employee earned. You'd still have meritocracy and things like living on benefits and the poverty trap would become something that could seriously look like being eradicated. It alters the game just slightly enough that anybody who does a good honest hard days work will not become a part of the working poor ever again. Sure the mega-millionaires don't get to be billionaires anymore. But I won't be crying any tears over that.

Of course none of that will ever happen because politicians are overpaid and corporations have far too much influence over politics.

Extreme Circumstances

9 upvotes · 12 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Let me explain, TRP is apolitical and amoral for good reason: if we want to understand what's really going on in interactions and understand the social dynamics of attraction and relationships, we need to look at it objectively. We try to see past things in order to see the reality beneath.

Political ideologies and morality are intangible man made constructs that you apply to reality. They do not make up reality, but they can indirectly influence reality. To understand reality, we must strip these things away. This is why, when political topics come up, we have to tread a reasonably fine line to avoid allowing political ideology to creep in ahead of objectivity. It is the same reason we demand moralising of actions be left at the door.

Note that while we demand this on the sub, we're happy for you to hold whatever political views you like outside of the sub. Same goes for morality. I actually encourage people to have some moral standard or code that they adhere to. I don't care specifically what it is, but I'm a believer that the act of rationalising right or wrong of your behaviour according to your circumstances is a very feminine trait. The masculine equivalent is to decide how you will conduct yourself and then stick to it. Men are like rocks but women are merely water, their actions swayed by their feels.

That's a little by the by, but it's relevant to the point I'm building to. The reality is that as TRP grows, it becomes a more prominent subculture. Specifically a part of the counter-culture. Both the sub and the leaders have no control over this if they wish the sub to grow and more men to be able to become men through the help and advice the sub provides. Once we reach a critical mass, whether the members of TRP or the ECs or the Mods like it or not... we become a part of the current culture war.

Cultural changes have impacts upon the success levels of political ideologies. It's why socialism became flavour of the month for a number of years and then things swing back to conservativism and then liberalism and so on

> and so forth. Essentially, as TRP grows, we will have an indirect political impact whether we like it or not.
>
> Now, look at the way the sub is led and moderated. There's a strong belief in keeping things on-topic and a careful hand preventing blue pill ideas from starting to infiltrate the sub. As things change, the sub adapts. New rules are put in place. New methods of enforcement are used. Additional flairs are added. A new off-reddit site is created as a backup. These are guys who adapt and solve problems as they present themselves.
>
> Now, my third and final basis. The current political movement for change in this regard: MRAs. Basically the MRA badge is poison. I have nothing against them all personally. I am of the opinion that I don't care about them. I agree with GLO's assessment that they'll never achieve anything because they're not attractive enough. The reality is, half the electorate is women and women follow their fee-fees everywhere (including self-destruction.) They'll literally just vote for pretty men for being pretty and they'll vote against unattractive men because they're unattractive. Obviously there'll be specific exceptions, but for the most part the typical airheaded woman will follow what I've suggested. So the MRA movement is basically doomed from the outset. The only time they get support is when they phrase things well enough to do with equality that people want to virtue signal, so they'll side with them. But the MRAs are very bad at doing this. Their argumental style is too logic-based and not emotive enough. (They lack 1-2 parts of the perfect argument.)
>
> Put all of this together and you have a situation where TRP will eventually adapt, get involved in the culture war, then have political influence. However it will be on TRP terms. We're not likely to go searching for it or team up with the MRAs... but if our cultural influence continues to grow, we'll get it whether we want it or not. Once that happens (or maybe before), we'll adapt as needed. For now though, we're staying neutral.

The Viability of the Red Pill in the Future - Border Erosion

9 upvotes · 4 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I would echo RPS's comments. You can't effectively work as a team if you're split on something absolutely fundamental.
>
> Personally, I think whoever that is who is saying he'd marry all over again is just in denial about the fact he made a dumb gamble when he was blue pill. It's probably come from a need for control... can no longer admit when he didn't have real control so he rationalises away the weakness. Essentially saying "I didn't make a bad decision by marrying... I just wasn't Red Pill enough to make it work back then. Now I can make it work, the decision was clearly fine."
>
> For me, MRP is not Red Pill on hard mode. (I believe that glorifies things too much. An equivalent for us would be to go out and game with a mediocre SMV. It's on hard mode, the chicks won't be naturally interested. However, it's doable. Despite the fact it can be done... who the fuck is going to pretend that's something to be proud of or something you actually want to do? It's called fucking retarded to handicap yourself like that when you could spit game on easy by a few wardrobe changes, lift a bit and get a haircut.) MRP is trying to play the game after having surrendered your best trump card (the ability to walk away.) It's doable, it's difficult... but getting in that position was never a sensible move in the first place any more than trying to go out and game without raising your base SMV first. So yeah, you won't be able to convince me that any guy from there saying he'd get married all over again is anything but mentally handicapped to complement his relationship handicap.
>
> That's just my take on it though. Ultimately it's you guys who need to take a very hard, (and possibly painful), look at what's going on.

Fucked an old FWB on friday, after we fuck she tells me she now has a bf

9 upvotes · 29 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> There are no inherently "good" girls. Women all want to be sluts with any alpha without consequence. We removed the constraints on them a long time ago - and low and behold! What our grandfathers told us that

> feminists everywhere labelled sexist, that women can't control themselves... turned out to be true. They can't.
>
> A woman will only control herself because she is following a man who demands that she controls herself. When she's young, this is her father. If he fails to parent her well then she will end up broken. Was he a man who she respected and followed? That'll tell you whether she has any LTR potential.
>
> The fact she fucked you while she has a boyfriend tells you everything you need to know. Now stop closing your eyes and repeating the blue pill mantra of "she's a good girl really" and call the dumb, out of control, worthless slut that she is.

What is an Alpha Male. The Ugly Truth

9 upvotes · 29 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > emotional beta trapped in a mans body.
>
> Da fuq is this nonsense? Do you even read what you write? Violence is a result of being an emotional beta and having a strong body? Drivel.
>
> Skorch did you a favor by politely pointing out the obvious flaws in your logic. You've responded with complete nonsense. Here is the correct stuff: Alpha has been dealt with best by Rollo. That's the essence of alpha behaviour right there. Alpha by mere social status is a completely different topic which is simply about SMV. You would do well to separate them and go do some more reading on social dynamics. Illimitableman is an excellent one to follow for this.

Guy orbits his 'soulmate' for 8 years while she has multiple different relationships, asks for advice if he should continue orbiting [XPOST]

9 upvotes · 29 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Nah, he's got too much ground to make up in order to catch Steve Shives.

"Guys we fucked, the anti slut-shaming podcast"

9 upvotes · 19 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is exactly why we demand home delivery these days.

Taking a girl out, should you I pay for her?

9 upvotes · 12 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> No. Your income is irrelevant. Bitch pays at least half. Always. No exceptions.

Nerds can be Alpha too: FR after half a year down this rabbit hole

9 upvotes · 29 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I agree with most of your post, but this bit is wrong:
>
> > -Don't be smart and don't be a dick--cut that Machiavelli bullshit and be more organic. You're not fucking Frank Underwood and you never will be.
>
> And this is coming from a guy who doesn't tend to use much Machiavellianism because my focus is on value of interactions (and I no longer work in an office.) Machiavellian social concepts are not for the low-social-experience person. Just as you said before, lift first then worry about your game.
>
> I would explain that in a different way. I'd say lifting, dress sense and posture all form your base SMV - the SMV a woman sees simply by you being there and nothing else. Game then adjusts that base SMV. There's no point

> trying to drag a 3 up to an 8. Though I suspect I only rank in at a 7, my game is strong enough that I leave some women seeing me as a 10 and terrified I'm going to wander off halfway through a kiss for a more attractive woman. So game can be a great adjuster of SMV... but frankly, who wants to waste time playing on nightmare mode? That's PUA idiocy where you burn through failures to start out, then proceed to continue to forever have a success rate of around 10%. Fuck that, I like my 80-90% success rate. I only wish the standard of girl game out there was better because it would make my life significantly easier.
>
> Separately from that, it's always great to see another man making serious progress. Also:
>
> > That Japanese school girl isn't gonna fuck herself.
>
> Thank you for the Christmas cheer! Smiled at that one.

Milo Yiannopoulos: The Sexodous, Parts 1 & 2, Reposted For Newbie Digest

9 upvotes · 20 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Btw not interested in debating my feminism, it's not about me.
>
> Don't worry, we're not interested in debating it either. It's a negative. We've all generally agreed this already. Though for your own interest, you actually make a further point as to why everybody with a brain and a modicum of self-determination despises feminism:
>
> > Which feminism are you talking about?
>
> Feminism is so all over the place that it's literally not worth debating, because the goal posts change at any moment so you can never end up agreeing any kind of conclusion.
>
> For additional info, try watching this series. It is specifically aimed at helping feminists understand why there is an increasing backlash against them and why more and more women don't want anything to do with the label.
>
> Enjoy the learning experience. Oh and try to avoid this attitude:
>
> > Read your own books, real life lessons are for me.
>
> It's foolish. I don't need to experience sight loss to know that it's bad. I can read about being blind and draw the appropriate conclusion without experiencing it directly. Some experiences can't be reversed and you've only got one shot at life.

The RedPill lens: Miss USA dumps Tim Tebow due to "lack of sex"

9 upvotes · 30 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> From the article:
>
> > Feel free to skim through her Instagram page and come to your own conclusion that he's making a big freakin' mistake here:
>
> Have you looked at him? He's rich, famous, and looks like a Greek God. This is serious 12/10 level SMV. The man can't make mistakes because there will be a neverending line of women begging for his D. 8s and 9s a plenty in his world... so much so that he could genuinely be picky enough to say "You're an 8/10. Let's be serious here, you're not hot enough for me."
>
> The man has his own views/principles and he's sticking to them. So the world tries to shame him. As far as I'm concerned, more power to him.

On Leadership

9 upvotes · 21 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> If you can't see that I kinda feel bad for you.

Your passive aggressive side is showing. Don't do that. It's extremely feminine. That's the last piece of advice you'll get from me.

Why my Oneitis didn't like me

9 upvotes · 21 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

It takes a strong man to put all of his weakness and failures out in front of himself and honestly admit to them, then make a plan to improve himself. Now you need to avoid the toxic nature of female beauty again. It's like alcohol, very enjoyable in moderation, but over indulge and you'll make an ass out of yourself.

Response: Girl from OkCupid

9 upvotes · 21 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Hey, thanks for coming on here. It's good for the newbies to see the predictions of the pill and the things we discuss being demonstrated by you. We couldn't have asked for a better textbook example.

In leaving this place, here's my advice if you want to be happy. Enjoy your relationship with the guy you met and worry less about how he is able to be attractive to you... it doesn't matter. All that matters is that you like him and he's attractive. So long as he doesn't physically abuse you, there's no reason to worry about what he does. After all, relationships require trust.

Try out having a conversation with the ladies over on the RedPillWomen sub. They offer advice to women who want to make their relationship successful and live a happier life. You don't need to read all that far to realise just how happy those ladies are in their relationships. Ultimately it's all up to you what you do now. Though I'd finish by saying you don't really have anything to lose by testing my advice, do you?

The Purple Pill

9 upvotes · 11 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Excellent as always. One thing jumped out at me:

> when a man begins to see his surroundings with a Red Pill lens the difficult truth needs for an optimistic solution to counter what would otherwise be nihilism.

Do you believe that nihilism is the ultimate conclusion we draw from this reality?

Redpill Movie Reviews;007 Spectre.

9 upvotes · 9 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> And so, it would seem 2015 is the year in which even James Bond has fallen to the feminist menace.

Last time the Bond franchise fell to the ever increasing cultural appropriation of characters turning Moneypenny into a black chick (for all the usual reasons they do this... i.e. no rational reason. They just want to arbitrarily add a black person so they shoehorn them into role that's already taken and well known.) The thing that irritates me about this progressive alteration of films and cultural appropriation and gender appropriation of characters (like the all female ghostbusters) is that it's completely unnecessary. You want a black character in Bond? Bring Robinson back. Or write a new character. Or are black people unworthy of having interesting and original

characters written for them? Are women unworthy of having interesting original characters written with their gender?

Apparently this is modern entertainment... it's only a matter of time before some stupid story comes along in which Bond no longer feels male and identifies with Bruce Jenner and thinks that thing is so heroic and then decides to go on his own journey while women defeat the bad guys and Bond goes on his hormone replacement therapy.

I think it's time to stop taking modern cinema seriously and stop wasting your money on anything that is gender appropriating characters needlessly.

The Secret of a Tender Elam

9 upvotes · 26 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

He failed to read the posts on passing male shit tests.

The Death of the Beta Within

9 upvotes · 24 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Truly understand what is being spoken on TRP. Do not just scream AWALT when you see a oneitis post, think about what that man may be thinking, think if you have shared something similar, and contribute accordingly.

Oneitis used to be the greatest thing in the world for both men and women. If you as a woman could get your man to fall truly madly deeply... then you would be set for life. Protection, security, everything provided. Back then, men were expected to take the dominant role so as a result that oneitis would fuel the leader to lead with drive and passion. The men would be made happy simply by seeing her smile. Giving their life to protect their woman was not just noble, but it was an idea that they didn't see as mere duty. They saw it as a satisfactory action in and of itself, such was the power of the emotion they felt.

Today, thanks to the significant changes in the dating and marriage market... oneitis is now the greatest danger a modern man faces. Why? Because women no longer value it and some even use it as a tool. They can't comprehend what it is like to love like a man does. This is because they can't. They love like women. This is not wrong, there is no moral component here... but the upshot of this reality is that the modern man can no longer afford the luxury of falling in love.

In this, we are the poorest generation in history.

Top TRP posts of all time categorized.

9 upvotes · 15 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> more objective way than usual to determine what TRP really is all about.

More objective when you use words like "persecution" instead of "relationship imbalances" or say "revenge" instead of "ironic justice".... you betray your preconceptions with your choice of language. There is nothing objective about this.

> 32% revenge fantasies

Now these examples are fantasies?

If you want to see objective, read the top posting in your replies. That shows an attempt at objectivity. How can I tell? The language used to try and understand what is going on.

The "Big Lie" is love: a copy/paste of kryptokate's amazing post.

9 upvotes · 8 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> For the last fucking time: Do not ask a fish how to be a fisherman. The opinions of women on the game are irrelevant.
>
> This is a woman who constantly picked up men who she thought were alpha and they were all beta. Her mistake. This is fuckin' standard for any Red Pill man looking back on previous relationships. (You used to be a little alpha at the start, then you became beta.) This is the equivalent CC for a woman who tries to be monogamous. In addition, I'd say she's probably telling lies (because, ya know... women tend to lie about stuff like this and embellish the truth to make themselves look better) and she's had a whole bunch of ONSs along with all this. Fucks sake, this chick was damaged by guy #12 by the time she hit 20. There was never any hope for her in the first place and now she's pretending she's a sensible, normal type.
>
> Do you seriously believe her or take her seriously?

Feminism in UK Universities

9 upvotes · 6 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > The main issue here, and what this reform campaign is missing in this particular university; not one girl comes forward to suggest that she was subjected to some actual sexual assault, but of course she doesn't have to. As is common knowledge on this sub what seems to be the standard female approach is to just throw the term around in a nonchalant fashion, or perhaps just outright lie about it.
>
> They're broadening the term out until everything constitutes rape and sexual assault. Ironically, this is the worst thing you can do because it belittles the real victims who have actually been violently raped. It also means people start taking the claims of women who say they've been raped less seriously because of the rise in false allegations and the fact we know that cries of rape and sexual assault can mean anything from regretting sex to being accidentally bumped into, or spoken to by a creepy guy.
>
> But no... the stare rape must end. Blue pill betas must cast their eyes down and focus on not making me uncomfortable, no matter how much this completely fucks the rest of the system.

Aspiring writer? Content producer? Really clever twitterer?

9 upvotes · 22 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I have been pestered several times to start a blog and recently I've been considering it a little more seriously since I've got a number of half-written pieces that I've been meaning to come back to.

Recently stumbled upon TRP community while trying to get a better idea of the male perspective.

9 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > I was initially impressed, but as I began to actually read and process the information being given in this subreddit I began to develop feelings of indignation. Not that I completely disagree with these theories.
>
> That's pretty normal. You're designed not to view yourself as an awful person. There's a passage from the bible that comes up from time to time about the adulterous woman who will wipe her mouth calmly and declare that she has committed no evil. It's a good demonstration that even those thousands of years ago, men had spotted that women seem to naturally be capable of rationalising the most absurd stuff away - with the primary goal of not feeling like they're a piece of shit for their actions.

> Yes, it is true that women today are hypergamous, manipulative, and in short, the devil incarnate. But does that mean that all women are like this? Not at all! The typical "woman" described by the red pill theory only touches on one specific type of woman: the superficial gold digger.

You've misunderstood AWALT. The theory is that every woman has the potential to act like this based upon her internal biological urges and sexual strategy. Women can overcome these urges and control themselves... but with the sexual marketplace always forgiving women for everything and telling them there is nothing wrong with their poor behaviour... naturally a lot of them are now following their urges blindly. The ladies over at /r/redpillwomen are proof positive that it is possible for women to rise above all this. (We've just noticed that the vast majority don't.) As a precaution, it's just good sense to assume every gun is loaded when the modern woman can destroy our lives on a whim by crying rape or divorce raping us, taking away our kids etc etc. TRP tells men not to get married anymore because following the traditional route puts us at far too much of a risk to lose everything.

> I have dated the "alpha", and I have also dated the "beta" and I can safely and confidently say that I would choose a beta over an alpha any day, and I know that I am not the only one.

Here's some relevant reading for you.

> I just can't get past the fact that not all types of women are fairly represented within the community.

This is not about fair, it is about what is pragmatic for the modern man. You want things angled for women then head on over to redpillwomen. TRP is for MEN. Helping MEN to get the best out of life.

> Nevertheless, it has opened my eyes to see some of the things that I am doing wrong in my own relationship, and will be applying these new ideas to help become a better woman for my man so that he will have a stronger desire to be a better man for me.

Good, most red pill women want the same. Just keep in mind that TRP was never meant for you. Redpillwomen was meant for you. Most women want the alpha bucks... (you don't really want a beta, you want an alpha who is good at reading you and providing comfort when you need it.) I think they just call him a good man over on RPW. I hope it's helpful to you and that you'll strengthen your relationship.

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

9 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I agree. It's a bad system. If we take it away perhaps women will start being a bit more careful about who they're having children with? Do you think that would be a good thing for society?

You are better then every girl

9 upvotes · 13 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The irony of the upvotes to this statement.

From a blue piller, here's some things that bother me about the blue pill and the way it has discussions here.

9 upvotes · 25 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> In fairness, it's mostly the approach to the disagreements which gives us the outcomes we get.
>
> Take generalisations as an example:
>
> Red Pill: Generalisations are useful because they are usually accurate.

> Blue Pill: Generalisations are unfair to people because they can be wrong.
>
> Same basic factual evidence used... both sides know generalisations can be wrong, but Red Pill is more interested in a rational and dispassionate approach to this - hence it's useful to be right most of the time. Blue Pill is more interested in an empathic and fair approach - hence it puts more weight on the times it can be wrong.
>
> The empathic and fair approach is something Red Pill openly dismisses as less important. i.e. it's better to be right than for everyone to be happy. This quite literally leads back to the very Red/Blue Pill analogy from the Matrix. Reality over happiness. (Incidentally, TRP then tries to find ways to make happiness from the reality once it has been identified.) This is the crux of how the difference plays out.

Been living a minimalist lifestyle for a decade and the life lessons it has taught me.

9 upvotes · 25 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Summary: "I went to the woods because I wished to live deliberately, to front only the essential facts of life, and see if I could not learn what it had to teach, and not, when I came to die, discover that I had not lived. I did not wish to live what was not life, living is so dear; nor did I wish to practise resignation, unless it was quite necessary. I wanted to live deep and suck out all the marrow of life, to live so sturdily and Spartan-like as to put to rout all that was not life, to cut a broad swath and shave close, to drive life into a corner, and reduce it to its lowest terms." - Henry David Thoreau.
>
> As with all philosophies... there is wisdom in there if you can find it and apply it appropriately to your individual situation.

[FR] "I was making bad life decisions" is not an admission, it is a prediction.

9 upvotes · 30 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> "So what is your rationale that you will no longer continue to make bad life decisions? It seems like you didn't know at the time you were making bad life choices and only realised in hindsight... how do you know you're not just continuing this trend? Perhaps you should think a little deeper about the person you are and the person you want to be." - Only to be said if you give enough of a shit to care whether they have the prod/opportunity to sort themselves/their life out. Most simply don't have the intellectual capacity to grasp this so you're likely wasting your time.
>
> Edit: How ironic. Being downvoted by the very men who would have continued to be beta losers without the generous nature of men here, who provided them with the opportunity to clearly see what was going on. Freely giving them the chance to sort themselves/their lives out. Too delicious.

I lost a girl to a good guy. The Red Pill ain't always the truth.

9 upvotes · 11 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I think you've misinterpreted the situation in some way. When things don't add up, Occam's Razor is a good starting point, and here the obvious would be confirmation bias. There are several assumptions regarding your SMV, his SMV and the influences of personality/personal preferences which you don't elaborate on in any way. My personal approach would be to examine any and all assumptions you make in more detail to improve your objectivity.
>
> If I take all your assumptions at face value, the answer is obvious and has already been stated. She's perceived the gulf in SMV to be too great and has disqualified herself and pursued another man as a precaution. You responded to this saying she was really good looking, but you've forgotten that reality is utterly irrelevant to women. Whatever they think their SMV is, is their SMV. Whatever they think your SMV is, is what your SMV is. Her perceptions make the decisions, not reality. This can lead to some really illogical shit from women, but that's how it goes.

Women surrender agency at every possible opportunity - a theoretical explanation

9 upvotes · 4 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> No. You don't need to know how gravity works to know you'll fall if you step off a building. But last I checked, Red Pill was about understanding along with improving. If understanding doesn't interest you, then you don't need to read this or provide comments. It's wasting your time if you don't find it useful bro. You'll want to avoid wasting time because time is very valuable.
>
> As a side note for anyone who wonders why I would care to understand these things: that's how you build scientific discovery. Much of post-Newtonian physics is built upon his laws. We couldn't have understood/discovered most of our modern understanding of physics without it. In the same way, Red Pill theory is based upon some fairly young psychological scientific concepts. There's plenty left to analyse and discover and some people on here like to do that. It doesn't harm anyone here for people to analyse these things and discuss amongst any like-minded individuals.

Learn from older men

9 upvotes · 30 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> A good contrast piece with a great positive attitude towards taking responsibility for anything within your control which matters to you. Very good post.
>
> My experiences with the older generation have been very valuable. The 60+ guys I've had the chance to spend time with through various family events and family friends have always provided excellent examples of the balance between being dominant and confident without being disrespectful. This is a nuance that many members of the sub don't seem to get and I would posit that it is because they don't have any serious examples of it. They've never seen it work. All they have is the nice guy finishing last and the jerk getting off with any woman he comes into contact with.
>
> From my perspective, I can certainly see the appeal of being the jerk. The sex appeal is a strong encourager for most men to think that's the way to go. Nobody wants to be the beta nice guy on here. However, there is a third way. Some of the 60-something men are great examples of this. You'll be able to pick them out based on their body language and behaviour. I'd encourage anyone here to take advantage of whatever family event you can to speak to the older generation and see what you can learn. At worst, you learn nothing and you're no worse off than you are now. You can only win.

Drinking with girl last night. She said she needs to find a boyfriend... but... she has one already?

9 upvotes · 29 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > When they were slightly less fat or younger or whatever, they got drunk at a college party and a frat guy fucked their brains out all night. Then he never talked to her again. They've been chasing the high ever since.
>
> It's basically the underlying problem which causes a woman to alpha widow herself. She can't be objective about herself. I tell every guy who is trying to get a handle on his general SMV level to be objective, then subtract 1 or 2 points at the end to account for personal bias. We can't help but view ourselves as better than we are.

[RANT STORY] What is wrong with me? Am i that hopeless...

9 upvotes · 14 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is sad.... mostly because the answer to your question comes from the most basic of RP reading....

You are a man. Then handshake like a man.

9 upvotes · 2 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> From my days in business consultancy, I can confirm that handshake is VERY important. When I was first being trained on the essentials, a proper handshake and eye contact + half smile was part of the training to leave the most professional impression.
>
> We were also taught to use a handshake to weigh up what other people were like. Weak handshakes came from people who could be bullied and pushed around. Handshakes that were like vice grips (i.e. so hard they actually caused pain) would be done by the insecure and incompetent. These people would be easy targets for manipulation and causing self-doubt or spreading negative propaganda amongst their co-workers. Lack of eye contact would indicate low confidence and/or poor social skills so that would typically come with a weak handshake anyway. If it didn't, it showed they were aware of their failings but weren't solving it properly (or yet.)
>
> The ideal handshake, firm (not hard! Do not cause pain. The standard reaction in the office to anyone who did that was "what a dick. Does he think he's doing a power play." Cue laughter at the twat.) with good eye contact and a slight curl at the edge of the lips. (The beginnings of a smile, but not a smirk or an actual smile.) Do your own thing, don't be out to grip harder than the other person. The idea that this goes to dominance is a schoolboy's pissing contest from some weak pseudo-psych. You don't care what they're doing because you're a confident man and you are in control.

How to get over caring about your LTR?

8 upvotes · 10 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

> > she has too many red flags
>
> I think the more pertinent question here is why are you LTR-ing girls you know to have red flags and talking about marriage like it's a remote possibility?
>
> There's a very strong odour of trying to use red pill methods for blue pill fantasies.

Alpha cuck wtf?

8 upvotes · 26 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Well you'll be able to tell us how dominant he was when you meet him. Remember, alpha is a behaviour descriptor. It comes in various physical forms, just like beta does.

Is there some type of guide to "leagues"?

8 upvotes · 22 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> No. Leagues are an invention of women, designed to make their life in the sexual marketplace easier.

Girl came back to.. friend zone me?

8 upvotes · 23 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Sounds like a comfort test since things didn't work out with another guy she found more attractive than you. I'd reply something like "Always busy lol. Haven't seen you on here in a while. We never hung out, let's fix that." Set up logistics and bang. If you encounter any further resistance then hard next, she's just using you for attention. (I suspect it's this, but just in case you can get a bang, it's worth the extra couple of messages to be certain before hard nexting.)
>
> Additionally, stop letting this chick fuck with your head bro. She's either DTF or not. Figure out which and react appropriately. Beyond that her stupid games aren't worth your time thinking about.

Why are TRP users essentially misogynistic but FDS users aren't vice versa?

8 upvotes · 1 May 2020 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> We do have a concept of a unicorn. We also have a concept of high SMV women.
>
> On the practical front, we also have a great big long list of "green flag" traits indicating LTR potential. These are derived from the unicorn concept. We also have a collection of indicators of long term plate value, interwoven with plate management methods. These come from a variety of theories.
>
> FDS is nothing like us. We emphasise that red pill strategies cannot be used to fulfil blue pill dreams. This is due to the underlying paradigm difference. FDS is basically just the same old well-known verbalised wants of a woman. (Something we rejected a long time ago. "Actions, not words" is proof of this position.)
>
> There's a literal epistemological process through TRP, if you dig deeply enough, that runs consistently through to the arguments many of the highest endorsed guys apply to their practical recommendations. The theory has been argued and tested and then discussed more based on results. FDS doesn't have any of this.
>
> Have a look at the standard of their theorising. From a moderator discussing your very topic:
>
> > So, people are complaining about men being called high value in posts based on one public incident. Usually because someone shared a post of a man doing something good and then the comments are all about how this one incident doesn't make him high value (Or that women do this by default and never get credit).
>
> Their theory is not theory, it's practical application. Similar to your own error. Hell, you can read their entire "ideology" section and see that none of it is theory. It is pure practical application. None of it looks to establish the reality of nature in any way. It's just "hurr-durr do this 'cos we be woman, hear me roar."

Tabloids and online news are absolutely retarded trash written by fools for other fools. Think before quoting or linking them, as they don't represent reality, only the most fucked up part of the human race.

8 upvotes · 8 January 2020 · /r/TheRedPill

> Anything I read in the news on a topic I actually know from proper education or through business experience... the journalist doesn't have the faintest clue what they're writing about. It's a bit of a personal confirmation bias... but whenever I mention the theory to others and have them start seriously looking at topics they know inside and out... they come to the same conclusion. Are we all just confirming our own biases? It's worse than just junk... the vast majority of articles are literally making you less informed and less educated.

Incels/braincels

8 upvotes · 28 September 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Aziz Ansari. Short, ugly, brown, doesn't even seem to have any game based on that sexual assault thing. Still getting chicks to blow him. I've little doubt he was having sex too. And the factors are beyond good game and good posture. My own list was longer and I stated that it could go on. By skipping this out you identify that you don't grasp the nuances of the pill. You'd be better spending your time doing a deeper dive and actually getting your head around shit than wallowing in the incel community.
>
> There are further solutions, get some social proof, go to places where you become one of the alphas in the room. Look at environmental dependent social hierarchies. Work to earn a shit tonne of money. Focus on looking rich without being rich. There's all sorts of ways to increase your SMV that will eclipse the negatives of an initially low genetics score.
>
> My personal opinion is that incels are just lazy and haven't learned delayed gratification. That's easily disproven by showing me a ripped, well groomed, well dressed, socially capable incel. I don't think such a thing exists. I've never seen any evidence of such.

Incels/braincels

8 upvotes · 28 September 2019 · /r/askTRP

> In essence the incels have caught on to a little bit of the story, failed to grasp the nuance of the game and come out with an absurd conclusion that is very easily disproved. The deeper you dive into TRP theory, the more nuanced it gets. The deeper you dive into incel culture, the more of the same you get. Just shouted louder. It's bit like the SJWs. Any time you bring up a point or fact that indicates their narrative might be wrong, they call you a name, pretend you know nothing and then continue shouting into their echo chamber. Most of it is fueled by laziness.
>
> When it comes down to it, we humans are just story telling monkeys. We are pathetically shallow and simple creatures by nature. Our very perception of the world is false in many cases and we're designed quite badly for understanding the world.... yet we still strive to. Therein lies the difference between the foolish and the intelligent. For my perception point you can look into all manner of optical or auditory illusion examples. Hell, think about taste and how artificial sweeteners work. Its literally an illusion of your tastebuds as to what you are consuming. For our understanding of the world, a good example is the entire book "The Black Swan" by Nassim Nicholas Taleb.
>
> If you are looking for real understanding, you've first got to embrace both your flawed nature and the flawed nature of humanity. The incels pick up one thing correctly, your genetics matter. The height limit placed on you by your genetics and the colour of your skin is going to impact your SMV and there's nothing you can do about either of these things. What the incels conveniently miss out, is that women also find other things attractive. Good posture, witty and funny personality, personal wealth, physical health indicators, mastery of game, leadership ability, I could go on. All of these things are within your ability to change and improve. Are they easy to improve? No. Some will be easier than others depending on you, your genetics and your intelligence.
>
> Then there's the biggest sin they committed which even some guys well along in their red pill journey don't get and is the overemphasis of the other end of the spectrum of "getting it wrong", the MGTOWs. Women don't really matter all that much. They're just something you want to have sex with because you were programmed to want to have sex with them. These incels could never accept the paradox which I note every now and again on here for the guys who are trying too hard, that you're more likely to get sex if you genuinely don't care if you have sex or not. Desperation is extremely unattractive because it is an indicator of low sexual value. That you have no options. So it's better to grit your teeth and fake it until you make it and seem like you are not devoid of other options if this one falls through. (Not to mention being able to walk away is absolutely imperative if you ever spot a red flag that might fuck up your life and avoid things like shitting where you eat.)
>
> All in all, they're all the same. They've found their narrative, but their narrative doesn't explain some things. In the case of incels, their narrative is also a self-fulfilling prophecy which makes it particularly toxic. Similar with the MGTOWs. Most things are explained by TRP if you do your reading and grasp the material well enough, but that requires that you hunt down the writings of men with something valuable to say. There's plenty of rubbish that has appeared in the comments of TRP over the years and it is not all to be trusted. We've solved this by having official endorsement of certain members to essentially stick a badge next to people who are saying good things that have value. Use it to navigate and you'll be fine.

The Intellectual Yet Idiot

8 upvotes · 5 April 2019 · /r/TheRedPill

> Oh don't get me wrong. Taleb is a hugely arrogant individual. But he's one of these people who is arrogant rather than overconfident. He knows his stuff.
>
> Psychologists still can't agree on the definition of intelligence at this stage. For the purposes of TRP, I'd suggest it's important to try and be well versed in most things. When you can surprise a woman with knowledge of an area that is considered socially "higher up" such as high end liquor, you can create some tingles in the sense of

> "this guy is probably in some higher circles if he knows about these things, has the spare time to learn about it" etc.
>
> Though note it'll put off any woman who thinks she has no chance of ever locking you down and she'll behave like a child who's just been shown some sweets she can't have.
>
> As mentioned, his works are well worth reading and ruminating on for wider purposes, but don't get too caught up in this particular area. The value is limited.

My new mechanic is asking me to fuck his girl

8 upvotes · 31 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Well this is a nice shit situation you've got yourself into. If you do fuck her that could cause all sorts of problems with your boss in the future. If you don't fuck her, she might get mad and make him take it out on you, or your boss might get mad that you dissed his bitch. He's clearly a cuck and women are unstable. His unstable bitch could react in any which way and through him cause your life to become hell at any moment.
>
> You're gonna have to act like the most vanilla guy ever to get out of this one. Suggest you start looking for another job and/or make sure you keep some evidence that they approached you with this "sexual harassment." Ensure you reply in a manner that makes it clear this is unwanted attention.
>
> I can only see one shot to get out of this awful Sophie's choice you've got yourself into. Tell him you were just messing around, you weren't serious and didn't think he was serious, you've deleted all her pics and this is just way too out of your comfort zone. (Doesn't matter if it isn't. You've gotta seem like it is. Like he's the one who made the mistake and pushed too hard on something he shouldn't have.) You'll be happy to forget all about it and never mention it again if he'd like that. Hope that things can be cool between you two. (Do not say sorry/apologise at any point!)
>
> That may or may not work. You really fucked the pooch on this one kiddo.

Disappointment in the Lack of Critical Thinking

8 upvotes · 31 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Let's try to avoid the SJW reasoning of "if you haven't experienced it, you can't understand it." I'm going to stick to my point about him supporting the feminine imperative and using feminine style. Supporting your note of him getting married before Reagan, I'd suggest he's perhaps ignorant of just how much the dating game has changed. He's got some idea since he's aware guys are checking out. But perhaps he's less aware of how low the quality of women in terms of RMV has become.

How do I f-close this meet up?

8 upvotes · 20 February 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Near her dorm = down to fuck. She essentially wants to head back to her room asap. If you don't trip up when entering her room and fall into her gaping vagina then I will be ashamed of you.
>
> Any other game advice will be found on this sidebar in my TRP Field Toolkit series.

Found my GF's porn history

8 upvotes · 10 October 2017 · /r/askTRP

> It has been well established how "racist" women are when it comes to dating. We have had periods of whiny posting from various ethnic backgrounds complaining how they have it harder. This is no different to being considered less attractive because you're shorter. You were born that way and women discriminate on things you can't control. Deal with it.

> Don't whine "racism." We're dealing with the facts here no matter how unpleasant or un-PC they may be. You use them or don't use them as you see fit. I find it amusing that you'd not care about being considered sexist by being here... yet you give a shit whether something is racist or not? Careful on those principles... it looks like you'll drop yours in a heartbeat.

Girlfriend wants to start smoking cigs

8 upvotes · 14 July 2017 · /r/askTRP

> Depends how you wish to handle things. The fact she's even bringing up something she knows you dislike in your presence indicates lack of respect for you. Women don't shit test their men or challenge the man's leadership unless they think it is in question. (This is why, even in an LTR, you'll periodically receive shit tests when she wants to reassess where she's at.)
>
> Have you read the LTR guide on the sidebar? If you search my history you'll also find my supplemental guide on commands called Commanding 101. It's more advanced game that relies upon understanding and using the subtextual dynamics of a relationship so I don't recommend it until you've mastered all basic game. (Of which my 4 part TRP Field Toolkit guide on the sidebar will cover the vast majority of basic game.)
>
> Finally, yes, if she does genuinely start smoking then you need to hard next her without explanation. She knows what she's doing. If you currently have no other options, then it's time to develop them. Women find a man who is already taken by an attractive woman to be more attractive than a single man.

No single girls

8 upvotes · 17 May 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> The only woman who is worth less than a woman who has the commitment of a beta, is the woman who can't secure the commitment of any man. i.e. the girl who is single.
>
> This is why branch swinging is so common rather than clean time between relationships.

Commanding 101

8 upvotes · 27 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I'd add that the hard part is that this is usually something you don't want to happen either.
>
> This is a problem from the depths of blue pill conditioning. There is ultimately one card in your hand that you must never give up and always be willing to play if things are far enough along that your game requires you to play it. That is walking away. Whether it be a chick you've just met or a 10 year relationship. That card is always in your hand and you must be prepared to play it.
>
> If you give up that card, then you lose. The very top thing on the blue pill list is to exploit man's love for woman to make him give up that card as early and often as possible. It gives free reign to the feminine sexual strategy because you now aren't going to withdraw your commitment. That's an ideal scenario for the feminine sexual strategy. Dread is simply raising this card up and showing it to her. If you play it, she loses. You don't necessarily win, but she definitely loses if she cares about keeping you.
>
> But yeah, if you want to keep a woman around long term then learning commanding alongside your other game skills like dread is essential. It's not reasonable to soft next an LTR later on (early on is fine and advised) and not think it's going to cause additional problems.

Commanding 101

8 upvotes · 27 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Treat it like the shit test it is.

Girl with a boyfriend (who I know) is giving me signs

8 upvotes · 23 March 2017 · /r/askTRP

> This question still gets asked?
>
> You've literally laid it out there. You're currently wavering towards a single pussy being more important than your long term social status and all the associated interests that come from it. Do you now see how stupid that is? Take the pussy off the pedestal kiddo. There's millions of other women out there.

So looks don't matter?

8 upvotes · 10 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> The video actually demonstrates a couple of principles. The way the women can't tell it's actually him just because he's put on a hat and fleece is a demonstration of just how much style brings to the table and how important it is to have well fitted clothing. Don't skimp guys, get those off-the-rack things down to the tailors for adjustments.
>
> Ironically, the same video also demonstrates why looks don't matter if your game is solid or your status is high. When Ethan comes up you'll note that he gets mass rejections, except for a couple of women who go "I know who he is. Yeah smash." It's similar to why you want to play in a band etc because you gain some social status and all the women in the room will perceive your SMV higher by a few points.
>
> So what's the point in this comment since I'm sidestepping the physique? Essentially your looks, style, physique etc (all the things that contribute to your base SMV) will decide what difficulty you play the game on. You can alter this through social proof and social status changes. Things are even more in your control than you think and physique is not the only tool to alter your SMV.
>
> Is Nightwing saying don't lift? No of course I'm not. I'm expanding upon this. Given that there are more areas to look at, don't get bogged down in one. Being ripped and seeing if girls want to smash you is little different to being stinking rich and seeing if girls want to smash you. You'll get yeses on both. Why? Because women are shallow and pathetic creatures. The more you realise how easily their sexual desires are manipulated, the less you'll think of them. Which in turn contributes to the biggest turnon of all for women. Attitude - the attitude that you're the important prize and they're just a bunch of losers scraping around for your approval and your dick.
>
> Lift. Be stylish. Earn bank. Use social proof. Do everything you can to ensure you're playing the game on the easiest mode possible.

Mid twenties single dad checking in. Just getting started.

8 upvotes · 9 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I don't have the kids this weekend and I would like to go out with the intention of getting laid. Seriously considering telling every women I see at the bar/casino "I'm looking to get laid tonight, should I sit down or move on?"
>
> That will work... but only under a specific set of circumstances. Your SMV has to be high in her eyes and she has to have no potential social downside. i.e. is her sluttiness going to be known by anyone other than you and her?
>
> Also, ask yourself seriously are you really a 5? Around 80% of men are considered a 3/10 or 4/10 by women. They won't get laid for their looks. Only the guys rated 8 or more will. That is a tiny percentage. Get out of the male view on looks and get into the female mind. It'll help you in the long term when assessing your own SMV and where you need to make adjustments.

Beta twitter cry

8 upvotes · 28 February 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> I fail to see what applicable use this is. An inadvertent SMV boost.... should have just titled this post "Pointless dick measuring. No applicable value."

Be careful of being too Alpha, Comfort Tests are far more lethal than Shit-Tests

8 upvotes · 21 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> That can work. The key is avoiding the sort of beta talking about everything to make her feel better. Trying to be reassuring for the sake of being reassuring does work... but I don't recommend it. Though something like "you're stressing over nothing." being combined with some physical comfort provides stability that she can just indulge her emotions safely.
>
> It's really annoying when chicks do that though so I wouldn't recommend encouraging it. Ensure she knows that this behaviour is fucking stupid and you'd be happier if she got her emotions under control and did not regularly go on a feels rollercoaster that you then have to manage. (Yet still demonstrating you can take it in stride.)
>
> Mock and deride the intellectual aspect to the behaviour... i.e it's all feels nonsense that is silly/childish and she should be better than that. While providing physical comfort/attention. Remember that the medium is the message. So the subtext going on it provision of comfort "this is easy, I can do this... and it's irritating. I deserve a better class of woman."
>
> > I saw a video of a man who dealt with his wife wanting emotional comforting by lifting her onto a bench and enfolding her - it seemed to work well - that to me isn't being an emotional tampon
>
> It is to me if he's having to do it regularly to quiet down her emotional neediness. There is a fine line between responding to her needs and her needs becoming the driver of your behaviour. You're there to be the rock she can rest on **IF** she needs to. If she needs to do this very regularly then it's turned from you responding to her needs, to her needs driving you around.
>
> Just like if a chick shit tests you too much you should become more and more mocking and less attentive... the subtext has to be that you're not going to tolerate her nonsense indefinitely. Same goes for comfort tests. While you can identify and deal with it... you're not some emotional tampon at her beck and call for every little weepy feel.

LMR from your LTR: Never let a women decide when you DON'T have sex.

8 upvotes · 5 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > The issue is that every woman will try this,
>
> I disagree. I think you've conflated LMR and weaponisation. All women will perform LMR at one point or another. That's a regular shit test. It's checking the mate is alpha rather than beta. Weaponising sex in order to try and force alteration to a man's behaviour is not a shit test. It's open rebellion to the dynamic that you've established. (Assuming it has actually been established.)
>
> If she's got an alpha and believes he is alpha and holds his commitment... there's no need to reduce sex because she wants to have sex with said alpha to have offspring. The weaponising of sex has to be triggered by doubts about his alpha status. Then there's a difference between "are you alpha?" and "stop it. be a good beta or you won't get sex."
>
> The difference is subtle. I reckon she's only still with him because whatever else she thought she might have on the cards didn't materialise. I'm not convinced that a woman would do these things for absolutely no reason... we just don't necessarily have all the facts on this one as to what started the shit testing. Everything we've created as theory on TRP fits together with cause and effect in all the different bits of game. I am not convinced that this one

> just comes from nowhere when we have reasons for shit tests, comfort tests, why dread works etc etc. I can look back and reflect on why I'm getting more shit tests from a girl and less from another. It's usually down to how tight my game has been and how strictly I've enforced the dom/sub dynamic.
>
> As for this particular case: Did a more attractive man show interest? Did the boyfriend do something a bit beta? Has he been overusing A&A for some time and she's feeling like he might not be a natural? Perhaps something has been off with the dom/sub relationship dynamic and he hasn't been leading properly? I don't know the specifics, but an open rebellion doesn't just fall out of the sky for no reason. We're just analysing one small equation on the blackboard. I do not believe you can generalise it out that this particular part of game has no reason for happening and that all women will do it just because.
>
> In all honesty I read his piece and just think that he fucked up his attempt to push past the LMR by using too much A&A and demonstrating he's only got one social tool in his box when she's demanding he show more. That's just my interpretation of the subtextual discussion. I could be wrong and it doesn't really matter all that much since my point was really more of an ask of the good Doctor's guide. I wanted to understand why the nuclear cheating option was there when my view was you should never have ended up in such a scenario in the first place and you certainly shouldn't be making any attempt to maintain it. But that's just me. Maybe my standards are too high and I will come back to this comment in 3-6 months and change my mind. For now though, I'm satisfied with my position.

2 female friends whom I thought were 'good girls' now might have genital herpes from going raw with same guy.

8 upvotes · 24 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> There are various natural inclinations that don't work perfectly and can be counter-productive to your own success. (Our inclination to laziness for example.) Our psychology is built up that way... if you're willing to look cold-heartedly at things, we're actually designed to kill ourselves if we fail enough in life.
>
> > Doesn't all the research suggest that women do drop everything for Chad and behave in this way?
>
> Yes, in order to secure his commitment. If it was merely for his genes she'd just go upto him and say "wanna fuck?" and that would be sexual strategy achieved. What you have done is oversimplified things and skipped out the concerns and reservations women have for a multitude of things. Successful offspring is more than just getting some good spunk inside her (but that is a part of it.)
>
> Remember how social status trumps all? Who do you think she'd like to marry? Do you think her ideal is some beta bucks? No. That's a fallback for failure. She despises her beta and despises the fact she's had to settle for him.
>
> > TheReformist asks what are these women to do.
>
> Well they could not be cunts? The success of women's sexual strategy is not my problem. Do not excuse their bad behaviour. There are no pussy passes available here. Just as I ended the other post, I am concerned with male success (my success.) Not hers. It is not my responsibility or concern that she's shit at playing her game when in competition with the world. I will do what I can to win my game by the rules I've got to play by... if she's shit at her game, I don't care... but I'm certainly going to say that she's shit at her game and her behaviour is shitty. Reality doesn't give a toss about her feelings and neither should you.

Raising a Glass Tonight to a Fallen Friend.

8 upvotes · 23 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Even here we have Keyboard commandos thinking if they empathize with OP then its a tacit approval of

> bluepill behavior

It is vital that we remind people that the entire reason for the Red Pill sub and the men who run it, along with those of us who contribute to help teach is entirely on compassion and empathy.

In a way, we are a tiny minority of society who don't believe in male disposability. We instead believe in the potential. Perhaps the methods must be harsh... but why bother with the harshness? Either we're all just psychopathic monsters or perhaps we're the only ones who truly love our fellow man in the way society only virtue signals about.

Counterfeit Red Pills

8 upvotes · 7 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

It's essential to maintain perspective and not get too caught up in the "you're excusing failure" thing. The reality is that no extreme view is psychologically healthy and it's also counter-productive to blame yourself for everything. That attitude leads to depression.

As an example, consider the contradictory attitude we will simultaneously hold when we're running game and get shot down by a woman. On the night, we hold the view it's her fault. She's a dumb bitch. She wasn't in the mood, whatever. It's not our fault. This is important for maintaining confidence and ego in the field. When you get back home and think things over, you take the view that it is your fault so you can consider what to do better to self-improve. The reason for holding this duality of thought is that it provides you with the most practical approach to improving your game. You win both in and out of the field.

As a shorthand guide for good psychological health, if you can't improve via blaming yourself for something... don't. Place the blame elsewhere. Typically this attitude is reality anyway because whatever it is that you can't alter is that way because the blame lies elsewhere.

TRP Newbie Ready For Monk Mode!

8 upvotes · 5 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Ignore that prat. Monk mode is an essential starting point since half the anger phase will be counter-productive to your game, but excellent drive for the initial lifting gains. As you state, it also takes time to slowly alter your routine and schedule in all the essential new parts of your life. If you're busy chasing girls then you lack the time to add new things, you're still displaying pussy on the pedestal and you don't have the distance to devalue women and sex which is essential in order to adopt your new attitude. To suggest you can devalue pussy in your mind while still chasing it as your highest priority is beyond retarded.

Add my TRP Field Toolkit series to your reading list as a basic introduction to game and begin internalising Part 1 and 2 on attitude and posture.

Found a Guardian article about you guys, apparently you're dangerous (lol)

8 upvotes · 29 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

The Guardian is slowly becoming too low hanging of a fruit to be picked. Shortly it will be becoming pomme de terre.

It's a good comedy piece if that's what it's meant to be. Sam Harris: that well known loony gateway drug... I'm gonna be chuckling at this all day.

Woman hits the wall at 25, has mental breakdown: single mother with no money comes to realise no one wants to date a single mother, has vitriolic anger towards her ex who's still living a happy life and having relationships.

8 upvotes · 28 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> So much morality involved in this.
>
> Telling someone not to view things black and white. Saying that wrapping your dick is standard red pill behaviour and thus getting a chick knocked up and exposing yourself to this stupid situation is not red pill... so much morality influencing this.
>
> Jesus, has TRP taken stupid pills today? What the hell is wrong with everyone today.

Woman hits the wall at 25, has mental breakdown: single mother with no money comes to realise no one wants to date a single mother, has vitriolic anger towards her ex who's still living a happy life and having relationships.

8 upvotes · 28 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Most deadbeats are alphas and alpha is what's aspired to be here. How do you reconcile this?
>
> With great ease. Corey Worthington is the alpha buddah, but his wreckless behaviour is not endorsed by TRP.

Wants vs needs: the sexual attitude nuance

8 upvotes · 4 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> 99% of the way there.
>
> I would put it as evolution needs sex. The species needs sex. It solves this problem by putting the urge in us to have sex when other survival-focused things aren't distracting us. i.e. it's top of the list of "wants" because "needs" are anything we could die without. Even evolution has told us that needs come first. An individual doesn't need it, but they sure do want it.
>
> This is absolutely spot-on:
>
> > Those few who fulfill that need display a sex-satiated behavior that communicates to women that they are sexually successful, and therefore a most viable potential mate to that more-selective gender.
>
> That said... you seem to be playing both sides:
>
> > To pretend that sex isn't a need is some female-hamster-level delusion.
>
> One last bit I want to explore:
>
> > comes down to genes competing in a gene pool for reproduction into the next generation.
>
> This appears to be the assumption made by the people who disagree with me. The whole idea that sex is for reproduction and is a need because if you don't have sex then you lose the evolutionary game. The problem with this is that we'd need to rethink the stance of the sub on several issues if we were to declare that the target of sexual strategy through TRP is to win the evolutionary game - i.e. for a man, to impregnate as many attractive women as possible.
>
> If we set aside how fucked your life would be if you tried that, you could redefine it back a little to having children and raising them well. This then brings up a need to rethink the sub's views on marriage (as the one decent argument for marriage 2.0 is creating the family unit to raise your child/children well and allow them to be successful.) The sub's views on vasectomy at an early age before any children would also need to be rethought. We could no longer leave that as acceptable since once it's done, you've lost the evo game. Once we declare a specific goal of sexual strategy, rather than a personalised goal according to the individual wants of each man, we

then have to take specific stances on various things which impact evolution. I don't see this as compatible with TRP.

However what I've set out fits in quite nicely with everything else we tend to conclude here. The argument between nature and nurture has been going on a long time and I think we need to avoid being too biologically deterministic and try to simply use the lens of evolution to help explain rather than guide. A bit like how we say sex permeates everything and influences everything while still telling you "don't have sex top of your list, your mission must come first." I hope this makes sense to you.

Additionally it's worth thinking about the difference between saying:

- I need sex
- I want sex

Which of those seems more in line with what you would imagine an alpha male would say and what a beta male would say? Sometimes our gut can tell us a lot about what's going on just from little things like a choice of words.

I've gone through your comment history and you appear to be well along your journey and offering good advice. You also display the right attitude in correcting where you've been wrong. Have a point. ♂

Wants vs needs: the sexual attitude nuance

8 upvotes · 4 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

There is good evidence to suggest lack of sex will impact your psychological health negatively and that regular sex will impact your psychological health positively. Unfortunately we can't pick apart all the different factors around psychological health yet since psychology is still a relatively young science. (It's only a couple of hundred years old, if that, and the application of proper science to it is only decades old.)

Essentially the bit I believe needs to be sorted out is the physical aspect because I've seen these toxic incels showing up and declaring it a biological/physical need rather than merely an evolutionary imperative. The nuance between the two is important in terms of attitude, and (in my humble opinion) good mental health. The former is the more important from a Red Pill perspective since we're focused on achievement.

And yes, I agree we can argue even further nuance, but the essential point regarding power dynamics through attitude is definitely proven in this thread. I believe that gets (or should get) universal consensus here. /u/CopperFox3c has summed up the nuance I explore nicely. I'd like to think I've demonstrated just how fine that line is.

Battle between old and new age feminism

8 upvotes · 28 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Yup. The instructive term is exactly that. Feminism = the political movement to optimise female hedonism.

Cheating in today's society

8 upvotes · 12 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Misogyny is a word used by bloopers.

There is no such thing as men who hate women as a whole. There are men angry at the actions of some women. There are men angry at the lies that society has told them to further the feminine biological imperative. There are men who are angry that society favours women in an unfair and unjust fashion. There are men who are angry at themselves for a variety of reasons. There are men who are angry with women who try to hold them back from trying to become better men.

None of that is misogyny and the sort of person who uses the word misogyny like it's actually a real thing is a

> fool. All men love women and as much as they may frustrate us for being themselves, we can't bring ourselves to hate them just for being who they are. No man can.
>
> Also, you've been making submissions for 2 weeks now... perhaps you should hold back a bit, do some more reading and reexamine what is blue pill and red pill because your current understanding appears to be a bit off the mark.
>
> To start with, stop using the word misogyny (and learn to spell it correctly) and then follow with how idealism is blue pill programming. There are positives and negatives in this world and no matter how much money you make or women you bang, you'll never achieve paradise because our brains won't allow it. The lows define the highs and all that jazz. TRP is just here to help us make the best of things and keep trying to strive upwards.
>
> As I've quoted before: "we are all apprentices in a craft where no one ever becomes a master."

Around the World in 80 Lays: Ugly post-wall feminist goes on sex romp having lots of sex around the world to get over a breakup.

8 upvotes · 4 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> That's like saying "humans can never be happy because of the basis of economics - i.e. humanity has infinite wants." It's a pointless statement which logically leads to nihilism. Nihilism is not Red Pill.

Frustration and/or woman hating?

8 upvotes · 2 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Not sure... but it has the feel of either a guy who has never seen women's true dark side or of an intentional troll of a feminist who wants to sneak in here.
>
> I think the reality is that this guy's problem is that he's looking to lecture from a logical perspective on an emotion he's never experienced. So the logic is sound... but the empathetic understanding is simply not there. Based upon what he's written, he can't fathom living any other life than the one he's lived... and he's proud of it. He sorta knows what works... but doesn't seem to fully understand it. For example:
>
> > c) If you want to get laid start working out and don't make a big deal out of it
>
> Muscle = increased SMV. Don't make a big deal out of it because women want a man who just gets it and is naturally alpha rather than an aspiring alpha/fake alpha. Women are not interested in the journey, they just want the end product and they couldn't give a shit how hard it was to become that end product.
>
> > d) Be direct when talking to women, don't flirt too much
>
> This is just leading the interaction and doing some push-pull. What he's said seems like a more natural translation of what we've come to understand more completely.
>
> It's basically solipsism from a natural alpha. (I'm giving the benefit of the doubt here that he's a natural alpha and not actually a blooper concern troll.) I don't like anyone who is solipsistic. I believe they are a part of the problem. In fact they contribute to the majority of world problems. So the logic is fine and it's what we're trying to emulate to various nuanced ways. But the guy who wrote it isn't the brightest penny in the fountain. Essentially he knows but doesn't understand. He knows an engine will drive a car if you fuel it, but he doesn't understand how the engine actually works. A very good demonstration of why we employ the Bruce Lee principle here.
>
> Aspiring Machiavellians should take note: Lack of empathy like this will make your ability to bend the world to your will that little bit harder.
>
> In my humble opinion, what a natural alpha like this has to offer in life advice is limited to the level of his

> understanding. So you take what appears to work and go from there. Bruce Lee principle.

Being RP with no dating prospects

8 upvotes · 31 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This should be on AskTRP... but I haven't replied there today so I'll do it here.
>
> > gets lonely,
>
> This is the crux basically. If you want to do Monk Mode... then monk mode is pretty lonely. You can focus on your passions and still go hang out with the guys. Have you got a solid group of male friends? If not, then this would be the ideal time to meet some. Join some groups and just get chatting to new people. Have a think on that, depending on your interests and you should be able to busy yourself for at least a week or two trying out something new.

How do you pick a girl for Sat night dates, X-mas, New Year's? How do you explain it to your other girls?

8 upvotes · 28 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> This isn't about deserving or not deserving. This is about leadership and decision making. Make your decision, then stick to it. Do what *you* want to do. Her feelings are irrelevant. If a woman likes you, she'll follow you. This is why they get upset at finding you with other women but ultimately will keep coming back to you anyway. You just need to own it.
>
> Each day is your time and you choose how to spend it. If you ever get shit from a chick about that, point out that it's your time and you weren't aware you had to clear every meeting and decision on how to use your time through her first. Then laugh at her being insecure and stupid. (Essentially, it's a shit test from her, and you're using A&A to reply.) It shuts them up and they never complain about it again because you've made it painfully obvious that if they do complain then they look insecure. (Also, when you call a chick insecure, tell her "don't do that. It's unattractive." - I've found that by specifically linking certain actions I don't like to being unattractive tends to make chicks instantly stop doing that. It's great! Women are so insecure.)

Should I next tinder date after tonight?

8 upvotes · 24 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > My SMV is okay
>
> vs
>
> > Im skinny fat.
>
> Pick one. If you are skinny fat then you are low SMV. It's fairly obvious what's going on here... heavily feminist chick turns off all high value men. So she probably thinks she's like a 3 or a 4 rather than a 7. You're the best she can manage, but she's not really attracted to you. The only reason she's there is because the only thing worse than being a woman with a man who is middle to low SMV, is being the woman who can't attract any men.
>
> General rules:
>
> - No kiss by second date, hard next. (You can make that 1st date if you're impatient like me.)
> - If bitch shield is still up by end of first date, hard next.
> - No sex by 3rd date, hard next.
> - If a chick pisses you about in any way, shape or form, instant soft next with a command to her when she

> comes back to make it up to you. If she does not, hard next.
>
> Your time is valuable. Start acting like it's valuable.

"In the age of promiscuity, women have more sexual partners than men" - can you even find a loyal woman with a low n-count who hasn't slept around these days? I'm getting less and less confident that that's even possible.

8 upvotes · 14 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> 99% of women say this. Most don't follow it. As always, women are incapable of answering questions honestly.

This is because they don't even consider what actually makes the decision for them. They don't actually understand themselves rather than being actively dishonest in this case. (Which women are... a lot... they are pathological liars.) It's the fee-fees. Has the wife got the potential for renewing the commitment from this high value man that other women want? What's their feeling on this? That is how they answer that question.

> If you're alpha eenough, you can cheat.

This, is an oversimplification from /u/[deleted] - Just as when you are too high SMV and fail comfort tests, the women will walk away. If you cheat and she sees you as too high SMV to hold onto... when she's got cash prizes as an incentive for pressing the big red divorce button... you can't cheat and get caught.

Girls are shamed by other girls...not guys

8 upvotes · 10 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Girls slut-shame one another only in public, to prevent cheapening the price of corporate pussy. The aim is to artificially reduce the supply of pussy to increase its price.

Actually, I would say that girls slut shame as part of their style of sexual competition. Men compete to be better, women compete to be the least shit. They don't raise themselves up, they put their competition down. So slut shaming is not so much to reduce supply of pussy, but to declare competing pussy as lower value and by comparison raise the value of her own pussy.

It works because outside of rationalisation town, ruled by mayor hamster who can't possibly let her own pussy value drop (because then she wouldn't believe she was worthy of a top tier man) women do know deep down that a slut is poor relationship material and they know that men know this too.

TIL my dad is (maybe) BB. oh and not my dad, if bio101 isnt lying to me

8 upvotes · 25 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Repeat after me gentlemen, AWALT.
>
> Even. your. mother. All women.

I got a girl's number by making her seem more desirable than the leader of her group

8 upvotes · 20 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I want to break down the good and the bad of this FR. Let's start with the bad. Briffault's law still applies. You offered her a little social status so she took it. What did she give you in return? Nothing. You don't mention her buying the shots. In fact I don't read any investment from her. Just her getting social status and validation. As per Briffault's law, this does not mean you get anything back later. Women like social status and being singled out as

special... in other news, the pope is a catholic.

People going on about causing jealousy in the blonde... yet OP states " I'm instantly turned off. I still want to fuck her, but realize how much fucking work it would be to tame the shrew in front of her friends and how I don't feel like listening to her hyena laugh all night." So basically unless she comes onto him, he's not bothering. So the blonde is an irrelevancy to the motivations of the actions here. She is simply a side amusement. So when we boil this down, this is free drinks in exchange for a phone number and as /u/MattyAnon already said "Hate to tell you this, but a number don't mean jack."

You need to get more for your investments of time and money. She needs to invest in you. She has invested nothing in you yet. There's a reason we encourage people to strike while the iron is hot. There's so many ways in which the number will not get a worthwhile response. (Many not in your control.) And the blonde may even retroactively decide to work against you behind the scenes and imply the brunette's social status will go down if she follows up with you.

Now, there is a specific reason I'm advising against this. Not because it is the cost of one drink. But because as a strategy, with so many pitfalls and likely reasons for failure, it is costly and inefficient. A single drink is nothing. But that single drink repeated will add up. It is the opposite of red pill sexual strategy to invest more in women than they invest in you. The 2:3 ratio is the general rule, but equalling it out in the start is fine too since we've got to initiate.

That's the bad. All that said, the good thing is, it's not a bad tactic if you're targeting a shy/quiet girl who you're unlikely to naturally open on because of her introversion. It just needs to be refined a little. It should be noted here that refining this would be quite straightforward since introverted girls tend to be more submissive. Polite implicational style orders should work well like "your round now" to initiate drinks. "Change of scenery would be fun. What are you doing now?" to start a quick convo to instruct her to ditch her friends and change venue (essential here for rapid escalation. Isolate asap.) "Let's get some air." is a classic easy one to move things outside. (While she's inside, she's not really isolated because she's still within the social sphere of her friends being judged.) You can also discuss other nearby venues while you're outside and watch her reactions to see if she's giving the signal "please take me there." If you decide to head off you can tell her to text her friend and let them know. You'll need to lead her on these things because most women will not know what to do or care about trying to help you. She already got what she wanted. Introverted women are the least socially skilled of all and do not tend to make moves that actively change anything, so the onus is on you even more than normal to make these things happen.

Being An Asshole Won't Get You Laid

8 upvotes · 7 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Extending on this theme, women will call you an asshole in different ways... for exactly the same behaviour... depending on their own fee-fees and subjective chances of getting with you. The word itself doesn't really mean anything when women say it because they use it in multiple ways. Most of which mean "he's not acting according to the beta script." Whether it's said with venom to shame a beta back into line, or said with giggles and a loving gaze to an alpha is dependent on the woman's feelings at the time.

Note: I realised this is a slightly lengthy story to make my point, but bear with me.

As a brief FR/example, I went out with a friend, his fiancé and her girlfriend who was in a devoted relationship and a very devout but modern muslim girl. My mate knows of my success with women, particularly the more prominent stuff in the past couple of years and he told me I'd be bored on this night out. I agreed, so he betted that I couldn't get her fiancé's friend back into bed at their place. Challenge accepted.

I work my magic. Push/pull, mockery, a smidge of asshole game for good measure and some slowly increasing physical escalation. The fiancé takes a pic of her friend with me, and her friend suddenly goes "OMG! You can't

put that up on facebook. My family will totes think I slept with him." Wonder what's on her mind already, eh? I'm quietly thinking that this is pretty much in the bag if I'm sensible about shit. My mate's been observing this and later told me he figured I'd got it in the bag after seeing her practically falling over me smiling and laughing with my hand holding the small of her back. (He figured that's way out of line for a chick who's engaged.)

Anyways, his fiancé and her friend go off to the bathroom. When they come back, we're sat around the table and my knee touches her leg and the friend practically cries rape. Literally announcing very loudly "don't touch me!" like I'd suddenly become nuclear waste in the past 5 mins. It was one of the most bizarre things I'd ever seen. (Later when myself and my mate had ditched the girls because they were really bringing the night down, after I hooked up with some punk chick, my mate made a comment about the earlier incident and how he saw it as the most ridiculous thing he'd ever witnessed. He didn't understand how a woman could do such a 180 in such a short period of time given her behaviour earlier.)

I believe this was explained by my mate's fiancé cock blocking me since she knew damned well she had no chance with me. I suspect she made a subtle threat to her friend that she'd share her antics with her family and husband-to-be. Threatening her social status in some way I'd suspect. (I was planning to isolate her later on, whoops! Oh well.) Anyways, the point of this story was that I was called an asshole many times that night. Earlier on in the evening it was said with huge grins and loving eyes by both girls. After the little knee rape incident, the word asshole was used on me again, but this time with venom and hatred.

The word itself means very little. It's how women use it. Sometimes it's endearing, other times it's to insult betas who won't accept their place, and other times it's simply typical female tantrums when they realise they can't have what they want.

What was left unsaid: A final word to my fellow nerds

8 upvotes · 31 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I've been following these posts with great interest. From my observations, TRP does split down into subgroups. The particular joy of TRP from my perspective is the way these subgroups may have been at war and hated each other in high school as per all the stereotypes... but here it's just men/boys being men.

Which brings me to this point I want to discuss:

> Again, to you nerds out there, this will be my last post directed to you—partly because I don’t want to encourage the idea that nerds are special snowflakes or basket cases that need special treatment within the TRP community. TRP is for any man at any level and I don’t think this sub should be in the business of catering its principles to any particular subgroup.

While I broadly agree with this, I agree with it in the same broad sense I'd agree with someone telling me that I must die. I will indeed die some day, so factually it's a correct statement. Nobody here is a special snowflake, however I think this needs to be reconciled with the reality that we need to adapt our game, image, style etc etc to our own personal strengths and weaknesses.

Sometimes there's an overemphasis on the sub concerning some basic things like lifting which gets oversimplified into falsehoods like "you can't get bitches if you're scrawny." I think, as much as many of you may hate him, Russell Brand would upstage the majority of the Gronks on here who shout "gainz!" from the rooftops. (And likely some of our veterans since he's got significant status on his side.) Now, don't get me wrong, this is not saying "do not lift." I lift, not at the top end by any stretch, but I do both strength and cardio resistance and I encourage others to research these things (read all you can from the experts if you're not already well informed yourself, find friends who know more than you etc.) Then tailor your workout to your body and your genetics. (Or to whatever image you want to portray.) But the ultimate point is that this is not the be-all and end-all of it and the buff bodybuilder who lives at the gym might not be your style. We should point this out to guys starting out. There are alternatives and what you've already got some experience in through your life could give you a

> strength to play to that others aren't able to utilise. The biggest problem nerds have is that they tend to have ignored all the potential strengths they could have when it comes to attracting women.
>
> Knowledge is a greater power than is usually mentioned on here. Hell, the entire sub is based upon that principle... here we can learn, gather advice and knowledge on the best way to improve ourselves. And that knowledge is censored as little as possible - i.e. so long as it has some value, it'll be left alone to be read and evaluated. There is not one single way to do things. I could think of a few different veterans here who I suspect would look almost like polar opposites in the field. Yet they would all be successful.
>
> Anyway, this is starting to become disorganised babble. There is enough to put together an entire post around this topic, but suffice to say, I want to remind people of the nuance that while you are not a special snowflake, you are an individual. Being an individual does not make you special, but not being special doesn't make you less of an individual and you need to bear this in mind when adapting TRP to become your own alpha. The major reason I remind people of this is because I've found women are excellent at spotting fakes and figuring out what's going through your head: and this is why internalisation of principles is so important! But extended from this, is the same reason why you need to personalise the alpha you become to be the alpha you would most naturally become. The more natural things are, the better.

Anger isn't a phase.

8 upvotes · 28 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Theologians once had to reconcile the idea of anger as a sin, with the behaviour of Jesus flying into a rage in the temple and violently tossing out the money lenders.
>
> They came up with the idea of a "righteous indignation" - that anger against wrongdoing, blasphemy and evil is perfectly justified, even admirable. While I'm not Christian, I agree with the logic and the sentiment. Emotions aren't evil or bad in and of themselves. They're natural and their irrationality is equally natural. Use it or don't use it... but if you're feeling it then it's usually for good reason. The only downside to emotions is that they can blur reality for the person experiencing the emotion. If we keep that in mind, I see no reason to ever say an emotion you feel [as a reaction] is not legitimate.

Rule Zero: The Mission

8 upvotes · 24 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I draft my posts out, leave them a day and then edit/rewrite to try and make sure I'm getting the info across as best I can.
>
> I don't tend to write on a huge array of topics because I only really write on topics where I think I'm adding something fresh or giving a new enough take on something that it counts as fresh content. Some posts take longer than others depending on whether I'm satisfied with them. I ditch others because I'm simply not satisfied with the outcome and it doesn't read as strong enough to post.

Milo Yiannopoulos: The Sexodous, Parts 1 & 2, Reposted For Newbie Digest

8 upvotes · 20 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I'm just trying to be honest and find out things for myself - not market my politics or be a one-idiot PR crusade
>
> You can do this by lurking. Feel free to do so. Which brings us nicely to this:
>
> > I am willing to debate this more in good faith if you're interested.
>
> This is not the place for that. PurplePillDebate, FeMRAdebate and Pillscollide are the subreddits you want to go

to for that.

If you're a woman, you can probably also discuss things in a polite and respectful manner over on RedPillWomen. TRP is a male space where we can talk freely without women interfering and policing content according to their feelings. In order to prevent that happening through Trojan horses, we've got to remain fairly tough about it.

If you're looking for people who understand the manosphere from both sides of fence, you could try looking up some of the former feminists who have become MRAs.

Finally:

> But could you truly know what it feels like to be a blind person without experiencing it yourself? Would you imagine you were just seeing black, for example? What if every blind person's experience was slightly unique and you only spoke to one? Wouldn't you need a sample size of thousands to get a decent scientific description of the experience of being blind?

This is called a disengagement. It is a subtle response which appears to address the point but doesn't actually engage with it. Specifically all the statements made can be mutually exclusive to my point that I don't need to experience blindness to know it's bad. Do I truly know what it's like without experiencing it? Maybe I do, maybe I don't... I still know it's bad. Getting a decent scientific description of sight loss is irrelevant to knowing that it is a bad thing to have to experience.

For further information on argumentation, feel free to find the piece I wrote introducing basic argumentation skills in my submission history. It'll help you to sort through your thoughts more effectively.

AMA - Milo Yiannopoulos - Thursday, Dec 10th, 5pm London time, (12pm EST) on TRP

8 upvotes · 3 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The claims of PTSD must go through the roof when he does this.

Basic Guide to Argumentation and Intellectual Growth

8 upvotes · 30 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Sure. When people complain about the new "yes means yes" laws, and declare that it's unjust and will encourage false rape claims, the standard response from supporters is that women won't make false claims and we need to do something about the low conviction rate.
>
> This is a combination of statement followed by disengagement through restating their original reasoning. The idea "false claims won't happen" is statement with no logic behind it and is therefore worthless. The follow up is the disengagement. Stating that the law is unjust is based upon the fact that our idea of justice requires innocent until proven guilty. A system that is likely to convict the innocent is not considered just by definition. Wanting the conviction rate to rise is irrelevant to the point that we should not have an unjust system and does not engage with this issue. Conviction rates (the outcome of the system) are different to whether the system is fundamentally just in base principle. The subtle difference is why this disengagement is not picked up very often.
>
> Another example would be the gender wage gap being explained by breaking down the stats and then showing that in equal work, women get equal pay to within a couple of cents which could be caused by all manner of other variables. A response I've heard a couple of times to this is that there is systemic discrimination in STEM fields etc that means women can't get into these high paying jobs. This is more obvious disengagement where the goal posts have clearly shifted from "women are paid less than men" to "women are discriminated against in STEM."
>
> Most people don't even realise when they're disengaging, so if you ever spot it, just walk away. Most of the time it's obvious, but it can be less obvious when you're dealing with emotional topics. The majority of

> disengagements tend to come from responses to a refutation. You've knocked a chunk out of their tower, so they've made a molehill to try and distract you from their tower falling down.
>
> EDIT: Will also need more than one person interested in more to speak up if they want an additional piece after this one.

Basic Guide to Argumentation and Intellectual Growth

8 upvotes · 30 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I think it would be helpful to identify the contexts where argumentation is - and is not - useful.

This one is actually relatively straightforward: you simply need to get a feel for who you're talking to. Is this someone who is intelligent enough for you to learn from? If yes, then they might be worth having discussion with. If not, be the Machiavellian and engage in fun conversation/networking/game etc etc.

Do they disengage when you challenge their views? Do they rely upon emotional buzzwords? Do they tend to strawman you? Do they call you an idiot simply for disagreeing or challenging their views without actually doing/saying something really stupid? Do they generally lack basic knowledge? If the answer is yes to any of these, then walk away.

> While it's awesome to be able to present a well-grounded, well-reasoned argument, there are very few times (outside of debate competitions) when anyone's actually paying attention and willing to play by the rules.

There's actually a whole bunch of additional rules and strategy involved in the competitive stuff... I'm just trying to stick with what people can use here.

Like I said in the post, 99% of people can't argue for shit and you're not going to gain anything in discussion with them. However, if more of TRP picks up this guide then we can actually improve the interactions between members relatively easily. More productive conversations between members means more productive members and more self-improvement. Winning!

Some Of You Really Have It All. Wrong.

8 upvotes · 30 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You're that guy who when someone says that women don't belong on the battlefield and men are much better and well suited for it, you come out of the woodwork and say "well, I am sure there is one woman out there out of the 3.6 billion that could do just as good of a job."

This is called a strawman. It is used by people who either can't grasp nuance, or those who are too lazy to try and understand the strength of a point and need to create a weaker version that they can defeat more easily.

> Thats who you are. This is called a mirror, and you just got to look in it. You're welcome:)

Now you're just making yourself look like an idiot. You seem completely detached from economic reality, let alone compassion. You've probably been saved by the glass floor and completely subscribe to the common misconception about the narrative of the working poor being lazy or feckless as a reason why they're at home with their parents. Whatever it is, I'm done. You didn't try to engage and I doubt you'll rethink since you seem to have a closed mind. I've done my bit so I'm off.

Indian Girls

8 upvotes · 13 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Bitches be bitches bro. This shit needs to be read.
>
> One day I'll tell a tedious AWALT story about a Pakistani chick I dated. But not today. Not today.

Things like hypergamy and women not dating down continue to be picked up by mainstream newspapers (Telegraph)

8 upvotes · 10 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is all true, though I would question the idea of "equally moral" since it depends upon what brand of ethical philosophy you choose to follow. In my personal opinion, the sense that all consensual sex is fine is more of an issue of liberal political philosophy - the focus on the individual and that everybody should have the right to fuck themselves up and make bad choices as much as they want and it is not the place of others to care until it harms someone else.
>
> What I find most entertaining about modern progressive idiots who spout the usual feminist nonsense is that they happily support liberal ideas when it suits them and their lifestyle (casual sex, getting as drunk as they like, obesity/fat-positivity etc) and yet will go full authoritarian the moment someone uses freedom of speech to point out they're making unintelligent choices (no slut shaming, no rape apologists, no fat shaming etc etc.)
>
> The combination of these diametrically opposing political stances, utilised on the basis of when it suits them has a descriptive name beyond merely hypocritical - the precise combination they choose is an excellent encyclopaedic definition of hedonistic.
>
> While this is possibly the best nuanced view of the bigger picture I've ever seen in a reply to me, I'm not awarding a point on the basis of this alone. A brief browse of your post history shows many excellent comments on TRP with a strong understanding of the tenets and their application. Frankly, I am surprised you have not received this point sooner. ♂

FR - Flying by the seat of my pants

8 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> These are reasonable points. However you are needlessly confrontational by calling me "kiddo."
>
> In my experience butterfaces do tend to have some issues. I assume it's because they've been gifted such a great body and see women with less attractive bodies getting more attention than them, and that they can only seem to get attention by flaunting their bodies.
>
> Thanks for the warning on the sex addiction. However, I think I should be okay. I tend to keep long term plates rather than lots of ONSs.

He's Such a Jerk, But I Love Him

8 upvotes · 28 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You've all seen that moment where a girl throws all her self respect out the window, does anything required of her and spends all her time and money on a guy "because hawt!"
>
> Remember that **all** women can and will act like that the moment a naturally good looking guy offers them the slighest chance with him. Realise how pathetic and shallow and worthless such an individual is. It'll help you keep the right attitude - you are worth way more than she will **ever** be.

[FR] Keep your feelings to yourself, and especially away from women.

8 upvotes · 28 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Get proof of consent. Some guys carry around audio recorders for this very reason. Since this is after the fact try

> getting a text message that says how much of a good time she had that night.

How to have a successful coffee date?

8 upvotes · 11 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

> Talk and game her for 20 mins and enjoy your coffee then take her somewhere else. Escalate if possible but not really essential there. Where you go next is where you need the escalation to be easy. Make sure you come up with something original. Coffee dates are just death for seduction but the easiest to set up.

"In praise of the beta male"

8 upvotes · 10 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> But the hamster has worked up some extreme muscles from running so long to rationalise so much. Meet roid hamster who is capable of maintaining that beta boy only needs sex on his birthday... so long as it's quick and she's not too aware of it.
>
> Then she shall make a mistake one night and get pregnant... she might even tell beta boy all about it as the ultimate nuclear shit test. Yeah, the baby isn't yours... but you love me don't you? Help me raise it. If you do then we'll open the relationship so we can sleep with other people...
>
> except you stay home and look after the baby while I go search for some more alpha genes.

Adopting the best attitude to learn

8 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I appreciate that you are trying to help people but you have to understand it is human nature to defend themselves and shift the blame.
> >
> > NO ONE wants to be blamed for failure. There's an old saying that to succeed in something it requires SKILL, EFFORT, and a bit of LUCK. When people fail they will tend to say they didn't try hard enough or it was just bad luck; or they will blame external sources.
>
> I understand it. It's natural. It's actively useful in the field.
>
> But it can also be controlled. TRP is about taking responsibility. Owning your fuck ups and not shifting the blame even when it is natural to do so. Therefore that defence mechanism has no place on the sub.

Roles, respect and responsibility acceptance as an alpha trait

8 upvotes · 10 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'll be around adding new pieces here and there depending on what interests me. Though I don't think this is where my fiction belongs.
>
> > I did and in return I have greatly improved my writing in the past two years. Who knows maybe your Red Pilling will lead to a book? In any case writing is an important skill and if you have it I urge you to refine it here as it will help you in the end.
>
> I don't really see myself writing a red pill book. My editor would agree that the best way to refine your writing skills is to keep practicing. Unfortunately the modern world of literature is very blue pill, so the independent author route would be the way to go to publish anything red pill. I'd be happy to get involved with the editing of anything though.
>
> Bukowski once said "I don't keep writing because I felt I'm so good, but because I felt they're so bad." That

> seems like reason enough to me to keep writing. If you've got something different to say then say it and see who listens.

Caught gf texting Chad aka ex-BF while I was gone. Looking for experienced TRP advice

8 upvotes · 8 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This shouldn't even be a discussion. Tolerating such behaviour is a cardinal sin of LTR game. Nexting her isn't even a debate topic for an alpha, it's merely an inconvenient formality.

Chad has cancer, replaced with Chadlier chad

8 upvotes · 17 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I raged, I fucking broke my foot kicking the shit out of the faggot who stole my ex and I never felt as bad as I did at that moment.
>
> I believe Christians call this righteous indignation. The rage and anger at the immoral... some, like him, feel guilty for this feeling later. However I think it's natural. As natural as the anger phase is for the pill. As you've done, no anger or despair... we can adapt. However, with that said... I won't condemn a man for feeling the natural emotions just because I've been lucky enough to move beyond that. Men invalidate male emotion all the time these days. I, for one, shall not.
>
> I think an additional lesson to this is to think deeper about the friendships you create and whether people simply associate with you because you're awesome and fit... or because they actually like you as a person for who you are, not what you are.

Going on a date? Plan it. Thoroughly.

8 upvotes · 7 May 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> If you do need to plan like this... **DO NOT** allow her to think you planned it. It **MUST** seem natural like you just naturally go and have fun. It's all in the attitude.

The harrowing tale of the beta about to marry my plate

8 upvotes · 3 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I've had several LTRs, and psycho has been there when I'm not exclusive for easy sex and schadenfreude entertainment value.
>
> I like your style bro. A good blue pill example and is, per se, why no man should ever have to settle like that. But hey, retards be retards. Not our problem.

Remember What You're Here For

8 upvotes · 3 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I get you man. Sometimes I remind myself that I will sooner tear out my own heart than compromise my principles. This is a necessary attitude towards all aspects of life if you are to achieve happiness and lay solid foundations for any relationship of any kind.
>
> Mission and principles first. Friends second. Bitches third. This is the order of things.

The Epidemic of Flaking | Trouble @ RoK - a review and reflection

8 upvotes · 30 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> So true. It's that dreadfully low self-control and lack of responsibility just being generalised across the board to the extreme.

[FR] I don't believe in lesbians. I do believe in damaged women.

8 upvotes · 15 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The logic of it is actually obvious. The debate is there to be had academically and investigated scientifically. It's the blue pill fags who don't want the debate or the investigation in the same way Thorndyke was shouted down for suggesting rape could be an evolutionary response for men of low SMV. Always remember that political correctness has no place here.

My Old Coworker Hung Himself

8 upvotes · 12 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> And the problem with this is that it's not what John would want, because by hurting her, you'd hurt the kids. He clearly valued the kids above all else. A rotten situation overall.

[FR] Learnt in a hard way that TRP is not a vague set of guidelines it is a list of Iron Rules.

8 upvotes · 23 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It always feels dangerous when people begin to look upon TRP in a very black and white way. There are some iron rules... some non-negotiable stuff which is set out by the biology. Once you understand these, then you can adapt and calibrate according to your own personal wants/needs. THAT bit is the way that TRP provides guidelines.
>
> A theological comparison of TRP would be something like: The student sees the bible as a set of laws passed down by God. The Master sees it as a deeper guide to the world for his interpretation. How successful he is in that interpretation is the real test of his mastery.

Has anyone else given up after discovering trp?

7 upvotes · 13 March 2024 · /r/TheRedPill

> I had given up before I found TRP.
>
> What's happened to you is that the entire worldview you have based your entire life upon and your entire approach to life, has been destroyed before your eyes. Suddenly the way things have unfolded has been made clear and the actions you witnessed which previously made no sense, now make sense.
>
> Having spent all of your life living a lie, this naturally triggers grief. Welcome to the denial phase. It's a process and you'll come out the other side stronger for it. Do what you believe is right, keep learning and searching and you'll eventually get to a point where you accept reality for what it is and find your own place in it. Best of luck.

The new 007 Bond is a female

7 upvotes · 2 June 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Nobody cares. Bond has been shit ever since Daniel Craig took over. Making Moneypenny a field agent who also happens to be black was the obvious first step in this piss poor direction of "SJWism ahead of quality entertainment."
>
> Go read a good book or watch a classic film. Experience some real culture so your palette doesn't get too tainted by this modern crap.

am i being dramatic or should i withdraw attention from a girl ive been casually vetting

7 upvotes · 28 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Go for it. Just be strict with yourself. Don't let one romp turn into two or three or no-end-in-sight because then your feels are in the driving seat and you're in trouble.
>
> Ultimately remember that vetting isn't about finding out a girl is ideal. It's about getting rid of girls with red flags. That's why you give them time to get comfy, not so they'll suddenly do the right thing (they should be doing the right thing all the time anyway, it shouldn't require time for that to come out), but to give them time to get comfortable and let down their guard and show their true selves. If they're putting on an act of being LTR material then YOU NEED TO KNOW IT WAS AN ACT. That is what vetting does.
>
> There are no unicorns, you're just looking for a particularly white horse that you'll be able to hammer a horn into the head of. Don't accept a grey one that looks white-ish in a certain light from a certain angle. Down that way heartbreak and pain lies.

How do people fuck the same person their whole lives?

7 upvotes · 16 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Because sex is not their primary mission in life.

LTR going to bars with her friends

7 upvotes · 11 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> > I trust the girl and know its only my turn anyway.
>
> This is not how LTRs work. That advice is for plates.
>
> > I established from the start of exclusivity that going out for the purpose of getting drunk/going to clubs is a dealbreaker for me.
> >
> > Any thoughts on how to approach this and curb the habit without losing frame?
>
> You can't. You do not have frame, she has it. If she has done this after you said "do not do this" then she has openly defied you and the relationship is over. Or you can enjoy your life as a cuck while the relationship slowly deteriorates.
>
> The fact ignored the red flag shows you're either not vetting or you're just ignoring the vetting rules that don't suit you - i.e. you're following your dick around.
>
> Go spin plates. You're not ready for an LTR if you're doing shit this dumb.

I lack understanding about RP in a "healthy way" these are my doubts. I am looking forward to your advice, thank you.

7 upvotes · 24 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> The red pill is essentially the idea that women are human beings and come with all the faults and problems that are human. Some of which are more prevalent in women because our biology has programmed us differently with different goals and ways of thinking about achieving those goals. The blue pill tries to minimise, defend or dismiss faults for women while not extending men the same courtesy. We just try to look at things raw, except looking to help men. So we advocate men fix flaws. We don't care if women do or not because we're not trying to help women and we're not ashamed of that.

UK - Girl flaked on date because she was 'too nervous' - Low interest or could she be telling the truth?

7 upvotes · 4 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Nah, no need for a facetime or whatever. That would be completely wandering into her frame. Her feelings are her problem.
>
> You could message something like "That's unfortunate. Nothing I can really do about that one. Maybe you've had it before with other guys. We all have to face feeling nervous sometimes and either push past it or miss out. Happened every job interview I've done. I had a great walk though! Drop me a line if you wanna reschedule sometime." And then soft next until she shows interest in rescheduling.
>
> This is all off the top of my head. Basically gives a hint of comfort while remaining outcome independent. Plus a little food for the hamster's worry of missing out. Obviously if she flakes again or messes you around then it's a hard next.
>
> Broadly speaking the more you talk, the more you do anything for her without even having seen her in person before, the weaker your position becomes. At what point does it constitute being an emotional tampon? I don't know. Generally less faffing around is better. If you are genuinely concerned she's intimidated/scared for her safety... just make sure it's a public place for the walk that's not going to be completely deserted. You don't seem dumb enough to have suggested "let's go for a walk in these secluded woods. I've got some zip ties and this axe I've been keen to test by cutting down a tree. You're perfectly safe."

Why do all women hate their ex'es?

7 upvotes · 16 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Look up the "light switch effect" on the main sub.
>
> The reasoning for this is basically tied up in a woman's need to delude herself in order to maintain her optimal sexual strategy. In order to achieve the highest value partner she can get, she must apply to herself the highest value she possibly can.
>
> Now try to reconcile this with how she views a man she is breaking up with. He is not high enough value and it has become very clear that he is low value. However, if he is low value, how did he end up having sex with her if she is high value? Is she low value too? No, of course not. He misrepresented his value. He tricked her. He is dishonest and even more low value that we thought because his social skills are so bad he can't even accurately reflect his own low value. She has now wasted her valuable time on a low value man and she has limited time (fertility time. Roughly 15 years peak fertility time and then roughly another 10 of waning fertility.)
>
> If she resolves this by accepting she is lower value, she will then accept lower value mates. If she deludes herself that she's higher value, she can potentially secure a higher value mate than she would otherwise and have healthier/more attractive/better supported offspring. It's all tied up in how SMV is perceived and why it is therefore flexible.

A friend doesn’t want to hang because I went out with a girl in his friend group?

7 upvotes · 11 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Betas gonna beta.

Got an STD probably from main plate. How to proceed?

7 upvotes · 7 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Men are not plates. Male and female sexual strategy is different. Men and women are not interchangeable. A man spinning plates is not the equivalent to a woman fucking multiple men. Plates are called such because they're kept spinning with attention and eventually break if they don't get what they want. Men fuck attractive women because the fucking is available. They don't suddenly break because they didn't get an LTR.
>
> Men and women are playing two different sexual games, by two different sets of rules, with separates sets of

> strengths and weaknesses. They cannot be compared on an equal basis because they are not. Use the language properly or you dilute the message and add noise.

How do you admit your girlfriend is right and apologize without losing frame?

7 upvotes · 17 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> First, I am not here to sell TRP to you. That is your choice. Your choices are not my responsibility. Grow some balls and own your own shit.
>
> Second, you should feel like shit. Written in the description of this sub:
>
> > Welcome To AskTRP
> >
> > Here we have Red Pill Discussion for personalized questions about specific situations, people, scenarios.
> >
> > **You are REQUIRED to read these before posting. Ignorance of the rules is not an excuse.**
>
> You have not held up your end of the bargain required to participate in this sub. We lose ECs all the time because they are sick and tired of dealing with questions from lazy people who can't be arsed to do their basic reading. **You are the reason we lose quality people who provide the important advice that you seek.**
>
> Look at yourself and your behaviour. You're whining that it puts you off having to do some reading rather than having everything handed to you. Guess what kiddo? As a boy or man, you will never be handed things in life. That happens to women, not men. You will have to get off your ass and go work for them and if a few harsh words are enough to put you off... oh boy are you in trouble for the bigger tests of character! Life doesn't care about your feelings of how things are put to you. It will continue to behave in the same way. (Incidentally, this is why the blue pill methods don't work.)
>
> We are open about this and have zero time for little boys who want to whine at us when we tell them to take responsibility and go do the work. Nobody here demands you be Red Pilled. We demand that if you wish to benefit from the knowledge and thinking we've put together over years of discussions, that you put in effort and that effort is **very** clearly marked on the sidebar of both TRP and AskTRP. If you're a well experienced lad who needs some more nuanced explanations, we'll give you that. If you're an entitled lazy bones who needs a kick up the arse, you'll get that too. Ultimately this ends up back at my first point. I'm not here to recruit you. If we think you need a kick up the arse and you can't take it, that's on you, not us.
>
> Go do whatever you want. If you want to continue here, go read the sidebar and seek out more info until you really grasp the concepts.

Question for you guys in LTRs, how far do you take it when flirting with other women?

7 upvotes · 16 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> For any guys out there in LTRs: Keep it light and only flirt in subtle ways. You're not looking to start an argument, just keep the hamster spinning in the back of her mind. Simply being around attractive women can produce dread if you're not failing any shit tests. Anything obvious or on the nose is disrespectful to the relationship itself, which (given you've started an LTR rather than spinning plates), you should respect.
>
> Most LTR game is about managing relationship dynamics to ensure the attraction remains in the relationship, the emotions are managed well and your chosen partner remains sexually attracted to, happy and contributing her part to the relationship. Naturally you should be getting your equivalent out of the relationship and if that's not working for you then you need to reevaluate what's gone wrong, whether an LTR is right for you and whether this particular LTR is a good fit for you. You have taken on the role and responsibilities of the Captain, you don't do this without understanding and appreciating why.

Fucked 21 girls since last LTR. Still obsessed about ex. Help.

7 upvotes · 12 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Why is a woman the centre of your world? What does this say about you?
>
> You're being led by your emotions and you're coming to us to go "hey guys, help me. My emotions are overwhelming me and controlling my entire life at the moment. How do I stop this?" Since emotions are irrational, you're basically asking us how do you stop being irrational. The reality is there is only one way: get a hold of yourself. Control your emotions. Think and behave rationally and dismiss the irrational.
>
> This advice will not be particularly helpful since you are currently a slave to the irrational and it's exclusively down to your own willpower to solve this. However helpful advice is usually reasonable, but you cannot reason yourself out of a position you never reasoned yourself into. You are not where you are because you were reasonable or thinking clearly. You just became emotionally incontinent and there are no accident pants for this. Instead you just piss all over your life the longer you let this carry on.
>
> The reason the plates aren't working is because you're demanding more than women can provide. You bonded with this LTR, and while men bond more slowly, they bond more deeply. You are now breaking this bond and it will take time and effort. The effort should be in finding a purpose in your life. Women are not a purpose. u/illimitableman wrote some excellent stuff on "The Mission" a while back. He also did some stuff on seeking happiness too which is quite thought provoking.
>
> In short: Get a hold of your emotions. Think about what you enjoy in life and dedicate more time to it. Challenge yourself - find things you enjoy and that challenge your intellect and body. Lift. Spend time with your bros. Avoid alcohol for the time being.
>
> A quick flick through your post history says you don't think you can internalise TRP. You seem deep into bargaining to me... maybe this is your depression phase. You built your entire psychological life around women and now you've discovered that the sex can range from great to poor and the emotional comfort provided basically swings on her irrational emotions... it's a bit of a rubbish situation to be in. You're now staring down the barrel of rebuilding that life view with women in a significantly reduced role.
>
> If you went to therapy or somewhere like 2x then they'll call this misogyny. The reality is it's just a rehash of the old adage that "you cannot base your happiness on people, they will inevitably let you down due to their flawed nature." Recognising women as flawed and limited does not make you a misogynist. It makes you a human who is accepting the reality of humanity. Best of luck to you. I hope you find the inner strength you need.

How to escalate in a group setting.

7 upvotes · 22 August 2020 · /r/askTRP

> You can't escalate in group settings. You'll just hit some ASD, waste your time and potentially blow your chances because it can look like you have poor social skills. Isolate, then escalate. Until then, keep it light.

Matched (on tinder) 18 yo younger sister of guy I went to high school with

7 upvotes · 29 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

> How is this even a question? You should have already sorted logistics by now.

Female jealousy or are some girls just retarded ?

7 upvotes · 28 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

> You noticed a chick was crazy and then tried to escalate with her.... enjoy your eventual jail time.

Girl starts calling me babe and shit like that

7 upvotes · 13 January 2020 · /r/askTRP

> So you're sorta escalating with a woman you have no interest in... odd. It's easy enough to shut it down if that's what you want to do. Tell her not to call you that and her behaviour is creepy and that it makes you really uncomfortable. That'll pour cold water on things, though remember - many women don't take rejection well. Be prepared to leave if you need to.
>
> Also, for future reference, making fun of a girl is flirting with her. Avoid with women you don't want to create sexual tension with.

How to cope with having nothing to do all summer?

7 upvotes · 8 May 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Carpentry skills. Metalworking? Car mechanic (focus on simple stuff there, just little things you can do at home without needing a lift). House renovations? There's all sorts of useful things you can learn. Just think what might be useful to you and then cross ref that with what interests you. Won't take you long to put together a plan to learn it.

Plate just threatened to kill me and herself..

7 upvotes · 26 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> What was that about not sticking your dick in crazy?

Sokal 2.0 - The open secret of feminist philosophy

7 upvotes · 4 October 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> The mod team has always been behind a morally ambivalent position. It's the only way to avoid moralising from hijacking the discussion. The price of this is that we must allow those who want to "enjoy the decline" to do so as they see fit. It is not my place to decide upon every man's lifestyle choice. I, and a number of others, have been openly opposed to the enjoy the decline attitude because we find it too nihilistic. The nihilistic element I find to be deeply non-masculine. Even if there is nothing, we are men, we invent and we build, so even if there was no meaning, we would then create that meaning instead.
>
> Note that I am also strongly opposed to JBP philosophy because he encourages creation of more followers rather than leaders.

When A Girl's Beta BF Initiates Break Up - Thoughts?

7 upvotes · 28 September 2018 · /r/askTRP

> lol he still believes what girls say to him when it's patently obvious they're lying. That's so cute. She is quite obviously playing with you and watching how you react to her stories/actively attempting to manipulate you in some way.

Women ARE capable of taking accountability for failed relationships

7 upvotes · 2 August 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > men can’t handle a woman’s truth.
>
> The arrogance of this statement will boil the blood of any guys in the anger stage. By the time you reach acceptance you'll either be laughing at that statement or going "don't be so fucking stupid."
>
> This entire piece is a woman openly admitting she cannot be the bigger person and can't learn that lesson. There's a reason they need to be the followers. Such people are unworthy of being leaders.

Girl says she's scared to look dumb, don't know what to reply?

7 upvotes · 31 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> "Don't worry. I got this."
>
> Though personally I wouldn't advise training with chicks. They're not interested in the process, they just want the end product.

Branch jumping, it happens fast.

7 upvotes · 18 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Women are far less subtle than they think they are. I recall a time... (shortly after I'd made it far enough down my journey to have a woman approach me for the first time)... that I went for a night out with my friend and his girlfriend came along too. I didn't pay it any mind, we had fun, chatted. Then the moment came that he popped to the gents and she was left alone with me. Immediately she begins questioning me about whether I'm single, what I'm thinking of doing with my life relationship-wise, what my schedule is looking like next week.
>
> Hell she was about one question away from asking for my phone number (just so she can also contact me for practical reasons obviously) if I hadn't already decided I didn't want the hassle. Once you speak womanese, it's almost shameful the way they act.

LTR: The Bare Minimum You Need to Know

7 upvotes · 10 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Because no matter what your end goal is as far as female relations (spinning plates or having an LTR), giving women orders is a necessary precondition to achieving any of those.
>
> My precise thought a little over an hour ago. My entire commanding 101 game piece was based around this reality. I'm very surprised you never weighed in on that piece given your position.

Male Feminists (and then some)

7 upvotes · 20 February 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Douglas Murray suggests in his book The Strange Death of Europe, that we in the west have lost our sense of drive, of being ever since we became atheists. Religion previously provided some drive and meaning to life. If the suggestion is that we look for that meaning in a form of religion then it is no wonder the Social Justice Religion has taken over. If memory serves, u/IllimitableMan wrote thoughts around the importance of religion for cohesive society and sexual strategy in previous centuries. It is certainly an interesting point for exploration... not sure if there's much more to take away from it though.

The Slaughter Of The German Girls

7 upvotes · 15 January 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > This is a save place, wherever you go.
>
> Except the train station on new years eve? Follow my logic for a second: the media covered that one up. Except it was just so big that about a week or so later it all came out. How can you know such a large area such as a city is safe, given that the news which informs you of the bad things happening will withhold the information of any bad things that happen?
>
> Secondly, to your own personal experience, I assume you're a pretty financially successful guy. This would suggest you don't live in the shithole areas. Do you go to the shittier areas of the city? Essentially this is something we note to the J. K. Rowlings of the world who are massively pro refugee help but they live in gated

> communities and mansions. They live in the safe areas. If the press does not report on any "no-go" zones, then for these people who never go near any of those no-go zones, they effectively don't exist. I understand this is basically the situation in Sweden. In essence, all you can be sure of is where you personally go and even then you can't be absolutely certain it's 100% safe.
>
> This would make sense to me because of what has been happening in Britain. We have had problems with authorities covering up muslim rape gang activity and both the BBC and local police force hiding information about one of the first families of refugees to move to the UK because they began raping underage girls in a city park. I could walk through that park and be blissfully unaware of what had happened if I didn't sift the news keenly enough.
>
> Also, final question, is the AFD really a "far right" group? It gets mentioned all the time but I understand Nigel Farage has been working with them, which suggests they couldn't be far right. However I'm interested in the perspective from on the ground. We don't get reliable reporting about what's really going on in Germany. Care to weigh in?

My female housemate and her Sugar Daddies

7 upvotes · 10 November 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> I thought TRP had settled the nuance of the morality issue? Now I find a senior endorsed I respected, confusing the amorality of the game and personal morality. There is nothing more feminine than this man. Half the reason some men end up on TRP is the level of mental gymnastics women perform to behave in ways that damage those around them. I sense you've been staring into the abyss too long and lost your perspective.

BP father realizes college-aged daughter has slept with over 13 guys. Last straw before kicking her out? Finds her naked in bed with two other dudes.

7 upvotes · 20 May 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> The OP in that thread is actually being rather feminine. He is looking to the feelings of the internet to make himself feel better about his actions. This is normally a feminine approach to problem solving.
>
> A man would use logic, make his case and once convinced of it, (and convincing anybody else/other stakeholders as needed) he'd then enact it. Confident that he was doing the right thing.
>
> The real confession here is "I have failed as a father. My daughter has no respect for me."

The Tale of Creepy Nick

7 upvotes · 24 April 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is blue pill example, not a field report. It's not even a particularly useful example. Lurk more.

Field Report - Worst Daygame Lay Ever

7 upvotes · 7 February 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah, mix things up. Prep your reasoning, your plan and execute it. If that means the girl falls by the wayside then so be it. She was always just tagging along anyway. There's always more chicks. The secret to the commanding of leadership is you need to work from the assumption that they want to follow your lead. If you're not even certain of your own leadership then she might pick up on it (as I've said many times before, women are better at reading us than we are at reading them... so we shouldn't bother trying to beat them at that and we don't need to anyway.)
>
> Excellent Field Report.

Why you HAVE to be a social butterfly

7 upvotes · 7 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> I am perfectly "chilled." My point rests upon common sense and is well explained.

Why you HAVE to be a social butterfly

7 upvotes · 7 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> No, it is not too harsh. I am sick and tired of seeing these guys on TRP that everything is an essential and if you're not a god at X, Y or Z then you won't get laid. Not only is it unrealistic but it demonstrates they don't actually understand the theory if they do really believe that and they're willing to go so far as to say "super ripped, handsome guys... yeah chicks think they're losers unless you do what I say."
>
> It doesn't take much to know where the theory rests. Even an idiot should be able to figure it out. I am not being harsh since he has declared something beyond all levels of stupid I've seen on here.

Girl asked if I was a narcissist for: giving her sarcastic answers, calling her petty, being a jerk to her, showing abundance mentality, not taking her seriously,& walking away from her during the middle of the conversation because I wanted to talk to other people and she was annoying me.Critiques?

7 upvotes · 29 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> It's a shit test you dummy. Try mixing up your responses to shit tests... it sounds like you always go with A&A or sarcasm. Since you're using the same thing, she's probably shit testing you harder because you don't feel genuine to her.
>
> "You're projecting again." is the correct response to her. It's a dismissive pressure flip. Try mixing some of those in. Force her to start justifying her existence to you. She damned well should if she's boring.

Thoughts on the Art of Manliness 14 ways to affair proof your marriage?

7 upvotes · 26 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> My first thought... why on earth would I need to be taught how not to have an affair? I've liked some of this guy's stuff... but seriously this is some next-level faggotry. I'm taking one of his man cards away for this article.

2 female friends whom I thought were 'good girls' now might have genital herpes from going raw with same guy.

7 upvotes · 24 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I'm not pretending the marketplace is demonising women, I just think some of the rhetoric here demonises them for playing the game shitty, or playing at all.
>
> If calling a cunt a cunt for being a cunt is "demonising" well... I'mma demonise them. Reality is we're called misogynists because we dare to hold women to a standard that they don't want to be held to... i.e. The Red Pill is acknowledging that women are human beings too and it's not just men that must do things and be better.
>
> > So, unless the guy here is looking for an LTR. The women we sleep with have lost the "game" because we were able to obtain sex without providing a relationship?
> >
> > Do you think it is when a woman, who you find attractive is unwilling to give sex without commitment, is when you become interested in an LTR?
>
> Yes and no to all of this. It's a bit more complicated. A woman can have sex with a man without commitment and still end up gaining his commitment (this is why we can spin them as plates) and it's usually this place where they try extra hard. Having sex first puts the man firmly in the driving seat which is good for the long term health of

> the relationship. On the other hand, the women over on Red Pill Women will advise their ladies not to have sex unless the man has agreed to exclusivity with her (i.e. starting a serious relationship.) This is because high partner count is not only unattractive but it is correlated with mental health problems among women. So it is a bad idea for a woman to build up a high notch count and demanding commitment first prevents this.
>
> However then again she's just made a man submit for her pussy... will he be alpha enough after that to maintain her attraction? See what I mean about it being complicated.
>
> For me, choosing an LTR is far more complicated than just her willingness to have sex. There are some solid LTR series on here and you'd want to look up "unicorn hunter checklist" and "green flags" as a prerequisite for figuring out how to land a solid LTR. As a woman's notch count increases, so she will lose much of what makes her personality agreeable since she'll be thinking she needs to change in order to land one of these alphas who pumped and dumped her. In her solipsistic state, she'll adopt more and more masculine behaviours because that's what she finds attractive.
>
> I believe you'll find links in part 3 of my TRP Field Toolkit series to the appropriate green flag topics.

Observation: The Most Damaged Girls are the 6s and 7s

7 upvotes · 23 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Not really. 6s and 7s merely have a much higher chance of being alpha widowed. That's about the end of the direct relationship between attractiveness and how broken a woman will be. The rest is all indirect and more complex.

Counterfeit Red Pills

7 upvotes · 7 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > the expansion pack.
>
> What on earth are you drivelling about?

Advice Sought

7 upvotes · 27 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Normally I would report this post and move on with my day. It's only because you've got kids that depend on you that I'm bothering to reply. This is because I don't believe that children should have to suffer from the failures of their parents.
>
> Number one:
>
> > Maybe I should just opt out of all of it by shooting myself.
>
> If you truly love your kids, why is this even an option for you? Think about that. Not only the increased mental problems that kids without a father and strong male role model have... but the suicide of their father will cause **massive** psychological and emotional damage to them. When you put the gun to your head, you're actually putting the gun to their heads too.
>
> There's documented evidence that humans can gain extraordinary strength above their normal limits when their offspring are in danger. However what you require is emotional strength. I have little doubt, given the sacrifices I've seen parents make for their children, that seeing the danger to your children (posed from your waning emotional strength) laid out plainly before you... you'll redouble your efforts.
>
> That will read as a combination of platitudes and reasoned thinking to you. That's because there is no straightforward solution to emotional pain. Emotions just are and for the emotions we feel, nobody else can fight

> those battles for us or with us. We can lend moral support and remind you of the important things, but at the end of the day it's all down to you.
>
> Those are the first major things you need to internalise in order to prevent you slipping any further.
>
> After this, you're going to have to accept AWALT. All women have the capacity to behave as your ex-wife has. The story is frequently seen around here. Normally this triggers anger rather than depression, but I think it's because you've still got one piece of blue-pill programming working in your mind. Would it be unreasonable to say that thus-far, up until the whole divorce etc, your life has been lived for your wife and kids? Purpose tends to have a huge effect upon our mood and her little text has reminded you of your old purpose. Perhaps it's reminded you that you no longer feel like you have a purpose.
>
> Women are born with purpose. Have some kids with a high value man. That's it. Men on the other hand, we must create purpose for ourselves. So far you have done the basic things to set up a better quality of life. Lifting is good, seeing a variety of women is good, continuing to be involved with raising your kids is good. However what are you doing for yourself? What's the big challenge that only weezy_adams knows? What is it in your life that is providing that extra value and meaning?
>
> There is a sidebar piece on Goals and how to attain them. That is your first piece of reading. If you had read the entire sidebar then you'd know you shouldn't be posting advice questions here... they belong on AskTRP.
>
> Next, further reading from Illimitableman's excellent blog. A piece on divergent missions will flesh out my point. A second piece on happiness will also be of value to you at the moment.
>
> Other pieces of advice here are worth your while reading. It might also be worth looking to see if there are any men here with direct experience of what you are going through who might be willing to mentor you a little. I'm not certain, since I can't speak for him, but Vengefully_Yours the senior endorsed guy might be worth hitting up.
>
> There's plenty of advice, styles of approach to dealing with what you're going through. You're not alone in dealing with this. The entire community has their fingers crossed for guys like you. We know you can beat this. We've seen other guys do it and there is no reason you can't too.
>
> Also, as a final sidenote, a 40-something woman does not get to live the life of a 20-something. That's just the typical woman pretending her life is amazing to project social value. They all do it. Most of them are sat at home alone the majority of the time. This party lifestyle you think she has, don't kid yourself with it... you'd just be buying back into the bluepill nonsense.
>
> Best of luck to you.

Opinions please

7 upvotes · 5 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You're suffering scarcity mentality over a low-value druggie chick and you've come here to whine like a little girl about how you need "balance." Truth remains truth whether you like it or not. It doesn't care about your feelings or need for balance.
>
> Seriously, fuck off. Come back when you've found your balls and some basic self-respect that makes you think "i'm not going accept treatment like this from some druggie bitch." I can't tell you to want change, you need to want it before anybody can actually help you. From what I'm reading, you want validation, not change. You ain't getting that here.

A not so gentle red pill truth: Status, SMV, and why the way others see you matters a lot.

7 upvotes · 2 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yawn. "Guys who don't play the game my way are all neckbeard losers who stay in on the weekend playing WoW." That's essentially how you've set up your piece.

> Please come back when you've got something a bit more useful to contribute. The black and white thinking you've adopted will only hold you back. The irony of your argument stance is that you end up placing so much power in the hands of others that you're no longer able to be a unique self. So at least you've contributed something for me to chuckle at.

The culture of this subreddit is almost completely beta now.

7 upvotes · 29 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> succeeding with women isn't worth it.

Depends on the woman. Depends on the effort required. Deciding to walk away (that big card at the bottom of our deck that creates the very foundations of dread) is based upon being able to make a call on a situation that says "the sex here with this woman just isn't worth it."

Essentially the sub ebbs and flows. It floats from one extreme to the other when the answer is somewhere in the middle. It was only about a month ago I was tempering guys who had sex at the top of their list of life goals and achievements. They had it top of their list of needs as a basic necessity in their life. Obviously that's not the way any more than being an incel or abstinence is the way forward unless you're looking to become a buddhist monk. Now all of a sudden we've got the opposite problem. However they both come from the same thing... most of the guys on here can't grasp nuance. They can't reconcile complex, conflicting ideas. So they pick a side and let their emotions take over.

My buddy /u/CopperFox3c summed it up well:

> It is a fine line between pursuit and pedestalization. The former is the result of achievement and the desire to achieve, while the latter is typically the consequence of the failure to achieve.
>
> Not enough people understand that subtle nuance of difference between the two ... and they often get themselves into negative situations because of it. Or accept things or behavior that would otherwise be unacceptable.

Can't we just be friends? No. (From blue to red)

7 upvotes · 22 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> (she 100% couldn't compute my response)

No, she can compute the response. She's just lost a potential orbiter and free-favour-doer and she's upset about it. So she'll try all sorts of mental gymnastics to try and save the situation. After that she may get upset or angry about it and lash out. Let's hope you're not the one she lashes out at.

Oh, by the by, prep yourself for a sexual harassment claim. If you're lucky it might not happen... but the funny thing is, by the loose definition of sexual harassment - i.e. to show any sexual interest in a woman and for her to decide she doesn't like it - you are 100% guilty. Fingers crossed she doesn't decide to punish you for not being a good little beta... (women have been known to do that.) This is why we advocate not to shit where you eat. Not because it can never work out or anything like that... it's simply that the playing field is unfair and you risk everything when you play that game.

The Sexodus in action: millennials are having less sex.

7 upvotes · 4 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> What a nice beta revenge fantasy

I think you need to practice your reading comprehension. Statements around everybody losing isn't a revenge fantasy. The typical beta revenge fantasy is focused around spite of the girl in her 20s with high SMV who is fucking around, trying to convince yourself she'll be ugly and alone once she hits the wall. I don't believe I should have to go through how this is untrue and doesn't typically come to pass (though it is very funny when it does.)

What he appears to have done here is to detach himself from the topic and then try to follow the logic according to where it goes, citing red pill theory where needed. Essentially his conclusion is that when you make men not bother trying to become top men (while women will want the top man of all time, they'll still settle... but it's not quite settling. It's more reaching as high as they possibly can - hence why the alpha widow phenomenon exists) and combine this with allowing women's competition style to become the norm (i.e. the race to the bottom caused by dragging others down instead of building yourself up) then you end up with more and more people becoming unfuckable on both sides. The only difference being that there'll still be more fuckable women because women's SMV is derived from their genes rather than their attitude. Their attitude only impacts their RMV and/or value for being maintained as a plate.

Thus, because guys tend to approach things in a very cost-benefit analysis way (like the way more men are avoiding marriage altogether without joining the culty side of MGTOW) many are starting to simply walk away from dating altogether. One of my friends in his 20s hasn't been laid in a year or two... and he's definitely attractive enough to get laid. That's why MGTOW think they're having a serious impact. It's not just MGTOWs walking away from the market. It's normal, reasonably attractive men, who have good jobs and are in good shape.

Oh and don't use the phrase "alpha blood" - it sounds way too deterministic and feminine. Chicks are the ones who naturally have high SMV. Most high SMV did not naturally get that on their genetics alone.

My Ladies First story and other eye opening every day interactions

7 upvotes · 22 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> 2 walks up and says to 3: Hi! I was just wondering if I could convince this man to let me get in front of him in line. I say to 2 I only have 2 small items and your basket is half full, I dont think so. Cashier (3) looks at me and says havn't you ever heard of Ladies First? I say "Yes I have but I could never come up with a good reason for it". They all 3 stood without an answer and looked at me with as if I had just short circuited there entire matrix of reality, a look of dissociation or cognitive dissonance. I felt the tension and reveled in it to see what response might come, none did. I could almost see sparks coming from their tiny little brains as synapses were overloaded.

This was solid. They started stupid shit.

> Right then a new register opened and I took it before #2 could and checked out with my items before the first woman could even check out. I turned with a big smile to all 3 of them, held up my items and said "How is that for men first?" and walked out the door. A small victory no doubt but I notice how inconsiderate people are in the grocery store, in public in general, who blocks the aisles with big butts sticking out and carts in the middle of the aisle and is amazing just how entitled women feel in public interactions.

This was stupid. You dropped to their level. If you are really a man, then you are better than the average woman and don't need to sink to her childish behaviour. Keep that in mind as the lesson here. You will continue to encounter childish, entitled women in your life. Don't respond as another child. Respond as the mature father. Your amused mastery in the first instance was good, but there's no way you could describe your actions after that as amused mastery. I suggest re-reading Rollo's piece on this on Rational Male to really make it concrete in your mind.

[X-post] OP's reads fiances diary and realised she was Alpha-widowed by her coke-head EX

7 upvotes · 12 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Dont date alpha-widowed women (unless your top-tier alpha I guess?)

This raises a point which I've kept in the back of my head for LTR searches. You've got to be the apex-alpha for any woman you want an LTR with if you want to make things as smooth as possible.

Around the World in 80 Lays: Ugly post-wall feminist goes on sex romp having lots of sex around the world to get over a breakup.

7 upvotes · 4 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> is a pretty solid sexual strategy for her.

Except for how it breaks her ability to pair bond, guaranteeing that she'll never obtain long term happiness in her life lol.

"Women Are Now Cheating As Much As Men, But With Fewer Consequences"

7 upvotes · 27 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Demand DNA tests for any children "you" may father.

If you're planning to have a child, agree this in advance. Just cite the fact that in the 90s a survey was done and about 1/3rd of men were unknowingly raising a child that wasn't their own. You will not be one of those men so agreeing to paternity test any kids you have together is an automatic dealbreaker if she refuses. It also means at the time if she refuses, then you know for certain that something is up and you can start preparing for the split.

Modern Feminism: still necessary?

7 upvotes · 17 May 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> It is individual if you look at individual cases, but if 80% of employers do this, the discrimination becomes systemic (ie in the system).
>
> This describes systemic discrimination as a pattern, so that's what I meant. When enough individuals do something, it becomes a pattern.
>
> But it is. Gender discrimination literally means "treating someone differently because that person is a certain gender." So if an employer offers all women half the salary he offers all men, without looking at individual merit, that is literally the definition of discrimination.

Correlation does not equal causation. You can never prove conclusively it is discrimination because of other factors in business and economics. The entire discussion is pointless because you can't objectively win it.

> all-women shortlists
>
> women-only positions
>
> Not really discrimination

We're done here. You're either retarded. Sexist. Or both. Whatever the answer is, I no longer care.

> If that group of people is about 50% gender divided, it makes sense to have your board in the same divide.

Yeah... definitely retarded.

Canadian Prime Minister Teaches Men How To Be Better Feminists

7 upvotes · 6 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> That's just bad game advise.

I've said for a while that high SMV betas will still get laid simply because their SMV is so high. Trudeau has never needed game or anything else. He's a rich kid, pretti-boi with significant social status afforded to him by his family name. That was before he even went into politics himself (possibly something his wife pushed him to do?) That's not to say she'd never fuck McInnes if she got the chance.

It's not remotely surprising that bad game gets spread so much. The naturally successful don't have a clue what they're doing that makes them so successful. (In fact I've read a couple of times that naturally good looking men have tried an online dating account with an average looking guy's pic they thought looked fine and should get similar interest. These guys lost a little of themselves when they realised that they weren't the man they thought they were, they were just pretty and had the halo effect in play.) And who do we go to for advice on how to be successful? Those naturally successful guys who don't really know what they're doing. The current situation all makes sense when you add it all up.

Plate trying to pull a fast one?

7 upvotes · 13 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

Command respect is the only response to mind games.

Essentially she's manipulating you with a nuclear comfort test. "You're not committing to me, so I'm going to walk away now and it's your fault." This has come about because you must have failed some comfort tests along the way. You can fix this by instructing her on how to request comfort. There's no guarantees here (she might be smashing because she's found a higher SMV man.)

Tell her how reality causes the shit that's happened in terms of missed connections when you were planning to hang out. Instruct her that acting like a child who throws her toys out of the pram if she's not getting what she wants (despite not asking for it) will not get her what she wants and you're now more suspicious of taking things any further given the guilt trip she's putting you on.

Tell her you've been straight up with her the whole way and you're annoyed that she would dare to imply that you have been anything but straight with her. If she wants something or is concerned by something, she should be straight with you and you're not going to tolerate the silly insecure behaviour of a little girl.

Essentially it's heavy-handed straight talk, telling her explicitly how you expect her to behave - she either falls in line with this or she will be dropped. YMMV depending on how comfortable you are holding the frame of the interaction and rejecting her entire basis for displeasure (and subsequently placing all the blame for her failure at her door. - This is essentially the subtext of such an interaction. She's gone about things the wrong way, she submits and then changes or she loses you.)

Have this conversation soon... her hamster is in full ego-damage-control mode. This is pure proof of that:

> I asked her what that was about and she said she's tired of being friends only when it's convenient for me.
>
> I responded "because have you ever asked me to hangout?" Because she never has.

> She said "I understand that but I just feel like things have been different and plans we've made never worked out and I never wanted to bother you bc I know you do have a lot going on."

This is the manipulative bit:

> "Honestly I didn't think you cared.

She's trying to manipulate you into giving her comfort and/or commitment, but if it succeeds then you'll have submitted to her. Women don't realise these things are lose-lose for them. Interesting situation to analyse, thanks for this.

I couldn't keep a promise, not sure if I should apologize or keep frame

7 upvotes · 12 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Validating drama from a woman you submitted to.... let me know how that works out for you.

[FR] NOBODY can negotiate their attraction.

7 upvotes · 9 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Typically when this comes up for me with a plate, I encourage her to be open and honest about her sexual past. I don't tell her it's unattractive because I don't want her lying to some poor beta schmuck and tying him down without him knowing what he's getting into. If beta bob wants to fuck up his life by paying full price for used goods, then that's his problem, not mine.
>
> But I do recommend doing your little bit to help out your fellow man. If a woman's actually in a relationship and she didn't tell you until after you slept with her, then leave a note for the guy. Message him from her phone if you can. Leave a note in his shoe or taped under the toilet seat. If a woman has a slutty past, encourage her to be open about it so she'll tell future men and they're got some proper disclosure to make an informed decision about.

Treating women badly -- because they deserve it

7 upvotes · 30 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Everybody here is groaning inwardly at this comment.

"My husband [33M] is depressed and I'm [33F] fucking over it"

7 upvotes · 26 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yes she's a woman and when women write, they phrase things in a way that makes your brain feel mildly cancerous. But really, let's stop lynching her, she's really not being ridiculous at all.

> You appear to have forgotten that men and women are different and serve different purposes in a relationship. Your entire piece is based upon the assumption that men and women should have their situation interpreted equally. You can't reach your conclusion without that as shown by your comparison of how we'd react if it was a man coming to us for advice.
>
> Everybody repeat after me: Men and women are not the same. Men and women are not equal. Comparing men and women is **absolutely NOT** Red Pill.
>
> I'm aware that he's a crap Captain. That's something for men to deal with among men. This does not excuse her being a shitty First Mate. (Which is the entire point of OP's post. Unrestrained hypergamy makes shitty First Mates.) The first rule for a woman becoming a First Mate is that mutiny is not an option. End of discussion.
>
> Your post reminds me of this Illimitableman classic. I would like everybody here to re-read this.

Smart people- what to watch out for, and how to protect yourself from them.

7 upvotes · 3 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is all that needs to be said on the topic. This entire piece is based upon fear of losing competition - that is a bad place to be psychologically.

Feminist writes article about cock carousel filled with red pill truths

7 upvotes · 16 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > she's still pretty) she's had her pick of guys whenever she wants one.
>
> I'll have a pint of what he's drinking!

Guy orbits his 'soulmate' for 8 years while she has multiple different relationships, asks for advice if he should continue orbiting [XPOST]

7 upvotes · 29 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> There is good reason he won Raging Golden Eagle's Mangina of the year award for 2015. Personally I don't think this kid in the post would make that list.
>
> The more you're aware of how bad things are, the better it prepares you for the ordinary crap I see so regularly these days.

Publicly Declare Yourself To Be A Bitch.

7 upvotes · 28 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > It takes a real man to share and post this.
>
> It takes a subservient moron to share and post this. Fix'd.
>
> Do us a favour OP, make a note to check on their relationship status over the next 3-6 months and let us know when it ends. I'm going to bet around 4 months. Come back and post a follow up when they break up to show that TRP theory predicts this and it happens right on schedule. This moment just marks the beginning of the end of their relationship.

The Devil Worshippers

7 upvotes · 22 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I addressed the issue of what can be done a while back in a post that got stickied for a while on roles, respect and responsibilities. Unfortunately the ultimate problem is the reddit system itself. It provides the voting mechanisms.
>
> This piece itself is an excellent example. /u/CisWhiteMaelstrom writes high quality posts and has a certain acerbic style that won't go down well with either people who aren't bright enough to keep up, guys who don't have the knowledge yet to draw comparisons in the same way he can, or anyone with sensitive fee fees. None of this impacts the fact that his posting is quality and should be upvoted. (Hence why he's got the endorsement badge and hence why so many other endorsed guys have turned up in the comments section.)
>
> Unfortunately, the subreddit is a live demonstration of why democracy doesn't work. The newbie who has just joined the sub has a vote on everything just like the veteran. Their vote is weighted the same as the veterans... but the less informed are far more numerous. As a result, the majority of voting on the sub is quite ill-informed. Which means quality of post is erratically reflected by the voting system.
>
> Ultimately we can't teach people who don't want to listen. And they see and read things from the veterans... and they don't like what they read. A few downvotes later and the herd mentality begins "oh this isn't upvoted much,

probably isn't that good." But the thing is, guys like Cis consistently post high quality stuff and it should be read by everyone. The fact that 25% of people who read this piece downvoted it is a demonstration that... we're trying to teach them and the quality is there... but they don't want to listen or accept. And there's very little we can do to work around this. If their emotions are still ruling their head then anything they don't like the sound of will be rejected. This happens because they've been programmed to act like this all their life by the blue pillers (and taught to accept it since this is the standard way that women will operate.)

There will always be someone at the bottom of the class who wants to understand a mathematical principle but simply can't get their head around it. And thus it turns into a popularity contest. In a way, what the mods do by observing a signal to noise ratio and trying to keep it in check, is trying to balance out allowing new people in the class including the ones who're going to struggle with the material (because it's not right to prevent them attempting to learn and use the materials, so long as they don't get in anyone else's way), while trying to prevent the whole thing turning into a popularity contest - popularity contests wipe rational discourse until you end up with political systems similar to ours in the west: "I vote republican because I've always been a republican." Or "I vote Labour because I vote Labour because I'm a Labour man." Systems like that attract the ambitious and those with average to above average intelligence, but they repulse the highly intelligent. (Trying to fight for rationalism and intellectualism in a place where emotion and identity can trump such things is a total waste of time.) Such a move would be death for the purposes of continual improvement of the sub and our understanding of male identity in this troubled time.

Now, I know I haven't addressed specifically what you said:

> The mods and the community here just have to do a better job of educating the new users about the differences between living a red pill lifestyle and being a MRA way too many posters think they are the same when they are not.

But I felt it would be more useful to address a more generic point on educating the new guys and why the sub is constantly in this state. Your position is right as one of the successful student: to ignore their backwards positions and focus on making your own success. However it's a little more complicated once you become one of the teachers. (And now you should see how I'm addressing your comment in a round-about way.) It's up to you whether you want to do that or just focus on your own success. I wouldn't respect someone any less for choosing the latter. It's a rational and legitimate approach.

Should I stop going out with my friend?

7 upvotes · 14 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

Then your base SMV is around 6/10 if you're being approached by 4s. You need it to be 7/10 minimum, pref 8. Lots of work to do bro. Try investigating a new style. That might give you the point boost you need. Don't forget, base SMV can be artificially manipulated through game later so you can get an 8 talking to you if you're 7 or an 8 and then use your game to boost yourself to a 9 through attitude.

EDIT: Have you tried a professional tailor for your clothing? It could be that your proportions hate off-the-rack clothes like mine does. Alterations don't tend to be particularly expensive £10-15 where I come from so $15-30 maybe? It's worth the money because the difference in how sharp you look tends to be significant.

"You look a lot like my last boyfriend"

7 upvotes · 12 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

You essentially told her "you date attractive men" implying she is attractive while going for the implication "I'm hot." I never really go for lines like that because of the way it validates her as worthy at the same time. (Validating her increases her perceived SMV. Makes your task of demonstrating you have higher SMV than her more difficult. When in doubt, neg/mock/take the piss.)

UVA Jackie lied about gang rape, terminal illness, and invented a dude, all to manipulate one guy into fucking her

7 upvotes · 10 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Err... how exactly do we do that other than by prosecuting them?

By publicising the prosecutions. That was kinda my entire point.

An uncomfortable truth about "meeting" good looking women, especially as you get older.

7 upvotes · 25 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

As a constructive criticism... this is an excellent analysis of the reality (and thanks, it's actually given me a nudge to write a piece on my own style of cold approach since I've been pestered on the topic in the past) - however there isn't really a solution given here. The implication would be "do something which means attractive women become a part of your life, work in bars or become a model photographer." This is just not a remotely practical set of advice for a normal guy. The grunts working in clubs and bars don't make much money where I come from (I hear due to crazy tip culture across the pond that bartending is actually a desirable job over there, perhaps that one could be discussed?) so short of opening our own club, this piece doesn't provide a practical solution to the problems it discusses.

Also, what about guys who have already built a successful career and don't want to change to a different track simply to meet attractive women? What if your dream job or life mission means you work in an area which is not going to include attractive women? How do you reconcile the working in an area that includes attractive women with the dangers of shitting where you eat?

These are a few issues I think that this piece raises that you should have considered and addressed to complete the piece. I don't have a magic bullet to cure this one I'm afraid. Cold approaches and being more sociable to meet more people is my typical route.

Rule Zero: The Mission

7 upvotes · 24 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah, I'm beginning to realize that "not caring about getting laid" doesn't mean not trying to get laid.

Give that man a prize! The thing about caring and putting in effort is that it applies to things like learning game. Practicing to spot tests and respond better. So the attitude becomes one of caring about the game itself and playing it as best you can while not caring too much if you fuck up...

And most important of all, being willing to walk away. One of the things I see sometimes on here are men who've made conscious decisions to not fuck a chick for a variety of reasons... given how hysterical our society has become about rape these days, every sexual encounter we have could potentially become that one where we get that false allegation of rape. So it always makes me laugh that some guys are so thirsty, that other men turning down sex gets them quite upset and they're straight in there to comment "bro, you could have fucked her. You failed so hard."

I think we always need to keep perspective that our world is not as simplistic as the old free for all and there are not obvious solutions or a 100% correct response that works in all situations. Understanding the nuances and identifying them in life is probably the biggest power that a man can gain from TRP.

Radio bit on catching cheaters - exposes woman abusing poor Betabux

7 upvotes · 2 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The more beta the man, the more she will resent him. This isn't ungratefulness coming out, it's resentment that

> he's such a feeble example of a man in her eyes.
>
> We can all see he's a loving decent guy, but we don't follow the feminine feels.

Feminism is actually hedonism

7 upvotes · 11 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I require an extra 10 upvotes to give to this comment.

Poster gets cuckolded by his girlfriend, she gets pregnant with another man behind his back, pressurises OP to reverse his vasectomy so he will be the father without realising, and after all that OP isn't sure if he wants to leave her. Some men... seriously!

7 upvotes · 11 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Before anyone says "divorce her bruh", I'm absolutely not willing to consider that.
>
> From the original post. He has already lost. There is nothing that can be done for him. He will suffer... and he deserves it. That said, I hope he lawyers up like the advice given states and gets the fuck away because the extra drinking means the kid is going to be retarded and have a load of special needs.
>
> The poor kid should really be aborted because he's just not going to be able to have a life worth living.

TRP is apolitical, and why

7 upvotes · 27 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > hyper individualistic. It is right wing.
>
> Need to check your political compass there buddy.
>
> TRP philosophy is TRP philosophy. It is not political. It is pragmatic. All political viewpoints are welcome here... they're mostly irrelevant and so don't need to be discussed... but they're welcome if they're relevant to the discussion.
>
> EDIT: As it seems to be something of a common theme these days that people don't quite get the political compass... and because /u/CopperFox3c mentioned Sargon in his original post... this video by Sargon does an excellent job of breaking things down. When non-liberal people claim to be liberal it starts to make these issues very confusing. He's not Red Pill and the vid is not Red Pill - I am supplying this because all men should keep improving and have a mind that is open to knowledge. This attitude helps you to be pragmatic. I can't endorse a pragmatic attitude enough on TRP, hell I pick up most of my lifting advice from a MGTOW (who is built like a fucking barbarian warrior champion.) And the pill is nothing if not pragmatic in making boys into men.

Observations and Advice from retouching a bunch of your pictures

7 upvotes · 20 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm not here to lecture on ethics... the class I'm taking on that is somewhere else. Sexual strategy in the purest and simplest form is answering the question "did you fuck her?"
>
> You're thinking too deeply on this shit. When I'm not talking game, I'm happy to discuss wider implications etc etc... but when I'm talking field play and game... pragmatism is king. (Hence this thread being heavily pragmatism and getting a big nod from me.) Catfish? Not my problem, let's get back to the key question: Did I fuck her?
>
> Maintaining women is work... I pump and dump any who are too much work. I remember a chick I invited over for takeaway and a movie (which she paid and brought the takeaway) and I fucked her three times that evening then sent her on her way. Haven't spoken to her since and don't care. - Lesson? Maintain the ones who are worth

maintaining. She wasn't worth my effort. (She was actually quite bad in bed and almost snapped my dick with her bizarre behaviour.)

Keep your field game and the theory separate. Out in the field... it's just about getting laid. Fuck everything else.

Back here in theory, it's about getting it right, fuck everything else.

First time I denied a sexual advance

7 upvotes · 14 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

She's probably made time for another Chad. Part of the downside when you're managing bitches is accepting that if she's hot, she'll likely bump into a hotter guy at some point. If he takes an interest in her... she's going to prioritise him over you. That's a good time to silently soft next her. Once Chad gets bored of her and not-so-subtly dumps her... she'll come crawling back to you. That's the point where you treat her like the joyride car she is and just slam her through all the gears hard, then park her with a handbreak turn and leave.

Don't feel bad about doing that... if she's smart then she'll figure out that by treating men badly and without respect, she will be treated badly without respect. If not... she'll go and write "where have all the good men gone?" on facebook and cry about how she's being treated badly while completely oblivious to how badly she treats the men she's attracted to. Those women are a lost cause anyway, so either way you shouldn't feel bad.

All Men Must Have A Purpose, Even If That Purpose Is Becoming a Mass Murderer

7 upvotes · 7 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You try to go past 2 or 3 abstractions with most people and their ball of yarn excuse of a brain fails to function properly.

This always depresses me. Most people can't think in an abstract fashion. They'll even try to shame you/call you an idiot for doing that - despite the fact they're still happy enough to use numbers in day to day life.

But this is an excellent point and explains why Red Pill is typically dismissed without a further thought.

All Men Must Have A Purpose, Even If That Purpose Is Becoming a Mass Murderer

7 upvotes · 7 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I dislike the term crazy. It's too imprecise and is a blanket dismissive in my humble opinion. But to respond to your sentiments - I have indeed met a paranoid schizophrenic. I've met a couple of schizophrenics in an institution I used to volunteer for. I know people with OCD, though none had the compulsion to break or burn things. I wouldn't wish OCD on my worst enemy since it's a far more serious condition than the mainstream appreciate.

I'm not saying that people suffering mental disorders don't commit crimes. They can and do. However we are far too quick to dismiss the mass shootings and such as just crazy people doing crazy things. It reminds me of a documentary on the Columbine massacre that I saw. I'd heard in advance that at least one of them had been treated for depression and anger issues... so I tuned in for the mental health analysis. There was very little of this. They just repeated what I already knew, but one scene really stuck with me. It was an interview with one of the survivors of the massacre. He described how he was hiding under a desk and one of the killers came over and asked who is that under there? The survivor told the killer that it was him and asked are you going to kill me? The killer simply told him no, that he was ok to them and then walked off to go kill others.

Cold? Yes. Evil? Maybe. Immoral? Certainly. Crazy? I'm not convinced. That scene alone tells me of someone who is very much in control of their decisions and following some kind of rationale or logic in their decisions. Just because we don't fully understand it, does not make it "genuine crazy." The people you speak of don't really

> seem to be making an appearance in these massacres or in ISIS and other terrorist groups. Misguided or following logical fallacies perhaps... but they're not schizophrenics.
>
> I would prefer to explain some of these things as akin to society inflicting mental torture on them. The base principle of torture interrogation is that every man has his breaking point. No matter how tough or strong he is, give the interrogator enough time and the man will break. Whenever the media reports any of these things, there's never enough information to make a proper informed decision on what happened. However, given that we know on here how omegas are typically shat on by society at large and receive nothing but negative abuse from women and betas... I'd say that is fairly similar to mental torture. If they didn't have their gaming buddies and online communities... I think we'd be seeing much worse than what we see at the moment.

Q4RP have you ever not been in the mood for sex?

7 upvotes · 22 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Yeah. It's rare, but it does happen sometimes.
>
> Tried forcing it once when I wasn't in the mood... was bad. Think I damaged things between me and the girl because of this. So never doing that again.

The Red Piller's Guide to Weight Training

7 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Excellent broad brush strokes piece.
>
> I'd like to see you do a more detailed piece on nutrition if you had the time. I would also be interested to read your thoughts/analysis on IF since you only give a cameo to Brad Pilon's approach.

How To Be "Alpha" In The Male Social Hierarchy

7 upvotes · 20 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > How do you deal with people that interrupt you when you speak, though?
>
> Stop and stare at them. They're the one committing the social fuck up. You'd be surprised how many people notice the stupid numpty who interrupts everyone and then swaps looks with you. (Said look saying "aren't they fuckin rude?/socially incompetent") Withdraw interest in interacting with that person and if they continue to be rude, finish your sentences with the people who are still paying attention.
>
> You can also make jokes picking up the conversation again, making fun of the fact they can't read basic social cues. I've had great success doing these things. Someone who was originally disrespecting me and interrupting me often is now one of my closer friends and treats me extremely well. He's very conscious that he doesn't want to cross me socially. This is the position you want to end up in.

She wants to be the only girl in the world - [WOMEN WHO KEEP ONLY/MOSTLY MALE 'FRIENDS']

7 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Ahhh, the smurfette. There's always something concerning about a woman whose own gender has decided she's not to be accepted.
>
> I have heard weird stories about them.

How can we properly have a discussion about race geared towards actually helping men of minority groups do well in the game?

7 upvotes · 13 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> A while back TRP had a massive influx of repetitiously whiney posts going "woe is me, I'm a different race and white chicks don't give me time of day."
>
> Essentially I can appreciate the race thing because much like the fact I'm not 6ft tall... it's an issue I can do nothing about and I simply have to accept that I'm playing the game without the ideal character set up. You shouldn't see that as a problem... it's a challenge! You can offset it. If your race means -1 or -2 to your base SMV, you can't do anything about it so don't complain. All the same shit about dressing well, looking after your appearance/posture etc and working out still applies to your setting up your base SMV.
>
> Being a minority from a game perspective is like being a guy who isn't 6ft tall. Sometimes that irrational 5ft2 chick will reject you simply because you're not over 6ft tall. While I can appreciate how retarded said chick is... she's the equivalent of the white chicks I've met who think all Indian men are greasy and therefore unworthy of her... she needs to become white noise if you're going to succeed. It's as simple as that, there's no great conspiracy to prevent minorities getting white chicks. There's just nothing to discuss.
>
> TL:DR - You're playing the game with an automatic -1 to your SMV that you can't do anything about. Suck it up. Everything else about game still applies.

Feminists celebrate women being sluts.

7 upvotes · 11 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Once all the anger is gone, I kinda felt apathetic towards them. I just don't care anymore. The fact that it's so unlikely I'll ever find a worthwhile LTR just makes women generally a fairly disinteresting addition to my world... except when I need somewhere hot and moist to put something...
>
> I recently got a shit test from a plate by text... I've ignored it and called her a name. But after I looked at my response a wave of indifference washed over me... I genuinely don't care if I fail it and have to go find another plate. Plenty out there and I could probably do with practicing my base game again more seriously. The amusing thing is... the fact I don't actually care will probably make me pass anyway.
>
> Think I'll go out tonight and mock a few women... I'll be having fun with the guys anyway so there's nothing to lose.

Ironic link about alpha fux beta bux proof

7 upvotes · 11 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is the pinnacle of feminine sexual strategy. From a woman's perspective, this is absolute perfection.
>
> From a man's perspective, we are disgusted and don't want anything to do with such a woman. Male and female sexual strategies are opposing. They are in competition. Do not forget this and do not pretend that helping her will help you in any way.

Roles, respect and responsibility acceptance as an alpha trait

7 upvotes · 10 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > But the mods don't seem to eschew this when they say that TRP is a strictly sexual strategy complete with taking "short cuts".
>
> I think you're misunderstanding through incorrect use of language. The mods are very clear that sexual strategy is the focus of the sub. That doesn't mean tangential things can't come up or will not be tolerated at all.
>
> For example, the current stickied post by Illimitableman is partly about why you shouldn't go near single mothers as part of your sexual strategy... and partly and analysis of why they're personally stupid, bad for their kids and

bad for society. The latter three points are not strictly speaking sexual strategy. (+1 for my awesome alliteration.)

> So is TRP a reactionary strategy to hypergamy?

I think TRP is reactionary to the state of society and the unleashed free/unrestricted hypergamy. Hypergamy has existed for thousands of years but civilisation has also existed for that long because of the way society was structured and religion was observed. These things regulated the SMP. However, now the SMP is not regulated in any way, shape or form... we have the insane situation where pussy is at an all-time low value and top men are at an all-time high value. It's totally fucked everything up... and when men who there were nothing wrong with in the past faced this market and lost out... the natural reaction of men is to adapt and find a solution to the problem. That solution was the manosphere, and in turn, TRP.

Since it was created as a reaction to the deregulation of the SMP, the sub itself has also started to evolve as it has grown because this has presented new problems. The further feminisation of society and increased levels of devaluing male emotion and male effort in favour of trying to artificially raise the value of the pussy despite the fact it's at an all-time low due to huge supply... all this affects the men in the sub, so we discuss this tangent too.

If ever you wanted proof of the difference between sexual strategy being the focus vs sexual strategy being the strict topic... look at the flairs for topics. You'll see MRA and MGTOW as options - and they ain't pure sexual strategy talk.

Finally, I wanted to clear this one up for you:

> Alpha is alpha. We have been told many times that a woman's hypergamy is amoral, so why wouldn't a man's alpha-ness be amoral? Aren't both sexual strategies based upon amoral biology?

You misunderstand how morality and biology operate. Biology is base reality. It is fixed, it can't be negotiated or decided. It's not something we apply to the world, it is what the world applies to us.

Morality is a cerebral concept that mankind came up with. It is a concept that is not a part of base reality, but something we apply to the reality itself. This is why sexual strategy is considered amoral, because the biology doesn't care. A human is moral or not moral because we can care... we can apply our morality and alter our actions accordingly... but the biology won't change.

A man's alphaness is amoral... but his choices and actions can be either moral or immoral, depending on the sort of person he is. I hope this makes sense because it's a bit of a meta concept and I'm hoping I've explained it well enough so you can fit everything together. Illimitableman did an excellent piece on morality that is worth reading on his blog. Do a google search and it'll pop up.

"Hit It And Quit It On Tinder" [The Other McCain]

7 upvotes · 2 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> It really is quite a feminist paradox; encourage women to be promiscuous whores, then call the men who sleep with them "pigs" for "gaming" or "deceiving" the women with feigned commitment, in an effort to be the men these "empowered" women choose to sleep with.

Perhaps it's just an incredibly foolish shaming tactic trying to make chad commit? Done in order that a woman has to put in literally ZERO effort and have ZERO self control?

What is the lazy woman's ideal dating scenario? Reject 5 or 6 alphas in the easiest way possible by swiping a screen or going ghost, until Supreme Alpha Chad turns up. Agree to see him. Go straight with all the emotions and tingles. Sleep with him. He then commits to her. They will get married and live happily ever after because his value is so high there's never any concern of desire slipping. If you look at it this way... we're almost there if we

> could only get Chad to commit to the woman he sleeps with.
>
> Naturally this glosses over any notion of employing sanity by looking at how Chad would actually see this. It's so stupid because of the solipsism that it actually makes sense.

Women unknowingly confirms core RP themes, article blows up with agreement from women all over Facebook and my feed.

7 upvotes · 22 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > She chose you
>
> We need to start pointing out to men that this is not a compliment. It shows that she was the one who had options and you were never going to do any better than her. That you're a pathetic excuse for a man who could never get that girl when she was hot.
>
> It is quite literally a translation of how low value of a man you are.

Trainwreck, then new feminist fairytale.

7 upvotes · 2 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I went to a shitty small-town high school with maybe a single 8. My standards for "eh, she's alright" are pretty low. And even I can't find anything attractive about her.
>
> The modern Red Pill man is extremely generous. I don't think I could be that generous.

[FR] "I was making bad life decisions" is not an admission, it is a prediction.

7 upvotes · 31 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yes... r/redpillwomen has clearly been a resounding failure and all women are a complete lost cause. /sarcasm. You've clearly missed the class on nuance between objectivity and game attitude.

You should know: You're being watched, and branded, for taking part in this subreddit.

7 upvotes · 29 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I find this mildly narcissistic... but yup, I searched my name and there I am. Looked at the names around me on the list... I'm in good company.

[FR] The "I will not fuck you" shit test

7 upvotes · 12 May 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The correct translation of "I will not be spreading my legs for you tonight." is "please will you find a way for me to be in a position where I can spread my legs for you tonight."

CC Rider Willing Gets Gang Banged by 25 Guys and is Now Pregnant: Guess Whose Fault it is?

7 upvotes · 15 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Never underestimate the thirst... or the sheep-like nature of people.

Slowly starting to realize how failure with women can mess with a man psychologically and make him unpleasant to be around.

7 upvotes · 30 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The power of this point is probably being overlooked. The very opening statement is the real picture. Self-hatred

> for your own irrational emotions (or rational since you seem to believe you're feeling righteous anger) is very dangerous. This is exactly the sort of thing that requires some conscious therapy. I would recommend you research some counselling as part of your path to self-improvement.
>
> As a more general sidenote to this thread - sociopaths/psychopaths are not manufactured by society. There's a genetic factor in play. Those people start at a social disadvantage. They don't have the tools to naturally interact socially to any kind of successful level. They have to learn and adapt without the basic tools and a lack of empathy. That's hugely frustrating for them, but when they do adapt they become better at the social game than the naturals. I would recommend we keep this difference in mind and try not to paste anybody who's angry and hurts people as a sociopath. It's ignorant to the nuance and TRP is all about embracing the reality of nuances like calibration of your personal game.

Red Pill Example Never let people walk over you

7 upvotes · 7 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The information in the story is far too sparse (not to mention it's written by a woman, and they have very selective memories without even realising it) to make any kind of judgement on whether this was a bully or a man holding frame and instigating punishment for ignoring his wishes.

Is it just me, or is everyone trying to understand alpha men, or become one?

7 upvotes · 4 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I have a question for you Dev
>
> > The idea was supposed to be lift some weight, read a book, put down the porn and go make some money, spin a few plates, and be happy.
>
> If this is how simple it is... why are you still here? (No disrespect intended. You clearly know what you're talking about. I think the question is relevant here.)

"Murder is definitely not as much of a dealbreaker as being unattractive" [Girl on /r/Tinder knowingly matches with attractive murderer]

7 upvotes · 14 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This extreme example really does reinforce what we know. When dealing with women, your SMV and how it makes her feel is what matters. Nothing else. Act accordingly and play the game on your terms gentlemen.

The Myth of the "Alpha Female" or The Best Lies are Slight Twists on Truth

7 upvotes · 12 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The "alpha woman" becomes that dreaded HR Director that nobody within the company likes and anybody outside of the company loathes having to deal with.
>
> I use the term loosely since all women are beta at best on the dominance scale. This is because they're biologically incapable of becoming more dominant than an alpha male. (Even Lucifer's Daughter will avoid the true alpha male because she can't out-dominate him!)

Women and interests (or lack of).

7 upvotes · 29 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> "Hanging out with friends" does not constitute an interest. I think what OP is getting at is that womens' interests don't tend to branch much further than what men do simply as a matter of course. Things like hanging out with friends and having a drink, socialising, watching some telly or a movie, shopping etc etc. Some women genuinely believe that going to restaurants for dinner actually constitutes an interest. It's not an interest or hobby, it's an

> activity which everybody does!

Useful skill sets

7 upvotes · 20 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> I think an extra point on first aid is required. I'd strongly advise you to learn first aid guys, because there's very high probability (I think the stats are like 85% or 90%) that if you ever end up using your first aid skills, it will be on someone you really care about. Don't be the guy who needs instructing over the phone and then has to live with the guilt later.

Society has turned inward. We live in a middle time without total wars and frontiers waiting for exploration and exploitation.

7 upvotes · 4 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Fascinating concept. If we take this to the natural conclusion, then we need to accelerate space exploration... and is the private sector really going to do that without any clear ROI within the lifetime of the people investing?

Intelligence and attractiveness

6 upvotes · 16 March 2023 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's exactly the same when it comes to things like lifting and game. Game is more important than lifting, if you have no game it doesn't matter how jacked you are, you'll screw it up even if you're starting from ahead. But status trumps all. It's why the skinny lead singer in a band is getting all the women while gym rat does ok and the nerd in the library isn't getting anything.
>
> Our advice remains the same though, do it all. Being intelligent and well informed is good for you personally. You could argue it'll help with your game to an extent, but ultimately it's more likely to feed in to your career, organising yourself for self-improvement and so on and so forth. OP oversimplifies with way more words than you.

Opinion about girlfriend of 8 months,

6 upvotes · 27 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

> > I am not sure whether that was a mistake but it happened. I am just not sure whether she has the same emotional intelligence as me and so is unable to say the right things to make me feel better.
>
> You seem to have misread the material. You are the rock, she is not your rock because you are the rock. You can get away with moments of weakness over major things such as death of a parent. (However this is not universal, some women will still not tolerate you getting upset over something like that and will still lose attraction as a result of it. It's stupid, yes, but you're dealing with hypergamy, not rationality.)
>
> > Not as if , I have been my best over the last few months. For some reason, I did not realize it when I was basically considering her as my only emotional support. I should have worked on being emotionally strong myself and I am continuing trying to do that right now.
>
> This will be detrimental to your LTR. This is what your close male friendships are for.
>
> > But then, is it unfair for me to expect some form of emotional intimacy or connection with an LTR?
>
> Depends what you define as emotional intimacy.
>
> > If not, why am I even with her?

I don't know. Stability? Loss of interest in the game of renewing plates but unwilling to go MGTOW? You tell me. You're supposed to be the man who has it figured out and is ready for playing the game on hard mode.

> If I LTR someone, it has to be because I feel they can support me emotionally, if I need them.

Good luck with that fantasy. What you are attempting is called using red pill methods to try and fulfil blue pill fantasies.

> I just feel that other BP forums would have a lot of politically correct opinions, especially from women,. I wanted feedback from like minded folks.

You're quite right. There's the saying on here that men love women, women love children and children love dogs. That's how the food chain flows. In your position at the bottom of the food chain, you supply everyone else. You don't get to look for that from within. This is where positive male-male friendships are important. Just as you tolerate the emotional nonsense from your woman, your male friends will be able to tolerate your emotional problems and need to vent. You are your woman's rock, against which her emotional water flows. You don't get to be the water because she is incapable of being the rock in the same way a child is incapable of being the rock. Would you expect your child to be your rock under these current conditions? If not, why are you expecting the adult child that you've engaged in a relationship to be capable of performing that role?

Go have a quiet spirits drinking session with your mates and get it all off your chest. Be clear with them whether you want advice or whether you simply wish to vent. Best of luck to you.

Why are girls so much more concerned about guys than guys are about girls?

6 upvotes · 8 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

Because her value is basically decided in her social circle by the value of the man/men she can secure.

> I am not trying to sound sexist but

Stop that. Don't apologise for ignoring the stupid standards of the mainstream that inhibit open and honest discussion.

The average corporate worker

6 upvotes · 2 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

CorporateLand series on the sidebar.

Why does it irritate me / affect me so much knowing someone else is banging my ex?

6 upvotes · 29 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

Residual emotional bonding effects. It'll pass with time. Focus on getting yourself in order and ready to get out there and date some more women.

An acquaintance is sending my girl heart eye emoji's to her stories on IG.

6 upvotes · 27 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

While he's probably a beta, approaching on IG is something done by guys on here with varying levels of success. Never forget AWALT, even your LTR. Good quality LTR material does not put herself in a position where she can cheat. Whenever cheating happens, it's almost always with plausible deniability where she did something totally innocent, like chatting with a random dude on IG who liked her pic. Like bumping into a guy in a club while out with the girls... she didn't intend to cheat. "It just happened." (It's why girlfriends who are sluts and

> essential nights out on her own, getting drunk, are red flags.) We teach guys to take advantage of this on here for one night stands and plating new women, the contrary is obviously to be aware of it in an LTR without doing mate guarding behaviour like following your chick around or actively pulling her away from other guys etc.
>
> It's mate guarding for you to do something about it yourself. This is obviously not appropriate behaviour for good quality LTR material if she's enjoying it or encouraging it in any way. Which is why you've got this sort of conflicted feeling. It's not an easy thing to address because half the time on here you've got guys who're spinning plates, so it doesn't matter what she does. She can sleep with 100 other men and it doesn't matter. She's a plate. You've got more. When she's an LTR, you've given up your key card: commitment. You goal has changed from good quality sex, to leading a successful and lasting LTR. When a plate breaks, it doesn't matter. When an LTR ends, you have failed in your goal.
>
> You can choose to ignore this or address it, depending on what your current dynamic with your LTR is. One way you could subtly address it would be to get your chick to post a pic of you with her straight after he's done a heart emoji. Eventually he'll get the message that he doesn't have a chance. (She's essentially disrespecting him as a potential partner by responding to his advances by showing him the guy she's fucking.)
>
> Beyond that, so long as she isn't responding I don't think there's any value in addressing this. Just keep an eye on things. "Trust, but verify" as they say. You should have open access to her phone, her IG or facebook on her computer should be easy for you to get on if you so choose. If you get a feeling in your gut, trust it and go check things out.
>
> In general, keeping the dynamic balanced, (appropriate aloofness/independence combined with comfort when needed), leading well, keeping your SMV high, applying light dread where needed, addressing her needs as they arise, ensuring you continue to get what you want out of the LTR... these should be roughly enough and good (well vetted) LTR material won't have any interest in other guys unless Brad Pitt happens by and shows an interest in her. (And even then she might disqualify herself.)
>
> Hope this addresses things thoroughly enough to cover any potential questions or confusion too. Best of luck.

Copy asian Kpop stars.

6 upvotes · 24 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Status. Anybody rich and famous has status and can appear however they want without it having much impact on SMV. Status trumps all.

Is talking on the phone better than texting?

6 upvotes · 22 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Text game literally has it's own guides because the new generation of girls have created a whole new area of game which is tougher than before.
>
> Those who are dismissing the "use text for logistics only" are being autists. It is most certainly preferable to do that. When I was spinning plates, one of "my style" moves was to eschew text game and do phone calls if she wanted to chat for bit. It's got the benefit of being a little different in terms of behaviour of the average guy (little bit of peacocking and if she wants to figure out why you do it, you can cause a little mystery too by being vague and jokey.) Text for logistics, short replies if she starts a convo and after one or two replies like that which are quick, just call her. You can convey so much more with voice intonation and pitch than you can with an emoji. So life is made slightly easier.
>
> A side benefit is you'll catch out attention whores who are being rude to other folks while having a text convo with you. It forces her to make a choice. If she's interested in you then she'll have to set time aside to focus on you. This is investment on her part. The more she invests in you as an option over her other options, the better.
>
> You're too busy to have text conversations because you're a focused man. You do what you want to do and when

> you do it, you do it. If she wants your attention, she's going to have to put some time aside. If she's unwilling to do this, it doesn't really matter since you've got options and she'll probably not be a worthwhile plate to have in any kind of lengthy rotation. Have a chat, build some sexual tension, all the usual rules apply for game outside of the obvious physical things like escalation. If you're good at game, you can get those tingles going and be a bit more memorable for achieving it in a way she finds unusual.
>
> As with varying approaches to game, YMMV and I always advise guys to do what feels most natural to fit with their personality.

Does red pill hold men accountable for their own actions?

6 upvotes · 4 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> But don’t men have faults as well, and why do red pillers like to ignore that men also have flawed natures as well.

Depends. Is it relevant to the issue they're requesting advice on? is it relevant to successful sexual strategy? If not, we don't care, you do you. Flaws like financial irresponsibility, we don't care unless someone explicitly wants advice on that. We have advice on financial matters. Things like being violent we have a clear position - i.e. violence is a demonstration that you've lost your dominance in the dynamic. We don't need to address it typically because the position is already there. You don't be violent outside of consensual stuff, e.g. competition, training, BDSM. You've managed to make such a broad point that glosses over all nuance as to be an absolutely worthless addition to the conversation. It is the responsibility of the poster to identify and share their relevant flaws. If they do not, then it is not our fault the advice won't fit or won't work because there's relevant information that has been omitted.

> I know red pill teaches men that “you are the prize” but frankly that’s bullshit. You’re not a special little snowflake, and just like how a “good woman” is hard to find, a “quality man” is just as hard to find.

I think it would be difficult to oversimplify matters further than you did in that sentence. There's so much to unpick there that I'm not wasting my time. I've actually already done part of that unpicking in my TRP Field Toolkit, part 1 on attitude, which sits on the sidebar. You being the prize is explicitly covered and the dichotomy between necessary field attitude and reality for the man who is still trying to become an alpha is also covered. The idea "you're special" is not there and is a misrepresentation of the red pill position.

I'm getting a very strong sense you either haven't read or understood the sidebar. We are not interested in finding women "quality men" - go over the redpillwomen sub if you want the female orientated perspective of TRP. We've always been very open that we reject the societal bias towards women and we're not interested in discussing what benefits women or women's problems on here. This is a place for men, men's problems, men's struggles, men's successes and practical issues. For. Men.

Stop using the mainstream thought process to make shitty arguments. We've already addressed them if you've done your reading. Many are based on assumptions which we've already rejected and by using those assumptions, you broadcast your own ignorance throughout this sub.

I got the, "My rule I don't kiss on the first time together" speech for the first time ever. Curious about moving forward?

6 upvotes · 17 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> I remember this shit test. Last time a girl did it to me, I laughed at her and shook my head before taking a bathroom break. We went back to her place and fucked that night. Your amused mastery needs work.

Why are TRP users essentially misogynistic but FDS users aren't vice versa?

6 upvotes · 1 May 2020 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> You start off wanting to argue about concepts then deftly move into practical applications when you're disproved? You do realise these are separate areas where you have separate arguments? I'll assume you've just made a silly little error on that.

Two friends want me to have kids with them

6 upvotes · 1 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

> You either haven't read and internalised the pill or you're lying about something here. This is quite obviously a legally enforced disaster in waiting. Not to mention how you'll be fucking your own kids by walking into something intending them to be raised by a single mother. Legacy is highly complex and dangerous in the modern age for any man. You think you've found a simple solution? Don't make me laugh. The only thing you've found here is the part of you that clings to the blue pill.

Am I attracting women with mental health issues or is every woman carry heavy mental health issues?

6 upvotes · 28 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Solid theory. I always used to think the thousand cock stare was just a joke taken too seriously by some lads. It's borderline heartbreaking to see a girl you knew as happy and positive gain that stare... see the literal change in the way she looks in her face... and see how her personality has just been destroyed.
>
> You then realise this has happened to a lot of women. Huge numbers. It's almost like our grandparents had been doing something right. But that world is gone. Welcome to thousand cock stare land.

Experience with LTR's and them being cool with you and other girls.

6 upvotes · 23 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> > Look at adam22 for instance, he has a hot girlfriend who is basically a cam girl/pornstar.
>
> You have clearly not read any TRP stuff. You are confusing plate and LTR. You should not be posting here if you do not understand the basic material. Go away and do your reading.

What's the deal with "Red Pilled" women

6 upvotes · 21 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> One of the key tenets of TRP is that men and women are not comparable. We play two completely different mating games with different strategies and different goals. The goal for a woman is not the same as a man. It's to gain commitment from the highest value man you can, bear his offspring and live a successful family life.
>
> This does not fit with the goals of most red pill awakened men since red pill women are extremely rare. There's no point looking for them because they make up less than 0.01% of the population of women out there. If you find one, it is pure luck and persistence. You most certainly have not found one. So we just advocate the most reasonable position. Increase SMV, sort yourself out with a mission to keep you happy and spin plates to get your end away when you need to. It's all about reconciling the natural reality with what is achievable.
>
> For women, generally speaking, this will be a loving husband who they love (remember most women let their hypergamy cause them to tear down their husband, strip him of everything they found attractive in the first place and then just passively accept they've fallen out of love) and some kids to raise. The baby rabies women get when they're hitting the wall is a good reminder that women can try to deny the biological reality of how we've been programmed as a species all they like... nature doesn't care... might aswell embrace it since you'll be happier in

the long term if you're not in the denialist camp.

Despite the wall, women's urge to love and be loved in a committed relationship tends to remain pretty consistent. So a smart woman will plan for the time her SMV is going to crash and burn by investing well in a man who's SMV is on the rise. (The criss-cross happens at roughly 30-35). RedPillWomen is basically focused on all of this. Viewing the whole thing from the woman's perspective and how can it be used to help women gain happy committed relationships. The game has its own set of difficulties for women. Sex is abundant and easy, but that's not the goal. (For some dumb sluts it is... and we thank those sluts for their warm holes... but it won't bring happiness for them.) The goal is a committed relationship in a world where men are abundant but attractive men are in short supply and attractive men who are willing to settle for only one woman are in even shorter supply. That's before we even get into things like being self-aware enough not to naturally sabotage the relationship because your hypergamy led you to test your man too much and he got frustrated and failed because he's not RP aware. Or, you got bored in the relationship and started creating drama instead of acting to keep yourself entertained.

These are just a couple of things. I don't profess to be an expert on that, but good knowledge allows you to figure these things out relatively easily. You don't appear to have done your reading as demonstrated by:

> Ok the other hand the Female dating sub seems like a complete nightmare written by angry closet feminists... wasn't sure if they were the same people at redpillwomen

and

> is this kind of Red Pilled girl something "special"?

and

> is this still AWALT?

Go away and read more. Ask less questions until you actually grasp the basic material. Everything I've just talked about (that you have been asking about) is way beyond where you are in your journey. Walk before you can run and don't be the usual moron who comes on here and is like "I'm red pilled, I LTR'd this girl I slept with on the first date cos she super hawt but now she disrespectin' me, should I nxt?"

Finding the balance in LTR? Is it salvageable?

6 upvotes · 26 November 2019 · /r/askTRP

Unfortunately your post is a little too vague to give certain feedback. So I've put all of the 3-4 possibilities that occur to me.

> In the last month or 2, she's been starting fights with me over things

This, does not correlate with:

> I (28; SMV 9) have been w this girl (21; SMV 6)

If you're a 9 and she's a 6, there will be absolutely no doubt in her mind about your value because it is soooooo far above hers. Her behaviour indicates that she sees you as equal or possibly below hers and she's trying to find out which. While you may have passed some of these shit tests, they're coming up over and over again for a reason. There is something else you are doing or is happening with your life which makes her think "I'm not sure this guy is valuable enough for me."

> lately she's been a bit distant, and my feeling is she might nearly be checked out.

> This would indicate you've been failing some of these shit tests and it's not as perfect of a performance as you've been saying. Or you have completely blown any comfort tests she's thrown your way.
>
> One thing guys here have been mistaking here, fights are not comfort tests. Comfort tests are characterised by the fact she'll be quite depressed by the fact she's having to use them. She is essentially upset that she's insecure in something that she'd otherwise be thrilled about.
>
> An additional area to consider would be whether she's just adding drama because your relationship is boring. As guys we like predictable and consistent things. It's why women naturally frustrate all men at one time or another, because they don't like predictable and consistent. They need the rollercoaster. They need the fee-fees to keep them excited. The fights could be simply because she's feeling very little in the relationship and needs you to provide more excitement.
>
> Beyond this you're looking at mental health problems which is a red flag and reason to hard next. (Essentially she's too much effort to keep on.)

How would it make you feel if a girl only wanted you for sex?

6 upvotes · 3 September 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Lying about not being interested in relationships. She's always interested in a relationship. But it's always a calculating thing for girls. Going after a man who is way out above her league is a demonstration of poor social skills and low value. But mistakenly getting in a relationship with a man of lower value than she can potentially get is also a sign of poor social skills and low value. The whole interacting with anyone is trying to figure out where she sits in the hierarchy. If she's genuinely not evaluating her chances with you and whether you'd be a worthwhile romantic partner, then she's using sex for validation. Huge, flashing, neon red flag.
>
> There's basically no women out there who only want you for sex. She's either lying or broken.

"You're being very touchy-feely."

6 upvotes · 24 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> > Yep, stroking hands is what I do when I want to kiss her but pussy out
>
> Yes. Never do it again. Ever. If you catch yourself doing it, go cause yourself some pain. (Literally, and I am not joking.) Eventually your brain will get the message not to do that shit unconsciously.
>
> I would also recommend you visit the TRP Field Toolkit on the sidebar. Attitude could do with some work.

Crazy bitch won’t stop texting me.

6 upvotes · 5 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> She had sweets. You took them away. How else is a toddler supposed to react?

Held Frame. I walked, She's sitting in jail.

6 upvotes · 31 October 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> This story is not a demonstration of "holding frame."

Do you expect your LTR to prioritize you over friends during the weekend?

6 upvotes · 26 October 2018 · /r/askTRP

> You should not be in an LTR. There is no other advice you should be being given at this stage.

Girl i have been seeing refused and said i only use her for sex

6 upvotes · 6 October 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Standard comfort test.

I don’t wanna be a sucker and spend so much time and money on a girl who doesn’t sleep with with me, but then I don’t want a hoe. What’s the happy medium?

6 upvotes · 8 September 2018 · /r/askTRP

> There isn't a happy medium. All women are hoes, it just depends if they're put in the position to act like one. That wife who you think is excellent wife-material and appears conservative etc... took it up the ass pretty hard on the first date once.
>
> Also, being "wife material" is more than being a virgin. Your mind is in no place to be considering an LTR. Go spin plates for a bit and next time you consider this (at least a couple of years from now) make sure you're able to say you've slept with double digits number of women and are going to go away and do your fucking reading on LTRs.

Raising a glass to a fallen brother

6 upvotes · 13 August 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah, I'd heard that bit. I'd also heard that he had like one or two lessons. It's fairly obvious that does not constitute professional. Avoid being passive aggressive. It's a feminine quality.
>
> Ultimately, even if you are right it doesn't detract from my wider point about what is and isn't useful for learning here.

Clarification: What is the difference between a plate and fuck buddy?

6 upvotes · 2 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> They're the same. I suppose you could say a fuck buddy if she's used the term is a chick who's accepted her status as plate. It's a useful term because any woman who has been a fuck buddy is not LTR material.

Disappointment in the Lack of Critical Thinking

6 upvotes · 31 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah. The difference is crazy.
>
> It's also important that we remind guys that n-count does not directly dictate how smart/redpilled or game-savvy etc you are. Otherwise we'd have to invite roosh to take over here... just no.

Earning Indicators of Interest (IOIs): A practical guide to getting sexual attention from women without saying a word.

6 upvotes · 19 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Solid pre-game advice here. Good work.

Lifting and Respect

6 upvotes · 3 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Then my first point still stands.
>
> Anyways, try not to get too hung up on size and muscle. You'll still die from one stab wound like anyone else. It doesn't actually provide any better defence if someone genuinely wants to truly harm you. Some self-defence stuff can, but ultimately more muscle is just an attractiveness thing.

How I Manage My LTR

6 upvotes · 7 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Most of the sub does not understand LTRs. They are stuck in the blue pill fantasy of an LTR which cannot happen, where they find a unicorn who requires no effort to monitor, mould or lead, all you have to do is "be da alpha bruh!" and she will follow you forever and ever with purest of heart. The Red Pill still has much to do. The battle never ends and neither does September.

Girl reached out

6 upvotes · 16 November 2017 · /r/askTRP

> Firstly you need to decide if you're bothering with this dumb bitch. Personally, 2 flakes in a row would have been an instant hard next. If you've already got 1 or 2 plates then bitches who mess you about should tend to get hard nexted for pretty minor things. However I know if you currently have no plates or 1 who isn't settled yet then you might give this chick time of day.
>
> If your choice is the latter then I'd start off non-committal. This "sure" response is way too eager for a flakey bitch, it plays right into her higher-than-you-value estimation. Bitches flake because they think their time is better spent elsewhere... i.e. they're not certain about your SMV being high enough or they have met a man who has clearly higher SMV than you. Start out with a "maybe" response and see what she does. Don't be drawn to immediately agree, give another non-committal answer, mock her with something like "well you've cancelled twice now so if you're a disorganised mess then I can't have a half-dressed chick dropping all her shit out of her handbag on my doorstep. The neighbours would complain." (you could probably come up with something better... just something that's an obvious open dig at her flakes - which is politely referred to as being disorganised - but is phrased well enough that it can be seen as a joke. Bitch needs a little bit more than just a subtle neg to bring the dynamic back into line. You need to be seen as assertive here so you set the dynamic of you in the dominant and higher SMV position.) and see if she's willing to go for the prize, you being that prize.
>
> If she pushes the date and flirts back a little then you're on. (If she whines or shit tests you consider hard nexting or mock her shit test) You can appear like you're reticently agreeing. "Fine. If you insist upon bringing a bottle of red/white wine with you. But you'd better wear X to make it up to me." X can be a dress of some description, if the flirting was going well then you can decide her underwear for her which will be a strong move for setting the sexual tone before she's even arrived. The ballsyer the better at this point. If you've done all this then the dynamic is set nicely for an easy lay that you can take at whatever point of the night you feel like it.

Have you ever had any experiences that went against redpill teachings?

6 upvotes · 14 November 2017 · /r/askTRP

> No, I just didn't grasp the nuance previously.
>
> As with most science and philosophy, there is an answer. Just because we don't have it yet doesn't mean it's not there or won't be in the future. I am yet to find something truly unexplained by TRP.

Better question, if she cheats, does it matter?

6 upvotes · 10 October 2017 · /r/askTRP

> > and i don't ever actually find out, does it matter?
>
> "If I'm a cuck and I don't actually know I'm a cuck, am I still a cuck?"
>
> That's essentially your question and it kinda answers itself. It's a simple matter of self-respect. The Red Pill will never endorse a position like that.
>
> Being able to cheat doesn't mean she will. But that's not really the point when you're running a successful LTR.

> There's a reason we do trust but verify on that and don't give a shit when it's a plate. (Though personally I've always had my plates give commitment to me without getting it in return. It's just a power play, running the dynamics how I like them.) Run your dynamics however you like mate, but the idea that she gets to run her sexual strategy without any compromise is totally unacceptable for the successful dynamic we preach on here.

Forgiveness? (Not as simple as it appears...)

6 upvotes · 1 September 2017 · /r/askTRP

> I told her if she slips up again like this, I'll dump her and leave permanently.

Translation: I am a weak man with scarcity mentality who will tolerate the action that potentially means the offspring I raise won't be mine. If I catch you again I'll be upset but will probably let it pass.

When you catch her hiding anything sexual with another man it's an automatic hard next. There's nothing to think about. There's nothing to mull over or decide. The rules are the rules, anything other than a hard next in this situation is telling her that you'll accept or tolerate sexual disloyalty and she won't lose your commitment if she does this.

Also, why the fuck are you issuing an ultimatum??? Ultimatums don't work because they're an intrinsic sign of weakness i.e. that you are considering and factoring in the possibility that she would disobey you. Women only disobey weak men, they follow strong men. If you are a strong man then the idea of her disobeying you doesn't even enter your thinking.

The more attractive the young woman, the more she lacks common sense

6 upvotes · 23 June 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

There are more men who are even dumber. This is because men are the experimental sex, so we get a greater proportion of retards and a greater proportion of geniuses. I think the ratio is something like 320 to 1. So there'll be 320 male geniuses for every female genius. 320 male retards for every female retard.

The reason for the confirmation bias is that TRP tends to attract men who are above average intelligence to genius level intelligence. For that group, the vast majority of women will appear very stupid by comparison to what we know. (Usually because an above average intelligence man will not consider himself a genius unless his ego is out of control, but will instead assume he's average. Therefore most women appear to be below average.) And for the genius level men, just about every woman they've ever met is retarded when compared against themselves.

It's all relative. Women just have an easier time in most situations in the modern world due to the gynocentric society combined with the natural social advantage women enjoy.

If you wish to criticise someone who is venting, then you need to come up with more than what you've put. A more detailed explanation to help him understand why he's come to this thought or feeling so that he is able to better himself. (Simply saying get over it and better yourself is not good enough.) Otherwise just let him get his rant out of his system. The same goes for the 200+ upvotes this got.

Jordan Peterson's 32 rules for being a man.

6 upvotes · 18 June 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

Peterson is a Jungian and a religious man. His advice must be taken with a significant dose of salt because most rational people do not take Freud, Jung or religion too seriously.

Don't get me wrong, I like the man. His stance on ethics, civil liberties and his knowledge and ability to explain marxism is admirable at the very least. But from a Red Pill perspective he is not particularly useful unless you are

> religious. In the bigger picture, he is an ally to the positive views of masculinity.

A massive conundrum for both TRP and liberals alike

6 upvotes · 28 February 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Then it is too early for you to be making an assessment. Also, for the record, Trump is not Red Pill or Alpha. He has some alpha qualities, but he thinks infowars is a trustworthy news source. You take his opinions with a huge grain of salt. While he may not save the world... he'll at least delay the destruction that would have been assured under Clinton.

There are no 'Red Pill Women'

6 upvotes · 9 February 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> This post, for me, is a good health-check of the sub based upon the voting patterns. Very disappointing. Are you the same Donovan Sharpe of Return of Kings fame?
>
> Also, just so you're aware, Roosh is not a "heavy hitter" around here. He's done some useful stuff but his philosophy doesn't line up with ours. He would not be considered in the same league as IllimitableMan or Rollo.

Night with a "Beta Girl"

6 upvotes · 9 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Comfort tests. TRP Field Toolkit Part 3, on the AskTRP Sidebar. The other guy was right, this is a useful FR for newer guys to get a bit of perspective on why betas are hated so much by everyone. That behaviour is fucking annoying isn't it?

Girl wants to take a break from sex

6 upvotes · 26 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> You've got a couple of options. Firstly, if you do have a big dick then extra lube and extra foreplay or eventually you'll cause vaginal tears. That is not a fun discussion to be having, trust me.
>
> Secondly you'll need to fit yourself for proper sized condoms. Look online for some condom sizing charts and check out some online stores that will cater to the larger gent.
>
> Thirdly, stopping sex or taking a break from it is not only going to ruin your relationship, but it's not going to solve her problem anyway. While the vagina won't be permanently stretched by a bigger dick, it does get stretched for the short term (circa 1 week for her recover.) In order to make sex easier, you never want to let that week pass unless she likes being sore for days after sex. This is primarily determined by girth. Length will tell you if you're going to hit her cervix, depending on her size and how long you are. Some girls like having their cervix bashed in... other girls feel very sick the moment their cervix is touched. If it is this that is causing her pain complaints then simply avoid positions where you get a particularly deep penetration.
>
> Reality is that you're going to need to treat this as a shit test because in her eyes, you should already have been with enough women to know what to do. When she brings it up again, treat it as such and laugh at her for not figuring out yet that she has a particularly small, tight or sensitive vagina (she may or may not, but that's irrelevant... most chicks eat up the idea that they're special in a way that they didn't realise.) and that you know how to solve the problem. Her idea of stopping sex is dumb so you've decided not to do that. Tell her it'll hurt more later if you followed her idea. If she continues to push then this isn't about pain, it's a power play and you hard next her immediately.
>
> (No ifs or buts on the hard next when a woman weaponises sex in a relationship setting. The weaponisation of sex in a power play by a woman is a nuclear ultimatum to which there is only one response. To do anything but walk away and dump her would be to submit. After you've submitted to that once then it's game over for the

> relationship.)
>
> The play here is amused mastery and taking the lead to solve the problem yourself. And seriously, get to reading the sidebar properly if you don't know Briffault's law. It's there on the sidebar of the main sub.

Gaming too hard/LTR. Alpha/beta balance

6 upvotes · 22 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I reckon he missed a couple of comfort tests along the way that led up to this moment. This nudged her fee-fees to tell her he didn't "just get it."

My preferred pronoun is 'Master'

6 upvotes · 21 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I wouldn't call it a fake disease... but it is certainly a wastebasket diagnosis. I knew a girl who'd been diagnosed with it many years ago. She was clearly very ill and there was definitely something wrong with her, so I certainly wouldn't call it fake. However the reading on it and the way it swings all over the place is a similar wastebasket diagnosis to physical health as certain forms of schizophrenia are to mental health. (i.e. they're just there as a diagnosis to pick up whatever is leftover that we don't actually understand properly.)

TRP Culture

6 upvotes · 6 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You would do well not to underestimate GLO. Intellectually he is something of a dark horse in my humble opinion.
>
> Style. This is the key around TRP. There are a variety of styles around the various endorsed guys on TRP and each style will appeal individually to different people. GLO has a particularly flamboyant style that appeals very strongly to traditionally masculine male bonding. It's not remotely surprising that he is as popular here as he is. That said, there are people who are here to learn that simply aren't drawn to that style. For those people you've got the... shall we say, more dry, style of someone like illimitableman who is more like a lecturer in class. You've got guys who behave more like drill sergeants, others like mattyanon are a bit like a good mate you've gone to for advice. I'd compare Copperfox3c to be a bit like a laid back tutor in university.
>
> These different styles suit different styles of learning. It isn't a weakness of TRP, it is a strength.
>
> As for your post, your criticisms of the sub basically just apply to those who haven't fully grasped the pill. It's similar to why the Blue Pill sub hates us so much... the only examples they can really find lack nuance or they've strawmanned something because they are literally incapable of comprehending it. Ignore the noise and go for the signal. From the collection of endorsed members, just see which class you want to attend. This isn't like school. It's university and you get to choose your professors, so just pick the ones you'll learn most from.

Girls don't find smart guys sexually attractive

6 upvotes · 29 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Not quite. The factor that matters is dominance. Are they able to intellectually dominate another man? Much like physically knocking down a man with a punch, I've seen women get extremely attracted to a guy who can land a good put-down on somebody who isn't at the bottom of the social ladder. Same goes for insta-crushing the opinion of another guy with a simple counter-example. It's all just another part of the social dynamics around how women are ranking everybody in the social hierarchy.
>
> It's why status trumps all other things because when it comes back to it, alpha behaviours are attractive because they indicate high position within the social hierarchy. Intellect can do the same (or not at all if you're introverted.) This also explains why men at the top of the lab, doctors profs etc can pick up their students, while

> younger men who may be just as smart or even smarter than that guy training them is still going to be lesser in her eyes. It's all social status being influenced by various factors... one of which will be determined by intelligence.
>
> Also yeah, as the other guy said, don't take anything women say seriously. Their words are worthless.

This is not a political sub. But this is the Red-pill view of politics. This is what the SJW's have done and it's simply fucking realism. What can we learn from it?

6 upvotes · 12 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> BEHOLD! The Blue Pill:
>
> > Liberalism is based off of collectivism.
>
> From Encyclopaedia Britannica.:
>
> > Liberalism, political doctrine that takes protecting and enhancing the freedom of the individual to be the central problem of politics.
>
> Specifically that the problem of government is creating a system that gives government power needed to protect individuals from harms and protect their basic rights as the smallest minority of all, while preventing the government abusing the power required to accomplish this.
>
> What you have described is more akin to socialism whereby a collective holds social and economic control. Astonishing how this would apply very aptly to socialism:
>
> > It's also why left wing leaders tend to be those that engage in propaganda and violence.
>
> Thank you for playing. You've illustrated my point about the corruption of language to create a false definition nicely.

Women on vacations in LTRs

6 upvotes · 1 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Funny enough this same girl tried pressuring me to be exclusive with her a couple weeks prior to hearing her say that. LOL.
>
> Ironically this is probably female solipsism rearing its ugly head again. She probably thought her story was impressive because "look how many men are attracted to me, I'm really high value." It wasn't until she said it and measured your response that her mind changed on that.

Red Pill - Foster Parent

6 upvotes · 4 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> And therein lies the actual debate.

HB 5.5 loses her mind when I don't buy her dinner (FR)

6 upvotes · 15 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > If a woman, actually no - if anyone ever texted me saying something like this, I would block their number and never acknowledge their existence.
>
> Yes. This is where that interaction should have ended.

> Personally I would have ended it after she asked for a picture demonstrating how unbelievably dumb she was. Let's be clear, 90% upwards of women are dumb compared to blokes, but this was dumb even by female standards of being dumb.
>
> I'm actually struggling to read the whole thing because I've come across like three or four moments where there should have been an instant hard-next.

Discussing MGTOW with Anteros

6 upvotes · 26 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > You mean "I have a question", I should've pointed out the feminine instigation tone from your previous comment.
>
> It was not a question. It was a statement of fact on what was required to complete the logic and unify your theory regarding irrelevancy of some men. As with my targets in any discussion or argument, I am attempting to get at the truth. Your passive aggressive opening and reinterpretation of my statement through manipulation of tone is an argument in bad faith. You should not do that with anyone if you are looking to learn. As per my guide, I don't continue arguments in bad faith, (since you can't learn in such discussions) or with people who disengage as you demonstrated here:
>
> > There is no known replacement for SMP experience, only shit analogies that help losers get to sleep at night.
>
> It disengages from the point of individuality and makes a point which has a veneer of relevance, but doesn't actually matter. Whether people are experienced or not is irrelevant to whether they should make up their own mind. Whether they make the right or wrong choice, is again, irrelevant to the principle. That disagreement then goes into a nuance around practicality that does not come out with a concrete conclusion and instead becomes a value judgement. That's something I simply don't have any interest in discussing with you since I believe it would waste time for both of us for zero gain.

Alright, boys. Help me out with a weird situation

6 upvotes · 25 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > "well, how am I supposed to get ahold of you?"
>
> "By the belt while undoing it." (That question seemed like her really weak attempt to re-engage you after you made to leave. So you needed to turn it openly sexual imho.) Fortune favours the bold mate.
>
> In all seriousness, she sounds like she could have been a time waster. She gets off on using the power of her pussy over men maybe? Only you'll know because you'll know how much escalation there was before this.

I used to consider myself a feminist

6 upvotes · 24 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > It's practically copy pasta from wikipedia...
>
> and you're surprised you're wrong? You are a moron. Let's get that out the way first. Second, if you wish to call me a misogynist for disliking first wave feminism, then be my guest. The suffragettes were terrorists... and fucking stupid ones at that. Emily Davidson who famously died being trampled by the King's horse for the suffragettes... she's celebrated yearly. You know how she died? She was trying to pin a rosette to the horse while it was running. She did not intend to kill herself. She, in fact, died of total fucking stupidity.

> Then you've got the way the suffragettes ran the white feather campaign. Where they went around handing white feathers, a symbol of cowardice, to just any bloke they saw. As a result they frequently handed them to brave soldiers on shore leave, while they themselves did not have to suffer any of the shit of the first world war. They were and are the very definition of stupid lazy bitches and if it wasn't for the suffragists being more mature about things and men just generally having sympathy for women, they would never have got anywhere because they didn't deserve to.
>
> All of feminism is basically the standard operation of women "I want more." Have you earned it ladies? "I want more." You haven't answered my question. "I want more and I'm going to throw a tantrum."
>
> Women basically act like children if you let them. Anyway I'm so glad you opened a history book... oh wait, you didn't... you read wikipedia that is rewritten by feminists once a year. Nice job. You can got back to your blue pill land now. We're not interested in brainwashing. It took us long enough to get away from it and start looking at things objectively.

Women Are Now Cheating As Much As Men, But With Fewer Consequences

6 upvotes · 21 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Beta men would like to cheat but can't. Alpha men get exposed for cheating... but it's probably a part of women trying to steal the commitment of an alpha. There is no reverse equivalent for a committed woman cheating, so it's not surprising they can keep it quieter. They'll only bring it out if they're going to divorce the husband anyway.

It's not a conversation to her, it's combat. She wants to win.

6 upvotes · 15 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Lessons Learned
> >
> > In many if not most conversations with a woman, she is approaching the interaction as a zero sum game that she wants to win rather than a discourse. Understanding this can give you the upper hand.
> >
> > Shit tests can be interpreted as unconsciously originating from this innate combativeness, and understanding this can help a man deflect and pass them with ease.
>
> I strongly disagree with this conclusion. Any woman who is talking to you and shit testing you is doing so because she feels some level of attraction to you and wants to be sure. If she was not attracted to you then you would be treated like a beta and not tested at all.
>
> Therefore she does not actually want you to fail the shit test. She's testing you and hoping you'll pass and be even more attractive to her to help her fulfill her biological imperatives. Why would a woman want to win all the time? If she did that, then she'd never achieve her bio imperative.

The Store Cashier With 300K+ Followers

6 upvotes · 2 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Remember AWALT. All women will jump ship if offered a better deal. No woman is an exception to this. The only way they can remain loyal is to not allow themselves into a scenario where they could jump ship.

Am I in the right mindset with outcome independence? Or does it make my game lazy

6 upvotes · 29 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I actually call my style of game "lazy game." I drop out a conversation starter to chicks and if they don't snap at it

> so I've got a warmer start then I won't bother and will just go elsewhere. Then again I don't tend to need to replace my plates often so it's not so relevant to me.

Quick Reminder For Those Who Follow A Moral Code

6 upvotes · 21 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This post is a reminder for people that follow the former lifestyle: The vast majority of women don't understand these moral codes. The vast majority of women don't give a shit about integrity.
>
> The vast majority of PEOPLE don't give a shit about it either.

How feminine of you. You do realise that the whole amoral bit is just info, right? It's just part of reality and a required acknowledgement in order to have productive conversations on the topic of sexual strategy. Now let's look at your "advice" to everyone. I've said this a few times before so I'm going to make this as quick as possible (because I've got to get going.) There's a difference between reality and actions.

Reality is amoral. Reality is set. Reality does what it will do.

Actions are chosen. Actions are not amoral, they are subjectively moral or immoral. Once you decide actions are not subject to any subjectivity (i.e. good choice or bad choice, do you eat healthy or eat like crap) then you give up your control over actions which is inherently feminine. (It just happened. There wasn't a moral choice available!)

Men select what they wish to accomplish. They decide what their destiny will be and then they take the actions they believe required to achieve this. These actions are then judged whether moral, immoral, successful or unsuccessful. That is out of your hands. Men own their actions and accept whatever that judgement is. It is women who whine about judgements when they're negative (see complaints about fat shaming, slut shaming... any other form of shaming.)

What you have done here is pure male hamster. You have rationalised that because reality is amoral, you can justify nihilism and hedonism simply because reality doesn't care. Feel free to use that, however it is an inherently feminine trait to hold yourself to no standards and complain about others holding standards. (You've just done it in a passive aggressive way here - again, very feminine - by implying that men who don't agree with you are suckers and are welcome to be suckers but you ain't gonna be no sukka.)

Guess what kiddo, life ain't fair. It isn't all equal and some of the shit you deal with is going to be on hard mode. But guess what's masculine? That's right, embracing the challenge and finding solutions so you can continue to live the way you choose.

Reality is what it is... and it's my bitch. I will play it, manipulate it and dominate it for my own damned purposes. For you... you appear to be reality's bitch. Now go look long and hard at yourself and ask if that's really the person you want to be or whether you can be better.

Modern Feminism: still necessary?

6 upvotes · 17 May 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> The way I described it (employer making salary offerings based on gender without looking at individual merit) is literally an example of gender discrimination. I'm sorry buddy but words have meanings. I just gave you an example of how systemic discrimination can exist without legal discrimination and this is it. If you're going to argue against a dictionary, good luck.

This is called a strawman. My argument was that you could never prove it. Hypothetical situations in which you

can read someone's mind are irrelevant. Under such circumstances, we can only guess and given the principles of our liberal system, the only reasonable assumption is to assume innocence. Hence why I said it was a pointless example. Because it forces assumption rather than an objective outcome. But don't worry, the assumption also comes down on my side. Damn! It's awesome being a liberal.

Though +1 for your revert to dictionary definition argument. It's been a while since I've seen someone use that sort of argument around feminism since everybody likes to laugh at them doing that now.

> If a party/board feels that they best represent their people when the genders are split in an equal distribution to the people they represent, why is that a bad thing? Please explain that to me.

It's not a bad thing. It's not a good thing either! It's an irrelevant thing. Company boards are not there to provide statistical samples of the population of the country. They are there to run a business. The racial or gender composition is irrelevant.

> Note that "women only positions" don't mean that a board is 100% (or even 60%) women, it means that there is a free spot and they want a women, presumably to keep the representation balance.

You've reframed the discussion here to create a false impression of your argument. You've taken women-only lists as an issue, then stated "there's still men on the board" and implied that therefore this means they're not being discriminated against. Don't do arguments through implication. They're typically used by people who like to change their stance at a moments notice.

The reality is that this argument is not about the board. It is about that one position. All the other positions on the board could be filled by either a man or a woman. Nobody is disqualified to apply for the position on basis of anything arbitrary. This is called equality, more specifically the equality of opportunity. It avoids preventing people succeeding on merit because of something arbitrary, in this case, the genitals they were born with.

Now that one position that is women-only, discriminates against men because they can't apply for the position or gain it on merit. They are disqualified based upon the fact they were born with a penis. Even if the rest of the board is all men, this women-only shortlist is still discriminatory because it is a separate issue to the rest of the board due to the difference in equality of opportunity. The rest of the board has equality of opportunity. That particular position does not. Representation is irrelevant. If one woman makes it through on merit, we know there is equality of opportunity. The rest of the statistical composition is irrelevant, it's just trivia. An interesting fact like black people testing lower for IQ than Asians. It's interesting to know statistical averages, but we can't base an individualistic society that supports individual rights on these statistics.

While we're on the representation argument, I'm going to take an extra minute or two to point out why it doesn't fit in the one place where it has a veneer of credibility. Politics.

The reason I've chosen to do this is that you seem desperate to get across that representation is good. That's a bit of an issue because it's one of these things which seems good on the surface, but really it's not. So I'm going to take where representation is at its strongest in credibility as an argument, political candidates.

The purpose of a democratic system is to represent its people as best as possible, in order to rule the country as a functioning government in a way the majority of people would agree with. Now the major disconnect comes because it connects gender/race/sexuality etc with political views. This is a collectivist point of view and it is objectively false. Take Dave Rubin and Milo. Two gay white middle class men with opposing views on the majority of politics (with the exception of SJWs.) The fact they're gay doesn't mean they hold the same view. Take black people, there are plenty of examples of black people who don't support black lives matter. There are black people who support Trump. The fact they're black doesn't mean they all hold the same view.

So let's go back to the way democracy functions. If it represents views, then it should be able to carry out the

> right function. If it is representing arbitrary characteristics such as race, gender and sexuality, then it means the views being brought together will likely be a random sample and thus democracy isn't doing its job properly.
>
> The equal representation argument (based upon arbitrary characteristics) also assumes lack of empathy and understanding from all parties. But it typically assumes this selectively. But that's a discussion for another day. The reality is that if these arbitrary characteristics really mattered in politics then everything would have to be redrawn in elections to give white men only lists, white women only lists, black men only, black women only etc etc until every arbitrary combination is accounted for. Otherwise, under their logic of only being able to represent your own race/gender/sexuality the shortlists they're making is not making any difference. It's just making a new problem... a bigger one actually because when you add a minority who supposedly can't represent the white people - when you're living in a white majority country that means they can't represent the majority of their constituents/voters. It doesn't take much to see why that's awful as a democracy.
>
> So the argument, even at its strongest, is an awful one that tends to do the total opposite of what the implied intentions of the person putting it forward want.

Modern Feminism: still necessary?

6 upvotes · 16 May 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Name a legal right that men have that women don't.

After thinking a lot about what I want out of life, I've come to the conclusion that I want to be the party animal with some cool friends and a high lay count. So any ideas and suggestions?

6 upvotes · 16 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Go to college/university. Join a band as either lead singer or lead guitarist. Become a drug dealer. It doesn't take much. Not really much of an achievement.

Can't find a reason for my ex behavior

6 upvotes · 16 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > Left me, then came back, then left me again for another man.
>
> Why in the living fuck did you take her back? Are you mentally ill?
>
> > How could she has changed her mind in a so fast way?
>
> You revealed your low SMV to her through poor writing skills? ha! Nah, more seriously, here. The switch flips both ways. Women will rewrite history in whatever way needed to follow their fee-fees. The only thing worse than a woman who failed a branch swing and ended up back with her beta... is the woman who has no man at all. Add the two together and you've got your situation perfectly explained.
>
> If you're looking for a serious LTR, this chick has disqualified herself. Cut her from your life like the cancer she is.

"All women are hypergamous" is male hamstering for not owning up to the mistakes they made in the relationship that caused their women to go to someone else.

6 upvotes · 12 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Thanks. I was groaning inwardly that I was going to have to put together a rather irritated response to this before I saw you'd done it for me.
>
> When a cunt acts like a cunt you call her a fucking cunt!

Dealing with a passive woman

6 upvotes · 25 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> I told her I like her hugs and she said she likes to hug too.

Translation in her head: "I am not a sexual being."

> I felt she is out of my league and it was too soon.

"Leagues" are a concept created by women to keep betas in line. You're a good little beta. You go earn some money now and wait for a used up slut in her 30s to want marriage.

You've basically behaved like a beta with her enough that she's put you in the beta box. Congrats. She won't care that you won't reply other than to be a bit grumpy if she thinks she's lost an orbiter.

To be honest, until you can look at this and puke:

> that I didnt want to make her feel uncomfortable, when I put my arm around her, but I like her hugs and was tired waiting for the next goodbye hug [smiley face]

You're a long way from becoming a sexually desirable man. THIS is your problem:

> kinda intimidated me.

Why is she intimidating you? Your value and presence should be exciting and intimidating HER in roughly equal measure. Next her before that poison drip kills whatever tiny balls you have left. Her pussy is on such a high pedestal that you'll need to climb the Empire State Building to actually get a decent view of her. Go read my TRP Field Toolkit part 1 on attitude. Yours is all wrong.

For anyone who cares about the title: passive women require strong leadership and good commanding behaviour. I love really passive women, they're the easiest to get into bed. My current record is about 10 mins. I expect any man in the sexual market of college/university to be able to beat that.

Aim To Fuck Up, Because You Will, A Lot

6 upvotes · 21 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

I read this title as "aim to fuck up" as in upwards, like women of SMV higher than you probably deserve.... I nodded in agreement before suddenly thinking "but all women are beneath my awesomeness.... Shit! I can never fuck up."

[RANT] Felony Stalking Class 4 - No Good Deed Goes Unpunished

6 upvotes · 21 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yup, but those cases almost always require money up front. Unfortunately, my state doesn't allow for automatic recovery of costs when found not guilty. It might just end up being an expensive lesson. :(

Look into where you can search for someone who'd potentially do it pro-bono or on a no-win no-fee basis. From what I understand there are lawyers out there who are willing to take sympathetic cases like this where the system is being abused.

It would be worth asking your current legal representative about this and where you could potentially look. Also, I don't know if /u/ProtoPill is still around or not, but he'll be able to provide a clearer picture of how to go about such a search (and more importantly, correct where I am inaccurate on the specifics.)

plates want commitment after a couple of weeks

6 upvotes · 7 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> It sounds like you're quite bad at stringing a plate along. You know how women give non-committal answers to things? Copy that.
>
> Use your ability to command to your advantage. When shit like this comes up, tell her what to expect. Example conversation:
>
> - Her: Is this going anywhere?
> - Me: We're going to (insert food/drink place name) soon.
> - Her: No, I mean you and me.
> - Me: What are you driveling about woman?
> - Her: Is this going to be a relationship.
> - Me: Who knows. I don't like labelling things this early. There's no particular rush to anything. Let's talk about this again in 3 months.
>
> That's just off the top of my head so it's rough around the edges, but I've had similar conversations a few times. (My personal best is this conversation happening after about 3 months, then me telling her she had to wait 6 months to talk about it again. She complied.) The essence is that you need to maintain frame of the discussion, continue to be aloof to keep your value high, then dominate discourse to command what will happen - that's leadership of the interaction.
>
> You don't want to break the boyfriend vibe - that's the entire reason she's fucking you. She wants to lock you down. I know there's this nonsense about "fuckbois" going around among women, but see it for what it is... it's their rationalising their inability to lock down an attractive man they were sleeping with. Most often plates smash because they want more, they want you to give up your commitment. The best way to extend this is to give them the impression that the best method they can take to achieve this commitment is the polite method of talking and asking - not throwing tantrums. When they do that, mock and belittle them for their childish behaviour and point out no man wants to date a bratty child. You can also use this as an excuse to turn down any discussion of relationships point blank for a time period too.
>
> Obviously all plates smash eventually, but I hope this helps you to start stringing things out a bit. My current record is almost a year.

Has this TRP stuff gotten you second guessing your every move?

6 upvotes · 5 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > In terms of enjoyment, what more is there?
>
> Absolutely! We should enjoy being more masculine and we should embrace and enjoy the challenge of becoming a man!
>
> Give this man a point. ♂

Woman breaks off engagement because fiancee won't reveal his financial details. Advises other women to do the same because it's fair.

6 upvotes · 2 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > The story ends on a happy note though. In her new relationship, her guy tells her every bit of his financial business. Article ends with her telling other women how to determine if their men are giving them enough financial information and what to do when they aren't.

> That's jolly nice! I will respond in kind for the male perspective.
>
> If a bitch gets all up in your finances, tell her to fuck off and go make you sandwich while you return some video tapes. Pick up a different woman on the way home.
>
> Wow... that didn't even take an entire article of whining about money while pretending not to care about money.

Holy fuck, TRP/MRA mentioned in a marvel comic.

6 upvotes · 31 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This cannot be serious. You must be trolling me. That is not a real page from a real comic. I knew my beloved childhood medium had been in decline for some time now... but seriously? It's fallen THIS far?

What are the viable alternatives to The Red Pill?

6 upvotes · 27 January 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> The most viable alternative is to grow balls, take the lead of your own life and alter the application of TRP according to your own values.
>
> Personally I don't see any real need to change it. TRP did not dim my views on women. Speaking and dealing with women intimately on a more regular basis has done that itself.

In a relationship? Keylog the bitch and meet your girl. It won't be pretty.

6 upvotes · 26 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I mean maybe I'm not fully unplugged but shit, would you be ok if your girlfriend keylogged you?
>
> This is not comparable. Men and women are different. Women require mystery in their men to stay attracted to them. They need to feel a level of dread. If they ever become fully secure then they lose attraction. Men on the other hand require loyalty from a creature who is fundamentally dishonest and has been given a pussy pass all her life for bad and disloyal behaviour. The correct balance in a successful relationship would be for her to give up her privacy while the man maintains his. Doing this will ensure the relationship lasts.
>
> This is the game you're playing. It is not on a level playing field and we're not playing for the same goals.

Rate of partner abandonment due to cancer

6 upvotes · 18 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Not only is the sample size far too small to be able to run any serious inferential stats to actually conclude anything properly... cancer as a disease disproportionately affects older people. Chances of developing cancer are higher the older you get. (This is why various cancer screening schemes are aimed at over 40s, over 50s and over 60s. On the NHS in the UK, screening schemes are triggered by the age of the person.) As a result of this, you could potentially be dealing with women in menopause and/or sunk cost fallacy or women who've crashed into the wall years ago. (Thus their SMV is too low now to attract a better partner. And it's probably better for their social status and validation to become a widow rather than a divorcee trying to find a 40-50 something alpha. Alpha men at that age are pretty much never single.)
>
> Your TRP theory is sound if we were talking about a woman in her 20s with high SMV. She'd drop him instantly depending on how long he was going to live (so if he had 6 months she might stay to become validated and super-high social status/centre of attention and pity for being "so strong" and watching him die. But if he was crippled and had 5 years, she's gone.)
>
> There's simply too many variables in play to make this as simple as "Man has cancer, therefore man will be

> abandoned."

When a girl denies she was shit testing you

6 upvotes · 20 December 2015 · /r/askTRP

> She tried to accuse me of 'checking up on her' (i never accused her of cheating) although I did feel I deserved an explanation.

She probably is cheating. Status trumps all remember?

It's all pretty irrelevant. All your extra information has told me is that you need to next this bitch. Oh... and stop this attitude:

> And I felt I deserved an explanation rather than her excuses the next day.

You're dealing with women here. Fee fees rule. Logic and justice have no place. You deserve nothing. Go re-read Briffault's Law before you start feeling like you deserve anything in return for what you do for chicks (including giving your time!)

I'm had I have oneitis... Most of you guys are stupid clowns and weirdos. I'm prob the best looking and maybe most financially stable than all of you.

6 upvotes · 15 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I see somebody's left the door to the mental hospital unlocked again.

Offending women is fun.

6 upvotes · 14 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This field report showed two things: a hot woman's completely textbook behavior, and your lack of gaming skills.

We didn't see any evidence of his game one way or another.

> You cared too much that she got free stuff and had a boyfriend, enough to bring it up later and to try to knock her down a peg. What's the purpose?

He did something that is ultimately pointless. However if you want to be nihilistic, you can define most things as pointless. Ultimately this is a moot discussion because the only way we can resolve this is subjectively, so OP's preference settles it. If he's satisfied, then he's right to have done it if it made him happy (since it's obvious he didn't want to have sex with her and written her off after the boyfriend comment. Whether that is through personal morality or disinterest in the additional effort of a chick who so openly shit tested him like that is irrelevant.)

> You could have fucked this girl. She came up to YOU later on, which was a very good sign. You dropped the ball.

Again, his behaviour indicates he didn't really care. This is the correct attitude to have. Once you start caring about whether you're having sex with every woman you have a shot with, you reduce your game because this is indicative of scarcity mentality (can't lose a single chance) while abundance mentality is all about there being more options (individual chances don't matter, I'll pick up another later.)

> Who cares if she has a boyfriend. He wasn't there.

Inserting your personal moral views on his decision. The current sticky was put in place because we were sick of people who tried to impose their moral viewpoint on others. It goes both ways. If, hypothetically, he does not wish to sleep with a woman who is taken, then that is his personal decision to make. You will respect that.

TRP is amoral. Not anti-morality.

Now if OP is lying to us about his motivations and thoughts (which there is no reason to, since he's anonymous on here) then he will get poor feedback and unhelpful advice because he has misled us. That is his problem, not yours. It is not our responsibility to correct something like that. We can only provide advice on what will and won't work to achieve his goals. Sometimes that involves offering advice upon changing mindset. You need to appreciate the subtlety of where this does and does not apply. In OP's case, it does not apply.

Is it possible to escape the 'orbiter zone' and be more than friends with a girl?

6 upvotes · 9 December 2015 · /r/askTRP

> Maybe. I've never tried it. Personally I think it's counter-productive to developing abundance mentality. I have heard stories of guys being re-evaluated and then chased by chicks they used to orbit, so it's certainly not impossible. We'd need to ask one of them to confirm whether the beta notions she had are still in play at all.

Are women turned off by the act of lifting (seeing a man struggle)?

6 upvotes · 21 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> One of the core Red Pill tenets is that you need to make your success look effortless. It's all natural. Your position at the head of the pack was natural because you are genetically gifted. The fact that this is complete bollocks is neither here nor there for women. They're interested in the finished product... not the process. Nor the man in progress.

[FR] Girl cheats on boyfriend with "bbf's" ex

6 upvotes · 7 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's a red pill example, not a Field Report because you were not in the field playing the game.

[Mod] If you bitch and moan, I'm a throw you out.

6 upvotes · 6 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Kill your ego and come here to learn.

This can not be said enough.

What would it take for men to value commitment on the same level women do?

6 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> And thus the thread was completed.

It would seem that some of you are breaking the first two rules of Fight Club.

6 upvotes · 22 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Ever been handed a pamphlet about the glory of Gods Grace in the shopping Mall? (Not to compare TRP with organized Religion, just making a point.)

> It's not an unreasonable comparison when that sort of behaviour is being employed.
>
> The fact of the matter is that TRP is not a cult. Nobody is keeping anybody here and you are not required to contribute financially to identify as a Red Pill man. We don't need to employ the aggressive recruiting strategies of cults because we are just theory, discussion and explanation. Nothing else is needed because those seeking answers will read and research, and they will see that TRP offers an explanation which fits their lives and all the data around them.
>
> I remember a blooper tried to engage me in conversation because they wanted to "save me" from this. The big problem they had, was that their belief that TRP was wrong was based upon "it's obvious like knowing the world is round." Simply put, some people will never be unplugged. They are against being unplugged because they are against being unplugged. There is no logic or rationale involved. The men who use logic and think rationally will come here and read in due course.

Observations and Advice from retouching a bunch of your pictures

6 upvotes · 19 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is an under-appreciated piece. Pragmatism applied by someone who knows what they're talking about, aimed at the layman. There should be more of this on TRP.

Would it be possible for all men to become alpha?

6 upvotes · 15 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > So, all you black pill types ? The Omegasphere ? WizardChan and R9k ?
> >
> > You are doing gods work. We needed a suicidal rearguard, and just on que you stepped up and volunteered. Good men.
>
> My sides!

Confused. No answers on ask trp.

6 upvotes · 14 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> She's playing "good girl" game on you in the hopes of obtaining commitment. Looks like it's working.

If gender roles are biological, why do men need to be taught how to engage in courtship?

6 upvotes · 14 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Simply having a biological need for something does not negate the fact that sometimes methods of getting that need have to be taught. Whether that's sexual strategy or hunting is all similar.
>
> Languages aren't a biological need. However if you wanted to apply the same thought process to communication as a need (which it kinda is, it's a social need required for good mental health and reproductive success) so we need to learn language. We can learn either by observing others or by instruction. They're both equally valid and still methods of learning.
>
> > Checkmate, biotroofs.
>
> Not really... your point is the equivalent of someone who walks into the evolution debate and cites the complexity of the human eye as proof of creationism.

What do you think about this red pill post about single mothers?

6 upvotes · 3 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> This is a piece of art. It should be hung in a gallery for all to admire.

Funerals and Reunions

6 upvotes · 1 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Why this hasn't been upvoted more is beyond me. This is a simple pragmatic solution as opposed to beta whining and trying to negotiate.

What are TRP thoughts on the differences between women in the UK and the US?

6 upvotes · 7 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The UK is worse in terms of laws. We have some of those genuinely awful women... I'm not sure if we have more or less of them than the US. Our single mother problem is growing and the legal precedent for chicks getting half your shit just for living with you for 6 months is extremely disturbing.
>
> I would say most of the worst examples of TRP do apply to the UK as much as to the US. We just have a slightly different legal situation. I've seen the women dating my friends act abhorrently. One, in front of her fiancé, was proclaiming "I don't need no man" ... literally in front of the one man who should not be considered disposable. Another long term girlfriend of a mate, was out with us and the moment he went to the gents and left us alone... first words out of her mouth were "so are you seeing anyone at the moment?" After my response, followed up with something along the lines of "are you considering settling down anytime soon?" Yeah luv, branch swing much?
>
> Some of the women here even have some exceptional "good girl" game. Don't get fooled! It's all here in the UK, just keep your eyes peeled and keep raising your SMV and improving your game... as you do, it'll all reveal itself.

The noble gentlemen of r/AskMen believe that sending a girl a heartfelt note will make her fall in love with you.

6 upvotes · 3 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Beware. The relationship advice industry is an elaborate, diabolical, feminist scheme to weed betas out of the pool of fuckable males.
>
> Precisely. So much truth! It's exactly the advice of "just be yourself" - designed to help women weed out betas.

How I started making LOVE not WAR with Feminists. Sex + Socratic method = Social Change.

6 upvotes · 3 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Look bro, you want to go waste your time then be my guest. Ultimately TRP takes the stance that there are some agreed facts of reality and then there are some pieces of advice like "don't get married" which are generally agreed upon... but at the end of the day I'm a big believer in the Bruce Lee Principle. "Adapt what is useful, reject what is useless, and add what is specifically your own."
>
> From my experience watching how people think and argue, it's a waste of time trying to convince the average person to change their views on anything because they'll forget and change back a few days later. This from the guy who convinced a room of 100+ so-called "liberal minded" students that cold-blooded murder is perfectly acceptable in any situation where I tell them it is. People are mostly sheep and your influence only lasts for so long. I don't tell you this to shoot you down, I'm providing my experience so that you can avoid wasting your time. That said, if you're looking to engage in this discussion to find truth for yourself rather than exclusively pushing your views then I will quickly run down your responses.
>
> > My discovery in this situation has been that rhetoric makes every discussion an argument, which always

> ended badly for me. Good lovin' plus small seeds of doubt proved effective in breaking through the impulse to adhere to anything Feminist, adding credibility to my position in her mind, not to mention much fun for both of us.

My point was missed so I'll restate it. Rhetoric is one part of an ideal argument. This is because it taps into the emotions. It's why it is so good for leading women. My point was a statement, not really a discussion point.

> That's always a risk, but I'm finding that a satisfied girlfriend can carry seeds of doubt that steer the hivemind, sometimes with the agility of an aircraft carrier; but with a penetration I couldn't manage myself.

I disagree. They'll just be rejected from their social group or shamed into submission. Since women are naturally submissive, you know how this ends.

> My selection process filters away these type of college girls, otherwise yeah that would be a problem.

So you concede my point that this significant chunk of the hivemind simply can't be changed by your method. Your method has more use for application to LTR theory rather than overall change.

> Who's we?

This is the generally agreed upon ideas I mentioned earlier. TRP typically does not think women need to be saved or that it is our responsibility to save them from themselves.

> I agree this isn't for everyone here nor any Feminist, of course. For those who would next an otherwise attractive prospect at the word Feminist, maybe give it a try.

Women identifying as a feminist will still be considered a significant red flag under the LTR theory I've read. It just implies too many negative things which are not worth dealing with. An "otherwise attractive prospect" as you put it would be highly unlikely to identify as a feminist because she would be displaying a number of green flags. Typically such a woman is likely to distance herself from feminism. So I'm afraid I don't buy the application. I'd recommend you continue reading the LTR game theory here because it will help you build the right foundations. However if you think one LTR is going to have any impact on her wider circle of feminist-minded friends then I think you'll be in for a rude awakening.

Take all that how you want. I've contributed what I can here.

TRP Truth in Dating Articles written by a Girl

6 upvotes · 27 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I wouldn't give an ice queen the time of day. Maybe if she was my ideal of a perfect 10 I'd invest minimal time to see if she thawed a little. But seriously... what self-respecting man has any time for those types of women?

An Open Letter to Those “Forever Alone”

6 upvotes · 10 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> If you're looking to help others, maybe I can help you get your point across in a grounded scientific way. You talk about people taking a label and becoming it... one of the most famous studies in psychology done by Philip Zimbardo in 1971, the Stanford Prison experiment pretty much proved how eager humans are to play the "role" they've been given. Even as individuals, we'll do what we think we should be doing to fit in. How do you fit in? You play the role you've been given. (Zimbardo stopped the experiment after he'd realised that despite running the experiment... he'd begun to get too deep into his role as the warden. That's how powerful this innate drive is for us.) FA people are playing that role to fit within their little community. Unfortunately that role is a very

> negative one, much like the prisoner's role. They became submissive, withdrawn and felt like they deserved all the crap they were given.
>
> If you can get someone in a negative role to look up this experiment, it can help them to open their eyes as to what's happening to them. This can lead to the objective view needed to inspire change in a greater proportion of people. I hope this helps you. Keep on inspiring bro!

Life is good

6 upvotes · 3 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I think TRP is inclined towards realism rather than optimism.

The modern slut mistakenly increases her own value... we shouldn't help.

6 upvotes · 30 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Most of the negative comments are just negative without engaging with the bulk of the analysis. These people have made their choices and don't intend to consider the possibility that they could be wrong. Personally I've found that attitude to be incompatible with mental and intellectual growth, so that's why I write this stuff.
>
> I will get downvoted into oblivion because my analysis is not fashionable. It does not have acquiring sex as the top priority. Though it hasn't been since I read a post by Illimitableman a while back that I realised a true alpha will get sex if he wants it, and the vital nuance which is missed most of the time is, **he will get that sex on his own terms** (so if you've got no standards or thought process which defines what *your* terms are then you've still got a way to go to become a true alpha.)
>
> > Although, I admit at this point I don't know how we can do it. But I give credit to OP for thinking about something.
>
> Thank you. The only way things change on a grand scale is if everyone changes their actions. Change like that only begins if men stand up and say the unpopular. (This is half the problem with the feminisation of society... it removes unpopular opinions as options. It's like saying you want to get out of a hole, but then deciding to tie your hands behind your back first.) For me, if only one man like yourself can see the reasoning, then the post was worthwhile.

How Tinder facilitates SMV/RMV price discovery, or Why "No Hookups" is the funniest, most common phrase on Tinder

6 upvotes · 28 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It should probably be noted too that while Tinder is providing a reality check in the difference between their SMV and RMV, the process of doing so ups their N count (something which can not be undone) and thus destroys their ability to pair bond. This reduces their chances of forming a loving, lasting bond with a future husband. Instead they will go the way of the AF/BB and look back at their life mournfully, wondering where it all went wrong and why they couldn't be satisfied.
>
> It's a lose-lose for women and they don't even realise it. But hey, so long as the economy is making money and womyn be havin' sex... it'll all just work itself out, right? "Everything happens for a reason and Prince Charming will come sweep me up eventually." Nope. But it's also not my problem. It's all just an interesting tangent to me. Good post.

The Red Pill is even tougher to swallow for girls

6 upvotes · 31 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> > **Women being coddled and flattered and catered to so much is really what makes them, in many ways,**

> **as weak and needy and inferior as they are. I do believe women can be a lot better/higher quality than they are, but they'd need to find RPW at a young age. Being a post-wall hag on RPW, or a reformed slut will not get you a male 9 or 10 unless you luck the fuck out.** Women past the expiry date have to accept a man will take other mistresses if he is alpha and she waited post-wall (once she lost her youth) to get serious, that or she can monopolise a beta she will never truly "be in love with" (get tingles for.) Every woman wants to monopolise an alpha, but very few have the sheer quality necessary (beauty, intelligence, good disposition) to warrant alpha provider commitment (which is basically RPW endgame.)

It should also be noted for the women who do find the knowledge to become a RPW early... that the bar is set so low by all the other women that you'd only need to be average attractiveness to be able to secure one of the highest quality men out there. If ever there was a demonstration of Red Pill knowledge being power...

I've always had little pity for the ignorant. I don't pity my old blue pill self... he got what was coming to him. A woman who puts in minimal effort and doesn't bother to add proper value to herself won't get any pity from me either. If we then consider the idea of "I can have it all" in the context of the average woman in modern society adding little to no value... it truly becomes utterly laughable. No wonder they find it hard to accept.

Drunk girl jumps out of car to blame boyfriend. Thankfully, he was recording their argument.

6 upvotes · 23 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's a shame we can't have a less obvious version of a polygraph so that we could investigate what percentage of women are pathological liars.

RP self-improvement vs AWALT

6 upvotes · 20 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> > It does no such thing. AWALT is not completely literal, it's simply an assumption because it's usually a safe bet. This has been clarified a million times over.
>
> Actually, it is. AWALT is a reference to the innate biological and evolutionary psychological traits that all women will experience. The vast majority will display these openly, hence the call of AWALT from men providing examples of things like a woman upgrading or cheating on a man, or throwing a temper tantrum when she doesn't get her way, or shit testing a guy. The difference that the potential unicorn woman shows is that she is aware of the negative aspects of her pre-programming and works to try and be a better woman. Think back to the example of the woman who went onto twoX who'd awaked to how she'd been mistreating her man for years when she threw a massive tantrum over a minor difference in hamburger meat. It's the modern society which has allowed these base urges to become unrestrained and go nuts. 99% of modern women have very poor impulse control and even less control over their emotions. This is actually what allows Red Pill behaviour to spin plates so easily on our own terms. It also explains the slow destruction of the family unit because all these things lead into each other.
>
> AWALT is truth. The difference is in the woman - how she handles it.

Fucking years...

6 upvotes · 20 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Powerful stuff. We're all rooting for you!

Spoiled by AF/BB: a slight paradox

6 upvotes · 13 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Sounds more like you've bumped into Lucifer's Daughter. Read that, then run for your life. Run fast. Run far.

I'm sick and fucking tired of men who enable women and their "it's not my fault" bullshit victim complex

6 upvotes · 5 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's such a head fuck that I understand why a lot of guys think "fuck this shit!" and look for escapes. I don't fucking blame 'em, they're doing what they perceive to be the rational thing, they just want to be happy. Most men are simple like that. They don't need all the head games and politics that comes with being in a relationship or even associating with women nowadays.

This links on well with a point I've brought up a couple of times with people around here. On a base psychological level, our minds tend to take the path of least resistance. We alter behaviour when it becomes untenable to sustain a cognition any further. The way you drive a person's actions towards whatever you want is you just make it seem like a reasonable option. People find it hard to reconcile this with things like crime and people leaving the country to go fight for ISIS... but it's really not. Why do you think most crime and most criminals are found in the poor neighbourhoods? Because that's where there is an obvious lack of good quality future if you play by the law. By turning to crime, at least you've got an outside chance of becoming the godfather one day. It may not be great, but it's better than the alternative. Same goes for ISIS. Unlikely to earn much money and have a great life here? Well you can always radicalise and go fight a romanticised war for truth and honour in Syria. And again, it's shown here with the men who're checking out of society and not bothering with women anymore. They see they can't date a decent quality woman. If I can't even date a decent quality woman... who the fuck am I going to marry? The alternative life of video games, movies, porn and whatever bro-nights is quite obviously a much better option than what the alternative looks like. Path of least resistance guys. It's more frightening than we're willing to admit.

NARS: The Real Epidemic

5 upvotes · 4 November 2021 · /r/TheRedPill

> I've been hobby reading for years and still couldn't tell you much on statistical analysis.

Stats is a subject in itself. I was actually untaught statistics in my first degree. I found it astonishing that they managed to do that. Much later on I realised there's a shit tonne of people out there with fancy titles who do not grasp the material.

You will encounter senior managers who make decisions based on data, who do not grasp how to interpret data correctly. You will find scientists who draw conclusions from their experiment, who don't grasp the inferential statistical analysis used to examine their results. They then proceed to tell us how to live our lives..... bring back the meritocracy.

How to get over caring about your LTR?

5 upvotes · 10 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

> A majority of the red flags didn’t present themselves until we were dating

This is why the vetting period exists. It's long because women fake for a long time... but not forever.

> I knew her for three years before I fucked her.

lol

> This girl didn’t want to get with me in high school when I knew her, it was only when I leveled up by losing

> fat, increasing my status and making money that she came along

lol

> I let my blue pill fantasies get the best of me

This does not jive with:

> I genuinely don’t think I have a blue pill mindset but

You do still have a blue pill mindset. You made some superficial changes and the pain you are now suffering is because you are still a beta deep down inside. More reading on mindset and more reading around what endorsed guys have written on using red pill methods for blue pill fantasies and why it doesn't work out.

You can't own your bad decisions until you accept the thought processes that led to them and that those thought processes are your responsibility, your mistake/fault and you can't own your failures by going "I fucked up, but it wasn't because I'm doing things wrong." which is the essence of your defence here. Go take a hard look in the mirror. All the advice you currently need is in my two replies. The rest is down to you and whether you can use it to better yourself or whether you will continue on in denial.

Girlfriend told me about another guy that hitted on her, and asked her about her instagram, my gf told me she wouldnt give him her ig, but she did anyways and follow him back

5 upvotes · 2 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Shud i confront her and setup a boundary about me not being cool with it?

So she's directly lied to your face and you are concerned about "setting up boundaries." Do you even listen to yourself?

Your ex-girlfriend told you these things. Plates get to fuck around. LTRs do not. Go do your basic reading.

When does staring become weird?

5 upvotes · 22 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Simple answer to the title: When she doesn't find you attractive.

Found out my gf had a threesome with two dudes and it's making me sick

5 upvotes · 18 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> However, never said i've LTR'd her.

Then everything you've been writing is irrelevant. If she's just a plate then none of this matters at all.

> Are you retarded? lol By serious I meant we got emotional bond not LTR. Been together 3 months and I'm considering LTR, thus the post.

And you feel this makes you look clever? Like you've somehow outwitted me? Everything I wrote regarding an LTR remains accurate in the "considering" LTR nonsense.

It's really simple. You plate, you vet for a minimum of 6 months. During this time she is pure plate material throughout. If she behaves herself and sticks around for the time, THEN and only then you begin to consider an LTR. If during the vetting something comes up that is a red flag, she is disqualified as LTR material and will

forever remain a plate.

> I've banged plenty of chicks and got options even rn, so it's not a matter for wanting to hop into it.

Then you'll find the advice easy. Keep as plate, collect more plates. Spin. Break. Repeat.

The way you're talking does not pass the sniff test. It smells like you're desperate for an LTR and you're willing to promote plate material, ahead of time because you're hamstering how she "shows promise." The downvotes you're getting are further demonstrating this point. Everyone else sees it too.

Why is OmLaLa the only endorsed contributor on the sidebar?

5 upvotes · 11 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

My Comprehensive game series "TRP field toolkit" is also on the sidebar here. As is the recommended LTR reading series from OccamsUsername. Then you've got guys like HumanSockPuppet and MattyAnon who've written some of the key pieces like handling the talk, the managing bitches guide, "there's this one girl" which tops the list of FAQs.

The fact he says it's only OmLaLa and that being not just inaccurate, but so far from the truth as to be laughable, would suggest he hasn't actually read said sidebar.

What twitter accounts are popular among red pilllers for self improvement and game?

5 upvotes · 2 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

Get off twitter. It's a censored cesspit with little to no value for a regular red piller.

How do you admit your girlfriend is right and apologize without losing frame?

5 upvotes · 17 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

The fact you don't know this demonstrates you have clearly not done your reading. Something which was suspected the moment you started misusing terms like "frame." The Captain/First Mate analogy is commonplace in all the basic LTR reading.

Sidebar. NOW. Do not return to this sub and ask questions if you have not completed and understood the basic reading materials.

This is basic foundational shit before you're even considering an LTR, let alone beginning vetting or in your insane case, starting one. It's no wonder you're having arguments with her. You're completely unprepared to play the game on hard mode and rightly making a complete fool of yourself. If she finds you out before you've caught up, do NOT attempt another LTR. Spin 2 to 3 plates for a minimum of 18 months.

Girl I've been seeing for a year, bruises

5 upvotes · 10 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> is basically like my girlfriend.

Why do you only have one plate?

Why do girls use dread when it just makes them look slutty

5 upvotes · 6 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

You are misusing the language. Women cannot "dread" men. It makes no sense in the context of red pill philosophy. "You're subtly threatening to leave and take your sex away? But this other woman is going to jump into your place. How is this a threat?"

> You describe a shit test. A more disrespectful shit test than average, that's why it's winding you up. Also an LTR red flag. You should be able to identify all of this BEFORE you're posting on AskTRP. **Go away and do your reading.**

Dealing with LMR

5 upvotes · 2 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> You can either say you were looking to get to know her privately, not meet her friends and family. It's a bit too fast for you and you're not really up for that.
>
> Or you could semi-joke about her friend being keen on a threesome. Does she always try to crash your dates and hook up with your guy? She's welcome along so long as she doesn't bite too hard.
>
> Depends on your personality and how you want to play it really. If you're sure it's some kind of insurance cock-block then don't waste your time and take route 1. If you think it's a shit test or you're getting some bicurious vibes from her, take route 2, show up and try to bang both of them. Worst case there you hang around after her friend leaves and escalate hard telling her she's teased you too much or something. A lot of these things depend on the moment and the chick's personality. There isn't enough detail in the post to make any concrete decisions.

Need inner game advice after having fixed my life over 5 years (and a big Thank You)

5 upvotes · 27 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Have you read the TRP Field Toolkit on the sidebar here? It's a comprehensive guide to all aspects of game.

First time noticing this: Getting ignored by girls in social circle settings

5 upvotes · 28 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Low SMV guys are invisible to women.
>
> > **Questions:** What would you do in this situation?
>
> Raise your SMV.
>
> > To be honest, I feel like just packing up and leaving right now. I fucking hate being treated like this.
>
> Unless you can improve your social status or dress better to up your SMV then this is how the rest of the trip will be. Make solid friends with the high SMV guy and your SMV will rise a little by association.
>
> Be aware that if you pack up and leave without appearing nonchalant and with a good reason you've got to go, then you will appear like a bit of a grumpy loser which may affect your relationships with your friends.
>
> You should get used to this for a bit. It is the lot in life of the low SMV average frustrated chump. Go monk mode if it's having a negative impact on your happiness. You'll notice the difference after 6-12 months of hard work in the gym and redoing your wardrobe.

Girl from work asked me out?

5 upvotes · 24 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

> I mean, at this point you're not just shitting where you eat... you'd might aswell curl one out directly on to your dinner plate tonight.

"I won't be available for a week"

5 upvotes · 1 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

> She's a plate, who cares?

"you hate me lowkey" weird shit test???

5 upvotes · 6 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> That's mostly because it's not a shit test. Go do your reading.

I have been with a girl who is probably the closest thing to a unicorn. Should I keep her around and wife her up eventually?

5 upvotes · 13 January 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Ahhh, the college kiddo thinks he's found a unicorn. The naivety is too delicious for words. You proceed as you see fit, the community will still be here when you come back to piece your heart back together and admit how much of an idiot you've been.

Finding the balance in LTR? Is it salvageable?

5 upvotes · 26 November 2019 · /r/askTRP

> So for the comfort tests, refer to my TRP Field Toolkit guide. I think comfort tests are covered in part 3. You'll find it in the sidebar of AskTRP.
>
> What I tend to do in order to keep the rollercoaster going is to give her surprises. Cheap presents are pretty good for it too. Something silly, not expensive and definitely not flowers. Little fun shaped chocs have been a winner for me when I've detected she needs a little comfort. It's enough to demonstrate unequivocally that you care about her without looking like you're a beta trying to buy her affection.
>
> Turn up when she's not expecting it - it delivers the message of interest in her while allowing you to be the dominant one making the decisions about when and where your time is spent. (You get to do this, she doesn't.) I've done this before with plates when I've felt like fucking and been nearby, grab a bottle of something and head over. I think it may be simple comfort stuff like that which allowed me to have such longevity with my plates (one lasted 18 months before she smashed and typically I'd managed to move them to 9-12 months after my first few had only lasted a month.)
>
> You can also make plans in secret as to where you're going. Even to the silly point of like "no, it's a surprise. Get that red dress on that I like to see you in. Be ready in 30 mins and don't be late or I'm leaving without you." And obviously stick to that, give her a 5 min warning or whatever but if she pisses you about then leave without her. That in itself will create some drama that you can pass off with "I don't tell you lies. When I tell you to be ready to leave by 7pm I'm not taking the piss and suggesting just be ready whenever."
>
> Mix up your date routine too. Drag her some place fancy out of the blue one night, then a couple of weeks later drag her some place that's rustic or whatever. Then a different time, you can find a comedy club with a comedian performing that you think you'll both like, and take her to that as an after-dinner surprise.
>
> This is all off the top of my head, but there's plenty of different things you can do to provide comfort. However the best way to go about them is to remember that you're leading and she is along for the ride. If you're having fun, she'll typically have fun too. Her not being able to predict what will happen is the key. Try to range from the little heartwarming things to giving her uncertainty to even a little worry, while providing that stability from you being there with her so it's safe to be in an uncertain situation. Then when whatever surprise happens, she gets a little giddy thrill. Hell you can cause that one by simply picking her up, carrying her to the bedroom, dropping her on the bed and pulling her panties down after you've finished your night out. I've done that move on several girls and 3 of them have explicitly commented on how hot they found it.
>
> Ultimately it's all just "wow, unexpected and hot exciting things are happening to me." (Note the "to me" bit. Women don't like having to put in the effort themselves. They prefer to be bystanders that things happen to. Like the dick that just happens to end up inside them. I'm sure you're very familiar with that by now.)

Wtf are all the labels that girls are using on dating apps nowadays?

5 upvotes · 5 October 2019 · /r/askTRP

> These are called red flags for left wing social justice mental illnesses. The more she has on her profile, the less willing you should be to have anything to do with her. There's plenty of normal women out there, engaging with the social justice nutters who'll gladly accuse you of rape is just broadcasting a scarcity mentality.

I can attract women, but I feel I have nothing to talk about with them and my fucks given for 'entertaining' them just to get pussy is getting less and less the older I get. Normal? What do?

5 upvotes · 5 October 2019 · /r/askTRP

> I went through this... I'm kinda still there. I wouldn't be willing to put in lots of effort to seduction anymore. I've got bigger fish to fry. This is basically why tinder exists. Stick out some good pics, wait for a chick to call you hot, text for logistics and bang. That's basically as straightforward as it gets. The main effort there is in getting your body on point and then getting the pics on point.

Got cucked. What next?

5 upvotes · 20 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> > Soft next and reinitiate but sex only.
>
> Not wise. He's clearly not strongly enough in control of his own emotions to do something like that.

Downgrading your LTR. How did that work out for you?

5 upvotes · 2 November 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Hard next and clean break. If you've developed any kind of emotional bond to her, she will break it before you do because women are designed to be able to emotionally detach from a partner quicker. There is no benefit to putting yourself through the mental and emotional difficulty of detaching slower while seeing her detach quickly.

What's an expected Tinder girl to lay ratio?

5 upvotes · 30 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Match to arranged plans ration won't be great. If you're getting 50% then you're doing pretty well.
>
> Plans/drinks/netflix+chill to lay ratio should be pretty high. If you're under 80% then you need to work on your game and/or SMV.
>
> These stats are rough, as with many things dealing with the more emotional gender YMMV.

My younger brother is turning into a loser. What do I do?

5 upvotes · 7 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Try taking an interest in what he's doing. Talk to him about it. Sorting yourself out requires you to come to the conclusion that you're a loser yourself. If you tell him that it'll be dismissed. He needs to realise that he's a loser. (Plus you need to be sure... he might turn out to be a brilliant mixer and be going on to have a career as the next Pete Tong.)
>
> You'll want to ask questions like "what have you been doing" "What have you worked on" "what have you completed" "what do you plan to do" in regards to his work. Working independently can be tough motivating yourself sometimes. So don't expect perfection of him doing exactly 40 hours per week, clocking in 9-5 etc. But do expect him to work a fair bit on what he's doing. Try to be supportive and encouraging and see where things go.
>
> When he makes the bad sounds like "haven't done anything this week" then you probably use a bit of amused

> mastery to remind him "careers don't start themselves. Those big names toiled as nobodies for years mate, working daily on new stuff until something broke through for them. Every day you're not working decreases your percentage chance you can make a success of this. You should keep that in mind when you take a day off." Keep it light and positive.
>
> That way if it does go south, he won't feel alienated by you for his choice. That way you'll be there to give him a hug and let him know his life isn't over and that he'll need to get back to work in getting himself a different career. "Humans don't naturally win at everything. We fail sometimes. But we can learn about ourselves from that failure and get better."
>
> If you really want to help him then keep asking him the questions he's not asking himself. If he falls he'll need a strong man to give an example and help to pick him back up not kick him while he's down like a woman would. The entire essence of TRP is here. Sometimes alphas need to be kind to betas and give them the help and support they need to become alphas. In this case, as your brother, kicking him while he's in such a dangerous place is not the best call. Kicking a guy who knows the truth but isn't doing it is one thing... kicking a guy who is unaware of what he's doing wrong will only drive him away. He's going to have to make these mistakes on his own. The painful bit for you will be watching.

Why do most girls I meet and "hit it off" with already have a boyfriend ? Does anyone else feel like the odds are stacked against them?

5 upvotes · 6 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> The odds are not against you. You are overthinking things. You are probably being shit tested by a number of these women. You should not be asking women if they have a boyfriend. It displays lack of confidence in speaking womanese. Stay away from straight talk.
>
> Have you learnt game inside and out? Have you maxed your initial SMV? Are you misinterpreting a chick using you as entertainment rather than expressing interest?

Girl says she's scared to look dumb, don't know what to reply?

5 upvotes · 31 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Wow man. I'm not sure whether to be impressed or disgusted that you stuck with it for so long. At least we now have a practical example backing up my point.

Lifting and Respect

5 upvotes · 3 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> From my experience, that could just be shyness... and scenario B works for some guys. The number of scrawny tall guys I've seen with attractive women despite dreadful posture and no muscle is almost offensive.

TRP Lessons/observations from my best friends sister

5 upvotes · 24 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> the HB scale is considered objective. If you are stating personal 8s as HB8s who to most other men are considered 6s, then you are using the HB scale incorrectly. This is the essence of "there are no HB10s" because no large scale of men will consider one woman a 10. However, at worst a 10 will be considered an HB8. At least according to Heartiste.
>
> Point being, separating the individual perception of a woman from their personal perception. This is important for note sharing amongst men. How do we know? If your idea of a 7 is some size 16 bitch then we should be aware of this and you should label her the 4 (at best) that she is. It is the only way a community like this can function.

> Specifically to my point... there are no 10s. A 10 is very specific to each man. For instance, I've found men who agree with me on the personal 10 I've slept with. Others consider her an 8 or a 9. Each to their own. Those two points make a difference, just as the difference between a 5 and a 7.

I white-knighted and paid the price... Never be the white knight.

5 upvotes · 23 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> +1 point for this clear insight into the social dynamics. I have no idea why you're not commenting here more often.

How I Manage My LTR

5 upvotes · 7 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I'm not really arguing with you but emotionally invested? What is that?
>
> I wouldn't know, I never used that phrase. Time, effort and bonding were what I referred to.
>
> > Imo, it's foolish to think you are investing in a relationship. There's no guaranteed returns, she could fuck Chad tomorrow.
>
> You could buy shares in a company and it could go bust tomorrow... doesn't mean buying is no longer investing. Arguing semantics isn't going to improve your knowledge or position though.
>
> > Not trusting a woman makes me unhappy. So if I don't trust her, it's over.
>
> You do you.
>
> > You seem to promote being emotionally invested in a LTR and that's not the way to do it.
>
> The closest I can see of my own post to this is that promote bonding. The emotional bond makes her emotions less fleeting when it comes to you. Again, the caveat, nothing is perfect and it will not guarantee anything. However it is better for the likely success of the LTR if she has emotionally bonded to you over significant time.
>
> I can see from your clearer stance now that you are opposed to actually doing an LTR but something in you is causing you to go into LTRs. (I don't know what it is, but you should probably have a think on it for your own benefit.) As for me, I'd advise you just spin plates man. There's no benefit to you in hopping from LTR to LTR. You will get all the same benefits you've stated above from plate spinning and you'll endure less hassle.

How I Manage My LTR

5 upvotes · 7 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> I was about to do the same. Slowly but surely we're starting to get some good LTR posts around here.

Another dude texting me...

5 upvotes · 20 February 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Impossible to read this one. You done any research into the guy to see what sort of person he is. Guys act both decently and subversively. This is obviously beta behaviour on his count... but just how beta is he?
>
> Though let's take a step back for a minute from your question to get to where my real advice is... why the fuck do you give a shit about this ONE chick who you haven't even been speaking to? Is she worth getting stabbed by some crazy over-protective beta? Plenty more women out there. Why bother working this out?

Rsd says that i'm already enough

5 upvotes · 22 December 2017 · /r/askTRP

> Okay, I'll fuck the 8s and 9s, you fuck the 4s and 3s.
>
> That makes sense for me.
>
> You are never enough. You will never be enough. Hypergamy decrees this to 99% of men. Every chick you bang or date would leave you in a heartbeat if Brad Pitt walked up to her and asked her if she'd like go for a ride on his dick.
>
> The "I'm the prize" and "I don't require her approval" bit comes from genuinely being the shit (it should not come from lies or you will never truly become a RP man) and seeing just how worthless a woman's affections really are. They are fleeting, it is merely your turn until the light switch goes off and in the space of days she can go from "I love you" to "I never loved you." And she will genuinely believe it. You don't require her approval because her approval is worth nothing. It's worth less than nothing along with all her opinions to do with men because they change more frequently than she changes her underwear.
>
> You need a serious refresher on attitude. TRP Field Toolkit part one on the sidebar here. Go. Read. Digest.
>
> Sidenote: RSDJulien is a faggot. He apologised to the SJWs. You never apologise to them. Take anything he has to say with a very healthy pinch of salt.

Meet Jacq.....the Stripper

5 upvotes · 9 November 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah, but I think the point is that in going the route she's gone, she's damaged herself beyond repair. Think about the level of man she wants. Now think about the options that man has.
>
> She's become red pilled, but at a price that's similar to that endured by an alpha widow. She's unable to respect or bond with men... and the wall is coming up on her. Soon it will be time to settle down into a life of misery with one of those men she despises. Isn't feminism so great and liberating for women?
>
> Even when women exploit the SMP, they still end up losing. That's why so many women despise the pill so greatly because while men can play the game in multiple ways and win... women can't. They're forced to play one way or lose. Remember that women do exploit the SMP... we just usually call it the CC. That's normal. Many even admit it. Doesn't mean they're going to win. The target for a woman's winning sexual strategy is to lock down an alpha bucks, the consolation prize would be the AF/BB strategy because BB always makes her miserable and lonely. It's just a matter of time.
>
> She may be right in her quotes. Doesn't make it good though and we must maintain the difference between the reality of things contrasted with successful sexual strategies. Hence: Exploiting men through the SMP is very true, happens all the time. It's bad for the men it happens to and it's bad for the women in the long term, despite being good for them in the short term. But that's how women operate. Feels first, living purely in the moment because someone else will sort out their shit later. I believe this is the point OP puts forth when he speaks of the damage of feminism. Losing this perspective will drop you into a hedonistic nihilism (which I'm unsure whether it's been truly settled on TRP yet.)

How to deal with other alphas?

5 upvotes · 8 November 2017 · /r/askTRP

> As an equal would when fitting themselves into a professional hierarchy. I've written an old piece on this ages ago. (Most of the sub is incapable of this.) Search my submissions history for the piece on roles, respect and responsibility.

How trusting my emotions to my GF teached me a valuable Red Pill lesson

5 upvotes · 24 October 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> It cannot be overstated the difference. Spinning plates is like visiting an orphanage to play with one of the kids there. Starting an LTR is like adopting that child. You've then just accepted all the associated responsibilities that go along with that adoption... not just the playtime. It is that kind of day and night difference.
>
> You must lead her. You must balance your passing of shit tests with your passing of comfort tests. You must provide direction for the relationship. You must assist her in finding her own directions for things you are not involved or remotely interested in. You must spend enough time with her so as to allow her to bond, however this will reduce your ability to appear busy with other things.
>
> There's way more, not to mention all the stuff in your life that doesn't involve her, but I really can't be arsed at the moment. Seriously guys, spin plates. Then when you feel you're ready for an LTR, spin plates for another year. Then search slowly for an LTR. Vetting is the most important part of the process since it will decide how difficult the management of the relationship is. And be well aware, the relationship's success or failure is all going to be put on you. So you better be ready for that weight. It's much heavier than you're expecting.

How to defuse this particular instance of "the talk"?

5 upvotes · 5 September 2017 · /r/askTRP

> At the very least you want to demote her for disrespect. Use the time to gather more options. It never really matters if a plate breaks or not. Ultimately you need to reestablish your authority on the leadership of this. It all happens on your terms or it doesn't happen at all, hence demote for the moment probably with a soft next.

You want to attract girls? Click here to learn the only thing you need to know.

5 upvotes · 31 August 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> It has been long established on here what the hierarchy is. Status trumps all. Be as good looking as you like, if the chick has to pick between you and the DJ or you and the lead singer of the band on stage, she's off to fuck the guy on stage while you admire yourself in the mirror.

Relationship-zoned, need advice

5 upvotes · 24 August 2017 · /r/askTRP

> > I said I felt anxious and it doesn't feel right to have this be the end of it.
>
> Beta revelation of weakness and open demonstration of scarcity mentality. That's where you really fucked up and sent this from the LMR test that you failed into her viewing you as a non-sexual being.
>
> Remember, LMR is all about "I've got this feeling you're not quite alpha enough for me." It must NEVER be treated seriously. It's her stupid feelings which you don't have time for. You took her dumb shit seriously and it became a big emotional talking point (which women love... free emotional ride and validation without having to give out sex.)

I Found A Glitch

5 upvotes · 24 April 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> The moment a woman demonstrates serious emotional instability is the moment she is hard nexted. Sex is never worth the risk of an emotionally unstable woman since they are unpredictable and have the state on their side.
>
> This FR is a demonstration of lacking *true* abundance mentality since he is apparently king of the party but instead chose to go the crazy/dangerous route instead of plating up a girl who didn't have a significant red flag above her head.

There is no reason not to be a slut.

5 upvotes · 10 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah. When I got his oh so witty response of "you mad you not black and got a small dick." The projection wasn't even worthy of a laugh. So looked at his post history and you're spot on.
>
> Though I do want to add a couple of points for those who are red pilled and want to know the correct stance on sluts. Sluts are good for emptying your balls. That's it. That's their only purpose. They are not a good thing nor are they necessary because it's possible to find decent women to empty your balls too.
>
> When we tolerate sluts or pretend they are more than they are, we are implicitly accepting that their behaviour is acceptable when it is not. When a woman displays low RMV, she should be treated as such. i.e. she is openly displaying that she has no value beyond sex, so that is her value. We're not in the business of sugar coating reality for what it is here on TRP. Shitty behaviour by a woman gets called what it is. When a cunt acts like a cunt, you call her a cunt because she is a cunt. When a slut behaves like a slut, she is a slut with all the characteristics that come with it - i.e. likely to have mental problems, poor relationship investment, poor emotional investment and sexual investment is only relative to her sexual value. Which is high in her 20s and then falls. It is also negatively affected by her likely chances of contracting an STD which are increased.
>
> Men and women play different games and are not comparable. One of the false analogies that is used by feminists and SJWs is to compare men and women. In particular in this piece was to say "if I was a woman" well, if you're going to be a woman, then you need to change your priorities and sexual strategy accordingly. Sex is no longer the goal for you. However he goes on to justify it as though sex is the goal and excuses her sluttiness simply because he can do it. Being promiscuous is good for a man because our goal is sex and we are the gatekeepers of commitment. It demonstrates high value. The contrary is true for a woman. For her, a demonstration of high value would be lengthy monogamous relationships with high quality men where she doesn't get cheated on. Two completely different games.
>
> If you want to compare sluts to something. They're effectively a man who has lots of long term monogamous relationships with women but never has sex with them. A cuckold would be another reasonable comparison. Why? Because they are the epitome of sacrificing their own sexual strategy for the advantage of the opposite gender's sexual strategy.
>
> If your thinking remains consistently principled on TRP, then you will view a slut with as much respect as you view a cuckold. i.e. none.

There is no reason not to be a slut.

5 upvotes · 10 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> I said excusing. Not justifying. These are different words. Please learn English otherwise you'll have pointless conversations on here when you misrepresent someone's critique and thus give an irrelevant comeback/counter-argument.

All Complaints and Excuses are Self Victimization

5 upvotes · 6 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> TRP goes through stages of this from time to time where the morons get uppity and go right to the extremes. It's good that some of the regular members are noticing. I get tired correcting this level of blatant idiocy. After all, you can take their work with a good pinch of salt and get something useful out of it.
>
> Avoid blanket statements unless they have pragmatic value such as AWALT. This particular post's statement does not have pragmatic value. You'll either be able to take action or you won't. If you're failing to take action when you can, that's a failure that needs correcting. If you can't take action and that's why you haven't taken any... look for a way to take action. That's about as positive as you can get about it. But life is just gonna throw

> you shit sometimes. Focus on knowing the difference to ensure you're acting 95% of the time when you're able to.

LMR from your LTR: Never let a women decide when you DON'T have sex.

5 upvotes · 5 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> You have LTRs for the purpose of preselection? From my perspective, this approach is more complicated. So are you suggesting that this guy's LTR is actually just his main plate? If that's the case then why didn't he just let her smash?
>
> I'm really struggling to grasp the logic you guys are employing here. LTR game and plate spinning are two different things in my book, with different goals, different positives and negatives and different approaches needed to achieve success.
>
> When I read his report, I thought he was just overusing A&A and maybe looked a bit gormless when he used silence because the subtextual conversation didn't match. This kept her pushing her shit tests... seemed like the occams razor for this one. Time passes, bitch comes back because she hasn't got anything better going.
>
> So perhaps we are viewing this from different vantage points. I still don't see the point in having an LTR without wanting an actual LTR. I can use a plate for social proof if need be.

Feeling hopeless, i've been living a lie

5 upvotes · 28 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Start reading, but get your ass to the gym as a priority. Focus your reading on fitness to begin with. There's very little point trying to game chicks on nightmare mode. Read up on style. Read up on career change/skills improvement and career advancement in your field. If you can see any way to getting a mentor then get a mentor.
>
> What you've just done today is given that first order to turn the oil tanker around. Don't expect any immediate results, but do set yourself some goals in terms of fitness that you can actually do. (Not like lose X amount of weight. But go to the gym X amount of times in 2 months or whatever.) Start with a basic lifting programme. Mark Riptoe's Starting Strength is an excellent guide to begin with.
>
> There is a huge amount to do when you take into account how you'll be moving on to style and game later, but the best way to alter your life is in small, consistent steps. Take one thing at a time, implement it, then stick to it before changing something else. Start with fitness, keep reading and make additional changes in a month or two.

What would you tell your 35-year-old self?

5 upvotes · 26 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Damn you good lookin!

Email from my ex

5 upvotes · 5 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This belongs on AskTRP. I'm going to leave a reply but you need to learn to follow the rules around here. You're fortunate I'm in a good mood, any hardass or guy in a bad mood would not tolerate your not following basic rules, so get yourself in shape and follow the rules. If everyone acted like you this place would be a total mess.
>
> > A significant part of me wants to die because I don't want to feel the way that I do now ever again, and for the past 2 weeks I have felt like this is the only way to prove to you how much you meant to me because if I died now then I'd die as I am, as the girl who loves you now and for eternity, time wouldn't exist anymore and it'll mean something.
>
> This doesn't even mean anything. It's purest emotional manipulation bollocks... and this chick isn't very good at

> emotional manipulation. She wants attention. Don't give it to her. Ignore the entire thing.
>
> Why the fuck do you care about some chick you dropped months ago? She was being melodramatic and hinting at suicide to try and emotionally manipulate you to go back to her. MASSIVE red flag. Very low value chick. Move the fuck on with your life. And delete this stupid fucking email.

This is not a political sub. But this is the Red-pill view of politics. This is what the SJW's have done and it's simply fucking realism. What can we learn from it?

5 upvotes · 12 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Your intolerant tone and condescending demeanor is cute.

Passive aggressiveness is feminine. Cut it out.

> Your referring to classical liberalism.

First it's "you're." Poor grammar automatically undermines your credibility.

Secondly, by referring to liberal ideas as "classical liberalism" you are playing their game and legitimising their corruption of language. It would be like referring to yourself as "identified male."

> Having an debate about a opinion also does not make me or others blue pill, if that's what you are saying.

I have shown you to be objectively wrong by definition in my reply. My initial point demonstrated how the corruption of language is something used by both feminists and SJWs who are the spearhead of the war on men and boys.

I went on to discuss why it was important to oppose this and why it fits with other Red Pill principles of behaviour. What you demonstrated in your reply was purest blue pill programming. An instant denial response that restated the very corruption I'd already addressed. It required nothing more than me to show why that blue pill programming is objectively false and in doing so it also showed the very example of the corruption of the language.

Coming out of your programming is a nasty bash to your ego. This is why it triggers denial, then anger etc, much like a process of grieving because you are metaphorically killing your entire world along with yourself as an identity. You will either get past that denial or not if you're able to comprehend the reasoning. Ultimately I don't care if you do. You are not my responsibility and I don't care if you accept you're behaving in a "blue pill" manner. There's a shit-tonne of guys on the blue pill sub who do the same and I don't care if they realise it either. Your "opinion" is playing their game in the same way that the "opinion" of the average blooper is that we here on TRP hate all women and go around raping them.

I don't care if you agree with conservativism or liberalism or socialism or whatever. What I care about is reality. That is what we are here to find. Therefore we find things that objectively work. That are objectively true. The only "opinions" are what the correct tailored approach is depending upon personal situations. The truth remains what it is.

> Let's see, demonizes opposing viewpoints, is condescending instead of open minded to others, criticism of those who hold different opinions.
>
> Your intolerant tone and condescending demeanor is cute. I'm guessing your a modern liberal?

This was all worthless ad hominem. Half of your post there was a personal attack. Reduce that percentage. Preferably to zero.

Urbanism, Ruralism, and Dunbar's Number

5 upvotes · 10 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Now I'm sure that is what you mean when you say liberal. Yes, that is the root definition. Unfortunately, as "liberal" has been co-opt'ed you must now specify if you mean classical liberal or modern (radical) liberal.

No. I'm not playing by their rules. That's why they're winning. Because we didn't hold the god-damned frame of interaction.

I will push them towards the discussion of liberal vs authoritarian. The only way to beat these people is forcibly move the Overton window back where it should be. The loss of the Overton window is basically a mass-male loss of frame.

A not so gentle red pill truth: Status, SMV, and why the way others see you matters a lot.

5 upvotes · 2 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Part 2:

> You don't get it! The cool kids in high school figured it out a long long time ago, that is how they avoided being the ones who were bullied and that is how they ended up being the ones who partied, had fun, and enjoyed amazing experiences. On the other hand, the weirdo loner who decided that his videogames and his nerdy hobbies (that he openly expressed) were what matters, he got ignored at best and bullied at worst.
>
> The real world isn't really all that different.

Again, more overstatement, but this time I'm starting to wonder if you were in with the "cool crowd" or whatever you like to call it and using this as a rationalisation of superiority for validation? Doesn't really matter, but worth you thinking about if you're doing any introspection. The social dynamics of school is interesting but it's little like the real world.

> Well, he did that specifically because he decided to lose his nerdy ways (or at least conceal them more) and become the guy who cares about how he presents himself to the world.

This is the most useful thing you've put in the whole piece. It's unfortunate that it doesn't mean in your mind what it means in mine. Essentially play the part you want to be and people will believe it. Sculpt your style to something, but avoid low-value styles.

> The guy who gets that high paying job and has his bosses and coworkers respecting him, he realized this not so gentle truth.

Entrepreneurship is pretty alpha. I much prefer advocating bending the world to your own will. It's more challenging.

> The guy who has people sticking up for him and respecting him while the hate you, he realized this not so gentle truth.

Yeah, I know, right? That Ben Affleck was so right when he went to town on Sam Harris. I wonder what Ben would make of TRP... you probably should care a little less what popular people think. Many of them just get there through flattery or arbitrary characteristics. TRP is almost universally hated... the irony is I am one of the guys here who can take that "hated" position and turn the opinion of the group. Don't be a follower, be a leader. That's Red Pill.

> The red pill is made to help you rise in this harsh world, not be a bitter loser that opts out and now thinks he won at the game of life.

Or how about opting in and out at your own whim? Does taking time out to relax count as opting out? This is a bit too black and white... or it doesn't really apply to anyone on TRP and is more suited as abuse to be hurled at MGTOWs. Even then, I have a buddy who's gone MGTOW and I don't reckon he's a loser since he's got the rest of his shit in order.

> The red pill is here to help you screw that picky HB9 who didn't earn anything she got in life, not make you the bitter loser who hates her and tells himself that he is too good to game her because she is "just a slut brainwashed by feminism".

It's here for a bit more than that... but again you don't really need to be popular to bang sluts. Vox identifies various sub-types beyond alpha and beta. I find it useful for facilitating discussion, you'll find it on his Alpha Game blog if you're interested (sidebar link) and in particular I want to talk about the ones you disparage. Sigmas, the lone wolf.

The reason I know a thing or two about this is because I'm probably an alpha-sigma blend based upon my lazy style of game and advocating individualism and self-promotion only on an individual basis. i.e. I dip in and out of the social game according to whatever I want to do.

Advantages of being a sigma from a sexual strategy point of view is that you're typically heavy on genuine IDGAF. Women are very good at spotting fakes when it comes to game, in my basic game guide I think I mention this in like 2 out of the 4 parts because it's very important. If she doesn't instinctively feel it then it's not real to her and due to women practicing social skills much longer than most guys, it's easier if your game is genuine. So genuinely not giving a fuck is a big help to your game because it can save failed lines in and of itself.

Next, being the unknown with no stated social status is also an advantage. Look up mystery method for more details but in essence women will try to guess about men they don't know the exact value of, and if you're reasonably attractive then their guesses will be favourable due to the halo effect.

Thirdly, sigmas can "not count" in a girl's mind making it easier to sleep with them because they're outside the pecking order so she thinks there's no downside or associated low value that could come with them. Finally adopting a lone wolf mentality can help you in other ways around self-reliance, encouraging more time put into things like skills growth and personal projects. I've mentioned being your own boss already as a big positive, but there's various other masculine pursuits such as car mechanics and various DIY projects etc which with both challenge you and save you money.

That's just off the top of my head, but as you can see it's not black and white when it comes to sigma males. They have some advantages to compensate for any disadvantages. Most of life is trade offs and it's rarely black and white. However if you can view it with a bit of nuance then you can pick and choose what you want to exploit and how you want to make your way. Doesn't mean it's easy, but it's not one-size-fits-all.

I want to finish this on a personal note to try and help you with your introspection. Are you possibly filled with such hatred for the whole "loner" guy because that used to be your beta-self? If so, then I agree it's good to make the change, but at some point you will need to forgive yourself for making the mistake. We all make mistakes in life and the reason TRP exists is for men to help boys realise their mistakes and then take control of their lives. If not, then it begs the question as to why you're so angry at these guys? Why aren't they anything more than a blip on your radar? They always have been to the natural alphas.

A not so gentle red pill truth: Status, SMV, and why the way others see you matters a lot.

5 upvotes · 2 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

I'm going to split this in half since it's a long reply.

Besides the laugh I had at seeing someone had already upvoted it in a day-old thread, (really shouldn't happen in 200+ comments thing) I've got a few mins spare since it's Sunday night so I'll bash out a more detailed response to help you out on the specifics.

Essentially your post falls down on reconciliation, it uses a strawman/black&white thinking, it implies pussy is still firmly on a pedestal (in a similar fashion to how Red Pill men differ from PUAs - we game the system according to our own interests rather than by obligation or obsession), and finally the advantages Sigmas have.

So, let's start off with your comeback:

> Look at how many upvotes this received you fucking neckbeard loser.

Passive aggressive behaviour is feminine. Cut it out. You've also demonstrated that by being told something you don't like, you react with anger. This is beta behaviour that can be used against you by outsiders and particularly sigmas (I'll expand on this later.) Re-read Rollo's piece on amused mastery. Year one iirc.

Secondly the argument itself is counter to TRP. We don't operate a democracy here where every vote is equal. We're simply subject to Reddit's system of votes. I shall direct you to the sidebar and the piece on "Endorsed Contributors: Respect The Tag." How many votes I get is irrelevant, the tag is all the upvote I need because that tag says "a group of Red Pill men has seen the thoughts of this man over a significant period and he has contributed some important additions to the community in both comment and post. Heed his words." We've always had a good laugh at newbies popping in here to tell us how **we** with the tag don't understand the pill. So yeah, we're only still around because we find these accusations funny.

Onto the piece itself.

> Status, SMV, and how others see you matters a lot; it is practically everything.

No. You're really mixed up on this one. Let's cover off SMV first. SMV is basically how fuckable you are. Outside of trying to get laid, it's not everything. It can have spillover effects sure, but no more than say improving your strength through strength training will have a spillover effect on your SMV. This doesn't mean strength training is everything.

Many comeback on this sort of point with the idea that basically sexual strategy is paramount and this has two problems. Firstly it risks the overvaluation of sex. The reason for building abundance mentality is to devalue sex. The more options for sex you have, the less it is worth. Lowering the value of sex makes it easier to obtain and any attitude which heightens the value of sex is a bad attitude to adopt. Essentially this is a longer explanation behind the paradox "if you want to have sex with her then don't really want to have sex with her." (Also where DGAF attitude comes into use.) The second problem with making sexual strategy paramount is that it implies partner count denotes success, which it does not. If we were to adopt that attitude then we'd have to crown Roosh our God-Emperor-King since his partner count is like 5 or 10 times Rollo's partner count. I know exactly which one I think is more capable as a man... do you?

Now status... it is and isn't important. Let's be clear, status trumps all other contributors to SMV, so it has prominence in that regard. However it's not essential to pick up women, so the importance of status must be taken with a pinch of salt. So again, it's not everything. You're currently oversimplifying the knowledge and need to adopt a more nuanced approach.

> If you wanted to date the hottest girls, go to the best parties, and not end up being the kid that got bullied; your status was what validated you.

They go to lots of places. Have you heard of daygame before? This is actually another thing I should point out: since you adopt an extreme black/white all or nothing approach in your piece, all I have to do to disprove it is to provide a simple counter example that sits outside your statements. i.e. I can *not* do what you say and still get laid/pick up plates/start LTRs.

> A Victoria Secret supermodel has a higher SMV than a Rosie O Donnel lookalike. Likewise, a Leonardo DiCaprio has a higher value than some loner shut in who hates people because he has "seen the truth".

False equivalency. Never compare men and women, they are not equal and cannot be compared. Plus seriously, Leo's SMV is in another league to some Victoria Secret model. (No, seriously, get these subtle nuances into your head.)

> Realize that objectively, the Chad who gets laid and has friends is worth way more to society than a loner shut in who people hardly know exists.

You're going to find this one hardest to take, but that's an objectively false statement. Society finds valuable whatever it needs to find valuable. That includes betas to some degree, but attractive women are top of the pile, not chad. What you have described is women's view of what is valuable. Chad is the most valuable to her. The loner is invisible to her. Essentially this all comes back to your misunderstanding of SMV earlier. Women rate everybody by SMV. Men do not. Don't adopt a feminine way of thinking, just pick it up when you're evaluating how to get laid. Outside of that, it has little value. Ask one of the studied Machiavellians here. Under your current school of thought you can't operate things a tactical use of beta behaviour to achieve your goals. Said it before, will say it again, this stuff is not black and white, alpha=good, beta=bad.

> *"But, they're being so low value by seeking approval of others and wanting to make friends, I rather be edgy because I am too cool for people!"*

I believe this highlights your strawman best. The first part of the statement is true. Seeking validation is low-value submissive behaviour. However you go on to suggest that anybody who can hold this view can only hold it for reasons of rationalisation. This is objectively overstatement.

Popularity itself is not bad per-se. However, reconciling your statement with TRP is impossible because TRP advocates you select your friends based upon what they add to your life. This is not the same as advocating becoming popular. You achieve the TRP goal being both popular and not popular. They're mutually exclusive. So again, overstatement.

Is #Fathers4Justice a scam group ?

5 upvotes · 1 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Cross-post this across the manosphere. This should be investigated by the guys who're trying to work the politics. It's less relevant here since we don't really get involved in the active political fights.

Woman hits the wall at 25, has mental breakdown: single mother with no money comes to realise no one wants to date a single mother, has vitriolic anger towards her ex who's still living a happy life and having relationships.

5 upvotes · 28 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You carry on thinking you're smarter than one of the giants of TRP. Also, nice job missing my point.

Woman hits the wall at 25, has mental breakdown: single mother with no money comes to realise no one wants to date a single mother, has vitriolic anger towards her ex who's still living

a happy life and having relationships.

5 upvotes · 28 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Deadbeat was too strong. It's not aimed as a personal attack against guys like you who've done the best you can within a shit system with some of the worst circumstances. The point was it's not something we want to be encouraging guys here to end up doing. My assumption is that you weren't Red Pill when all that crap happened to you?
>
> These guys are getting so carried away in seeing the chick making her own fuck ups and laughing at it, that they're endorsing the behaviour as though it's where Red Pill guys should end up. And it's not. A man who is Red Pill before this sort of shit happens, shouldn't end up in that situation, no? The most basic "don't forget to wrap your dick" should prevent this ever happening with a plate unless you are extremely unlucky.
>
> > Yeah girls are always the victim, men are always guilty. A dad who isn't there is always a deadbeat, and she can't possibly be the entire reason he isn't there.
>
> It is precisely this as to why TRP is all about taking responsibility for the contraception because we've learned from the experiences of men like you and we're trying to use it so that, at the very least, your suffering through this was not in vain. It is the contributions of men like you that are exactly why I've come to the conclusions I have. I do not judge you, I learn from you and simply judge the situation based upon what I've learned.

Wants vs needs: the sexual attitude nuance

5 upvotes · 4 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Your pedantry amuses me, Sir. Have an upvote.

Toxic article- If You Choose an Awakened Woman

5 upvotes · 15 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's just a shit poem by a bad writer who thinks she's much more than she is.

Had oneitis, cut her off, now she wants help with something. What is the best way to tell with her response when I tell her - nope

5 upvotes · 12 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Why did you let her back in?
>
> It's fairly obvious from this where she sees you in her life. To give you a hint - preparing for orbit.
>
> Cut her out of your life completely and fuckin' stick to your decisions this time. Jesus, this isn't even a question. You're just looking for someone to tell you what you already know you should be doing.

RSD Tyler rant on what woman really want

5 upvotes · 8 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > I would totally be a slut if I was a woman.
>
> Are you just weak minded or are you actually retarded? From the female perspective, being a slut is an excellent way to have short term fun and ensure you will never be happy in the long term: Your chances of being alpha widowed are extremely high and you're likely to waste your prime SMV while actively reducing your RMV, causing yourself mental problems and then possibly missing out on the chance to raise a family while simultaneously increasing the chances of complications and disabilities in your kids by waiting so long to have them.

Now, I'm not GayLubeOil size of red pill man. Most of my SMV comes from style, game and my brain. (That's not to say that I don't lift. I just do it for me, I don't actively require it to be successful.) So I have quite a bit in common with PUAs like Tyler (who in my opinion just looks like he's crawled out from underneath a bridge, so the fact he pulls attractive - and some less attractive - women with high frequency shows the power of game.) However I don't like PUAs for one very specific reason that shines through here: PUAs still have pussy on the pedestal. Their entire life revolves around pussy. It's probably on a higher pedestal than even the most pathetic of betas and omegas because at least those losers will have times in their life when they put their pathetic pursuit of pussy to one side. These guys don't.

The weakness of having pussy on the pedestal is very very clear in everything he says. He excuses the shit behaviour of women as "oh they're just women. You clearly don't understand them." Yeah, dogs shit on the carpet if they're untrained... that doesn't make it acceptable to shit on the carpet you fucking moron!

When a cunt acts like a cunt, you call her a cunt because she's a fucking stupid cunt. This is not hatred of women or hatred of all women. This is simply calling a spade a spade. Sluts are stupid bitches because they are actively harming themselves due to a lack of education, understanding and self-control. While some of that is outside of their control... it doesn't suddenly make them not a slut in the same way the untrained dog shitting inside isn't the dog's fault entirely... but it doesn't make the action acceptable or excusable. Sluts are low value for a good reason. We can use sluts to satisfy ourselves, but it doesn't alter the reality that it is a bad sexual strategy for a woman and it doesn't change the reality that you are a stupid man to try and excuse sluts of this low value.

Even if we take Tyler's rant as pure logical debate... he falls too because the reality is he's not very bright. (He had to brute force his way to success with women. He couldn't take a short cut or unite theories.) All arguments that declare prejudice are arguments in bad faith and are therefore worthless when it comes to rational discussion. So yeah, he's not red pill. He doesn't have the balls to be truly red pill. He's just another PUA. Treat him accordingly.

Essentially he's wrong on all counts with his conclusions. He sees things... but the pussy is so high on that pedestal that he can't even see that he's put it up there.

29YO man finally recognising the game. Where do i start to change?

5 upvotes · 17 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I -as a person- am a very humble guy, i hate insulting others even as a joke.

Play strong and silent type then. For example you'd view agree and amplify not so much as light/cocky/funny but more sarcastic mockery of her stupidity. Definitely take shots at women, they need to know they are inferior to you... but you must do it in a flirty way so that the subtext becomes "why am I wasting my time with you? You've clearly got nothing to offer but sex... is sex on the cards?"

Check out my guide to game in my submissions history: TRP Field Toolkit. It gives multiple ways and styles to go about using game in the examples.

To answer your other questions, don't game chicks on campus. (Never shit where you eat!) Have male friends for your philosophy and arts stuff. Add something to your dress sense or style that has you peacocking. Carry on messing around with women with no real expectations and you're probably get laid suddenly without warning.

Good luck!

Cheating in today's society

5 upvotes · 12 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Stop with the mysoginistic bullshit.

> You sound very blue pill.
>
> Also, why haven't you formatted your post so I can actually absorb this wall of text easily? What is the lesson here? There doesn't appear to be a lesson or anything remotely useful to take away from this.
>
> > making your life a living paradise.
>
> Very blue pill sounding.

How do you pick a girl for Sat night dates, X-mas, New Year's? How do you explain it to your other girls?

5 upvotes · 28 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> I make my plans... if I'm pestered by someone else I tell them "I'm busy" or "already got plans."

How did this come to be?

5 upvotes · 27 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Simple. We had a brain and our minds weren't closed off to the idea that women weren't perfect. From there, TRP is simply an open discussion attempting to be as objective as humanly possible about what works and doesn't work.
>
> Society took the idea of equality of opportunity for all as a universal principle, then it misinterpreted it to mean women and men are the same. That was the mistake. Men and women are not the same or equal. They are different. Gender differences are observed all over the place. Not noticing this requires a lot of willful ignorance.
>
> You should note that TRP is a male space. Everything written here is for men and to help men achieve the most they can out of life (sometimes to the detriment of women. That's why other subs hate us.) While some of the base knowledge needs to be understood by women... the rest of it is of little use to you because it's for blokes. Go over to the RedPillWomen sub and read that sidebar. That uses the knowledge we've put together here to try and tackle relationships from the female perspective and get what women need out of a relationship to secure their own long term happiness. Over here, we want long term happiness for men... which is a tough topic in modern society for fairly obvious reasons.

Does she think I'm her friend?

5 upvotes · 7 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > I thought to myself they're tone of voice told me that they're not jealous about the friendship so she must be romantically or sexually attracted to me. If my logical observations are correct
>
> I question your logic. I can't even figure out all the assumptions you're making here to make that make sense.
>
> Three things need to be dealt with here:
>
> 1. Learn to use "there" "their" and "they're" correctly.
> 2. I have no idea how your social situation is going to work regarding your class, or any information on this chick's personality. So I can't tell you if pump and dump is safe here. I look at these things as something where you take the disqualification approach. E.g. if she is crazy, you don't sleep with her. If there is a possibility that she might fuck with your social situation, you don't sleep with her. Anything other than a disqualification approach is anti-abundance mentality because since there are loads of other chicks out there, no level of risk, even small, is worth it since there's plenty of no-risk options.
> 3. She interacts with you outside of school walking home. Women like free attention? Well, I think we'd better

> make a post on the main sub about this astonishing discovery!!! This is beta talk about attraction. You want to know if she's attracted? Just escalate. If you told me you were touching her thigh and escalating to that sort of level with positive body language coming back from her... then I'd say she's definitely interested. The reality is, you haven't done anything that an orbiter couldn't do and mis-read.

Additional note: You should have laughed at them calling you besties and declared it "gay." Frankly that sounded more to me like she's putting you in the beta box. I've never had a chick I've fucked casually refer to me as a bestie... they try to call me their boyfriend. They call their orbiters their "besties."

The Viability of the Red Pill in the Future - Border Erosion

5 upvotes · 4 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Trump card my ass. There's plenty of guys in there who build themselves back up, and then drop the papers anyways, or start getting plates regardless.

This is called a disengagement. You would do well to read my base guide on argumentation.

I'm not sure whether you realise how the willingness to walk away works as a strong part of dread or whether you seem to have some kind of incorrect perception of using it as an ultimatum or something stupid like that. What you've just cited is literally irrelevant to what I said.

> This is an argument of 1% vs the rest. You have more in here calling current year the fall of Rome

I have no idea what you're dribbling about. My best guess is that you're implying we're not united over here maybe? All mods and endorsed guys are on point here. We're not divided on this topic. EVEN IF we assume you're right that people are calling this the fall of rome... it's irrelevant. Whether current year is the fall of rome is not a key issue for TRP that requires us to agree. Whether or not endorsed guys and mods recommend marriage or not... that is relevant to the direction we take.

The Viability of the Red Pill in the Future - Border Erosion

5 upvotes · 4 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

That rests with the other moderators. Where do they stand as a group? Does the actions of that individual reflect the group or not?

Tips for getting the most out of your time and avoiding bullshit.

5 upvotes · 28 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's a great fantasy to keep the cogs turning, but it sucks once you actually realize it's just a fantasy.

This is the essence of the "ignorance is bliss" phrase. When you actually start to analyse in depth, it becomes clear that less intelligence means less ability to notice and comprehend the problems that cause negative things in your life. So you may be happier that you can't understand things like the problems in world politics or the socio-economical issues caused by significant wealth disparity... but on the flip side you then can't comprehend simple things like being able to enjoy classic literature or classical music. That's happiness you can never experience. You will also struggle to solve complex problems like getting the best deal on your taxes, or simpler things like being able to build your own computer. That's money you haven't saved. While you're ignorant to the fact you could have saved money... it's still something you don't have which you could have had if you were brighter.

Ignorance isn't really bliss. It's just a case of the grass always being greener on the other side.

[RANT] Felony Stalking Class 4 - No Good Deed Goes Unpunished

5 upvotes · 21 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Great news. Please let me know how it all turns out.

The Guardian: Swallowing the Red Pill: a journey to the heart of modern misogyny

5 upvotes · 15 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> The most delicious bit, is that the top rated comments from the land of misogyny on this article... are a discussion of classic literature. You just can't make up how perfect of a response that is to his assertion that we're ill-educated or poorly read.

What are the redpill dynamics behind a famous YouTuber who was recently accused of rape/drugging getting so many women?

5 upvotes · 14 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> High status beta who did not not deliver on the implicit contract delivering status and resources to woman/women. Therefore by not adhering to the implicit contract, said beta must be punished. Let the cries of implicit "rape" commence.

Dumped my girlfriend 3 months ago, need advice

5 upvotes · 3 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > we started getting into a lot of stupid fights about dumb shit
>
> This is called failing shit tests when she suspected you being a beta. The shit tests came because you failed the comfort tests. Once you can't meet a woman's needs, she will naturally become concerned that you're not good enough for her and then the shit testing will begin again.
>
> > said that she did not want to break up.
>
> The only thing less valuable than a woman who obtains commitment from a beta is a woman who can't obtain commitment from any man. This is why they branch **swing** rather than dropping down to go find a new branch.
>
> As a basic rule it's a bad idea to go backwards. It's anti-abundance mentality and if you return, you're essentially admitting that she can't be replaced. Once you admit that, you've failed from the outset since you've given up your power to walk away. (Which is the most powerful card we have that we can play.) By your returning you're also admitting that you're a weak leader who makes bad decisions and needs to go back on them. This will not help the future of the relationship. She needs to be able to trust your leadership.
>
> Notice how I haven't talked about whether it will work or not, whether she would take you back. It's largely irrelevant, but just in case you're wondering there are two scenarios where she'll take you back: If you're her apex alpha then she definitely will. If you're the best option she's got going right now, she will take you back then too. Take note that you won't be able to tell which it is, nor will you ever know for certain. Personally I'm not a fan of that kind of risk.
>
> Do what you like, but essentially I would advise against it since it puts you in a very weak position both in terms of relationship dynamics and mindset. Relationships are most likely to succeed when the man is in a position of strength - they fail when he's in a weak position.

What is the number one most important character trait that women loves the most?

5 upvotes · 3 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Confidence will pip dominance because women have a plethora of physical and emotional needs. Confidence

> denotes an aura of competency in dealing with whatever you're approaching. Being confident in being able to dominate when needed alone is not enough (though you'll probably be able to have sex with her one or more times from this alone.) Women require instruction, support and comfort too.
>
> We say sometimes that women want a high SMV man... that's not the complete sentence really. What they want is a man who fulfills all their needs - high reproductive value is just the most important need so they look at that first. As an indicator, a man who is confident across a variety of fitness tests, comfort tests, questions about his life and job etc, indicates that he is likely to fulfill a greater number of her needs. That's why it tops the chart.
>
> It is also why a high SMV beta will be able to get laid, but is never really seen as a serious option to women beyond whatever material/lasting resources he can provide her.

Autistics guide to winning verbal attacks

5 upvotes · 2 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'd agree with all of this. It's useful for teens. Not so useful for anybody 20+ because the social game of dominance is far more subtle than these examples. If you want to learn about breaking the frames of others or disrespecting them in a subtle manner... look no further than women to teach you this. Most of the tactics women use to test your frame and test your dominance can be employed yourself to assert your position higher up the social hierarchy in mixed groups. (Less so amongst male-only groups.)
>
> Personally, I recall someone throwing a pathetic insult my way a while back and then laughing at it. I let it hang in silence/their laugh while looking at them with a look that said "are you socially retarded or something?" Nobody else was laughing. I waited for them to stop laughing and see my expression, before simply turning to move the conversation on with somebody else. Observing the social dynamic as the evening went on... this person had just lowered their own status in the group. In my experience, this is the general truth, for social dynamics you are the one most likely to lower your status in a group... not somebody else.
>
> Those who have something to prove get into verbal fights or need to be overtly aggressive. High value/high status men have nothing to prove. Act accordingly.

A friend of mine is getting married and he's taking her name.

5 upvotes · 2 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > We can all see it, he's just dumb.
>
> Time for a co-ordinated intervention. Slap some truth into him or you're going to lose him when she hooks up the poison drip and gets him to hang out with you guys less and less and less.
>
> Frankly it already looks like it's come time to cut your ties with this guy. But that's just my view... I'm somewhat ruthless.

Children Only Do What You Let Them

5 upvotes · 31 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This assumes the woman in question is good moulding material in the first place. Some women are either broken or have had life so easy that they've become grade A cunts. Nothing you can do mould them. Pump and dump material only.

Girl wants me to "Ask nicely" for her number on tinder.

5 upvotes · 29 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> What's Nicely's number?

Social Media Posts negative effects

5 upvotes · 26 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Just tried that with a few typical female complaints about men. Have settled with "where have all the good jews gone?" as my favourite. XD

No matter how many years it takes.

5 upvotes · 14 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I've seen that happen often. I've even seen some stories from guys who've ended up with their old oneitis chasing them after they've appeared as a completely different person.
>
> Personally I've always looked at this as an idea that's just counter to developing good abundance mentality. They aren't shouting "next please" loud enough for that slut round the corner to hear 'em.

Please stop misusing TRP terminology

5 upvotes · 12 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is pointless semantics. Go focus on something worthwhile and save the nitpicks for the nuances of the theory itself.

What do you do when you catch your girl in a lie? Call her out on it?

5 upvotes · 12 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> General rule for catching a girl who lies to you:
>
> - Demote and/or next.
>
> For your personal situation, it appears that the attraction is waning and you're not causing the tingles anymore (or she's trying to branch swing.) Either way, hard next.

Instruction For A Polite Feminist

5 upvotes · 3 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > So to make it realistic, I generally take a humorous approach to it. Like, I ask my mom for advice with women and then have a laugh with my dad and friends about it. I use female shit tests on females and watch their expressions change just for the shits and giggles. I do tell some of my fat girl friends that the right man is JUST around the corner. I also assure 30+ women that they WILL find a better man. Gosh, I love life!
>
> You have far too much free time... your selected mission must be very easy.

When a girl denies she was shit testing you

5 upvotes · 20 December 2015 · /r/askTRP

> I can't be the only one reading this and thinking what is going on here...
>
> > Something happened last night, essentially flaking on plans we had and then not responding to a text or two. I stop contact til she calls me in the early morning (2-3am) crying, apologizing. I was pretty short with her, stating my case with a level head, and not being overly emotional.
>
> Why did you even pick up that call? Firstly, you should be asleep, and secondly you should be ignoring her ass for flaking on you. Instead you give her attention.
>
> > took some time to get her call but when i picked up her call i started out by deflecting the topic all together,

> to show indifference to the situation the night before.

So that sort of behaviour is acceptable to you? Okay... wouldn't be to me, but whatever, your life.

> Eventually we got back on the topic of her flakeness. I called her out on testing me again yet she denied it.

Why do you keep calling it a test? More to the point... why do you appear to be negotiating her behaviour? Just tell the dumb bitch it's not acceptable and if she ever does it again she'll be lucky to hear from you ever again.

> I reminded her she had admitted to testing me once before and to this I felt I could tell by her small inflection in her voice as if she was about to laugh that it sounded like I had caught her.

She is having so much fun with you... don't engage women in straight talk over their dumb shit. Speak womanese or use your actions, it's the only language they understand. Anything else and they'll just take the piss out of you and see how far they can push you like a child testing their parent's boundaries.

Next move: Ignore her until Weds then act like nothing was really wrong. Watch her chase. If she doesn't chase, next.

Maybe you're not that red pilled and you're probably not cut out for any of this.

5 upvotes · 20 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Okay I'll bite and pick this apart. You're not a million miles off, but you don't have the subtle nuances down yet.

> For beginners, read the fucking sidebar, it's ultimately about getting pussy!

Not quite. It's ultimately about deciding the sexual strategy that works for you. TRP is generally agreed that having sex somewhere in there is a preferred want. How much is never stated, but it's not MGTOW either. (Incidentally some MGTOWs can also have sex with women. The manosphere isn't really all that clear cut when you really look into it.)

> "Dear Diary, today I woke up on time, drank my fruity protein shake, took some vitamins, didn't fap at all, posted on my personal blog, went for a jog, ate healthy, and I am damn proud of myself! Who needs pussy anyways!"

Seems like a reasonable attitude for while you're in monk mode. "Who needs pussy" is good during that time because you're not getting any and you need to get it off the pedestal too. That ain't gonna happen if you continue to value it.

> Well congratulations junior but you obviously forgot to read the fucking sidebar written by people who know their shit better than you. Nobody gives a damn about your no fap journey or fruity protein shake, the fucking sidebar almost literally says that this sub about getting pussy.

Nope. It's about discussion of sexual strategy. If you want pure pussy as your goal, try the PUA forums - that's their thing.

The thing about a successful sexual strategy that you're consistently missing so far is that it's somewhat paradoxical. You've got to want sex, but also not care if you get it. It seems like a subtle contradiction but it's this internalised attitude which appears to work best. It's not as straightforward as just "me want secks. Gronk! go get pussy!" The reason I believe this is because women are excellent at subconsciously reading men. When you fake it around them, they'll pick up on it if they're sharp enough. So you've then got to adapt your game around your natural personality, which is different for me to do or you to do, or somebody else to do. From that you can see that adopting the right attitude is much more complex than you'd originally think.

> "More to life than pussy" and "sex with attractive women is overrated",

Both are true. That's not to say sex with attractive women isn't enjoyable or fun... it's just overrated by society. It's held up as a constantly mind-blowing experience. Which sometimes it is. I can think back on a few times where I've been like "I'm done now. Don't need to live anymore. Function in life is complete." However because of the massive amount of porn everywhere it's been elevated to a higher level than it's ever been before.

Anyways, the point on that is kinda minor compared to the real thing I want to point out here - that if sex with attractive women is still top of your list of priorities then that pussy is still on a pedestal. It's been said time and time again here, find a mission in life and THAT is your priority. Not women, not sex, not your plates, not your LTR, your mission. Women will be far more attracted to you when you're not putting them first.

> well how about just saying "look I give up because I am not cut out for this shit" rather than lying to yourself and everyone else on this sub.

This is just a drastic oversimplification. I agreed with the bits before... I can rapidly escalate to nail a chick within a few mins of meeting them. In fact this year, every woman I've slept with, I've slept with her within a few hours of meeting her. (None of this two dates crap lol.)

I've lost interest in dissecting this now, but I hope this is enough to show you're drawing too hard a conclusion on this. Don't think black and white. Learn to search for and embrace the nuance, because the Red Pill is *very* nuanced.

[FR] My Interaction with My Ex-LTR after 2 months on TRP

5 upvotes · 11 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> What precisely is this supposed to teach me? I don't think I understand what your game plan is here... what are you trying to accomplish by giving her closure? She's not fucking you... so what exactly is the deal here?
>
> If I was in your shoes, it would have been a total ghost. Probably not even hooking up with her again in case she cried rape when she realised she wasn't getting shit on her terms. I feel like you've got way too much time on your hands to fit in hour and a half long emotional talks with your ex. Seriously, you need to add to your social circle or hobbies list if you've got this sort of time spare. Listening to an ex complain that she's not as happy anymore while she attempts a pathetic emotional manipulation on you does not qualify as time well spent.

Classic from Mike Cernovich. Stop passing shit-tests. Start punishing, instead.

5 upvotes · 29 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I don't think this guy actually understands shit tests. I think he misconstrues them as "girls being bitchy or giving you shit." Obviously he gets a lot of women who have SMV too low to get his interest and they know it. Standard female response to this is jealousy and throwing tantrums. Pretty much all of which comes out in his post.
>
> He reacts like a similar child in the playground "if you hit me, I'mma hit you back harder because Gronk! be super alpha."
>
> There's nothing alpha about throwing insults at women. The fact you need to insult her demonstrates she has got to you. I've never been a supporter of the nuclear response to shit tests because I believe a woman who is irritating you is the equivalent of a gnat. There's nothing alpha about swatting a gnat. There's a massive number of women out there... one brat shouldn't even register on your radar if you've truly internalised abundance mentality.
>
> Occasionally you'll have to tolerate a brat... but here Cernovich fails the obvious test of his amused mastery... because he has no amused mastery. A lesson not to overdose on steroids perhaps? Or maybe he lost his humility a while ago? Or my personal favourite explanation: "you look like a freak" really gets to him... because there's a glint of truth in those words. He does look odd. (Personally I've always taken that as a reminder that attitude and

> status tends to trump looks.)

Pulling a Cypher- Why You Don't Proselytize The Red Pill.

5 upvotes · 24 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Did you discuss his behaviour with him? Ask him why he decided to cockblock you when he was supposed to be your wingman?
>
> What I'm reading here comes across as you believing a woman's words (never a good idea) and suggesting she has no reason to lie - cough - protection of reputation - cough - and therefore assume your friend is a piece of shit liar. (Phone thing is irrelevant: bitches get texts, bitches have options even while they're fucking chad or just fucking whatever lower alpha they can get while they're waiting to branch swing. They also fuck greater betas while waiting for something better.) The latter is obviously a potential outcome and he was just trying to seem like he could keep up with you... social pressure does that to a person... but he could also be struggling and unable to admit he's a bit jealous of your achievements. That's natural, but if it's the case then he is going about addressing it the wrong way. He requires guidance on this. Perspective.
>
> Nobody becomes alpha overnight. All alphas have fucked up along the way. If he expects "I do red pill and then I smash shit tonnes of pussy" then he has horrendously oversimplified the path.
>
> In addition to this, I would also encourage you to look at this from the perspective of whether he looks to you as a leader? (From what you've described, it sounds like he is a follower. He's following you expecting the rewards of pussy. He has failed to realise that only leading men get pussy and by merely following along and not developing his own style of leading women, he will find it hard to get pussy.) If he does, then it is your fault from mismanaging his development that has led him to become jealous of you instead of inspired by you. Does all of this make sense to you?
>
> This is why we don't advise guys trying to convert or train people to be Red Pill... they're simply not ready for the heavy responsibility it entails. As much as this may hurt to tell you, perhaps you weren't ready yet? This does not mean it is unsalvageable, but it does mean it's a new area to learn where you've made your first fuck up.

The system is completely fucked up

5 upvotes · 21 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> A complete misrepresentation of what I said. Congratulations on displaying beta passive-aggression towards an EC.
>
> Come back when you've pulled yourself together.

[Mod] If you bitch and moan, I'm a throw you out.

5 upvotes · 6 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Bitching about an authority figure on how we feel wronged is not how a man handles things.
>
> I actually mentioned this in the thread itself. My comment was downvoted and attacked by a couple of whiny betas. Banning is the only solution for such weak creatures.

Newly Divorced Beta Gets His Heart Crushed in Under a Week

5 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah, it's the endless heartbreaking problem of being a beta. You give of yourself so easily that you end up giving for free and get nothing in return. The anger at this will help you learn to be "an asshole" AKA: any man with self respect who knows how to say no to a woman.

TRP is apolitical, and why

5 upvotes · 28 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Maybe one of you could make a post suggesting other people to do this.

Do it yourself. The sooner you stop looking to us to be the change you'd like to see the better. I am aware that this could be taken in a confrontational way, but it's not meant that way. We're training dominant leaders, the sooner you start acting like a leader, the better.

This is part of the reason why we say you should try to be the change in the sub you would like to see. If you think something should be added as content... add it! You don't require my permission or need to ask me to do it for you. You are a man. Now go be a man and show them that you've got something good to contribute.

Kickstarter for a movie about men's rights that's called "The red pill"

5 upvotes · 27 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

This bit hit me the most:

> “Films that support one side and act as propaganda do better than those that try to have an honest look. I won’t be getting support from feminists. They want a hit piece and I won’t do that.”

Maybe she'll be fair... which opens a whole new can of worms. Many prominent members of the MRM are not people I would choose to represent me. They do not have the gravitas, intelligence or ability needed to deal with the modern political landscape.

Girlfriend lied

5 upvotes · 19 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

She has forever limited herself to plate status... nothing higher. EVER.

So I keep getting blown off.

5 upvotes · 9 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

It was never a friendship, she viewed you as an orbiter. There's no point calling out the disrespect. She'll be back in touch the moment she can get some value from the "friendship" again. It's all about the value you offer and clearly the "friendship" is of very little importance to her. Accept that you've wasted time and emotion invested in a "friend" who couldn't really give a toss about you, your feelings or your time.

The solution is to go ghost. Ignore her and cut her from your life. Be prepared that one day she'll contact you and try to pull you back into orbit. When this happens... remember that if you do... you're going to end up back in this situation. Don't fall for it. Find better things to do with your time and don't speak with her again. Nothing positive can come from it.

Red Pill: The End Game

5 upvotes · 3 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Dystopian apocalypse TRP style. Love it!

How are naturally disadvantaged people supposed to cope with the red pill?

5 upvotes · 19 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> They're not inferior people. They're just less attractive people.

> This. Being more attractive doesn't make you any less likely of being outfoxed by a smarter person. Any less likely to die from the right injury. Any less likely to get knocked down by a bus tomorrow and lose all that attractiveness. Or any more likely to win the lottery.
>
> It's only one aspect of life. There's more to life than the opposite sex.

She wants to be the only girl in the world - [WOMEN WHO KEEP ONLY/MOSTLY MALE 'FRIENDS']

5 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Don't worry. Most of TRP is repeating old stuff that anybody who has been around a while knows. It's important to know that these things don't change and that it's all still relevant.

9 Assumptions People Make About Single Women That Are Totally True (but this hamster rationalizes as bullshit)

5 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Oh this just made my day man!

CMV: A lot of TRPillers we see at the subreddit are fakes.

5 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> errr..... because of your specific response directed at me...
>
> > Exactly , actual sociopaths would probably not talk about the stuff they do in social media . That's why a lot of RP field reports are bs.
>
> I openly talked about my FR until it became a problem... now the other FR I added I talk about freely. I don't care anymore.

Are white women who sleep with/date black men "contaminated" or damaged in some way?

5 upvotes · 16 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> So original Burt.
>
> You wanna actually have a crack at explaining this odd interracial phenomenon? Or is your general view that nothing in the dating/sex world can ever be explained?

Comfort Tests

5 upvotes · 15 September 2015 · /r/askTRP

> No. That's too direct and only has one possible meaning. Straight talk is man talk. You need to communicate in her stupid womanese which means saying something which means nothing (because it's a statement of one thing, with the implication of another) but also has undertones of the actual message you're trying to convey.
>
> To show you, let's break down my response by reading between the lines of it:
>
> "Well you are pretty ugly" - Is he serious? This doesn't line up with past behaviour or with what other men tell me. "and I usually go with attractive women," - Is he serious again? Is there another woman in the picture? Insecurity/light dread and possibly raising the man's SMV.
>
> This section conveyed, "I seem to be showing sarcasm and I'm not taking your question seriously." To which she thinks does he think I'm being stupid by asking this question? Is that why he's not taking me seriously?
>
> "so I guess there has to be some explanation of why I'd kiss you." - More not being serious but this time he's

kinda saying he does care about me. I can't be sure.

Kiss her. - Affection. He must care about me.

This section says he is showing me affection, therefore he likes me.

Conclusion from the whole thing: He likes me but is acting like my asking that question is stupid. Her likely reaction to this: I'd better stop behaving stupidly in front of him or he won't take me seriously and might lose his attraction to me.

I know, I know... womanese is a needlessly complex language. Life would be easier if we could just tell them to stop saying stupid shit... but alas, doing that demonstrates poor social skills and thus low SMV. Women also have no interest in knowing that I can dissect this stupid shit. So naturally I don't explain it to them. They want a guy who "just gets it" and can communicate with subtext. They have no interest in how a man is made... they just want the finished model with all the extras.

To help your own game, grab a female friend to practice on and see how much subtext you can spot. If your SMV is reasonably high and your friendship is ok then you should be able to get away with most asshole game. (She'll just think it's playful/fun.) Read more at the Chateau to improve your asshole game.

So, I tried Tinder the other night.. bought new sheets the next day.

5 upvotes · 14 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Congrats on putting the improvements and game to good use. You've also developed a good attitude. It sounds like she'd been thinking of cheating on him for a while so your margin of error was maybe a bit wider than usual. (Hell, she's on Tinder while she's in a relationship! ha!)

Since there's only one example of a shit test in your report, I can only go on that. I'd say you want to shorten your answers a little. That lengthy response caters to everything she asked in her overly-emotional state. Shit tests are not serious questions and should not be treated as serious, so making sure your answer covers everything she asked is not necessary... it could even be counter-productive for you in the future. Let her re-ask the question if she wants to shit test you again. It doesn't matter.

Also... I gotta know... what happened to your sheets that couldn't be fixed with a washing machine? I've had some blood on white sheets before and a bit of vanish in a hot wash does the trick fine. I can't think of something more serious than that since the sheets just smelling of sex only requires a quick wash... maybe an airing of the room.

Roles, respect and responsibility acceptance as an alpha trait

5 upvotes · 10 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

This is an interesting point. I remember the video Rollo refers to when he's showing what he believes to be the essence of alpha. He makes mention that the kid is just a little prick... and he's not wrong.

His actions of defiance were the way he demonstrated his value. In a way, this is the one type of alpha behaviour that we don't tend to encourage too much. We tell guys to ignore what others are trying to push them to do rather than react in an anti-social manner.

Ultimately, it makes sense for us to promote the idea of the healthy co-operative, social alpha... rather than the anti-social alpha or criminal. After all, the anti-social alpha can't achieve in all areas of society. Most people on TRP want to change their whole life for the better, even if at first they only wanted to get laid. We can help with both... but doors are closed on the anti-social guys, so naturally we promote becoming the pro-social alpha.

> Even the mods here have indicated that TRP is a sexual strategy with an end goal that isn't necessarily about "respect" or even about community.

> In my view, if all you want to do is get laid... you don't need to actually participate in the community to achieve this. The sub itself evolves as things change and grow. I think the fact this is stickied kinda says where the mods want to take things.

CisWhiteMaelstrom's Introduction to Feminist Rhetoric

5 upvotes · 31 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You can't discuss men's issues without criticizing women?

I'd like to think this sub criticises men and women alike. Red Pill is basically that radical idea that women are people too. And as such, in line for all the criticism that a man would get for doing something shitty.

Red Pill just ran out of pussy passes a long time ago... and you typically join up when you've decided you're not issuing them anymore.

> the proper way to rape whores.

She is required to beg for it.

Why do TRPillers insist that women shouldn't be attracted to looks?

5 upvotes · 19 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

I think you've misinterpreted him. He's not saying women don't get attracted to looks. It's just that looks come beneath things like status and power.

> They'll tell you that game just doesn't work if you're not at least decent looking. They'll tell you they tried to "game" women etc. but completely failed . That's why they hate PUA , because they promote the idea that "game" is everything .

IMHO game simply inflates your SMV. If your base SMV is too low compared to her perceived self-SMV then you'll never get anywhere. Also, sometimes she's simply not in the mood for a conversation with a stranger. No amount of game is going to change that. I felt a bit rotten, but I've been on the other side of that where I've been approached by a 7/10 and I just wasn't in the mood. I just wanted to have my beer with my mate and rant about the sports. She came and sat down with me after my mate had gone to the gents and tried making conversation. Based upon how awkward her conversation was, I think she didn't do it often and had really pushed herself out of her comfort zone in order to approach me. I purposefully shut down the conversation and I do think this was quite mean of me... but alas... I wasn't in the mood to do anything but politely sabotage her attempt until she went away. I suspect some women do this too when they're simply not in the mood for meeting new people. No amount of game is going to get past someone like that.

> Also , what's that with women not wanting a man who is devoted ?

TRP doesn't disagree. Women want devotion... "eventually" at the very least. It's why plates tend to smash after a time. Guys talking to other guys who don't want to commit tend to discuss delaying tactics and ways of avoiding the issue - this in turn tends to make the guy more attractive. This is because his commitment card is particularly hard to get... therefore he's higher value. So yeah, she wants devotion... but she also wants that guy who won't give her devotion... for a time at least. (That's not to say she wouldn't accept devotion from a guy who gives it up easy if his SMV is high enough. Just that a man who gives up his devotion card easily is likely to be low SMV.)

Edit - for poorly worded explanation I felt was very unclear.

[FR] The male hamster, oneitis, and AWALT.

5 upvotes · 13 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I guess I can't get over how women never have to learn to adopt an abundance mentality like men because biology allows it to simply exists.

This one is simple enough to explain. Because women get approached, and any half decent looking woman will have all men around her willing to fuck her... she gets approached and given attention and validation for her attractiveness. Hence abundance. The options were always there.

For men, we have to make the first move. In addition to this, if you take into account the piss poor level of girl game most modern women have... the guy can't figure out quickly if she's into him if she were. So time wasted on one woman, orbiting her for months on end which means if there was attraction, it's gone unless the SMV gap is huge. Finally getting rejected. Repeat this cycle. No validation, no options. Scarcity mentality.

It's the natural way things work out due to the natural imbalance in SMV and the expectation for men to make the first move combined with piss poor girl game.

What are TRP thoughts on the differences between women in the UK and the US?

5 upvotes · 7 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I'd try not to rank it because it is similar to the whole apples vs oranges analogy. We're just different. While we haven't gone quite as retarded as the "yes means yes" laws, we do cancel abortion debates at our most prestigious universities and so-called centres of intellectual excellence "because feelz."

We have some of the worst examples of men being screwed over in the divorce courts, but we don't have a monopoly either. We have a police force that contains corrupt officers, but that's nothing new. We have some of the lowest SMV women ever who have had their SMV artificially inflated by feminism and the thirsty mentality of men... but again, that's nothing new. We have quotas for women in many fields and I'm unsure on whether it's as widespread as in the US. We have this absurd idea of "women-only" parliamentary seats where, if you live in that constituency, you can only vote for a woman because that's all you're getting on your ballot paper. I'm sure there are others but I try to detach myself from modern Britain as much as is reasonable because I'd spend all my days angry at the open stupidity of our society if I didn't. (Many of the issues in our country aren't difference of opinion... it's morbidly celebrated ignorance of reality on how education can work, how economics works, how logic works... they actively approve of solutions which are easily disproved at the base of the principle or assumption. Which to me at least, proves that it's the wrong solution and you need to try thinking again.)

Ultimately we have our positives and negatives in the same way you can compare British democracy and US democracy and find positives and negatives in both. The same applies to the reality of living in the UK... I wouldn't say it's better or worse, but it is certainly different. I won't be able to say anything concrete until I visit the US again with my Red Pill specs on. I'm looking forward to it... and I expect to clean up based on my accent since I speak received English.

A word on Abundance mentality and complacency.

5 upvotes · 3 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Extremely masculine behaviour. Worthy of having a drink bought for you.

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 1: Attitude, Ego and IDGAF

5 upvotes · 29 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I'm a big believer in the Bruce Lee Principle. On the sub, this is the right sort of attitude to take in order to adapt to your own individual personality and play to your own strengths best. Develop your soft analytical skills because

> the world is not suited for hard analytics yet.

I'm an omega loser and I want to become a better man

5 upvotes · 30 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Illimitableman's Monk Mode article is essential reading for you right now. You need to find more to life.
>
> While you say you don't care and you will just die asking out the tall chick... I think you do care. You actually care a lot. Consider this, have you told a woman she can go away? I don't think you have and I don't think you could. You also need to work harder on giving off that air of IDGAF - sidebar material. Many men here are not lucky enough to be born with this attitude.
>
> Additionally, women are not interested in men who show no social life. You need to fix that one before you even bother with trying game. Strong, positive male-male friendships are absolutely essential for any RP man. This is because they are the ones who will help your emotional state best. Women won't and trying to get them to do that will only hinder your progress.
>
> Finally, when you've fixed all that (which I'd say is circa 6 months away) it sounds like you need a serious recalibration of your game. Game needs to be calibrated according to your individual personality. Women are good at detecting fakes. The best calibration of your game needs to work with what comes naturally and frankly it sounds like your game is forced. Some guys work well with cheeky, upbeat, fun and sarcasm. Some work well with strong silent type. Others work well running heavy amounts of asshole game. From experimenting with this, one thing is very clear - Calibration is absolutely key to running good game. If it's unnatural or forced then it'll come across as weird and creepy instead of charming.

Anyone else sick of corporation backed feminism? Why am I getting this email from Uber? I couldn't care less about feminist interests, what about men?

5 upvotes · 11 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> That also makes sense. My misinterpretation. Withdrawn.

Tolerate Failure

5 upvotes · 8 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This should be stickied. I forget to forgive myself far too often.

AfterSexSelfies Through a Red Lens

5 upvotes · 3 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> That website is a massive encouragement of hypergamy gone wild. It takes only a couple of clicks to see sensible strategies for women to maximise sluttery and prepare for finding a ready cuckold to provide beta bucks. The fact they're going to lead miserable lives once they hit 30 is neither here nor there to them. I would feel sorry, but I just don't care enough. Only thing I can take away from this... reading this website is going on my red flags list.

There is always another guy next up to bat

5 upvotes · 19 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You've taken the pain and you're still growing (mentally and emotionally) bro. One of the things which I like to remember at any emotionally tough time is what was said to me by a bro on here I greatly respect: "Men are forged through hardship, conflict and adversity." Women just are.
>
> I remember my last relationship before I was unplugged... she'd gone on a date 2 days later and had a new boyfriend before 2 weeks had even passed. It hurt back then... but now that I understand what's really going on... with so many thirsty guys who have a scarcity mentality... her getting a new boyfriend 2 weeks later was the

> equivalent of me choosing to get down the gym and repair the damage she'd done to me. The difference was, I did that within the first week. Women's value in their head is defined by how high SMV of a man they can attract. Remember this because you know how to work on your value. Getting back on the horse is a different process for men and women (depending on how high your SMV is now... if it was higher then you'd just grab a new plate, but it sounds like it's not high enough to do that quickly/easily. So you do the next thing: raise it higher!)

25 Signs you are the kind of insufferable hamster people everywhere fucking hate.

5 upvotes · 16 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> What in the name all holy fucks is #10? I just knocked a whole bunch of shit off my desk from laughing so hard. It's like a whole new level of retardation and hamstering being rolled into an utterly meaningless selection of name drops. It doesn't even make sense.

Andrew Tate is not your friend

4 upvotes · 23 August 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> The young always get drawn towards the edgy... this is like coach red pill 2.0

Lies Women Want To Hear

4 upvotes · 29 July 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> > 99% of dudes in here could never fuck the amount and quality of girls they want based on their actual value. In fact, game is entirely about falsifying value.
>
> Game is not about falsifying value you dimwit. It's about providing little emotional rollercoasters for her to enjoy herself in the interaction. In doing so you demonstrate your social value to be high enough for her by speaking the social language well. Next you're going to be telling me that responses to shit tests are about falsifying your value.

The attack on males and testosterone

4 upvotes · 4 November 2021 · /r/TheRedPill

> Would you kindly provide a few of the key papers you would present in an evidence synthesis around this topic please?

Unbiased Review of TRP

4 upvotes · 25 April 2021 · /r/TheRedPill

> > hence the extreme opposite movement.
>
> If ever you wanted to demonstrate that you didn't know what you're talking about, this line is it. TRP may be radically different to the mainstream, but it's not the total opposite.
>
> Obvious examples are that they call us rapists, but we abhor rape like anyone else. Our philosophy is that she should be eagerly having sex with you, the total opposite of rape. Or that we dishonestly trick women into bed. We openly tell guys to be honest with women that they're not getting a relationship, that it's just fun and sex. Nothing else. We're the opposite of dishonest. We even openly show disgust at beta males trying to nice their way into a girls pants and then explode in rage when it doesn't work. It's a dishonest way of trying to get laid.
>
> I've read your post and it's much the same level of ill-informed dross. Exredpill guys basically either failed to implement TRP or they just never reached acceptance of nature.
>
> This bit also made me laugh:

> TRP would help 10x the amount of men if you as a community would just change the way you deal with the messaging.

Yes, if you'd just be a bit more feminine in the way you approach things please to spare our fee-fees. Pure blue pill thinking right there. You call yourself unbiased when you're clearly fully plugged in.

LDR & Sex Drive / No Fap

4 upvotes · 16 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> I saw LDR, laughed and shook my head.

Holding frame in a healthy LTR?

4 upvotes · 26 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> How can holding a frame be healthy for an LTR? Isn't it like we are wearing a mask?

This suggests you don't understand the concept of frame.

> We even make it to the LTR.

Whut? "Make it to the LTR" What do you mean "we"? The LTR began because I decided it would. Go do your reading on LTR preparation, vetting and dynamics.

> What if she falls in love with the frame/mask, and not with me?

How can you fall in love with a frame? It's an abstract concept, not a person, personality or mask of a personality.

Go do your reading on Frame. It's an abstract concept about the subtextual agreed understanding between individuals engaging in an interpersonal interaction. Like the way the table has been set before you sit down to eat. You can tell by where cutlery and glasses are, who sits where and thus what plates should end up where at the start of the meal. Doesn't mean everyone needs to sit there, but we all share this understanding without saying it out loud. In interactions, there are pre-set understandings. What on earth do you think "holding frame" means?

is it worth having a LTR with a girl like this?

4 upvotes · 8 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> You list all those red flags and then ask such a question? Are you mentally retarded or trolling?
>
> Edit: So yesterday you posted about being sexless. So you're a troll. Nothing to see here folks. Will get it reported and the twit banned.

Gf talks to her male friend everyday

4 upvotes · 22 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Maintaining an orbiter is a red flag.

Do WOMEN get intimidated by SMV

4 upvotes · 6 June 2020 · /r/askTRP

> No. They just get insecure.

My (20M) girlfriend (20F) has too much power over me

4 upvotes · 5 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

> She calls me names and makes up stories about me to see if I react to them, plays pranks on me. Sometimes ignores me for something else.

You mostly can't regain any power because you are a weak man who lacks self-respect. The moment any of this happened, a red pilled man would have realised he made a poor choice of LTR to commit to and then hard nexted her before reflecting on his behaviour and failure. Then he would get down the gym and spin some plates for a bit while doing more detailed reading before even considering trying to play LTR game when he's just had clear evidence that he's not ready for it.

Why are TRP users essentially misogynistic but FDS users aren't vice versa?

4 upvotes · 1 May 2020 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> There is also the concept of a unicorn on TRP. For someone who wants to appear like they're proving a very salient point, you really haven't bothered to do basic research.

Girl in a LTR showed me a screenshot of a conversation with her boyfriend. Am I beta if I make fun of her bf?

4 upvotes · 7 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> No. You shouldn't acknowledge him as competition. Because he's not. Nor should you be engaging in female-intrasexual-style competition. Leave her to make fun of him. (If she is.)

The current sentiment in TRP is disturbing

4 upvotes · 3 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Dat e-peen measurement is over 9000.
>
> No question, no useful lesson, no value. Just beta whining about the behaviour of others.

Had sex with a 18 year old girl from tinder on 1st date. Im 26. Girl says it doesnt feel comfortable to date someone my age.

4 upvotes · 27 March 2020 · /r/askTRP

> A tinder thot gives you a standard shit test and you're here analysing it to death. Does anybody read the sidebar anymore?

Dating BPD girl for entertainment value

4 upvotes · 13 January 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Yes, you're definitely an idiot. This is like removing your trousers every evening with a circular saw because you don't like fiddling with a belt buckle. It will eventually end in tears and have very serious, possibly permanent, consequences.

Girlfriend left because she want to accomplish personal goals

4 upvotes · 7 September 2019 · /r/askTRP

> She's off to ride the cock carousel. Your turn has ended. How is this even a question? Next.

Broke it off with LTR, all my “friends” trying to fuck her not even a week later

4 upvotes · 11 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> This. I've said for years the hardest part of becoming a red pill man is not picking up chicks. It's finding good

> quality male-male friendships. Society has become so feminised that male intrasexual competition has become more like female intrasexual competition. Instead of rising above or building bigger and better with your friends, these numale "friends" will happily tear you down in exchange just a whiff of pussy, they don't even have to actually get some pussy.
>
> OP needs better friends who do not have scarcity mentality. He also needs to figure himself out and what he's currently looking for because he appears to have attached to a woman he doesn't consider LTR material. That was a bad move.

Always a shame when anti-censorship groups take ideological stances for censorship.

4 upvotes · 5 October 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Rule 9 is "no reposts." What's going on here? Did he mean rule 10?
>
> Did you include the 4 points that make our situation relevant to KIA?

What Women Will Do

4 upvotes · 10 September 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Not at all... we don't even deliver the sex! It's a strictly collection-only service.
>
> Besides, if you're telling the truth, where's my decades of spiritual enlightenment and inner peace? Did you lose it in the post???! Write the address down wrong? These ECs, they can't do shit right.

Bringing people into my frame and being more dominant/getting people to obey me?

4 upvotes · 30 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Read my Commanding 101 guide. It is a good general guide with examples on how to assert yourself as a leader in subtle or overt ways depending on what you're going for. The underlying dynamic in the social interaction will decide whether you are leading or not.

Is it cheating if you're dating, but not exclusive yet?

4 upvotes · 2 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Back in my plate spinning days I would always fuck her on the first date. The main challenge was logistics rather than attraction. So no, 3-5 dates is not a "solid range" to shoot for if you're plating chicks. My record was circa 20 mins from meeting to fucking. I'd advise using that as a long term target to beat if you're spinning plates. LTR is totally different kettle of fish. Something which I am concerned you have not specifically answered and separated.
>
> > Can you elaborate further on what you mean by this? Genuinely curious.
>
> There's a subset of guys who typically never achieve real abundance. They're still desperate for sex no matter where it comes from. These are the guys who will fuck the girl at work simply because they can and then justify it by going "had sex." As if this is some kind of achievement. (Which it is for a blue piller they don't get much and they never get it on their own terms.) A red pilled man would respond to the chick at work who was interested with a firm no because "I don't shit where I eat, and there's plenty of women out there for me to fuck."
>
> The only explanation for shitting where you eat is you couldn't help yourself. Men who cannot help themselves are displaying a feminine trait. For it is women who can't help be led by their emotions and instincts without thinking of the consequences of their actions. A red pilled man is not a slave to his emotions or instincts. This is essential if you ever wish to play the game on your own terms.

im confused. if plates are just holes to fuck, why do so many people here next one for sleeping with other people?

4 upvotes · 25 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Because there are dimwits here who do not use terminology correctly and use plate spinning language to describe serial monogamy. The two are not the same and have different levels of investment and any long term Red Piller will tell you that LTR and plate spinning are two wildly different games that you should play in very different ways.
>
> In short: these guys have not really swallowed the pill or understood the material.

You CAN get frame back after you've lost it

4 upvotes · 21 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> You're not really getting frame back here. It's more that she's reevaluating because she's a useless fuck up. This won't happen with girls who are more sure of themselves or with girls who have solid options. It's useful knowledge for you.... though stop saying "please" to her. Seriously. Go look up my commanding101 post.

TRP Lessons/observations from my best friends sister

4 upvotes · 24 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > my best friends younger sister, HB10
>
> Sounds like the kid's got a crush. There are no 10s.

There's a lot of negativity about the culture war that feminism has been winning, but it IS coming around to bite them:

4 upvotes · 20 February 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Bill Maher seems to be catching on to the feminist trend towards eliminating nuance, public execution, and zero tolerance towards men who approach them...
>
> Maher demonstrates the purest of blue pill realities in this. He uses the love actually thing of a guy chasing the girl and never giving up etc and how women want this from men... just only the men they're attracted to... he then points out a feeling which I am sure will be familiar to every man here - that we as men could not work out for our life if we were that man or not.
>
> It could be so beautiful you'd tell yourself... if you could only find the woman for who you were that man. Unfortunately that doesn't work for the long term and it didn't even apply to most of you in the short term. They wanted that 10/10 SMV guy to behave this way, lowering himself to an 8/10 so she felt secure to go for him, while knowing his SMV secretly she could show off her 10/10 catch to the other women. That's the female fantasy right there, to be desired by the hottest man, way hotter than she'd expect to get for what she is. And Bill is so close to seeing it... but he can't get out of his own head to spot the logical for the illogical.
>
> It's kinda sad really. Everything revolves around mating for women. I'm going to go back to appreciating all the other stuff in my life, as should you gents.

Am I doing this right or am I just being a dick?

4 upvotes · 5 February 2018 · /r/askTRP

> This is pure straight talk. Go read the stuff on powertalk and womanese/"the medium is the message" by Rollo in particular. That'll teach you to flirt better.
>
> Specifically that one was way too forward for a flirt where you got nothing back. Be more subtle. (You clearly don't have high enough SMV for the opening "want sum fuk?" style of flirting... if it can really be called flirting.)

> Then you just became a salty beta loser who she successfully filtered out. Cut her and go after other girls.

Asked LTR to do help with a chore. She said she would, then didn't. She doesn't even think it's a problem she flaked on it. How should I adjust my expectations of a woman's word?

4 upvotes · 12 January 2018 · /r/askTRP

> A woman's word is as valuable as you allow it to be. You should be the one dictating the terms of the relationship dynamic and from this it reads like those are some seriously loose terms if she can just do as she pleases and doesn't even have to inform you.
>
> Let's get one thing straight before we get into the meat of what I'm telling you. LTRs and plate spinning are very different beasts. Have you spun multiple plates concurrently for at least a year? Have you maintained at least one of those plates for over 4 months without bother? If you can't say yes to both of those then you're probably not experienced enough to be having an LTR.
>
> The reason LTRs are more difficult is because you can't just walk away or begin radio silence for days on end like you can with a plate. Your tools are more limited so you better be one serious motherfucker with the ones you have. The primary tool of an LTR is the dynamic and your leadership. Leadership is something which is tough to teach because being a learner means you are by definition a follower and not a leader. When your woman fails to do what you've told her to it is because of you. Either she doesn't respect you, the dynamic is wrong or your leadership is unclear.
>
> In this case it could be any of the three. Did she even inform you of her giving up? If she did not then she does not respect or fear you in any way. She should hold both respect and fear. She should fear disappointing you and should respect your ability to guide her when she's lost or unclear on things.
>
> Is this a regular thing where she has little to do and yet you're doing chores after you've got home after a busy day? If so then the dynamic is wrong. Does she not ask you for help or clarity on tasks you issue her? Does she do things wrong when you've issued her a task? If yes to any of these then your leadership sucks and you need to speak less womanese and more straight talk when correcting her.
>
> > I ended up doing the chore myself this morning. I didn't say anything to her about it. I didn't even acknowledge that it was done.
>
> This was a heavily beta move. You were literally the beta bucks white knight who comes in and solves her problems for her without getting anything in return. This needs to stop before it escalates and the sex wanes. A word will need to be had about her behaviour. Plan it out and ensure it happens within the correct dynamic, it'll run similar to scolding a poorly behaved child. End it with something like "it's all been sorted because you don't really deserve me" or something like that to emphasise that it was her shitty behaviour that triggered you to have to pick up the slack because you're superman and you're not thrilled about having to play superman for lois lame who can't follow simple instructions. The idea to lay down in womanese that you deserve better than this without directly saying it. She'll protest and when she's finished her whining, ignore it and switch to straight talk. (The switch will send the message that her ability to read between the lines is shit so you're having to resort to masculine straight talk because her social skills are poor and her SMV is therefore low. This will help to reinforce the correct dynamic.) In straight talk you ignore her protests after they've finished then simply lay down what you expect of her. "That won't happen again. If you struggle with something, come find me for help or advice. Do not hide the fact you didn't do it. Don't leave me with surprise chores that I can do without. It's shitty behaviour from a partner who's not pulling their weight."
>
> Any interruptions through this should be addressed with a curt "don't interrupt me, it's rude and disrespectful." Remain completely calm throughout this. You are asserting authority and leadership so you must avoid looking butthurt. It's not about the chore, it's about her and her behaviour and she didn't get it before so you're being forced by her uselessness to spell it out to her. Womanese works so much better in narrative form, but it's all

about the medium. You're unhappy, but you're completely calm and asserting yourself because she has forced you to. You should end by asking her if she gets all this, to which she should nod or say yes. If she starts arguing at this point then the dom/sub dynamic is seriously off because she just got a heavy dominant smack and she's not submitting. That would be time to walk away from this one and start searching for a new girl. If she submits then you can ask her what she's going to do to make it up to you. If she comes up with something like a fav meal of yours she'll cook or something, great. If not then make sure you've got something in mind you can instruct her to do.

I wrote a detailed piece on the advanced game topic of commanding here. If you need to reset the dynamic in the relationship then you'll need to use plenty of basic commands, instructions and particularly group leadership commands. Build them up over time to create the right dynamic. If she continues to behave like she's unclear on things then ensure she learns to come to you with questions. When a woman is asking you questions about what she is doing or intends to do, then she is looking to you for guidance. For leadership. Give her it.

How to deal with a hardcore shit tester ?

4 upvotes · 29 December 2017 · /r/askTRP

The fact you're even asking this question is a monument to how much of a beta you still are.

What kind of man with options, stays anywhere near such a disrespectful harpy? If she is aware you don't speak with your ex, then these aren't shit tests, they are open insults.

Girlfriend Cheated, best stuff to read to get though it?

4 upvotes · 30 November 2017 · /r/askTRP

It's definitely rare. Not unrealistic. You're intending to put your entire life essentially on the line here by starting an LTR with the intention of moving on to family life. You CANNOT compromise here. It will require going through a huge number of women to find one. (You must also not forget that many women will lie about their N count, so naturally various tactics to make her open up honestly are the way forward. You have no intention of judging her for having a high N count, you just won't be LTRing her and she doesn't need to know the latter.) And you won't find her on tinder or in a bar. So if you haven't already researched other places to meet women and/or daygame then you need to get on that.

How trusting my emotions to my GF teached me a valuable Red Pill lesson

4 upvotes · 23 October 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

Can we have a public service announcement on LTRs please? Don't fucking start an LTR if you have only spun plates and not learned AND internalised LTR game. They are vastly different and the number of guys on here who LTR plate material is astonishing. It really needs to change.

Why the rant flair should NOT be used for typical female behaviour

4 upvotes · 9 October 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> The redpill is all about being in the real. Everyones version of real and what they do with the knowledge is variable - but one thing we should all accept here is female behaviour.

Naturalistic fallacy. Just because a woman is programmed to, more likely than not, behave in a shitty fashion does not excuse her shitty behaviour. Do not bring blue pill shit that excuses bad behaviour by women.

If a dude needs to vent, then he can vent. No skin off my nose. Swallowing TRP is a process, some need to let out more emotion than others. Empathy towards other men is in very short supply in our current culture, don't try to reduce it in here while also excusing women for shitty behaviour.

> Understand this: society is imbalenced in terms of the gender privileges.
>
> I dont write this peice as a form of consern trolling or for morals

Good grammar and spelling are important. Your misspelling was even highlighted by the spellcheck on here. Sort it.

Based upon your logic, beta bashing and poor grammar I can conclude that you're still a beta and you hate it. (Maybe you even got drunk and wrote this.) Take a bit of your own advice. Control yourself and hold back on posting until you've read enough to contribute something worthwhile. This post was not that.

I hate you?

4 upvotes · 8 September 2017 · /r/askTRP

> But also be aware that this might be an emotionally unstable shaken up can of crazy...

[FR] A (somehow) redpilled HB8?

4 upvotes · 4 September 2017 · /r/askTRP

> she's a singer or something related - insane SMV.

I think you missed the class on how women's SMV is measured. No man gives a shit what job a woman does or what her status in society is.

> She was smart (or I was halo-ed).

You were halo-ed. Every man suffers from it when he's knocked off balance. I've been there a couple of times. Was a little later I pulled myself together.

> What are your thoughts on the awareness and honesty she demonstrated?

I think she's just another chick except this one has either read some 40yr old women online complaining that men don't look at them anymore or her mother/grandmother has imparted this wisdom and she has listened. Many can even figure it out from just watching what goes on around them. Nothing interesting to see here folks, she'll behave just as emotion driven as the unaware chick, move along.

That's the reason women slam into the wall and act like the party will never end, because they can't get out of the present. They may be aware, but being aware doesn't really make much difference because they're too emotionally driven.

Don't Hate Women For Being Women

4 upvotes · 19 June 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

Your opening statement is a naturalistic fallacy. (One which comes up here on TRP and needs to be discouraged.) When a cunt acts like a cunt you call her a cunt because she is a cunt. All women are predisposed to behave badly. It doesn't make it acceptable or (more importantly) tolerable. This naturalistic fallacy comes up from time to time on here from newbies. The problem is that the phrase itself is an extension of the blue pill programming to excuse women. Even here we still have men who excuse women of bad behaviour "because it's hypergamy." That is not the correct attitude if you ever want to truly take control of things and lead women successfully.

Hypergamy is going to happen, but the difference between anger and acceptance of this is certainly not passive. You learn game for a reason, and it certainly isn't to merely let nature take its course.

I Found A Glitch

4 upvotes · 24 April 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> There's still a relationship going on, it's just in her head. She's trying to give some kind of meaning to what was between the two of you so that she didn't literally just give you everything for nothing in return... because that's what a low value woman does. And she couldn't possibly be a low value woman, because these reasons and it meant something and has helped her to develop herself.
>
> It's genuinely hilarious to watch. Because I think to myself, I've never actually improved myself by sticking my dick in something. All improvement comes from just about anything else which in turn allows me to stick my dick in various chicks' holes. When you realise that and you strip it all back down and realise the only reason you fuck chicks is because you've got that strong urge to do so every now and again, otherwise you start to go mad, then none of us would bother. There is so much more interesting stuff to be doing with your time.
>
> But that all comes back to the major value difference. Men set their own value and it is then read and interpreted by women. A woman's value is determined by the men she could potentially get with. The only difference is in the inherent base value. Women have one, we don't.

Regarding the recent Cristiano Ronaldo post

4 upvotes · 22 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > The dude is a true professional and encapsulates everything RP.
>
> No he doesn't. Nobody who has wealth of that magnitude can because they're playing a completely different SMP game to the one you're playing. They are irrelevant in Red Pill discussion.

Responded to a breadcrumb from my ex and now i'm obsessing

4 upvotes · 26 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Good mental health is important. Our attitude is reinforcing. Positive outlook increases chances of positive things happening, reinforcing a positive attitude and outlook. The same applies to the negative. The depression cycle comes from blaming yourself for the bad things that happen in your life, causing a negative outlook and then more negative things happen which were your fault and so on and so forth.
>
> There is a distinction to be made between times when it is useful to place blame on yourself for both motivation to improve (i.e. I have control over X, therefore I can change it and improve things) and taking things outside of your control and placing blame upon yourself. However, there are also situations where you do have control and it is not helpful to blame yourself. This is where it is important to maintain ego defence for good mental health.
>
> Now, don't get me wrong, I agree that in this case OP is a twit who doesn't seem to have any idea what he's doing and it is this causing him to rationalise his behaviour as "I'm human." What I disagree with is the absolutist statement you've made. If we take what you've taken literally as advice that you should never defend your ego because that'll make you complacent, there's only one place that ends up - depression land. Identifying where you will and won't make changes to improve things is the key to deciding when to employ your ego defence and when not to.
>
> For example, let's say I make an approach and get insta-shut down by the bitch shield. Is it my fault? Sort of... maybe my opener sucked... maybe my fashion sense that night was weak etc etc. Is it helpful to blame myself? Yes and no. After the night is over, there is a small case for yes to re-examine my opener and maybe fuel my lifting or whatever. On the night however, it's her fault. She's a bitch. I defend my ego to keep my confidence high and my outlook positive because high confidence and positive outlook at both attractive and generally useful in all aspects of life. Following your current advice as an absolute inevitably leads to failure and depression.
>
> Welcome to the nuances of the pill bro. Time to start refining the message down to help get you the very most

> you can out of it. Hope this has helped as your first taste. Merry Christmas.

Slow down, and calm down

4 upvotes · 8 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Essentially our grandparents already knew and were taught in that "misogynistic" manner, all the basic things TRP teaches you about women. They knew to ignore them when they were being hysterical/stupid. They knew to take the lead and just watch for agreement/submission from their women. They knew to respect their fellow men and not throw them under the bus for some woman. (i.e. they knew not to be beta.) They also knew the importance of masculine places where men could just be men without the influence of women. It's shocking to realise how fucking stupid the last generation has been... though you've then got to question whether their parents failed to allow them to end up like this or not? And interesting diversion... a bit like this post.

My cousin is a Blue Pill Punk

4 upvotes · 7 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I stayed stoic and refrained from laughing the way I wanted to.
>
> Why? There's nothing useful in that.
>
> He is quite literally a beta bucks in waiting. She's stringing him along as a backup option if she can't lock down that blond (correct spelling for a man), jacked, football player or similar high level of genetics. Maybe she's even genuinely trying to play the dual mating strategy (that's sitting at about 1 in 4 these days... down from 1 in 3 in the 90s. However, we can assume that figure is under-reported.)
>
> Why you're writing this if you don't care about him is the greater mystery in my eyes.

The Culture War Is Being Transformed Into A Hot War - "The population must experience enough fear so that they will accept pre-determined solutions"

4 upvotes · 25 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Control for and perpetuation of the oligarchy is the goal and long-term strategy that makes most rational sense here.

"The Red Pill" documentary banned in Australia

4 upvotes · 25 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > This documentary is about the chick lol
>
> Everything in a gynocentric society is about women. So this particular comment was quite dumb.
>
> Now, a woman being deprogrammed... that's something worthy of note. Specifically because they are more prone to the programming in the first place due to their nature of operating on a feelings basis.
>
> We don't bother with the MRAs because guys like Elam don't understand that being attractive is important for these things. Nor do they get that they're fighting an uphill battle precisely because they are men. Adding an attractive woman to the situation **completely** changes the equation.
>
> While I can appreciate the anger phase you're probably going through. Missing the nuances along with providing unconstructive criticism is categorically not red pill, does not help the sub and does not help you. If there are aussie guys here who want to put a minimal time towards this, it's not a complete waste due to the front of the movie and the general attitude of the documentary bringing light upon the big picture of the cultural war against men and boys. That is objectively very Red Pill for a number of reasons. I will leave you to see if you can figure

> them out. One hint would be to consult redpillschool's most recent decision to endorse Trump and the logic within.

Mod banned my friend for saying a post was dumb?

4 upvotes · 15 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Here is an old post I made which covers the appropriate methods of interaction to achieve the best outcomes on the sub, along with reasons as to why. From what you're saying, your mate was rightfully removed.
>
> Ask him if he'd gone up to his commanding officer who'd made a bad call and shout at him how he's dumb and he has a small dick? No? Maybe he'd be a bit more tactful... similar applies here.
>
> I suggest you take this down before you get yourself banned for pointless rebellious/disrespectful behaviour.

Potentially Pregnant Plate

4 upvotes · 7 October 2016 · /r/askTRP

> If it's actually two days after her period ended then you're fine. (Do you know for certain? Did you see her bleeding?) Ovulation doesn't occur until at least a week after a period ends (it's meant to be circa day 12 of a cycle, so unless she's had a week and a half long period, she ain't ovulating in time) and sperm only lives for about 72 hours. I think in some cases it can be a little longer, maybe an extra 24 hours. You're lucky, you appear to have accidentally used the "rhythm" method of contraception.
>
> She's not pregnant, she's bringing you drama.

Opinions please

4 upvotes · 5 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Neither was I when I came here. I've chatted with illimitableman as a fellow Brit a few times on how people get here, this is the essence of our conversations. While most are scorned by women to turn up, I'd given up on women and thought I'd failed with my soulmate and further failure suggested to me it wasn't worth trying. Effectively I'd turned MGTOW without realising MGTOW even existed. I actually came to TRP to have a laugh at some misogynists. However, and I have my professional education in psychology to thank for this, I read a few things from the sidebar and I saw the reconciliation of science and reality and it all fitted in with just about every lived experience I'd had with women over the years of dating and my selection of girlfriends.
>
> Because of the psychology, it was perfectly tailored to my situation and understanding. To my reality. This kid isn't ready for the sidebar yet, but simply because he hasn't come here for answers doesn't mean we shouldn't present them. Our task here is to offer the knowledge, to offer what is needed. If they don't take it, that's fine, we did our bit.
>
> However, just as I came here with the wrong intentions, doesn't mean I should be turned away. It's women who demand instant perfection of men, who demand we naturally get it right. The Red Pill does not demand this, it just gives you the information/facts/reality and then demands you make the effort.
>
> We must always be conscious of the difference between smacking a guy in the face and telling him to stop being a faggot as a necessary masculine style of motivation... and the compassion for our fellow man which drives that smack in the face to begin with. After all, society has no compassion for men. The only place these days where you'll find any compassion for your fellow man is here... and it's that masculine compassion that will not allow you to weaken, but at the same time won't try to destroy or dismiss you. This is important, and it is often missed on the sub. My efforts here come from that, and I'm not the sort who gives up because others are letting me down.

Sexual Strategy or Self improvement. The dumbest argument there is on here.

4 upvotes · 3 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> He's highlighting the irony. It's funny. Try to keep hold of your sense of humour. It's makes seduction, and life in general, easier.

The Key Masters

4 upvotes · 22 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > and it is always subject to the Four Horsemen of the Hamster Apocalypse: Natty Dread, the Dread Pirate Roberts, the Grateful Dread and Dread Zeppelin.
>
> You're reminding me of a man I knew who owned a big law business... he too had far too much spare time on his hands to come up with these amusingly lengthy jokes.
>
> And yeah, Rollo's case here is pure overstatement. The area is far too murky and prone to situational influence for him to come to the conclusion he has.

Discussing MGTOW with Anteros

4 upvotes · 28 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah, I know mate. I don't think it was possible to be clearer with the flaw in the logic. Looking at the points/scores, it appears that the community has picked up on it. So that's something positive.
>
> It provides a good example that even those in positions of responsibility can be wrong sometimes and we have a duty to keep each other honest. Always keep your views unified.

Opinions are like assholes, so who gives a shit?

4 upvotes · 9 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > But, the alpha is completely uninterested with the opinion of others.
>
> Only a fool is uninterested in the opinion of others. That's how you become a closed minded SJW.
>
> Speak with confidence all you like, but if you're saying 2+2=5 then anyone with a brain won't think you're alpha. They'll think you're retarded. Which you might be since you didn't notice this really obvious flaw in your reasoning. Take this drivel down before the mods do.

How do I handle this woman?

4 upvotes · 9 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> It's taken you three weeks? Text her and tell her you're going to X and she should tag along. If she complains she hasn't heard from you in a while, you just say you were busy then push her "you comin' or not?"
>
> Then once that's set up, read my 4 part guide on game called the TRP Field Toolkit. Oh and stay the fuck away from political discussion.
>
> Things to talk about:
>
> - Family
> - Occupation
> - Recreation
> - Dreams
>
> Topics to avoid:

> - Religion
> - Abortion
> - Politics
> - Economics
>
> Also, plan your logistics so you're able to get her alone to a bedroom. If you can't do that then you're wasting your time in the first place. You should be able to get her into bed in the first night, failing that the second. Remember that each date you go on which doesn't end in sex reduces the chance you will ever get her into bed.

Feeling my LTR change ? Not liking it.

4 upvotes · 6 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Either way you're failing to properly look after this child you just adopted. Time to up your game or cash out.

Is there a way to sort out if a woman is trying to make you an orbiter or if she's into you? Is Escalating the only way?

4 upvotes · 5 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Asked and answered. Take her somewhere, attempt to escalate, if it fails then withdraw and radio silence a few days. Then make another attempt to escalate, if it fails a second time then hard next her.

Berkeley feminazi writes hate article on white boys; is utterly demolished in the comments

4 upvotes · 1 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You give her the rationalisation logic required to do whatever you want her to do, and let her know what she gains/how she benefits from doing whatever action it is you want her to do.
>
> It's also useful for girls trying to post-rationalise the failure of her ASD. Just give her the rationalisation she wants to hear. Feed the hamster. Chicks are fairly predictable as a result.

What the hell is up with girls these days?

4 upvotes · 28 June 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Even if that's the case, I've interpreted his question in the more rational sense - i.e. I've argued my position in good faith. So it's useful for anyone who has legitimate concerns about the sexual market inequality that naturally occurs between men and women in their teens and 20s. It's a noted phenomenon which I believe are covered in some Rational Male pieces on the sidebar.

[OBSERVANCE] "I know, [my bf] is such a flirt!"

4 upvotes · 11 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> is English your second language?

RP minority in a democratic system. What does the future hold?

4 upvotes · 23 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> The Red Pill just offers you the reality. The knowledge and some tips on what has worked for us.
>
> We're not an activism group. The sub is apolitical and amoral in order to facilitate discussion. In my opinion, if the sub grows large enough then we will become a part of the culture war whether we like it or not. However, for now... we're just a small part of the internet.
>
> Personally I still believe that there'll be a man who eventually rises to the challenges society is facing. Hell, maybe it'll be me if I continue to get more and more annoyed by the endless idiocy I see everywhere combined

> with just about everything in my country being unfit for purpose.
>
> Focus on yourself. You can only do more once you've secured your own position. Just like they say on aeroplanes, sort out your own oxygen mask before trying to help your kid... otherwise you'll both end up dead.

Modern Feminism: still necessary?

4 upvotes · 17 May 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Where does something objectively true become name calling? Technically in legal circles, you can't be sued for libel if what you say about the person is true. I reckon, if I could be bothered, I could put forward a very strong case that someone who holds these views is either willfully ignorant or mentally retarded (since their world view is so inconsistent and contradicts itself all over the place.)
>
> Oh, and they are objectively sexist by the correct definition of the term (not the redefined one from feminists where sexism only affects women, the correct one where it is generalised and sexless.) That one is obvious when they complain about discrimination against women, then say that lists for positions of power and authority that purposefully exclude men based upon their gender is "not really discrimination" is objectively a sexist person.

Ex starts dating top 1% (in school)

4 upvotes · 8 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Short term fun. Earn money, keep that body looking nice, learn game, have fun and don't take anything too seriously and you'll get what you want. Check my submission history for my 4 part TRP Field Toolkit. It's a reasonably comprehensive guide to all basic game that will get you from meeting the chick to getting her into bed.
>
> Just don't let that lust for pussy allow you to make any dumb calls. Know when to walk away if it's going to fuck up your social status.

[FR] My first week of TRP

4 upvotes · 1 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Loved this read. Always brings a smile to my face seeing the first few steps of the newbie. Settle in, for the road ahead is long and hard, but the results will be there.
>
> To cover off what you appear to be looking for... there are two text guides I'd recommend, both as parts of online/internet/mobile dating guides.
>
> - The first one comes as part of a more generalised guide for online dating by my buddy /u/OmLaLa - who in my opinion (and others) set the gold standard when he wrote this - Link
> - The second one is a guide for Tinder game by /u/JayGatsbyFan which has some good text examples with outcomes. Worth bookmarking for when you can get on Tinder - Link
>
> Last but not least, for when you're out in the field running game on chicks, you can check my submissions history to find my TRP Field Toolkit. It's a 4 part series outlining and explaining all base game used to navigate the vast majority of situations you'll ever come up against in the field, taking you from meeting to sex. Best of luck lad! Send any questions to AskTRP and you'll likely get covered by at least one Endorsed Red Pill Man.

I couldn't keep a promise, not sure if I should apologize or keep frame

4 upvotes · 12 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> I realized I fucked up bad and **apologized and promised I wouldn't** be friendly with her mom anymore and she let it go.

That's where the relationship really ended. You submitted to her. Game over. It was only a matter of time before it became formal.

On a separate note... why the fuck are you taking advice from a fish on fishing?

When Plates Collide

4 upvotes · 10 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Why has this got less than 100 upvotes in 24 hours? Honestly I'm starting to lose faith in mankind's intelligence here lol.

"You're just the nicest guy I've ever met"

4 upvotes · 7 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

She's using sex as a weapon to control you. Hard next.

Is it okay to go through an LTRs phone?

4 upvotes · 6 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> and you'll be the bad guy.

And you should be deducted points if it were possible for me to do. Women will rationalise you as the bad guy no matter what you do. Don't make decisions based upon how women will view you, that's exactly how the feminine imperative wants men to operate and is the very basis of much of the blue pill programming.

Do not spread Blue Pill thought patterns in Red Pill places.

Don't Do These 9 Things In Your Next Interview

4 upvotes · 5 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

This piece is mostly common sense in my view. If you need to be taught these things then you're clearly struggling to figure out what "professional" looks like. I will contradict one point though: suggesting people go in high energy. If high energy means acting like a normal human being, sure. But to me, high energy is a problem - you look like you're on coke if you appear excitable.

Anyhoo... the gold standard for interviews has already been set by Uncle Vasiliy. I recommend everyone save that piece as a good reference guide... you never know when you're going to change jobs.

Dumped my girlfriend 3 months ago, need advice

4 upvotes · 3 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

Lay the foundations for a serious LTR from the start. Improve your reading - you're no longer dealing with a woman you can drop easily so you can't afford to fail to fulfill her needs anymore. (This is because your investment is very high.) This means that alpha game required to plate a girl and/or maintain her position as a plate is not sufficient. You are effectively adopting a child that you will need to look after as opposed to previously visiting the home and just playing with it on the floor for a bit. The relationship is your responsibility and ensuring that she knows, understands and fulfills her role in the relationship is also your responsibility. You will need to teach, support and lead her as needed. It will be down to you to know how to do each of these things and how you spot the signs that your woman has a need that requires fulfilling. She can not tell you these things, they will come through in her behaviours.

There is an excellent 8 part guide on LTRs by OccamsUsername which I would recommend as essential reading if you feel a serious LTR is the way you want to go (regardless of who the woman is you wish to LTR.) Here's a

> link to the part on laying the foundations.
>
> I would also temper any optimism you have... women are notoriously unlikely to make any clear move that can actually be taken straight, so it's unlikely she'll come to you and then declare she wants to get back together. Such an act would be the act of a desperate woman. Attractive women are never desperate, they've always got options and other men interested in them. Most women who are dumped are out fucking another guy within 1-2 weeks. If the guy she's been with since is better in bed than you then you're screwed from the start.

On a biological level, she despises weakness.

4 upvotes · 22 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This. The kid is humblebragging about his LTR when he hasn't even adopted abundance mentality and a proper internal dominance.
>
> > She'd taken care of me when I needed her to, but I could tell she was getting a bit tired of dealing with me. I could also tell she was horny so I initiated, despite a lot of pain in my gastrointestinal tract.
>
> The above is pure, undistilled, unrefined blue pill nice guy covert contract idiocy. Much of the inner game needs working on with this kid, there's blue pill programming of "keeping his woman happy" going on. Women don't want to be happy. They want to have drama and emotions. They want to keep testing you from time to time and creating drama is a way to fulfil both their craving for emotional swings and to see how you deal with it.
>
> (Aside: I use the word unrefined specifically because this is the sort of stuff that sits under the surface which guys won't typically notice until it's spelled out to them. It's not the textbook example simply because it's more subtle.)

Have you ever had productive TRP conversations with a female?

4 upvotes · 29 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> When women let their guards down around you, you'll find them either explaining TRP truths to you in their own words... or agreeing with it.
>
> The only value of this is to confirm your own beliefs. Women will still act emotions-first. I've now had three separate women state in post-coital chatter between sessions that their vagina has more control over them than they have over it. They're all aware, but because of that reality they can't really use logic to overpower their feelings all the time. So ultimately these conversations hold little purpose for her.

How does BP explain the 'field report' success stories where the guy exhibits extremely cuntish behaviour but still succeeds?

4 upvotes · 27 January 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > All the he-man woman-hating stuff does more harm than good.
>
> Personally I find PUA tactics to work... but they're inefficient. Attitude changes to "women are inferior" and "you're the real prize here" made the biggest difference when I was out and about.
>
> Oh, and:
>
> > Did you notice that neither of the guys in your links actually got laid?
>
> The first link did get laid. (It's even written in the TL;DR.) Might wanna work on your Eng Lit Comprehension pieces. The second one is a guy who's gone out for like the second or third time or something iirc. He's made some decent progress, but he's got a long way to go so he's hardly representative of "what really works" since he's openly admitting he's a work-in-progress.

Q4Women: what if you found out your son was reading TRP? Would it bother you? Would you confront him on it?

4 upvotes · 22 January 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > TRP is a hate group
> >
> > lol
>
> Lol, taking this a tad bit personal, huh?

You make so much confuse.

> Calling people with whom you disagree "emotional" is not a trump card.

lol

> And I don't care what RPW have to say.

Yeah, I suppose... they are women after all, so it's very Red Pill to not care what women have to say :) Congrats on becoming Red Pill!

Carousel rider in approaching the wall makes a relationships post complaining about her inability to find a decent guy. Apparently men are the problem.

4 upvotes · 22 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > What does that have to do with anything?
>
> Good fucking lord.

I almost fell off my seat laughing when I read that. I actually ended up clapping at how stupid/funny it was. It's still something I'm continually amazed by... that a woman can destroy herself for life within 10-12 years in the modern world.

The Beta Thirst is real: UVA Jackie gets married

4 upvotes · 21 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> At least they know their place in the food chain.

Buckets Story

4 upvotes · 13 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You can write however you like. I even write however I feel is natural when I'm writing casually. That's when I'm happy with "each to their own and just be sure you've communicated your point well enough so that the reader understands."
>
> Once people step away from the "each to their own" of casual writing and declare something *specifically* to be right (i.e. nitpicking), I will not accept the response of "it's down to what you feel is right and a value judgement etc etc. (Or in this specific case: judge whether it makes the word cumbersome or not.)
>
> If you wish to start debating the rules of grammar, you've left the realms of allowing communication first and

prose second. You've stepped into the land of "this is what makes good quality prose." If this is of interest to you, I would strongly recommend you familiarise yourself with "The Elements of Style" by Strunk & White. Originally published in 1959, the commandments it hands out for good quality prose have been around closer to 100 years. I believe it's in its fourth or fifth edition now.The best professional writers all use it as a mini reference manual for any of their professional prose. More casual writing tends to skip out certain rules for ease of reading because, despite mass education, people can't read properly. They try to speak everything they read like some three year old who's only just picked up their first picture book.

As far back as Socrates, we've known that the written word of a man is to contain and convey information in a different way to a spoken word. Socrates was half correct when he stated that the written word loses some of its meaning and therefore he did not want to write his thoughts. (Luckily Plato had a go at conveying his thoughts and beliefs.) He is right that the written word can lose meaning that would otherwise be delivered through tone, inflection, emphasis and so forth. However this is not good reason to avoid writing these things down. It is a demonstration that an improvement in your prose is necessary in order to convey things correctly.

Let's use your examples. I would contest that both of those, Odyssesus's cruelty and Achilles's heel (so long as you are specifically referring to the heel of Achilles the warrior, and not talking about the colloquial phrase) are perfectly acceptable because they contain the information you wish convey concisely. There is no ambiguity. However they are not a good choice to use in speech because, as you put it, you sound like Smeagol and it sounds bad. You would rewrite the sentence to something like "the cruelty of Odysseus" or "the heel of Achilles" in order to maintain both clarity and form. Conversely, it is bad form to speak using words in an ambiguous fashion if your target is to convey a specific message. You should reform the sentence to solve this problem, or use tone, emphasis and inflections to better convey your meaning.

Therefore from this we can summarise that the duty of proper prose is to be written differently than a similar communication should be spoken. In a way, grammar and punctuation within prose is the substitution for emphasis, tone, inflection and pace. As writing becomes more casual, the stylistic principles of grammar and form relax to allow you to better convey things like emotion. The way you do this is to make it more like the spoken word, rather than written communication. We are more used to this because of the increased popularity of fiction that relaxes these rules. All that said, writing and speaking are two different forms of communication and you can't use the implication that they communicate in the same way as the basis for an argument. (That the underlying assumption necessary to make your point valid.)

Not sure if this will be useful to you or not, but I hope it will.

Taking a girl out, should you I pay for her?

4 upvotes · 12 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> If you foot the whole bill, you'll look like a chump when you're left with your dick in your hand and down $30 at the end of the date.

Basically this. Instruct her in how things will go. Don't be the chump who falls for the chick who forgets her purse. Once they know they can use you to pay for things, they will mercilessly exploit this.

In order to successfully get hot girls, you have to actually want to get hot girls.

4 upvotes · 11 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You're both wrong

You mean we're both right, if your point is that all working strategies are valid. (Thought my point was never that other styles don't work. Just that the idea that OP put forward was false.)

> I actually agree with you. I've said on here time and again that people should look to adapt their most natural form of alpha behaviour. What I take issue with is your implicitly strawmanning me and implying that I'm suggesting my method is the only workable method or even the best method. I did not say that. My point was simply disproving OP's belief that sleeping with attractive women requires you to sacrifice significant portions of your life and alter your journey. I believe you can just incorporate it through more natural approaches; after all, women tend to love trying to set up shop in the life of a man who already has an awesome life going. If you are an opportunist hunter, like I've become, then you just need to be good at managing your plates and you'll be fine for regular sex with attractive women.
>
> > We each have our personality to achieve easy sluts. It'll determine which path you take.
>
> I am actually writing a piece (that I'm having a lot of fun with) on how there are many different styles of alpha. I'm sure you'll like it.

New York City Tax dollars at work: Study Shows Women's Products Cost More Than Men's

4 upvotes · 24 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Relevant video from the blue antelope.

I'm Milo Yiannopoulos, AMA

4 upvotes · 17 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Absolutely. And thus you have a neverending list of things you can do to offend them worse than Nazis ever could.
>
> Now we just need to work on a Red Pill salute for the new world patriarchal order that they're so terrified of. This will help calm your worldview worries.

The Crime of Rape - A Legal Primer

4 upvotes · 12 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is excellent. I wish we could get a UK equivalent. Don't think there'll be massive differences though. Thanks for sharing your expertise.

You Got a Stranger Pregnant: A Guide.

4 upvotes · 7 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> What a story. What a guide.
>
> While I appreciate the achievement of OP as being somewhat monumental in today's court system... the Red Pill advice has to be "don't get a woman pregnant." The whole thing combines both helpful advice and a very sober warning to all men who are not in this position. (Is it really worth nutting inside that chick? Seriously?)
>
> If OP's daughter's mom continues with her insanity then I think it's time to get some CCTV in your house and out the front. Beyond that, I think you're doing everything you can. Best of luck to you, and best of luck with the most difficult task of all - raising a daughter in this modern culture to try and prevent her making a bunch of bad life choices and ending up miserable. I think that task may be even harder than your court battle, but I sense you'll find an even greater emotional strength to be ready for it.

Basic Guide to Argumentation and Intellectual Growth

4 upvotes · 1 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Originally I had no intention of replying to this. As I advise in this piece... when someone disengages and tries to shift the ground of the discussion you'll never get anywhere. So this response is more for the onlooker, but you

can benefit too because you've provided a subtle disengagement through a strawman for me to demonstrate.

> illimitable man has a much better talk about emotional machavellian discussion on his blog, that would go much better.
>
> I could win the battle with this stuff, but I'd rather win the war

Let's break this down. Firstly, the goal I stated in my piece was intellectual growth. Not winning arguments or coming out on top of interactions. (Which isn't outright stated here, but it's pretty heavily implied at the end.)

Machiavellian behaviour is not something I discourage. In fact, in one of my comments from above, I put down gaming and behaving Machiavellian-like as a potential response when you realise you're dealing with someone you can't grow from your interactions with. That is where Illimitableman's pieces come into this. I have not covered them, partly because he's already done that, but secondly because they are merely a tangent in this case. They are not directly relevant to what I'm trying to address here.

This is specifically about providing the tools required in situations (such as on TRP) to be able to achieve intellectual growth, come to conclusions faster, appreciate nuance faster and just generally learn faster. You should not be engaging in Machiavellianism on TRP. This is not a place for dick measuring and point scoring, it is a place to freely discuss sexual strategy to try and improve your own approach to sexual strategy, while more widely trying to get a better understanding of the truth of interactions between men and women. The tools I'm offering help to achieve this. Illimitableman's Machiavellian essays do not. They provide a tool for a different job, specifically something in the field. This is not to say that my tools can't be used away from TRP either, but it does clearly demonstrate the difference between the two and why your statement is a disengagement. - Because the Machiavellian approach will not achieve the goal of intellectual growth. (Unless you're practicing how to be Machiavellian, but that is something of a nit pick.)

The underlying assumption to your statement which would make it make sense, is that the goal is better/more successful interactions. This is the strawman. A few people have shown interest in me writing a further piece - identifying this strawman comes from the bit I'll write about identifying assumptions if people continue to show interest.

So in summary, you've approach this from pragmatic application and thus missed the point of where to apply this. Similar to a guy who looks at a screwdriver, then looks at a screw and grabs his hammer because "it works so well knocking in nails and this looks pretty similar." Pragmatically, you always want more tools.

The system is completely fucked up

4 upvotes · 21 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is more of a rant than a FR. Though you need to caveat your rant with one point: you allowed her to act this way. Through a combination of ignorance and weakness, you enabled this behaviour by her. You must shoulder your part of the blame... only then can you begin to heal yourself and fix everything else.

Poster gets cuckolded by his girlfriend, she gets pregnant with another man behind his back, pressurises OP to reverse his vasectomy so he will be the father without realising, and after all that OP isn't sure if he wants to leave her. Some men... seriously!

4 upvotes · 11 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Personally I'd brand giving your child fetal alcohol syndrome as child abuse. The amount of damage it does to the child is so great... to cause that much damage to a born child, you'd need to beat it up so badly. Why is doing that much harm to a child when it's been born considered child abuse, yet doing all that damage when it isn't born is not?

Friend the ECs to filter what you read.

4 upvotes · 6 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is an under-appreciated topic. It always seems to be the way when someone offers a simple and practical solution to something... it gets ignored or doesn't get enough upvotes... because "Gronk wanna have secks! This not secks! Where be gainz?!!"

FR - Flying by the seat of my pants

4 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm short. Or at least I consider myself short since most men around me are taller than me. I play the game with an automatic minus one to my base SMV.
>
> My looks are pretty average. From what I recall when I was younger and checked those rate me sites as a teen, I was typically voted a 3 or 4 out of 10. I think one chick voted me an 8 and I was thrilled for the day. Having good looks is like adding +2 to your base SMV. Being average subtracts nothing.
>
> Work on your body, the muscles will counteract the shortness to some extent and maybe even flip the scales a little. Style is essential. Game is absolutely mandatory. Attitude is everything. Have a read of my TRP Field Toolkit series if you haven't seen it already.
>
> More importantly than this, adopt the attitude of the underdog and great willingness to next a chick who shows no interest. No matter how good your game, how good you look or how high your status... there are women out there who will reject you. Maybe they're currently dating movie star or something, or maybe they were alpha widowed by a professional athlete and see many high status men as unworthy of her. It's a fucked up, overly entitled bunch of women out there... but they're in massive numbers and most are willing to take an interest in a top 20% man regardless of his height or looks not being in the top 10%. Do you see what I'm saying?
>
> Abundance, confidence and attitude. Internalise them all as a matter of priority.

FR - Flying by the seat of my pants

4 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Somewhere in between. But closer to the second example. My clothing choices are not out of place... but they're not always in line with what other people around me are wearing either. And as much as I wish I could pull off some kind of outrageous Michael Jackson style outfit... my status is simply not high enough to look that cool. Personally I don't think the guy on the right really stands out much from the other two.

Minimum Investment, Maximum Return

4 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I respond with “Because you don’t fucking deserve it!” And she was gob smacked!
>
> Standing ovation, Sir! It's amazing how a little self-respect does wonders for a man.

He’s Such a Jerk, But I Love Him

4 upvotes · 28 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Then you are wrong in your understanding of how a red pill man should act.

A Message to Men's Rights from Red Pill

4 upvotes · 19 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > The thing that binds all of us here at TRP is a rejection of the "victim mentality" mindset that is so prevalent

> in modern day Western society.

Perfectly summed up. Not a single word wasted.

> There is some venting and ranting, especially from those in the Anger phase, but at the end of day, the key message is to raise yourself up. That is one of the key distinctions between TRP and Feminism. Ironically, it is also one of the key distinctions between us and MRAs ...

This reads like you think the ranting is a bad thing. It's actually important for your mental health... I'd just say that it's important that it's not the only thing you're doing.

Anger is healthy, no matter what stage you're at. We just tolerate it more for the anger stage guys for fairly obvious reasons.

Attractive 45 yo female posts that she thinks men are feminized, shit storm ensues

4 upvotes · 16 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

You're on point bro. I didn't look any deeper because I just wanted to make sure I wasn't being a hypocrite and/or explain to him where he was tripping up. (Being consistent and/or helpful is important to me.) Once I'd satisfied myself on that I wanted to make it clear to anyone who follows my musings that I don't contradict myself. It's good that you covered it off completely, thanks.

He has a screw loose like all Bloopers - they all see whatever they feel like seeing. Objective reality doesn't matter to them. Under any other circumstances we'd consider this to be psychotic. Personally I'd diagnose psychosis for most of modern humanity. It read like a standardised Bloopy rant to me.

My critique of Red Pill Men and Red Pill Women

4 upvotes · 14 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> If he decides to lose weight, lift weights, and go to college in order to have sex with women, then he isn't really changing himself on the inside; he's pretending to be someone else.

Wrong.

> Red Pill Men seem to be somewhat aware that they are pretending, since the phrase "hold frame" is used frequently.

Wrong.

> women want to date an attractive and successful man who actually has his life together, not a man who pretends to have his life together.

Wrong.

> The basic premise of Red Pill Women is that Alpha Males desire to marry (or be in a serious LTR) with a feminine Red Pill Woman.

Wrong.

> It's ironic that r/theredpill frequently tells men to never get married (due to divorce rates, family courts, alimony, and child support). Regarding these issues, Red Pill Women is overwhelmingly silent.

Wrong.

> Red Pill Women seem determined to find a man to marry, but they ignore the reasons why Red Pill Men don't want to get married. To me, it seems that Red Pill Women don't know how to address these concerns.

Partial credit.

> Imagine that you've bought an old house in order to renovate it and sell it at a profit. Instead of refurbishing the inside of the house and fixing the house's foundation, you decide to ignore the foundation and focus just on appearances. So, you expertly paint the house on the inside and outside and put in new carpeting. The house looks beautiful, but the foundation is terrible.

False analogy.

> If you follow Red Pill Theory, you are that house. You are focusing on the beautiful exterior (good looks, good job, nice clothes) but completely ignoring the foundation (personality, personal values, long term life goals, healthy self-esteem, etc), and your chirade will quickly collapse.

Wrong.

Score for comprehension of TRP: 0.5/8

Do you think I was being an asshole?

4 upvotes · 10 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

> Throwing a shit excuse in works nicely in my experience because it provides the lovely veneer required in all womanese speak. "I want sex... you don't? That's fine. I don't need you here then. Bye." is the undertone that you wish to convey. But you'll tell her while you're getting dressed "righto, get dressed and sort yourself out to go because I've gotta get some things done." It's that lovely borderline around assertive, between polite and rude. You get bonus points if you can work returning video tapes into it.
>
> Oh, and if she responds with "what have you got to do?" dismissively state "oh nothing important/interesting."

is it too early to ask her out?

4 upvotes · 10 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

> You never ask chicks out... you go out and invite them along.

"A Quick Word from the Machiavellian" [Video]

4 upvotes · 10 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Notes rather than a script. You've got a natural flow that you don't want to try and fake too much. People engage more when someone flows with their natural voice. Make the video as you like and if you're unhappy with how you've said something: Stop, take a breath and try again. Then edit it later.
>
> Focus on your strengths for the launch. It's fairly obvious you could do a vid on online dating. You could do one on travel. One on cold approaches. Club game etc etc etc. Not sure how you'd feel about hitting the more... dry topics like Sandman started out with. While Machiavellianism can be very interesting... imho it gets quite dry quite quickly.
>
> Two paragraphs, two cents. Good luck bro! I'll be watching.

27 Shades of The Modern Man

4 upvotes · 5 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> There is no such thing as a man without balls. That's a eunuch. Do not compliment them by calling those things

> men.

“The leads are not weak, YOU are weak”: On the subject of approaching

4 upvotes · 30 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Unfortunate mix of terms in between the metaphor and what I was meant to say I guess.

I'm probably being a bit harsh... but using that sentence alone is an indication of some leftover blue pill programming, which is not conducive to the right attitude. I've seen this scene referenced so many times now. It needs clearing up that this is the plugged in PUA approach. If you want to get laid straight away, fine... run the numbers, it'll work and you'll get some tallies on the board. (This can be useful for confidence and experience... but it's a grind and long term it's counter-productive for the correct attitude.)

I consider high-pressure sales to be pretty similar to PUA. You become the approach "machine" in order to care less about rejection. Personally I think it's far more useful to gain the correct attitude from the outset and invest your time more wisely. With the correct attitude of simply going out to have fun and chicks can join in if they want... you get far better results for like a tenth of the effort. This is why I try to discourage PUA whenever I can. Become Roma, sneer at shit leads and tell 'em to go fuck themselves and get to work doing your own thing. Be objective about where and how to invest your time. It is your most valuable resource.

Most of my approaches consist of just dropping out conversation starters when I'm in the vicinity of a chick I like the look of. Starts a conversation about 50% of the time... but I'm literally putting in fuck all effort and don't need motivating to do it. It's just part of the fun I'm having. Doing it this way also helps you give off the IDGAF and fun aura. I'm all for motivation... but I dislike the whole approach mentality going "just approach her dammit." I'd say go about having fun... women will be nearby as you're having fun. Just give her an opening... that's all she really needs if she's interested. If she isn't... what did she matter anyway?

RP: If a woman says she thinks muscles are gross and only likes scrawny nerds, what do you think of her?

4 upvotes · 20 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

You do realise you've just made the argument "Skinny high-status men have driven women mad with lust, therefore that woman can't be low SMV and can't be doing the ego-saving fallacy."

It quite literally does not engage with my point at all. The two are perfectly mutually exclusive and TRP does explain this phenomenon. Summed up for ease of consumption: Status trumps all.

> So, the real question is why you're denying reality?

As above... it all fits together. I don't think these low SMV women could get him either... they're just not using the ego-saving fallacy this time because he lives nowhere near them. (Therefore they'll never see him and get rejected by him.) Whereas it's necessary to maintain the illusion if you're in a city where there are some muscular men... because they probably show no interest.

> Is it because you're projecting?

What am I projecting here? That I'm hyper muscular and being rejected by women? That skinny guys are outdoing me in the dating market? That I'm actually gay for muscular men and they keep rejecting me? Maybe that explains why I've rejected the skinny gay guys who've asked me out. Damn this heterosexuality of mine!

In all seriousness, that projection statement makes no sense.

[FR] Gone for two months. I guess the ex misses the sex.

4 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The other day someone commented that not escalating immediately during Netflix and ChillTM is beta and therefore a mistake.

You're right, it's not beta. You can escalate a little, maybe take a kiss if you want it and withdraw to focus on chilling... this can leave her with some unfulfilled tingles and she'll be anticipating some escalation later. Anticipation is a great thing for a woman to feel and a very alpha thing to cause.

You've got your alpha attitude going well. ♂

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

4 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

I think there's plenty of TRP men who's agree with you. TRP is a reaction to reality. Spinning plates is simply making the best of a bad situation in which modern marriage is a very dangerous gamble for a man. LTRs are becoming the same. Then factor in how few women are decent enough material for an LTR and you see why we're all spinning plates. We don't want to give up sex, but we also don't want to deal with all the inherent risks from modern relationship/family law.

I look at my cousins who got married and I see the exact problems going on that TRP describes. One of my cousins had a heart attack in his early 40s because of how much stress the women in his life have put him under using legal means. I don't want any part of it... but I would like to see a change in the legal system to balance things out and see more monogamy.

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

4 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> But their "mistakes" are always things like being too nice, being too accomodating, not being exciting enough. Nobody on TRP is ever willing to criticize a man for actually being an asshole, emotionally abusing his wife (I agree this term is overused but still), being an adulterous shitbag, making his wife feel worthless by flirting with other women in front of her (nay- they say he SHOULD do this!)

I can't speak for Married Red Pill. I'm a plate spinner and while I haven't dismissed an LTR altogether, the entitlement of most modern women and the way many of them are already seriously damaged goods makes me sceptical. I don't believe Red Pill encourages emotional abuse. I wouldn't use it if I thought it was. I do use a heavy dose of asshole game when I need to and it's almost always received well.

So I'd say your idea of being an asshole and mine probably don't match up. I agree that the term emotional abuse is being overused, particularly on TBP. I think you've skipped out that some of these men are clearly being emotionally abused by their selfish wives. I condone adultery for either sex. My opinion on LTRs is that if you want to have sex with other women, just spin plates, don't start an LTR. It's just logical. I do believe that a reasonably healthy level of dread is required in a solid LTR and you don't need to be an adulterous shitbag in order to achieve this. If you're making your wife feel worthless then you're not providing enough comfort to her. It's a balance. I'd like to think I could pull it off one day.. but for now my rule for myself is simple: leave any woman better than I originally found her. It's a good rule to ensure you don't misuse your understanding of the SMP and go around nihilistically abusing women for mere hedonistic pleasures.

But to drag this back to the thrust of your point... their "mistake" was actually not balancing the dynamic in the

> relationship in order to maintain the attraction. That was their fault and they have to learn and improve. Luckily they won't ask for my money to do that. Hence we do blame them... but we don't vilify them because they'll usually own their mistake.

CMV: A lot of TRPillers we see at the subreddit are fakes.

4 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I find this amusing. Mostly because I had to take down a field report BECAUSE of it's authenticity. It had over 500 upvotes in 24hrs and was trending on bluepill... if that had caught regular media... the woman could have identified herself from the detail by reading it and revealed who I was.
>
> As a result I had to take it down. However, that report inspired the TRP field toolkit series. So it's not all bad.

Are white women who sleep with/date black men "contaminated" or damaged in some way?

4 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > she's been satisfied by these hyper-sexualized men and will not be happy with the standard fare a White man has to to offer.
>
> Sounds like you've been convinced by this yourself. You racist, you XD

CMV: There are no 8+ guys on TRP

4 upvotes · 16 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> The thing is... you're talking about base SMV. Women are hilariously harsh in terms of judging base SMV of men. Solid game allows me to inflate my perceived SMV to the point where a HB9 will be wailing in the street, confused as to why I'm still sticking around her after she's made an ass of herself all night trying to impress me.
>
> While this means my perceived SMV for her is something stratospherically absurd... it doesn't change the fact my base SMV is not as high as those guys. So my base SMV might be like 6 or something... doesn't mean my actual final SMV is that. Adjusting for style, social proof, game etc, it's not unreasonable to suggest I could come out as an 8 or even a 9. Besides, everything to do with SMV is theoretical. It's a means of understanding to help you get to an end. All that matters to me is the results.

What's the point with women trying to debate TRPillers?

4 upvotes · 24 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I think Blue Pillers probably require women to argue here... to demonstrate that there are some women who aren't just overgrown children - who can hold rational discussion without getting overly emotional about it and throwing a tantrum if she doesn't get the outcome she wants.
>
> I have nothing against that, but said woman would need to be the exception to the rule when it comes to typical female behaviour. After all, the Red Pill Women do demonstrate they can understand their underlying nature and adapt in order to create long term happiness for themselves. I don't expect the majority of women to suddenly snap out of their natural behaviours in this world of open hypergamy... but at the same time, I wouldn't dismiss the possibility. So to answer your question, it matters big time to the Blue Pillers... little or none to the Red Pillers unless you're adding value to the discussion. But really you're asking the wrong question... you should be asking whether your participation matters to you? Are you getting anything out of it?
>
> The key to debating is to remember it's actually about promoting your own intellectual growth... not about winning the argument. It's about trying to find that truth, by maintaining some level of objectivity and slowly refining your position until it is either truth or as close to truth as you're going to get on the topic.

"He understands how lucky he really is"

4 upvotes · 31 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm currently evolving to IDGAF level 3.0

The Loneliness of the Redpill

4 upvotes · 25 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is an interesting concept... could Red Pill actually cause a closed-minded style of mental illness like paranoia? I suspect this will become a difficult area to analyse to find the right balance between developing the right attitude for both success and self-preservation, (i.e. all women are sluts, it's only your turn with them) without dismissing the reality that there are some red pill women out there who truly want to be better women, have come to terms with reality and want to live a happy life. I suspect this warrants a post.

Accidental Red Pill on /r/AskReddit, got x4 Gold.

4 upvotes · 30 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Even betas subconsciously realise this. The way he put it just softened the blow of it and didn't mention Red Pill, so they're far more willing to accept it then.

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 1: Attitude, Ego and IDGAF

4 upvotes · 29 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > All women are sluts, even girls who are virgins are sluts who just haven't demonstrated such. The prove this isn't entirely required and still smells of the anger phase, but each man is entitled to his own goals and pursuits.
>
> Originally I didn't have "you will now go prove this." After a girl hit me with a bizarre shit test that could only be called pre-emptive-middle-night-resistance (I know what you're thinking, da fuq? right?) it seriously threw me. I held frame and went off to the bathroom so I had a moment to better compose myself. This bizarre test had actually shaken my attitude and for a second I'd wondered if she was different - this is what women playing the good girl role WANT to do. They want to convince you they're different. They're not.
>
> While I was in the bathroom washing my hands, I looked at myself in the mirror and mentally reminded myself "All women are sluts." And before I left, I told myself "You will now go prove this." (And I did prove it that night.) I think the call-to-action was useful to me and strengthened my frame and belief in the truth of the earlier phrase. That is why I've included it. Some newbies may doubt if they bump into a girl who's talented at her good-girl-game. When they do, they're going to need that phrase and more than this, they'll need that call-to-action.
>
> Thanks for your additional analysis.

Alphas are not your friend

4 upvotes · 13 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The concept that being alpha makes it a zero sum game with women is pure scarcity mentality. An alpha does not care about losing a woman to another alpha, because there are always others interested.
>
> Competition between alphas makes perfect sense, but the inability to separate healthy competition and whether you are friends with a person is a heavy sign of insecurity.

Women surrender agency at every possible opportunity - a theoretical explanation

4 upvotes · 4 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> If all thinking is "thinking instead of doing" then it follows we should never come on TRP sub and should all be

out. As you put it, all of this is a waste of time. This is clearly false, thinking is needed. Perhaps you should do a post on where you feel the line should be drawn for the newbies?

Since you took things to a personal level too, I'd respond that I can happily do both thinking and living. They're not mutually exclusive.

Also, bonus irony points for sitting at home and reading TRP and then writing this:

> Thats how you apply TRP. Not by sitting at home and reading about it

A Short And Angry Rant About Anger

4 upvotes · 13 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

You've forgotten to account for the Eternal September created by new members. The sub will always have a significant level of anger and it is right that it does because it shows new men using their eyes for the first time.

"Men's Rights Activists have missed the point of feminism " - Intellectually disingenuous article from The Telegraph writes a smear piece aimed at discrediting TRP and AVFM.

4 upvotes · 2 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

One look at the picture of the guy writing that article.... "looks about right"

I do my best not to take "journalism" too seriously these days because the standard is just so dreadfully low. Any subject I enjoy reading about, I'm typically well informed. As a result, any "journalistic" piece on something I know about is usually full of mistakes, misinterpretations and a general lack of ability to grasp nuances, undertones and sometimes even basic facts!

Also, personally I would have used a couple of recent reports on false rape claims in the UK and then linked it over to the Telegraph's piece on consent laws changing in the UK leaving men in a position now of either proving themselves innocent or being convicted. That particular piece definitely left a very nasty taste in the mouth. The day has come when the UK does not believe in innocent until proven guilty. We'd rather lock up 1000 innocent men rather than risk a single guilty man walking free. It's disgusting.

Reminder: Concern Trolling is not Tolerated

4 upvotes · 30 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Complaining about the direction of the sub or that content is too beta will get you banned, no warning. It's far too easy to sit back and complain. Women effect change through words. Men do so with action. If you dislike the content, be the change you want to see. Submit content that adds value.

It is impossible to emphasise this enough. The reason some of these people whine is because they are not able to add content that is adding value and providing another perspective with solid reason.

For people who find you can't add the content you want to see, you might want to revisit your education from the sidebar and the top posts. More reading, less whining and a chance to be more objective about yourself. Win-win-win.

Red pill briefly mentioned in a MSM piece on corporate psychopathy, this time for being a "colony of dark triad devotees." Interesting characterisation, but actually a semi-decent article too.

4 upvotes · 27 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

The traits are not intrinsically bad. They're identified with anti-social markers for a reason though. (Application of Kantian ethics for example. If everyone got wrapped up in their own narcissism, then it would be bad for

society. If everybody acted in a Machiavellian fashion, God knows where that would lead us but I can't think of a good place it would lead society. Finally, if everybody became a psychopath, there'd be a distinct lack of compassion in the world.) This is the view of the average person who believes in utopias existing along with unicorns being pink and fluffy and dancing around on rainbows.

The rational human identifies what these DT traits actually bring to the gene pool from a positive perspective for society too. Narcissism in the case of politicians or leaders. Absolutely convinced he couldn't possibly be wrong about anything... he uses this to lead with great strength. So long as he's actually right, then that country will do damned well. The psychopath with reduced fear, lack of innate social programming and a need to take risks could potentially invent a revolutionary piece of technology, or turn the tide of a conflict, or make that high-risk surgical operation work and save a life.

While I will say that a bored psychopath with high IQ is likely to look to legal means and risks to entertain themselves.... it's also not illogical for them to go the route of Ted Bundy either. When those guys do that... it **seriously** frightens people, because they're putting all that intellect and drive into something like murder or the destruction of peoples' lives... and many of them will get away with it because they are genuinely smart enough. The fear of this will then trump any positives because the risk such people pose is enormous from society's point of view. It doesn't matter if they're in a significant minority... they taint all psychopaths and DTs.

That final bit is the biggest motivator of all for society's choice to generalise the "these people are bad" undertones. Since society has become so feminised, emotion trumps all... and what more prevalent emotion in the modern day than fear?

Everything you need to know about shit tests

4 upvotes · 15 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

Cracking stuff as usual. As an addendum, here's a link to Chateau Heartiste's Super shit-test list. Another great read that is very relevant here.

Beyond that, I'm interested in discussing a couple of areas of this.

> In further example, interviews are essentially a collection of shit tests.

That's an oversimplification. I used to do it, so trust me, it's not. However for the purpose of this..

> The “name your biggest weakness” shit test seems to be a question that continuously protrudes and persists with employers nowadays.

That's true. However the alpha response you suggest would stick you straight at the bottom of my list. Having run recruitment campaigns in the past, I'm not joking. Responding like that will NOT help your case, and in likelihood will lose you the job. Thinking back on those days, I'd say the majority of interviewers are looking for a mixture of alpha and beta. Alpha enough to take responsibility and work independently where needed, but beta enough to know their place in the pecking order and submit to those higher up the totem poll (which whoever is interviewing you, most likely is.)

> Once you get caught in a web of shit testing, you will often find yourself justifying your choices and explaining your actions, which wins you no respect and just digs an even deeper hole. Non-Machiavellian logic fails in handling shit tests, people do not respect rationality, they respect only indications of high status. Explaining yourself, no matter how rational your explanation is will be perceived as a demonstration of low status.

I think is a bit of an oversimplification. I've got an example, but it will need some context (so settle in.) Recently I watched the Question Time episode in the UK where Russell Brand and Nigel Farage appeared. The whole show

> is effectively the panel justifying their views/actions/policies whatever, to the people in the audience. As you can imagine, different sides of the press were declaring different winners. I found it fascinating from an argumentation formation perspective because Brand is an exceptional example of pure rhetoric with no substance. (As expected the press who declared Farage the winner jumped on the fact that there was no logic or analysis or rational thought process behind Brand's arguments.) Everything was pure rhetoric, but despite this he got the biggest applauses of the evening by far. The reason? Rhetoric is the part of argumentation that connects emotionally with the audience.
>
> Nigel Farage is not particularly good at rhetoric. However, when you place him next to someone like Russell Brand, you see his style as the sort of charmless logician. (He could almost be considered to relish the role.) Yet he too received many loud rounds of applause for calmly and carefully laying out a strong logical basis for what he concludes. This demonstrates that in general social context... people won't always side with Brand, with the shit test passing rhetoric. Some will reject it in favour of logic and rational deduction.
>
> From the point of view of argument skill, the height of strong argumentation is to balance fact, principle, logic and rhetoric equally. I've seen time and again that the people who are best at this will sway far more people to their view, whatever it may be. Essentially I think you may have opened up the scope of the article a little too widely in that area.

The Strongest Version of Yourself - Elliott Hulse and Stefan Molyneux

4 upvotes · 12 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> I agree with this. Elliott, by contrast, has always been all about trying to help his fellow man become the best he can be - so focused in fact it's almost to the extent of ignoring women. They don't really feature much on the scale of what the majority of being a man is all about. (Something which comes up on here infrequently as a side note to remind about abundance mentality and the IDGAF mentality when it comes to women.) I think Elliott exudes that natural internalised alpha without being a dick. Very calm, collected, confident and thoughtful. A fantastic role model for any guys on here. If you haven't seen his vids, I would recommend you schedule some time in for a couple of weeks to watch 30 mins or an hour of his vids each day. (There's a huge number of them.) You'll benefit and learn on multiple levels.

[40M][30F] Silence in Long-Distance Relationship After She went out. Advice?

3 upvotes · 3 November 2023 · /r/TheRedPill

> Advice? Go find your testicles. I don't even need to read the post, wtf are you doing in a long distance relationship??? Have you read nothing on the sidebar?
>
> Beginners: Start lifting, eat clean, get your career on point, socialise, look to acquire plates to spin. In that order.
>
> Even in all the stuff written here about LTR game, at no point does anyone advocate long distance. Ever. Why? Because the exchange of commitment and sex is not balanced. You are the loser in this scenario. It is only ever a matter of time before this state of you being the loser is seen clearly enough by the women to dump your worthless ass.

My last 4 dates were basically identical.

3 upvotes · 27 August 2023 · /r/TheRedPill

> Dates should switch venues and have an activity of some sort. Coffee dates are dreadful as the woman will approach it with her checklist of rubbish which doesn't matter because she doesn't really get herself or what she genuinely needs to satisfy the feelings she's chasing.
>
> If there's no enthusiasm from her, remember that your time is valuable. Just say "Was nice getting to know you, but I've gotta get going now. Need to meet somebody." Do not elaborate further. Just get your gear and get ready to go. If she suddenly panics and wants to see you again, do not change what you're doing, but simply let her

know "I dunno, maybe. I'll give you a call and maybe we can set something else up with a bit more time." Do not forget the "maybes" she needs to be left not knowing for sure what's happening. Give it a few days to let the hamster run its course, then call her back and set up a real date, evening with a plan to isolate and escalate.

Do you have any attractive female friends? No fatties and no post-wall women. The more conventionally attractive the better. Set up some social proof at the start of any date, hang out with that friend beforehand (pretend like you care about her impression of the date or something) and say bye to her just as your date arrives. Be sure to give your friend a hug if she's the sort to hug you back properly. Hopefully you'll time it so your date sees you, but doesn't get to meet her. She'll then ask you about it and you dismiss it as "Oh X? She's just a friend from way back" or whatever. Then move the conversation on.

If I was being harsh, then immediate offputting things about guys can include stuff like bad hygiene, ill-fitting or unwashed clothes, wearing too much deo/aftershave/cologne, looking cheap/poor. It all depends on the woman too, because good looking guys get to play the game on easy where things like this don't matter much.

For a more in-depth guide, have a look at my old series "The TRP Field Toolkit" it's a 4 part series you'll find in my post history. It was a sidebar/staple reference in AskTRP as it covered things like this. Best of luck.

Game From People In Open Relationships

3 upvotes · 27 February 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> Please, be respectful in the comments. Don't call anyone any derogatory terms like "cuck" or "beta cuck". There are Alpha males who are in open relationships because it allows them to fuck other women without cheating. So lets keep an open mind about it.

There are not. This is because of a fundamental piece of the red pill which is day one reading on the sidebar: women control access to sex, men control access to commitment. No alpha is in an open relationship as he recognises the asymmetrical nature of inter-sexual relationships. Hence why he would only ever consider opening the relationship on one side, his.

This is as blue pill as it gets.

> Dating coach, Mr. Locario, is in a poly relationship and he's been married for over a decade. Not only that, but he also has a girlfriend. With the divorce rate being so high and the marriage rate being so low, I wonder if people in open relationships know something about the game that monogamous relationships don't know.

Sounds like a cuck who's deluding himself.

> Once again, please be respectful in the comment section

Respect is earned. And you lost mine with this absurd post.

Second Rejection--998 More To Go

3 upvotes · 4 November 2021 · /r/TheRedPill

Success does not teach you.

Its It just me or are girls increasingly turning to Onlyfans and the like?

3 upvotes · 2 June 2021 · /r/askTRP

This is an issue I've carefully addressed over my time here. My perspective is that the virtue of temperance is not given adequate coverage here.

To flesh out my point, we have the counter-intuitive reality that if you want to have more sex, you need to care

> less about having more sex. The man who can say not to a woman indicates he has other options... but even if he doesn't, this mere act is enough to increase attraction. I've seen this in action from women who were attractive, interested in me, but they weren't my personal cup of tea. They seemed outraged that I could go on without fucking them. It was literally leading to situations where the reverse of the usual men vs women simply asking "come fuck" would be happening. I'd just need to tell them to turn up naked to a bedroom and they would have done it. I extended this in my plate spinning days to have several women show up at my front door in just lingerie and high heels, or even just a coat and some high heels (and even in a couple of cases, just the heels.) It's amazing what can happen when you've tickled the right spot for tingles. It's so much more powerful than many guys here realise.
>
> Minding your own business when it comes to where lads want to put their efforts is something you have to accept. We are here to offer advice and exchange notes. Just because I point out that caring less will get you more, doesn't mean they have to pay attention. But more than this, it also doesn't mean you can simply not put yourself out there - you still have to approach and start the conversations. However balancing these two is where I think temperance comes in: if your focus is women rather than your mission then pussy is still on the pedestal. It's literally your goal in life and that's neither healthy nor attractive. The opposite direction of MGTOW isn't healthy either imho. Many MGTOWs aren't that by choice, they're opting out of the game not because they choose not to play, but because they suck at the game and couldn't win if they tried. It's like a child who is useless at sport so declares that all sport sucks and they don't waste their time with it. An immature and foolish position. I encourage taking a piece of both sides of the argument and avoiding overindulgence. Typically the overindulgence in pussy happens during the bargaining phase and you eventually realise how hollow it all is. Onto the depression phase you go... maybe in time you hit acceptance, and with it, temperance.

My brother wants to LTR a 22 year old with 2 kids and two fathers

3 upvotes · 1 June 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Back in ancient times, man would discover that fire gave warmth and could be used to cook food. He would also discover when he got too close that it burns and hurts.
>
> I'd prepare your own mind for the likely mental health issues you'll suffer from watching your brother get destroyed in front of your eyes. Sounds like he needs a role model more than anything else.

Is it stupid to change town just for girls ?

3 upvotes · 14 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Yes, it is stupid. None of your decisions should be being made with women as motivation. That is pure blue pill pussy on the pedestal thinking.
>
> Legitimate reasons to move would be better job prospects, better pay, social circle or hobby needs or some other way in which it fits into your broader mission in life.

She blocked me

3 upvotes · 27 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> > “He is a good guy it just didn’t workout”
>
> Don't be so foolish. Go back and do your reading on the light switch effect. That sort of reaction is for betas of middling value she doesn't find attractive but wants to keep as a last option. Find some of the reading on why TRP is so obviously misconstrued. Women cannot accept that they have slept with a man of low value. It's antithetical to their sexual strategy:
>
> Only low value women sleep with low value men. As a high value woman, I could not possibly have slept with a

> low value man. But the sex happened. He tricked me! He deceived me! Evil man! I hate him!
>
> This allows her to maintain the delusion of her own value and continue to aim for high value men. Admitting otherwise means she has poor social perception and thus her value is lower. If this defence mechanism didn't activate, she'd have to settle for a low value man and reproduce with him - and then you wouldn't have the dual sexual strategy of alpha fucks/beta bucks.
>
> She has blocked you and hates you because your mere existence humiliates her and causes emotional stress as she tries to reconcile seeing an omega and knowing she had sex with that omega. Congrats, I think I'd label this the omega widow hahaha!

Signs, that she sees you as Alpha

3 upvotes · 15 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> About the light switch thing, is there any way to resolve it, when it is switched "off"? Some Principles, I should consider there?

It's not really worth the effort. Better to just game new chicks.

The only examples in the manosphere of trying to tackle this sort of stuff is in the married red pill community where they've completely fucked everything up, but are now tied into a marriage. Due to the significant financial and personal loss of walking away, the huge amount of effort needed to turn it around is more justified.

hi i been referred here , 33 year old balding micropenis loser male need advice how to improve my "SMV"

3 upvotes · 24 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> I am not here to do your research for you or spoon feed you. You are a man, start acting like one. You've got the direction required to solve the problem you cite. Now go solve it.
>
> Don't ask to be spoon fed the solutions to your life. It's not masculine and it's not attractive to women.

How to handle a flake apologizing to me

3 upvotes · 8 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> "No worries. What you gonna do to make it up to me?" Throw an emoji on the end if that's your style

(UK) Trouble with approaching and breaking ice?

3 upvotes · 25 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> When you go to these things, don't feel like you have to impress or break down the tense atmosphere. Most folks at new things are trying not to upset everyone else. Just relax. (And I know, I know, it's the least helpful advice in world history there, but seriously, just focus on relaxing. Remember, you don't need to come back to these things.)
>
> On the topic of approaching chicks, two things can make approaches a little warmer. First one is to learn your IOIs and keep an eye out for them. A chick giving you IOIs is wanting you to approach her and talk to her. So it doesn't really matter how poor your opening line is. It doesn't even need to be funny. It can literally be a smile and "Hi there. My name is 150420throwaway, what's yours?"
>
> The other possible is throw out little hooks here and there as you go. See if you get a bite. It's basically meaning to say inconsequential openers to any woman within talking distance who isn't already busy with something else. For example, girl waiting at the bar next to you, orders a fancy cocktail. "Whoa, somebody's got a sweet tooth. Is this your favourite or are you and your friends just planning to bounce off the walls?" Or keep it simpler. "That's

> a lush cocktail, Love it. Have you tried X before?"
>
> Most openers are just about something observational or relevant to the situation. Keep it simple. A girl who's on the lookout to meet guys will indulge you. If your SMV is low then it won't matter anyway, you'll get almost instant rejection whatever you do or say. The higher your SMV, the most ridiculous an opener you can get away with. The purpose of this is to give you a way to come up with something to say. That tends to be the main block for guys wanting to approach. They don't know what to say.

Friend's girlfriend is flipping the script

3 upvotes · 16 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Sounds like you've lost this battle. The only way to have won would have been to be directly confrontive, esp with your male friends in this group and demonstrate how she was a crazy chick. Guys typically respond well to straight talk unless they're super beta brainwashed soy boys.
>
> If the guys don't want the drama then just organise separate hangouts without her or the boyfriend etc and continue as normal. If they bring up her insanity, then you can confront it head on. Always leave it with "she's insane and I can do without the aggro."

20M my girlfriend keeps disrespecting me

3 upvotes · 12 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Yeah, poor lad has been listening to relationshit_advice. I think the appropriate phrase is something like "there but for the grace of the red pill..."

If a girl is taking 24+ hours to reply to a text, how do you make it known that it's not ok without explicitly calling her out?

3 upvotes · 1 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Soft next. Your dynamics are wrong and need fixing.

Don't Have Kids

3 upvotes · 23 December 2020 · /r/TheRedPill

> As a general rule on TRP we try to put things in wider perspective to help the individual. If you get too hung up on "what does this do for me personally" on literally everything, it tends to lead to nihilism (which is a standard risk during the depression phase anyway). The counterpoint to that is found in the wider writings on things like happiness and mission which u/illimitableman has done some excellent posts on in the past. I think he also did a post on legacy/children but I'm not sure whether he drew any firm conclusions.
>
> > 12.5% of his genes survive in me, but in every way that matters he's been irrelevant for a long time.
>
> I'd also suggest this is false. Your genetics subtly influence you in ways you probably don't even realise. Your great grandad might be the reason why you behave in a certain way or the reason you have a particular physical trait that you value. We already know that things like intellect are heavily influenced by genetics. How much you want to value the random/luck of genetics vs the fact that those genetics came directly from somewhere and propagated is down to you. We're delving into matters which I think smarter men than us have not yet unravelled. However I think we can say with certainty that we can't simply dismiss it as irrelevant without dismissing the grand scheme of evolution and life - of which we draw on for TRP theory which was then tested and results discussed in this community. It's not possible to have it both ways. Wouldn't make logical sense.

Ex gf reaching out - advice

3 upvotes · 12 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> The information and question here kinda screams scarcity mentality. You should be aware of this when making your decision on what to do.

Girl I've been seeing for a year, bruises

3 upvotes · 10 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Disconnect a bit. She's gonna break soon by the sounds of things. If it is that she went out and fucked another guy because she saw your tinder, then that's an automatic disqualification as LTR material. Maybe she thought she was in a relationship with you without any discussion of exclusivity. It's been known to happen. And if that's the case then it's even worse.
>
> You could theoretically extend it when she comes to have the exclusivity talk with you by pointing out we haven't been exclusive. Yet soon after you saw tinder on my apps, I saw this. I assume you were seeing another guy? I don't mind, just be honest. When she admits it. You tell her something like "so you want to be an exclusive couple and your way of going about this is instead of talking to me like an adult would, you decide to go have sex with another guy. Do you believe that's what good girlfriend material does when she gets upset? No relationship will ever be perfect and there will be times you're pissed off at me. But that sort of childish reaction isn't screaming to me that this is a girl I must commit to immediately. I'm sure you can see why. If you're after an exclusive relationship with me, you've been going about it the wrong way and you've got a lot of work to do to demonstrate you're mature enough, loyal enough and caring enough to warrant this fling being taken to something more serious. So no, I will not commit exclusively to you at this time. My mind is not permanently closed, but you've demonstrated behaviour that will take time and effort for you to cancel out if you're seriously trying to form a relationship with me."
>
> That might give you an extra 6 months of her as a plate. She'll break eventually when she brings it up again enough times. You can only deflect it so many times with words like you're being very pushy for someone who's trying to work her way back into my good graces. You realise that will likely reverse some of your efforts. Something like that will keep things going but eventually she'll realise you're stringing her along.
>
> On the other hand, she might just play hockey and been hit with a stick on her legs causing the bruises.

She wants me to fuck other girls

3 upvotes · 10 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> lol she's already fucking other guys. Her saying that is her way of telling him this.

Real talk, is abundance a myth?

3 upvotes · 24 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Abundance is achieved through playing the stats. The average guy will be rejected a lot on his way to abundance. Once this doesn't matter, you will get there. You speak (quite obviously) from a place of fear and scarcity. That place is unattractive to women. It's all down to the counter-intuitive nature of "the guy who gets the most sex is the guy who doesn't need to go get sex."
>
> In simple terms: Care less. Focus on your goals and the things that will make you attractive. Make some opportunities to meet women (a bit harder at the moment, but typically guys starting out don't tend to go from 0 to 100 in a month anyway so it doesn't really matter that things will be abnormal for a few months) and study your game until you can make it a natural part of your personality. Tease, mock, laugh, have fun, make sure you're enjoying your life in every other way and the women will follow.

Soft next after she flaked on me but she texted me again.

3 upvotes · 12 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> I've had good success many times with "how are you planning to make it up to me?" Essentially keeps you in control, tells her she needs to do something for you, she's now in the submissive chasing you, putting in effort, trying to justify her value to you. Ideal dynamics. If she does the shitty "i don't know." Make sure you're able to come back with something you want her to do that requires effort: e.g. "you can cook me dinner, I like steak, medium rare. Make sure the veggies are tasty." or whatever. You know the chick and her circumstances better than I do. If she argues the toss or refuses then you hard next because you're wasting valuable time.

Had sex with a girl I'm talking to for the first time and she acted super weird.

3 upvotes · 20 August 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Women do not have plates by the definition of what they are and the base sexual dynamics discussed on TRP. If you want to use the lingo, use it correctly.

Got stood up today by a girl I fucked last week, she apologized, what's the next move?

3 upvotes · 19 June 2020 · /r/askTRP

> "Cool. So what are you planning to do to make it up to me?"

What is the most valuable/important self virtue for men who found red pill?

3 upvotes · 21 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

> The ability to make firm decisions, set your principles on which you will engage with most things and then stick to them. This is the basis on which you will grow yourself, improving every aspect of your life including your dealings with women.
>
> The greatest challenge faced by men who've started getting red pill methods to work, before they become real red pilled men, is to be faced by that BPD chick who is hot and absolutely wants them... and to have enough going on (enough abundance) to refuse her advances and get the hell away from her before she starts destroying some of the gains you've just made. It's hard because these boys come from a scarcity mentality for so long. They claim they have abundance, but then you hear their thought process and it's pure scarcity mentality. Then they end up here asking us about how she's threatening to report him for rape unless he does what she says. Something something "you're gonna have a bad time."

How to think quicker on your feet for shit tests?

3 upvotes · 24 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Work to your strengths. Focus on the sorts of replies that work for your personality. If you don't tend to be sarcastic much then A&A isn't really your go-to response. I go through this in more detail in the shit tests part of my field guide on the sidebar.

LTR says her feelings are hurt what to do?

3 upvotes · 17 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> The first question here is why have you LTR'd up a bitch who is playing these sorts of games. If she's beyond plate she sure as hell shouldn't be doing the sort of level of disrespect of "other men are interested in me" shit tests.

What are good examples for RP/BP movies ?

3 upvotes · 21 March 2020 · /r/askTRP

> > Whats the problem with fiction tho?

> Focus on the real. Focus on results. Focus on getting yourself right or you'll spend an eternity lost in the void, wishing you were alpha and inspiring yourself without ever actually achieving anything.
>
> Plus the whole circle jerk over fiction tends to derail worthwhile discussion.
>
> > And its not that every EC on the rp sub is super alpha either. Dont really see what your problem with chuck is.
>
> I don't even understand what you're trying to say here. If it's argument through implication then it failed to land properly.

You only want me for sex

3 upvotes · 16 December 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Back when I was spinning plates, I went from 1 month long things to having plates hanging around for 9-18 months instead with no commitment. The big difference was pre-empting and passing comfort tests more effectively. The roller coaster emotions ride is what she wants to go on, but it should always end back where it started.

Dignity or Disinterest?

3 upvotes · 20 November 2019 · /r/askTRP

> > an LTR is my best bet for regular sex at the moment
>
> Scarcity mentality. The most insidious blue pill thinking out there alongside "but the sex is great."

LTR acting up

3 upvotes · 7 September 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Raise your SMV. She will either start chasing you or this behaviour will continue. If she doesn't start chasing you then your game has been poor enough to permanently lower your SMV in her eyes and she's already looking for the branch swing. It's merely a matter of time at that point. Then your choices are hard next, become a cuck or watch her move on with the usual trickle truth, it's not you it's me, I need to find myself blah blah blah. The final option is an enormous waste of your time which could be better used finding new women.

"You're being very touchy-feely."

3 upvotes · 24 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Alternate angle: No words. Grab her hand and lead her to the bedroom.

She Got Back Together w/Ex, but She's Really Into Me

3 upvotes · 5 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> > And no, I'm definitely not stuck on her. I've got a date with another woman lined up for later this weekend.
>
> Then why have you written 4 paragraphs about her, wanting advice on how to get her into bed when you have another perfectly good bed-filler for far less effort?

What does RP think about psychological therapy?

3 upvotes · 6 January 2019 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> The social sciences are and aren't blue pill. Some of the biggest names in psychology are still trying to work things out based on real science. The Baddeleys, the Loftus's and other major name specialists are not interested in social injustice... or maybe they are but the reality remains their area isn't one that has a natural racial or gender slant to it. So we're still making progress and Jonathan Haidt is a good demonstration that there are scientists in the realms of psychology who are interested in truth and aren't just there as activists. We must hope that these people win the fight.

Starting to slip back into blue pill

3 upvotes · 6 November 2018 · /r/askTRP

> You appear to have come out of monk mode too early. You haven't internalised the attitude (refer to my TRP Field Toolkit, part 1.) Pussy is still the top priority in your life. Until you come to grasp the slightly counter-intuitive logic that if you want to get laid, stop caring about whether you get laid. From your words alone, you reek of desperation for pussy. Nothing dries up pussy and closes legs faster than a man who they can smell sexual desperation on.
>
> I'm not a massive fan of meditation, but perhaps you will benefit from it to try and calm your overly active emotions. Try a search on the main sub for a guide. I'm sure there's a couple of good ones on there.

Purposely "failed" shit tests on date, somehow she's still interested?

3 upvotes · 5 November 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Then the guy who suggested she's a piece of shit who's prepping you for orbit is probably about right. Unless that "boyfriend" is very low value and a beta version of you is higher value than he is.

My chad friend called the girl I like beautiful . Some one explain to me his success

3 upvotes · 2 November 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Because why would you want to use a hammer on both nails and screws? Why would you actively choose to discard owning a screwdriver?
>
> Game is more than words. If you can't figure out any other aspect to his game then don't drink so much when you go out. You also need to go read the sidebar. Check out the essential guides on game since it's incredibly clear you've done none of the reading. You don't get the results if you don't put in the effort.

Are We There Yet?

3 upvotes · 17 October 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> As a general rule, guys should be spinning plates for at least 12 months before they're even considering LTR reading. The number of absolute imbeciles we see on AskTRP who have LTR'd up the first plate they've ever got within a couple of weeks of fucking them is beyond absurd.

One thing that pisses me off about red pill

3 upvotes · 5 October 2018 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> But I'm thinking about men in marriages or LTRs.

You have clearly not read the TRP material on LTRs. We also advocate against marriage. Guys on MRP are generally not considered red pill by most of us on TRP ever since the family_alpha turned up to demonstrate how you can lift a large amount and still be thick as pig shit.

I've spent a substantial amount of time on AskTRP dealing with silly little boys who jump into an LTR and are clearly not ready for it or simply view it as an easy way to get sex on tap without having to put in the effort of

> approaches. (Required to maintain/replace plates.)

What Women Will Do

3 upvotes · 11 September 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> If you want to be picky, you could my statement to "base SMV" rather than SMV in general. I've always viewed game as an SMV adjuster. It'll adjust your SMV up or down once talking. But it doesn't matter if you're spitting the best game ever if your base SMV is so low that she doesn't listen to anything you say.

LTR refused sex

3 upvotes · 4 September 2018 · /r/askTRP

> > "And if you Threaten her she doesn't fuck you that you will fuck someone else it's wrong."
> >
> > Like wtf?
>
> You still appear to be paying attention to what women say. What was that about reading the sidebar?

Rian Stone on American Whiner

3 upvotes · 3 August 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> "Everybody has that one thing that you're like they're totally crazy. I think I can see the future."
>
> Yup. Crazy.

How to get rid of lazy part of my brain telling me not to lift weights, & to just jerk off & surf the Internet all day?

3 upvotes · 2 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> You can't. He's in there by design. His purpose was back when food was scarce and laziness was useful in terms of conserving energy since we didn't know when the next meal was coming. You shouldn't be using energy until you actually need to use it. While today, food is readily available. Energy is readily available, but our brains haven't caught up.

Pickup Artists are psychopathic.

3 upvotes · 29 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> We don't have a GLO for critical thinking. Time we built one.

How I Manage My LTR

3 upvotes · 10 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> These beta cucks keep downvoting me. But remember, men like you keep upvoting me. Look at my meagre votes... do I speak lies or am I just saying something the betas hate?
>
> Me and my fellow TRP endorsed don't hate you for being beta. We pity you and I know that sounds awful. But we don't want you to be beta. We wish you become men too. Let me issue my greatest call to my own downfall.
>
> Become alpha. Challenge me. Bring it on. Come one and all. You are all worthy if you think and believe in yourselves and don't just accept what society has taught you. You are men, you can be more. You can understand what is going on around you and understand where I know things and then beyond this you can surpass me. Because you are a man! Do it!

How I Manage My LTR

3 upvotes · 7 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Curious to know your thinking behind this.

Women primarily communicate through subtext. The medium is the message. Throw in the way trickle truth works and I suspect they'd leave some kind of tell.

How I Manage My LTR

3 upvotes · 7 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> So you were either wasting your time from day one, since the vetting process failed and you could've been plating her and fucking other chicks too instead of LTRing her, or you never wasted any time at all.

That is the risk of the LTR. The opportunity cost if you will. If you do not wish to take that risk then just spin plates. Pointing out this risk to someone who is aware of it is a non-point. Every aspect of life is an opportunity cost and attempting to never take any risks is a feminine approach. (Women never make decisions because fear opportunity cost. Once they have made a decision, they've lost something.)

> And I would argue if you're maintaining the relationship effectively, and as you rightly point out, know her, then you're going to observe behavioural changes and you're either already acting to correct her behaviour, or if you suspect cheating, already acting to end the relationship.

Which then begs the question, is more knowledge here a harm?

> Indeed not, but if this is the case then you're still going to be getting the frequent, great sex, meals cooked, house cleaned and all the other perks that you were enjoying up to this point, so it doesn't matter.

Maybe. I suspect something else would be up but there you go.

> Appreciated, and I do, but I still like to apply my own critical thinking regardless of source. The lack of tag does not mean the advice isn't solid, and likewise the presence of the tag does not automatically make the advice sound.

Correct. But generally speaking the tag will mean good stuff comes from this person.

How I Manage My LTR

3 upvotes · 7 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> What a sad existence. Thanks for hunting down the info and adding some truth.

How I Manage My LTR

3 upvotes · 7 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> How are you investing in a LTR?

Time, effort, bonding etc. Generally the money should be like on a "date" with any chick. (It should either be 50/50 or more from her.)

> I like LTRs so I'm not shitting on them but women are unreliable. You don't invest in women.

> Well don't have an LTR then. I'm just giving the reality of what people are in for. There's a separate reason we don't oppose LTRs, but we don't advocate them either. We advocate spinning plates.

Working on your looks is much more important than working on your game

3 upvotes · 20 February 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Look up my TRP Field toolkit. It's covers all basic game, provides explanations and examples. If you can internalise it then you'll be ready to deal with 99% of the nonsense you encounter in the field.

LTR Trickle Truthed me about cheating

3 upvotes · 24 October 2017 · /r/askTRP

> > Is dump the only option here?
>
> Yes, regardless of what you do it looks like she's set herself up to go sleep with another guy this weekend. Time for you to go sleep with another girl. Unless you can't... in which case you now have the explanation why she wants to go sleep with another guy instead of you.

Better question, if she cheats, does it matter?

3 upvotes · 10 October 2017 · /r/askTRP

> > They can do whatever they want, I can replace them at any time, but add long as I'm getting the pussy and no diseases, I really don't give a damn what she does when I'm not there. Hell I share them with sone of my friends.
> >
> > So what do you mean "TRP cant endorse that" LOL man.
>
> There are levels of stupidity. Then there are levels of unjustified arrogance. Then there is you.
>
> First point, you obviously missed the sidebar material on showing respect to ECs. People like you are why many ECs leave.
>
> Second point, you obviously have not read anything on LTRs and have not grasped game differences. The hilarity of you trying a gotcha on an EC with material on the sidebar of this sub is almost too funny. If you had any level of respect about you, then instead of behaving like a beta bitch towards me, you would have asked why I disagreed with the other members. The answer is: I do not.
>
> Third point. You are quoting Matty, Vassily and Vengefully when they are discussing plates. (The use of the word "they" in your quote makes this painfully obvious.) I advocate the same approach when dealing with plates unless your game is seriously next level like mine is. Then you can have a different approach because you've written what others consider to be the definitive guide to the nuance of tests and then have written the only guide on commanding. This allowed me to mould the dynamics with my plates to suit me and my lifestyle more comfortably. In short: I can do shit most others can't so I get to play with some extra rules enabled.
>
> Fourth point. This discussion was about an LTR. If you were to have done any basic reading from the sidebar on LTRs then you'd be aware that gaming and managing plates is a **completely** different type of game to gaming/managing an LTR with different rules and different things you will and won't accept.
>
> Fifth point. TRP, Matty, Vassily and Vengefully will all agree with me here (feel free to ask them) that TRP will not endorse you condoning a woman you have LTR'd cheating on you.
>
> Sixth point. Read more. Based upon your account, you are the equivalent of a scrawny guy who just walked in the gym a couple of weeks ago and just challenged one of the heavyweights who has been there years. You need to

> lose your ego before you humiliate yourself further. Be thankful I'm too busy to get you banned for raising the noise level and lowering the signal. Use this good luck to your advantage and don't lash out like a beta would.

You want to attract girls? Click here to learn the only thing you need to know.

3 upvotes · 1 September 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> You obviously haven't read as many field reports as me. I am not talking about "world known" DJs or top professional singers. Hell, even Rollo sometimes refers back to his days in his 20s where his position in a shitty band netted him hot chicks regularly. It's a game phenomenon known as situational status. It's not something regularly available but when it is, you grab it because... status trumps all.
>
> If, in the face of an endorsed contributor here, quoting the experience of one of the founding members and all-time best contributors of the manosphere, you're still going to hold onto your views against the long-time settled Red Pill position that status trumps all... well we can't help you any further. You'll do you. Best of luck. GLO will still be posting his speciality of the physical which you'll likely enjoy reading.

Relationship-zoned, need advice

3 upvotes · 24 August 2017 · /r/askTRP

> Currently looking for a chick who can deepthroat. Talent is becoming more and more important in modern dating, don't you think? Follow this sort of line with some kino to make things more playful and less serious.
>
> If she persisted with this then I'd accuse her of being a boring buzzkill and laugh at her/tease her about it. "Here we are having fun and you're getting super serious about the future when you should be focusing on how you're going to stop me tickling you."
>
> "I barely know you and you're sucking the fun out of getting to know you. Is this your usual method of scaring guys away?"
>
> Something like that. Most game is about attitude and so long as you're pulling off the open disapproval with no shame about the possibility of losing the f-close then it'll usually work no matter what the specifics of what you're saying. The medium is the message - and that message must be that if she doesn't fuck you, then you're fine with that and you'll go find another bitch to fuck.
>
> Abundance is genuinely not caring about fucking any individual chick. Let go a little when you're doing this. You might even screw up and fail but the amusing thing is that once you've accepted it your attitude will do more than any specific line ever will. There's a part in my TRP Field Toolkit on the sidebar of AskTRP regarding attitude and a piece in another part of it on LMR. Check them out.

How To Develop God Tier Eye Contact | The real reason why you can't maintain strong eye contact with a woman.

3 upvotes · 10 August 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Enough bullshit, it’s time for people to really understand the truth about eye contact. Failure to hold eye contact is a deep-seated issue; it’s not a technical one. The reason people can’t maintain eye contact isn’t due to the fact that they don’t know how it’s because of the beliefs they hold that lead them to feel uncomfortable.
> >
> > More often than not, a failure to hold eye contact with a woman derives from an issue within. They say that the eyes are the ‘gates to the soul’, so when someone looks deep into our eyes a part of us feel vulnerable. This vulnerability is amplified if there are some unresolved issues within yourself!
> >
> > If you have a hint of self-loathing or low self-esteem, it will manifest itself in bad eye contact. If you are not

> living your life in accordance with higher ideals, if you are not aligned with your personal values and ethics, it will manifest itself in bad eye contact. Most potent of all, if you are ashamed of yourself, it will manifest itself in bad eye contact.

This is all objectively false. The reason eye contact is tough is because when you look at someone your brain is trying to process all the information being conveyed. Attempting to decode and interpret all the information in the face and microexpressions. This requires brain processing power. The more of it taken up with that, the less that can be used on other things such as thinking what to say.

If you wish to test this theory then it's very easy to do. Get a friend, sit down and ask them to look at you for a few seconds then they will answer a question from you. Do this several times and vary the difficulty of the question. Look for them looking away and watch their eyes. You will see that as the question varies in difficulty, they look away more.

The only solution to this is practice. While I have no idea about these youtube videos, I think they will have limited success levels because this is essentially a multitasking issue. Training your brain to have flirty conversations as easy enough to process that you can also maintain eye contact at the same time. That's what you need to do. This can be practiced with casual conversations with friends or random women at the bar without targeting sex as the end goal. Baby steps and all that. The rest is reasonably useful stuff, but trust me, the practice is the key.

Nothing more to say here folks.

1. Further reading.
2. Even more reading.

Even after being confronted this girl still tries to talk to me

3 upvotes · 28 April 2017 · /r/askTRP

> There are a variety of potential scenarios going on here.
>
> Given that you haven't gone straight for the prize, I'm assuming the social situation is complicated in some manner beyond the boyfriend. (Who may be complication enough.)
>
> The IOIs she is giving are just hypergamy and her checking her options. Possibly because the current bf is failing some shit tests. Her telling you about him being angry etc etc is a little shit testy, a little branch swingy and also a little drama addition. She could simply be bored so she's adding drama to her relationship. Another possibility is that her bf is overly possessive and thus displaying scarcity mentality which she has shit tested and he failed... now she's shopping for a branch swing and she's just shit tested you. Or she's satisfied you're a bit of a beta and is simply using you for her own entertainment at this point. Since I can't see in person what's going on, I can't be certain whether you're right or wrong in your various assessments of the situation.
>
> There is a potential opening to fuck her if you're interested in that. You will have to weigh this up against the potential social implications of doing so. You will also have to weigh up any potential backlash from her current bf. Is he a jock who's just turned a little beta around her but is actually a very aggressive individual? Guys like that can explode if she cheats and you'll get the physical end of it unless you're confident you can take him in a fight. If the guy has never hit anyone and isn't known for being aggressive or violent then that doesn't matter. Does he have significant social status? Could he turn social groups against you? Could your reputation be damaged in a way that makes your life more difficult? As a general rule, if any of those offer potential downsides then you just don't bother with this chick because you can always fuck some other chick.
>
> As a general rule if the only option someone else advises is "fuck the chick" then you can assume they have scarcity mentality because there is no scenario for the abundance mentality man, in which you surrender your ability to say no and walk away. There's always a choice and that choice is yours. What the guys on here are for is

> to try and give you a bit more insight so you can approach the choice with all the knowledge and the options.
>
> As you can see, all of your situation is a matter of making some judgement calls and then weighing things up as to what matters to you and then making another judgement call based upon all that. There's a right answer in there, it's just about whether you've got the knowledge and good judgement to be able to make it. Best of luck.

Is it a bad sign when the girl you're dating doesn't initiate dates, intimacy, etc?

3 upvotes · 24 April 2017 · /r/askTRP

> Not really. She'll initiate intimacy when she's around you if she's into you. But she'll look to you to lead when it comes to dates and such. She'll initiate contact in order to get you to do these things and make plans etc.

Thoughts on Race and Help for Dark Meat Guys Gaming White Girls

3 upvotes · 23 April 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> You should seek professional help for your mental health. None of that sounds remotely reasonable or sensible.

Announcing, The Long Overdue, Much Anticipated: **Red Pill Online-Dating Network!**

3 upvotes · 1 April 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Haha! Good effort!

Some guys just can't keep the pill down. They spit it right back up. Because we're a cult! (didn't you know?)

3 upvotes · 30 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Opening nonsense:
>
> > Aged 17 and a self-described “late bloomer virgin”, he was growing apprehensive about going to college when he stumbled across an online community that seemed to hold all the answers. “I believed in it so much,” the now 24-year-old tells me...
> >
> > Though João experienced two happy years with fellow Red Pillers, his opinions have now drastically changed.
>
> If memory serves, the TRP sub is only what... 4-5 years old? He joined this sub 7 years ago and stayed for 2 years. How fascinating, please tell me more about your *very REAL* experience of TRP.

Commanding 101

3 upvotes · 27 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > That sometimes turns into her saying "you're mean/rude, blah blah"
>
> That essentially means you've passed if she doesn't leave you immediately upon saying it. It's basically sarcasm.

Commanding 101

3 upvotes · 27 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> How I deal with it and how you would deal with it are two different questions. What you want to know is how you would deal with it because you are not me. I'd probably use a mocking A&A. Maybe you'd do better to ignore it. I don't know you or your personality or style of game.
>
> Look at all the game options available and play to your strengths. You'll find my take on shit tests along with comparable examples and reasoning in my TRP Field Toolkit guide part 3. It is in my submission history and on

> the AskTRP sidebar. This will give you more than enough information to make up your mind on what will suit you for that particular situation. Keep your game as natural as you can. Remember, you're dealing with a silly little child who's just saying dumb shit because her fee fees told her to.

Mid twenties single dad checking in. Just getting started.

3 upvotes · 9 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm not doing individual consultations. Try GayLubeOil to have a chat with and get some honest feedback on physique, posture and looks. If you're struggling with style, Christian McQueen is alright or you could check out some of the stuff from Antonio at Real Men Real Style. I've used the latter with great success. Use Google, put in the legwork and you'll be fine. Style isn't as hard as these guys make it seem.
>
> My feedback was primarily aimed at your attitude. (Attitude and game is where my expertise lies.) If you go out not giving a fuck if you go home alone then you'll be fine. But if you're taking a not giving a fuck line, while going out with the goal of getting laid then this can backfire and screw your confidence. From the approach I quoted, you seem confident but you're playing the PUA numbers game. Be prepared to get rejected 99 times, with most of the decision making not even being impacted by you or anything you've done. (Women and their social status will probably decide most of it unless you filter out any woman who isn't alone. Which then reduces your pool of women drastically.) The downside to this PUA tactic is it can ruin confidence. If you're looking for a comprehensive basic game guide, then look at my TRP Field Toolkit series in my submission history. You can also find it on the AskTRP sidebar.
>
> I'd recommend before going for the all or nothing line, try to warm your approach with random offhand jokes and maybe some light kino if you can pull it off nonchalantly. Also, have your logistics planned in advance for when you get a bite of interest. Beyond that I can't help you any further at such short notice. Altering looks, posture, physique, style etc usually takes a month or two at the very least.

There are no 'Red Pill Women'

3 upvotes · 10 February 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Partly. I mean PUAs are not Red Pill because by definition of the way they run their lives, the pussy is still firmly on a pedestal. They're basically just the opposite problem to MGTOWs. Red Pill would tell you categorically that your life mission comes first, but you don't get to opt out of the game without losing.
>
> If I recall correctly, Roosh did a rather silly video claiming fathership of all Red Pill stuff across the manosphere. While one can admire such arrogance... to suggest that writing some useful stuff on game suddenly means you're king of the manosphere is simply broadcasting the fact that you're, intellectually, not in the same league as Rollo or IM.
>
> You can also read some of his ramblings on philosophy to confirm this. His piece on Walden was amusing. It was like a child had suddenly realised something which obviously never had absolute value to the rest of us, didn't have absolute value. Very rarely in philosophy can you have a Steven Pinker moment where you get to declare conclusively for an area "Hobbes was right, Rousseau was wrong."

LMR from your LTR: Never let a women decide when you DON'T have sex.

3 upvotes · 6 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Relationship Market Value.
>
> Sexual value and relationship value are two different things because a man will happily sleep with a woman who he'd never even remotely consider relationship material. We are primarily concerned with SMV because that's where the exchange of sex occurs. The exchange of commitment occurs in the RMP, or Relationship Marketplace.

I feel like dread game backfired, did I use it wrong?

3 upvotes · 5 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> sometimes for the entire time I'm with her.

If she's more interested in her phone than you when with you then she's not attracted to you.

> I must have used it wrong?

Betas don't cause dread because they're not attractive so the chick doesn't care what you're doing. Dread works from the idea of potential loss. If she's not attracted then there's nothing to lose.

> 5 days a week

What? This does not read like a rational newbie.

> I've mentioned

You've mentioned? This is not a negotiation. You don't negotiate with terrorists. You tell her it's bad and that she must stop. If she does not then you silently get up and leave.

> Instead she calls me a jerk, says I'm always mean

Standard shit test. Have you even read the sidebar?

> I tried to use dread game and instead I feel like it made me an ass.

Nah, you're just a weak fucking beta who still has pussy on the pedestal. Again, have you even read the sidebar? I'm assuming not since this post belongs in AskTRP since it is pure personal advice request.

Now go ban yourself until you've actually read the sidebar. I'm going to go report your post and ask the mods to ban you for a couple of weeks to ensure you can do nothing but read.

LMR from your LTR: Never let a women decide when you DON'T have sex.

3 upvotes · 5 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Also, why has the post been removed? You're SE. That should surely give you a pass?

LMR from your LTR: Never let a women decide when you DON'T have sex.

3 upvotes · 5 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Go ahead and branch out instead of letting the conditioned term of "cheating" effect you emotionally.

I don't have an issue with that. It just does not all logically follow for me. From my own reading I am convinced that cheating is a potential nuclear option for the married red pill guys.

This is because they have a legally binding commitment to that woman. An LTR is not legally binding. So just leave her. If she is a plate, then it's not cheating anyway.

None of this really fits together for me and seems like LTR game and plate spinning game have been conflated in some way.

Asking a woman out from your group knowing that you will see her almost every day? how can

you pull it off?

3 upvotes · 29 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Sidestepped my point nicely.
>
> You keep rationalising kiddo. You don't want my help or anyone else's.

Asking a woman out from your group knowing that you will see her almost every day? how can you pull it off?

3 upvotes · 29 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> What is it with you guys constantly trying to shit where you eat? If you have potential social fallout then you don't go for sex. Why? Because you can get sex elsewhere. There's always another bitch. She is not special.

LTR question: When she's with me she is great but when she's with her friend's she becomes a pain?

3 upvotes · 28 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> So now you know that she has friends who will manipulate her behaviour and that she's likely to misbehave on a girls night out. There's nothing you can do about it. She will pick her own friends and they are a reflection of her. Look at how they behave and you'll get a good idea of how she behaves when you're not around. This bit just helps you make your own decision about what level of investment to make in her.
>
> Frankly I am confused as to why it's taken you a year to spot this issue. This is something you should have noticed before you even started dating her officially.

All (alleged) fathers should get a paternity test.

3 upvotes · 28 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > First, do you all agree that every alleged father should get a paternity test?
>
> Yes. If you plan a child for yourself in an LTR then paternity test is essential too.
>
> > Second, how can my generally Red Pill friend, who knows and talks about how often women cheat and how many men raise bastards unknowingly, disagree that all men should get a paternity test?
>
> Because the blue pill programming is stronger than you're giving it credit for. He can't believe AWALT otherwise there are no good women out there for him and he's alone all his life.
>
> > Third, what is the best approach of informing your harlot that you need a paternity test?
>
> Bring it up casually at some point early on that the circumstances are irrelevant. Due to the stats showing circa 1 in 3 men in the 90s is raising a child that isn't theirs... and the high likelihood that these numbers are underreported, you will not be that man. Therefore any woman who wants to be with you must know any child she has will be paternity tested and if she changes her tune once pregnant then she's giving you a very clear red flag that the kid isn't yours.
>
> If she doesn't agree then you don't want an LTR with her anyway. If she does, then when you decide you're going to try for a child then remind her that it'll be paternity tested. I believe they can do this reasonably early on with some of the amniotic fluid and it won't risk the child. Again, if she disagrees, dump her. She's showing a massive red flag of dodgy behaviour. The honest girl has nothing to hide in these circumstances and this is all just formality for your satisfaction... which if she cares about you, won't be an issue.
>
> If at any point in the process she shows disagreement then dump her. If once she is pregnant, she shows

> disagreement then work from the assumption that the kid is not yours, behave as such and lawyer up. Given the fucked up state of our legal system... anything other than these extreme measures is too lax for a massive life-altering issue.

Men believe there are two types of men but women know there are three.

3 upvotes · 28 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is basically it.
>
> When you ask a woman about men she doesn't talk about nice guys or creeps because they don't qualify as "men" in her book. Good men do exist and I'm not talking about the good guys in this post who're basically slightly more attractive beta orbiters. "Good men" are just extremely high SMV men who have this high SMV naturally. Even using beta behaviour can't bring their SMV down enough points to be beneath the woman's SMV, so they're still attractive. They're extremely rare and their relationships always end in about 6 months to a year due to continued beta behaviour... however the women are happy enough to hang on for social status since he's publicly so attractive that it raises her social status in the eyes of others.
>
> The only example I can find of benevolent alpha types is described in occamsusername's LTR series which you'll find in the AskTRP sidebar. I don't think most women are aware that such a man exists.

2 female friends whom I thought were 'good girls' now might have genital herpes from going raw with same guy.

3 upvotes · 24 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I was referring to OP's story. Instead of the villain being the dude raw dogging chicks and spreading herpes, it is the girls for having sex.

They're all villains in my view. However, from the purely sexual strategy standpoint, you'd say that the guy got his end away despite the fact that he had one of the nastier STDs.

> Maybe that is why we see the smart dudes on here often say how the marketplace, and by extension this sub is amoral?

Essentially yes. But it's also there for reason of clarity. Once you declare an area immoral then discussion of that gets shut down. Free exchange of ideas is essential and the current overton window does not allow for a fair discussion. For example, in the psychology community a psychologist by the name of Thorndyke put forward a theory regarding rape, suggesting that it was a logical strategy for a low-value or unattractive man who could not get women to sleep with him, to instead rape women as a way of reproducing. As unpleasant and immoral as the explanation itself may be... logically it is reasonable. However he was demonised and the theory was never really explored properly because people found it too distasteful.

This is an example of failure in knowledge and understanding caused by moral outcry. We will not repeat this sort of thing on TRP. Hence why we attracted a lot of flak when an old member put forward a theory that women actually want to be raped by high value men. Nasty, sure, but wrong? We can't know unless we discuss in an unemotional fashion with shutting down that discussion on moral grounds.

> He frames it as he is the prize, and he has deemed her worthy of winning the prize = alpha ?

Sort of. I'd say he'd need to keep her working to hold onto him though. The man must always maintain dominance in the relationship. The dom/sub dynamic is essential to the success of things long-term.

Observation: The Most Damaged Girls are the 6s and 7s

3 upvotes · 24 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Errr no. It's way more complicated than that. Stop posting and go read some more.

Even Alphas get cheated on. AWALT

3 upvotes · 19 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > From the other dudes perspective, he still got laid.... despite the woman "with it all". My comment was from this perspective.
>
> I've never really found this perspective useful. In the field, be the tingles, sure. But post coitus? What does it matter at that point that you got laid? You've done it before and you'll need to again. Our sexual drive is a bit like a dog chasing his tail... there's little purpose in that post-nutting-state.
>
> My point being "doesn't matter, got laid" is a statement of value for sex at a time when sex should biologically be at its least valuable to you. An... unhelpful attitude... at best.
>
> I find the other perspective far more useful for several reasons, including a point that doesn't get raised enough on TRP - evaluation of whether or not you wish to bother with an LTR at any point. After all, it comes with its own unique challenges and compromises on the positives/negatives when compared to spinning plates.
>
> > Vet the shit out of them with extreme prejudice? Agreed.... and then some.
>
> Indeed. One of the things I've had some useful success with is surprising her randomly. Just turn up because you felt like it with a bottle of something. Gauge her reaction. If it's concern rather than happiness... you know she's worried about whether she can hide something from you. A girl who's playing it straight won't be bothered by erratic/sudden positive attention from you. She'll be positively delighted by it.
>
> It'll also encourage an early warning system for you... if she wants to hide something but wants to play it cool too... she'll be very concerned with where you are and when during a time when you're apart. Good way to tell if she's trying to have her cake and eat it too.

I needed to make a radical change. So I made one.

3 upvotes · 14 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Take some money with you. You don't want to become a beggar.
>
> One thing you need to appreciate is that our psychology is self-reinforcing. It's why depression is a such a dangerous mental health trap (real depression, not just feeling a bit gloomy) because it gives us a negative outlook, which causes a greater chance of more negative things, which we also expected and believed in. This shapes our negative view which reinforces the negative things happening and it all just gets worse and worse.
>
> Similarly, positive thinking begets positive results which begets more positive thinking. The hard bit to do is breaking the cycle and forcing the positive outlook when things are so bad and genuinely look negative. In a way you're trying to deny reality in order to change it. This is why **it will** be tough. Understanding this will make that tough challenge no less difficult... but it might improve your resilience. That will be the key. How tough are you to push through? This is why we recommend exercise as a first step because regular exercise releases the various positive hormones to your brain which help to start turning your mood around. I'd strongly recommend starting there.
>
> All that said, it's your life. You make your decisions, live deliberately and own the good and the bad, then press on. And you've got my support and best wishes with it, mate. Very best of luck on your adventure... but seriously, take some money with you and do a bit of research around camp sites and see if you can find some guides from guys who've done travelling with the bare essentials.

Banned from TBP on first post

3 upvotes · 5 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Not so much. If you read their stuff it becomes very clear that they don't actually understand the Red Pill principles or the nuances... all they talk about is destroying strawmen and picking out the guys who turn up on here or on MGTOW who don't actually grasp the pill. I suppose if all you're looking to do is reinforce your own values in the echo chamber it's probably entertaining. To me though, it's just openly announcing your ignorance to the other side of the table.

Found a Guardian article about you guys, apparently you're dangerous (lol)

3 upvotes · 29 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> (Including /u/CopperFox3c in the conversation.)
>
> I just picked up a response from Douglas Murray this afternoon. It is as full of mockery and abuse of the rag as you'd imagine. Satisfying conclusion to the whole debacle imho.

The most important thing to remember is that as a man your entire life is determined by your value

3 upvotes · 13 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I am not. While you cannot be low SMV and Red Pill for long, it is not my problem or yours whether someone else can't be bothered to improve their SMV. This is not a cult. This is information designed to be used if others wish to. If they do not, that is not my responsibility or yours. To demand that everybody follow your interpretation of what should be done and that to do anything else is inexcusable is to become that beta bully I talked about before.
>
> Care less about controlling others. Follow your own personal path and leave others to do the same. Offer the advice necessary to raise SMV. Provide the information on why it is important. That's where it stops. While I admire the fevor in your beliefs, it's merely the totalitarian opposite of the SJWs and feminists. We are better than them for good reason. Our arguments are good and will convince people on their merits, not because we deem any other answer to be inexcusable. We simply don't care if they don't agree.

The most important thing to remember is that as a man your entire life is determined by your value

3 upvotes · 13 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I sincerely doubt /u/Illimitableman would write something so foolish. Knowing him, I am willing to put money on it that he's grasped the nuance firmly by the pussy, and was writing about how society doesn't care about low value men. The idea that TRP doesn't care about low value men is an absurdity. There are reams of advice on how to increase your SMV. Do you think they're targeted at a high SMV audience?
>
> Essentially you're summing up your own biggest problem that I've seen time and again in your posts. You over-simplify. Your over-simplification here has led to you misrepresenting my views:
>
> > You forgot the nuance:
>
> is
>
> > trying to turn this sub into a echo chamber where losers can feel sorry for themselves
>
> in your view. This is one of those things that is so absurd it actually makes it harder to explain why it's wrong (because we never really think about it in depth. We don't need to... it's absurd, nobody would say it.) Alas, here we are. Reminding somebody of a nuance that they have missed is done in order to provide balance to a view,

which was demonstrated in my follow-up statement. i.e. to remember what he had stated but only to take it so far. Nuance does not exist in echo chambers, as by definition an echo chamber as a strict set of views which cannot be challenged. You can't end up at a nuanced world view in an echo chamber, hence why your statement is absurd.

Again, remember that to judge everyone around you by their SMV is both childish and feminine. It is women who judge men merely by their SMV. Your SMV is only one part of who you are, or to quote /u/Illimitableman himself "SMV is just how fuckable you are." I strongly recommend you stop and think next time. Your post could potentially be interpreted as the exact thing I cautioned against:

> A note of caution: Do not become the arrogant bully beta who has simply raised his SMV.

My cousin is a Blue Pill Punk

3 upvotes · 7 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

The thing about beta bucks guys is that they never really reasoned themselves into their position. They were indoctrinated and emotionally reinforced into it. They never start listening to reason until that emotional reinforcement is removed. So if I was trying to help him, I would be mocking him and hurting his feelings. Can you tell I'm quite big on asshole game? haha. If he can't take being teased then he truly is weak as shit and deserves no respect... so you'll continue teasing him since his requests are worthless if you don't respect him.

> I'm writing this because I am trying to digest the red pill as fast as possible.

This is a fair reason. Get it down on the computer/paper so your thoughts are organised and you can have greater clarity of thought. Good man.

Lastly, as for family, while I believe you can cut off toxic family influences... I have done so with a couple of members of my family. However I do believe that it is something which must not be done in haste and absolutely must be done without emotion. I think you should shelve this until you've worked past your resentment/anger phase and your bargaining+depression phases.

For now, see your blue pill family as a good test of your resolve. (And an excellent place to practice your amused mastery.)

I do still get the sense that you care about this guy. It's not a bad thing you know. We don't recommend becoming emotionless by any means. After all, we encourage the anger here. It's healthy. But we also want to encourage caring about your fellow man. Check my submission history for my piece on "compassion for your fellow man" for a wider talk on it. He's weak and there's nothing wrong with caring about a weak man... society won't and his girlfriend clearly doesn't... but don't shy away from giving him a kick up the arse if that's what he needs. Similarly, if he needs mocking and belittling to finally grasp his own weakness and lack of worth then you give him that too. Do you see what I am saying?

This is what we're concerned with attracting?

3 upvotes · 31 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Insulting them for being poor wife material while also refusing to marry them isn't doing us any favours.

It's not going to solve the problem. However you called him a hypocrite for his judgement. His judgement was merely the objective truth. He can get a wank off her and that's just him taking advantage of a low quality woman... she is as he described, filth. There is no hypocrisy because we can't compare him to her. He can certainly condemn a woman he sleeps with... otherwise to remain consistent you can no longer refuse to wife up a plate.

> I agree that it's not going to solve the fucked up relationship market, but your comment on hypocrisy was false and he most certainly can condemn a girl he's sleeping with, just as I will condemn a chick I had a one night stand with of being easy and refuse to date her. Her value has been reduced by the one night stand, mine increased. That's just reality.

Donald Trump and TRP

3 upvotes · 23 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm also a little confused with the rest of your post: on the one hand you say that there will be nothing that the system can do to control Clinton if she becomes president, and on the other hand you say the if Trump becomes president he will not be able to do anything too crazy... Isn't that a contradiction?

No, and your earlier sentence missed my point.

Think of it this way, you've got somebody who has corruptly paid off their boss and somebody who has not got any serious pull with their boss. Which of those two is going to be prevented from doing whatever they like by their boss?

> So vote for him because you think Clinton will be bad for the country. Not because you think he's alpha.

And essentially yes. I'd put it differently but you've reached a similar conclusion to me.

Donald Trump and TRP

3 upvotes · 23 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

I'm a Brit so I'm not voting in this. I'm just watching from across the pond with interest.

Trump displays both alpha and beta traits and has done from the start. The reality is that he's just a high value man so he's been playing life on a much easier difficulty. This typically causes some alpha traits but he clearly wasn't taught these explicitly. As a result, depending on how his life went, he's picked up some beta traits too.

You see this as a problem, but from where I'm sitting there's something much bigger at stake in the US right now. Given Clinton's corruption being almost omnipotent throughout the entire political and international political system... if she becomes president then there's nothing the system can do to control her. The destruction of your democratic experiment is complete and I believe it was Kennedy who warned you what comes next.

Trump is hated by the establishment and your democracy is based upon philosophy rather than experience (like ours is in the UK) so you pre-set various checks and balances which, from what I see, do work. However, like any system, these can be undermined given time. Trump has not put in the time to undermine these so I'm confident in the system that he won't be able to do anything too crazy.

Essentially this election is going to decide whether your democracy is tenable anymore or whether it is corrupt beyond repair. Trump is leading the rebellion against this corruption of the establishment. Is he the ideal leader? No. Is there another leader you can choose? No. If you believe in saving your democracy from total corruption beyond repair, then you go to the voting booth, hold you nose and vote Trump. In this there is hope of recovery, and when combined with the will to do something, hope is an incredibly powerful and inspirational force. It is the force that drives TRP to share our knowledge and save one man at a time. Without it, we'd logically chosen to give up long ago.

That's all I'm planning to say on this on TRP because it's not my fight. It's yours. But in summary, an apt turn of phrase we like to use to salute you from across the pond on independence day: Up the rebels!

Opinions please

3 upvotes · 5 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Feminine intra-sexual competition is to hold back or put down their competition. Masculine competition is to excel, rise up and defeat your competition. Which do you believe your statement sounds more like?
>
> I don't say this to try and hurt you, but I say it to highlight a point regarding just how deeply ingrained the feminisation of society has become that it has become a natural instinct to be worried about other men having your Red Pill knowledge (and thus by implication, being able to compete much more effectively with you.)
>
> My own view on this is complicated. Firstly we have to take into account the ingrained hatred there is for TRP, but not for its principles. So there is danger is spreading the pill, but also there is acceptance if it is not known as TRP. This demands caution in your approach since you are unable to help anyone if you're taken out of the game. This is the first principle which must be followed as a pragmatic imperative.
>
> After this, you've then got to consider that no man is special. Neither of us are special. We no more deserving of this knowledge than any other man. However, not every man will be able to accept this knowledge and many will have varying success in attempting to utilise it. The combination of the former and the latter places responsibility on us to share what we know, however we have no responsibility for the reactions or behaviours of others. So how they take, use or don't use the knowledge we have is not our problem.
>
> If you can combine these things together then you've got a solid set of principles from which to work and decide how you wish to behave. That's how I've come to the conclusion I have and why I don't believe that watching the world burn is an acceptable response since it abdicates our responsibility. To abdicate responsibility for hedonistic reasons is a feminine characteristic. What's more, it disgusts us when we see women do this and that disgust is quite right. As a result, I have similar disdain for the men who adopt that attitude as they are merely shadows of masculinity, pretending that their hedonism is somehow better or more acceptable than when women do it. They're just deluding themselves.

Banged a really hot 18yo girl

3 upvotes · 1 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This. The field report is of no use to anyone trying to lead and cause anything to happen. This reads like beta pretti-boi game to me.

Flirting 103

3 upvotes · 20 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's standard female projection. The reason they go on and on about a man only wanting her for the shallowest of qualities is partly because they've got nothing else to offer and they're desperate for this to be disproved since it's such a depressing reality... but also because they can't get away from their own solipsism of being so shallow in their own choices.
>
> - Does he have a hot body? - leads to social validation of being high value woman.
> - Does he make bank? - leads to getting stuff bought for her and some social validation.
> - Does he make me laugh? - leads to fee-fees being positive.
>
> It's all incredibly shallow, don't you think? Once you've fucked like ten chicks you'll have realised that, for most women, their vagina has more depth than their personality or mind will ever have.

Nail ex gf the day before her wedding with a beta.

3 upvotes · 9 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You can stick your dick in crazy. You can also put a ring on crazy. However the Red Pill man won't do either of these.

Plate With a Beta Provider Mindset

3 upvotes · 4 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> 4am? When did the date start? 2am? Jesus man, she views you as beta because you are. You gave her hours of attention for nothing. While escalation can take a few hours, you should be aiming to move from meeting to sex as quickly as reasonable. My current record is about 10 minutes, I recommend you aim to beat it and at a minimum should be down to under 30 mins. If you're taking 4 hours or more then I'd suggest you're taking too long. Focus on escalation and logistics combining better and you'll solve this one.

Woman hits the wall at 25, has mental breakdown: single mother with no money comes to realise no one wants to date a single mother, has vitriolic anger towards her ex who's still living a happy life and having relationships.

3 upvotes · 28 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> At least somebody realises the pragmatism today. Thanks. I was about 1 reply from leaving the community.

Woman hits the wall at 25, has mental breakdown: single mother with no money comes to realise no one wants to date a single mother, has vitriolic anger towards her ex who's still living a happy life and having relationships.

3 upvotes · 28 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Being an alpha and being Red Pill are not the same thing.

US News Article: "Women Are 'Genetically Programmed' to Cheat, Study Says"

3 upvotes · 25 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Overstatement. We're programmed with opposing sexual strategies which are not monogamous for either party... with the exception of a woman who can gain commitment and resources from a top 5% alpha.
>
> Monogamy is merely the best compromise for men and women's opposing sexual strategy. In this system more than 20% of men will achieve some form of sexual success and women will not have to share the resources from their man with other women.
>
> So we are and we aren't programmed for monogamy. As rational creatures with the consciousness to create civilisation... we adopted civil society and then imposed monogamy via religion upon society for stability and greater team work. Otherwise men would be at each others' throats all the time trying to bang more chicks and they'd never have the time spare to better society through creative pursuits and invention.
>
> Essentially what we see today is getting rid of those rules which helped build civilisation and watching what happens. A bit like removing the supports and rigging from a building that was built with no particular architectural vision in mind... is it still going to stand? Only time will tell.

why not dating women who used to be men?

3 upvotes · 18 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Because transsexuality is a mental illness that's covered up by the left for political gain. There is a false comparison made between gender and sexuality that doesn't make sense upon critique. It also shares significant similarities with eating disorders and other mental illnesses that involve body dysmorphia. The way they currently treat gender identity problems is the equivalent of treating an anorexic with a course of laxatives and then giving them a stomach staple and liposuction. All because it's more important that we believe their feelings... despite the fact that many mental illnesses are around the fact that the feelings of the patient do not correspond with the objective reality and we need to bring these people back around... except with trans people where we need to change reality to fit their feelings.

HB 5.5 loses her mind when I don't buy her dinner (FR)

3 upvotes · 15 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Perhaps it's the fact he's banged a personal 9 during this time? So he reckons he's doing things fine. Which if results are what you're here for... I guess he is doing fine by that standard. Not what I would advocate, but my style isn't liked by everybody here.
>
> I don't know whether he's telling the truth or not about the HB9, but I do know that I used to date a personal 10 during my blue pill days... (gained inadvertently by not giving a fuck because I figured she was way out of my league) so nothing is impossible. That's my only hypothesis on why he's still hanging around if I were to argue in good faith.

Wants vs needs: the sexual attitude nuance

3 upvotes · 4 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Interesting argument. However the wealth analogy doesn't fit. The wealth is a means to an end regarding purchasing the basic needs. You can still potentially get those basics without wealth. You can go on existing. You can go on existing without sex. You don't want to do either with your existence, but you can. Essentially wealth is a good example of something else which is high up the wants list but not strictly essential. This is the nuance.
>
> All that said, you can't go on existing without oxygen or water. I don't view any want such as wealth when it is merely gratification of ego as a bad thing or toxic. Gratification of ego is fine. It's when you view these wants as more important than you can achieve that it becomes toxic to your mental health. For example, being reasonably wealthy but not a millionaire and allowing wealth to become overvalued in your life. This is directly comparable to the bit where I talk about overvaluation of sex giving women too much power in the dynamic you forge.

10 things guys do to earn the title "fuckboy"

3 upvotes · 23 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Fuckboy - A term used by sluts to describe any man she is a pathetically weak-willed woman around in a desperate attempt to pretend she isn't a worthless slut with barely any relationship market value and thus rationalise her total and complete failure in the dating game. i.e. her entire life.
>
> Use of said word is a massive red flag on a woman's LTR worthiness, probably second only to mentioning cuckolding.

How can you get a chick that's hotter than you ?

3 upvotes · 22 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Women define their own SMV on a constantly changing scale. They don't do it objectively like the men who look at a woman and then say "that's a HB8." Secondly, there is no such thing as a HB10 - only personal 10s. 8-10 starts to become quite personalised depending on your preferences. Be aware of this when chasing women and make sure you never accidentally put a personal 10 on a pedestal that nobody else would put her on.
>
> My view of SMV for men is that your appearance, style and any extras like social proof provide your base SMV. This base SMV can then be manipulated by game. Learn good game and you can nab a personal 10. Hell, you might even be like me and have 7s approach you when you're really not in the mood. Attitude is the biggest part of game. See part 1 of my TRP Field Toolkit as it covers this in depth.
>
> As a sidenote, Tyler gets a variety of women. I've seen him chat up some chicks I wouldn't even look at. Also, this statement from /u/ErikTheRedPill is backwards:
>
> > Looks matter infinitely more to guys than women.
>
> Women order everything by looks and appearance due to their greater obsession with social levels. Guys tend to

> care less about that shit. While a guy would prefer to sleep with a personal 10... he's unlikely to turn down easy sex with a 6. It's women who turn down both sex and relationships with average looking guys without giving them a chance.

TRP at a Ballroom Dancing Class

3 upvotes · 16 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> That would make sense as I was taught at a place that was very "competition minded" as you put it and my mate was a national champion at this that and the other dance.
>
> I do recall that they were quite specific about poses/posture/poise and such. "Ladies, we are having tea with the Queen" was the funniest fuckin' thing I heard when she was wittering on about hand placement. It was a fun couple of years. Not really my thing long-term though.

Had oneitis, cut her off, now she wants help with something. What is the best way to tell with her response when I tell her - nope

3 upvotes · 12 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> How? Ignore her. Pure ghost. Remove her and block her from all social media and just ignore her texts and calls.

RSD Tyler rant on what woman really want

3 upvotes · 8 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > You can't blame woman for that.
>
> Do you even listen to yourself before you reply? Your mind works in exactly same flawed way as his. That's feminine thinking to absolve responsibility for actions.
>
> > I really mean that if I was a girl I would totally plan something like that for myself, and I don't see anything wrong with it. (The same that If I was as a man able to do it now, I would do have a 50+ n count)
>
> Men and women are not the same. They cannot be compared. You cannot compare the actions of a man and the actions of a woman because they are playing two different games. Hitting the ball with a racket is acceptable in tennis, but it is not done in cricket. In cricket you need a bat. You are trying to compare apples and oranges in order to justify baking an orange pie because apple pie is good.
>
> > Most probably the guy didn't have as many partners, because of the 10%/90% rule but that's just a consequence of the power balance in the dating scene.
>
> Again the weakness of his argument. It goes in bad faith. I'm well into double figures. I have the power. Sluts are still stupid. Being a slut is still a stupid thing to do and Tyler is a cuck.
>
> > I don't want to gaslight but seriously, please explain: "causing yourself mental problems" ? Like what ? how a girl having many partner gives mental health problems ?
>
> Have you even done your basic reading? Increased partner count in women leads to a plethora of problems including higher instances of depression and other mental disorders, higher instances of divorce and lower life satisfaction. The studies all pop up from time to time on TRP. If you don't already know this then you're either not reading enough, not lurking enough or you're a troll.
>
> > For me it's absolute male hamstering responding to injustice in the dating scene with anger.

> First off, RPS has stated on several occasions that anger is healthy and righteous, so this point of view has been destroyed by the mods multiple times. Secondly, I'm going to destroy this too by pointing out that it's a total strawman. Unless you can read my mind, you cannot conclude prejudice on my part and therefore your statement is in bad faith. If you wish to have discussions on TRP, you WILL approach them in good faith.
>
> Finally:
>
> > I don't want to gaslight but seriously,
>
> What sort of faggot SJW horseshit is this?
>
> - Don't go around apologising like a wimp for holding the views you hold.
> - Don't use gay little terms like gaslight.
> - Lurk more and read more. You are clearly very firmly plugged in and just liking the PUAs because they're offering some moist pink taco to you.
>
> TRP is not interested in compromising with blue pill preogatives in order to moderate our message like many PUAs. Ditch the faggotry if you wish to have serious discussions here and take that pussy off the pedestal while you're at.

Randomly Refuse Her Sex.

3 upvotes · 7 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I disagree that it was good enough with any delivery. It gives her whining too much credit. Shit tests must not be taken seriously. A response to a shit test must also break rapport, your question did not do that, no matter the delivery because as you can see from her response... it opened the door for further whining.
>
> > Out of curiosity, what would you have said?
>
> I don't know the exact words that she used so I can't be certain this would be appropriate, but probably something akin to "awww, is poor baby/kitty/(whatever child-like thing you like to call her) getting all insecure?"
>
> But as you say, you're not really one for pressure flipping and I wouldn't recommend trying to imitate a style of game that doesn't suit you. Keep it natural. For example my pressure flips are so honed that my main plate regularly gets reduced to just making random noises at me in response and then showing me affection with kisses and hugs. But that's because it's my natural style to be the smartarse.
>
> As I said before, you appear to be doing fine but if you add more commanding into your general interactions with her and use it to reinforce the correct dynamic, (then when she steps away from that dynamic drop that dread and/or tell her she's suddenly rather unattractive), then it should slowly mould things into a much more manageable partnership.

The Store Cashier With 300K+ Followers

3 upvotes · 2 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I stay off social media except for professional reasons. Though I did have a girl add me while I was out - she asked me for my facebook and added me while her boyfriend had nipped to the gents. This has become a common thing for me to experience. Girls start showing subtle interest while their boyfriend is away. It happened previously when I was out with a mate and his girl, he popped to the bathroom and she instantly started probing me on whether I was seeing anyone, whether I'd leave the girls I was seeing casually to have a serious relationship or not and whether I'd ever considered a threesome.
>
> I'd never betray a friend like that, but seriously... bitches, right?

Once you realize what interested women will do for you with little effort on your part, you'll realize what a waste of energy it is to even talk to women who don't show immediate and enthusiastic interest.

3 upvotes · 2 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> eat mayonnaise

You have some odd adventures with women mate. You're gonna need to post some FRs or it didn't happen.

ESH's Toolkit: Attitude and Boldness

3 upvotes · 23 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> The issue I have is the majority of this sub is not going to go about this in the "natural way" we know works.

Then be the difference you want to see. Explain the nuance. Your comment comes across as rubbishing OP rather than engaging the issue. Do you think I would conclude that you've missed the nuance if your comment had clearly grasped the nuance?

I don't look for an invite for kino. I just try it to break that physical barrier asap, whether that's using her shoulders to move her around in a queue or something silly like that or brushing her hand or leg with mine while sat together... anything seeming innocent is a good way to measure the indications. If she doesn't like it, she'll move away. Simple. Women are very bad at telegraphing what they want, besides... you should be leading the interaction anyway. It's your choice when escalation begins, not hers. You must look to women for **feedback** not instruction.

I am as guilty as everyone else for sometimes being negative on here. But for the most part I try to engage to get to the conclusion. The correct takeaway in all its nuance or complexity. The negative just ends up being black and white. This is as much the cause of the problem you cite as the average autistic social awkwardness that turns up on AskTRP half the time. It's just as important to point out where they're right as much as where they're wrong. To do this, work from a positive mindset of "not quite, but you're almost there."

Go out there and correct the errors positively. You'll be helping me out since that's what I spend most of my time on TRP doing.

ESH's Toolkit: Attitude and Boldness

3 upvotes · 23 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> That's not how the game works.

Yes it is. You're an idiot if you think otherwise.

The phrase is a reminder to provide confidence in your kino. A sense of entitlement and arrogance is extremely attractive to women. Particularly when it comes to sexuality. An air that you can take it or leave it conveys the subtext that you are a high value man and women will find that attractive. It also makes your game seem more natural. Women are excellent at spotting fakes so you've got to keep it natural.

The legality is a totally separate issue. If you've read my piece where he quotes that from then you will have noticed the nuance I make pains to point out - some of the phrases are even logically wrong, but this is not about right or wrong, this is about attractive behaviour. The full quote on this particular sentence was as follows:

> - I am entitled to touch her body. (You're not really, we don't encourage rape or molestation if she's clearly uncomfortable. This phrase gives you confidence in your kino. If she doesn't like it then she'll find a way

> to let you know. If any chick ever does, it's no big deal. Shit happens, but kino is vital to escalation!)

Your inability to grasp nuance is exactly what will hold you back.

Need advice on battling a feminist

3 upvotes · 6 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

When it comes down to dealing with ordinary people, the devil is in the detail. Many sign up to feminism not because they agree so much that women are oppressed... when challenged to give evidence and prove it, they'll slowly demonstrate that their decision is mostly an emotional one.

If your brother truly loves you, then he'll listen. The argument needs to be that it's all about the nuance. You need to step back, reign in your emotions for a minute and really look at the situation. This is where the problem lies... most people can't reign in their emotions. They FEEL that it's right and will defend it to the hilt. The open mind is characterised by the fact that they can admit when they're wrong. When was the last time he admitted he was wrong? You've got to establish that you can both be wrong about things and establish that emotions sway judgements. ONLY once you've established this between you, can you have the discussion.

DO NOT talk to his friend. "Oppression" is easily disproven when you take real examples of oppression in places like Singapore, China and Zimbabwe. (In fact Zimbabwe is an excellent case study for racist oppression of white people being supported by the state.) You can pick something like the wage gap and something like quotas for gender at board level or some such. These are excellent ones which can be objectively proven that the difference in views is not equality, but between equality of opportunity and equality of outcome. Make sure you establish first that agrees equality of outcome is wrong and that he agrees with equality of opportunity. I can talk you through the rest of the arguments if you think this is useful... and more importantly, you decide to go through with it.

I do not know your brother so I don't know how he's likely to react or how smart he is to comprehend the nuances of political philosophy. That's why so many people support feminism... they're simply not smart. It makes them feel good combined with the fact that they simply can't comprehend the reasoning as to why it's wrong and against the founding principles they claim to believe in. You need to think about this very carefully... and be sure you don't wear rose tinted specs... because if you're wrong and he's too stupid to understand this, then you may lose your brother as a friend.

March of the Freak Parade

3 upvotes · 23 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Not quite. I don't believe it's mythical. It's quite reasonable because all I'm doing is tweaking the economic regulation and meritocracy to ensure we no longer leave people behind. You should be behind me mate. Equality of opportunity!

I just believe that nobody in positions of power in our respective societies has any level of benevolent masculinity about them to try to provide this to society. (Though maybe Trump will prove me wrong?) The sort of man who pulls ahead, then leads everybody else forward. I would also postulate that many of those among the working poor and possibly even some stuck on benefits simply don't try to be anything more because they've lost hope in the system.

Essentially, when you rob a man of all hope of reward for his efforts, how can you complain when he fails to achieve anything? Have you ever read any Bukowski? I think you'd be quite taken with it.

Modern Feminism: still necessary?

3 upvotes · 17 May 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Whether or not you prove it in my example is not relevant, because in theory it CAN exist.

So you're conceding that in reality it doesn't happen or at least can't be proven?

> And it turns out that teams that have more diversity (cultural, age, gender, race, education) generally make higher impact desicions and have more innovation.

Citation needed.

Even if you're right, it still doesn't address the equality issue. You can either be interested in equality or not. Not just when it suits you, otherwise we could just say "men make better leaders" and just ignore women altogether.

> Because as far as I know all those women only positions are in places that are male for the vast majority.

A statistically random sample of 6 balls from a bag of 80 red balls and 20 blue balls can bring out 6 red balls many times without being wrong. There's nothing inherently wrong with a board of all men. Women-only lists are discriminatory and sexist and should be banned in line with equality of opportunity principles.

> And I already explained why it can be beneficial for a company to have diversity in their boards.

Oh great arbiter of truth, please tell me more!

> it makes sense to prioritize women.

Great arbiter of truth... you know so much. You're going to need logic and not just feelings on this.

> But it does mean that "being a women" is part of the qualifications in this case.

Also known as discriminating against men for being a man and preventing a more qualified or capable man from taking the role due to an arbitrary characteristic. You're either with equality of opportunity or you're not. You are clearly not.

> When the senate (for example) votes on stuff like abortion laws, I think it's good to have an equal gender split, since both gender are represented and both may have different experiences/feelings about this.

This requires the assumption that men can't represent the views of a woman. If you then take the complementary view, women can't represent men and by adding "being a woman" as a qualification requirement, you are actively disenfranchising all the men in that voting pool. You are not just being sexist and discriminatory but you are also being anti-democratic. Nicely done... you've just proposed the most anti-liberal thing you could do. So are you for communist dictatorships or fascist ones?

> Again, this doesn't mean selecting incompetent women just because they are women.

Irrelevant. Discrimination is the issue here, not the competence of the woman. Stop trying to shift the grounds of the discussion.

> I think that's a slippery slope argument.

You'd be wrong. It's just a logical conclusion that comes from assuming arbitrary characteristics matter. The slippery slope argument is to suggest something like someone who tries cannabis will therefore try hard drugs. It requires a fallacious logical hole. The logical flaw there is that individual choice means that taking heroin does

not happen because of trying cannabis. If you'd like to find the hole from "arbitrary characteristics matter" to "therefore we should represent all arbitrary characteristics" then I'm all ears.

> I'm all for white men only positions.

That is discriminatory and does not allow equality of opportunity. So no, I'm against that.

Girl unworthy of my time - but I want her for sex

3 upvotes · 16 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

In fairness this:

> I'd rather keep her around to help develop abundance

is a contradiction in terms. The fact you want to keep any individual chick around is anti-abundance mentality. Only when you can toss any chick away do you have abundance.

Regardless of what you do with her, I think you need to put 2-3 times as much effort into getting other plates. Particularly since with her, she's disrespected you and you're coming back for more. Not a strong starting point and when you start off weak it just makes managing things all the harder.

Let's discuss frame: How do you deal with nagging/bitchy ltrs/gfs/plates?

3 upvotes · 10 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

I'm actually smoothing over the rough edges of a Commanding 101 piece I've been carefully putting together as it is a complex subject that hasn't been addressed yet. It won't be coming out though until I've finished remastering my TRP Field Toolkit so that together the whole lot is a complete guide to game whether it's for plates or LTR. That's not being released until I set up my blog which I still get pestered about. Alas, only so much time in the day.

Ex starts dating top 1% (in school)

3 upvotes · 8 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

It depends upon your personality and what works to calm you down. Lifting works well for some. If you go to a public gym, you could see if someone will give you a lesson or two on the punching bag... that can help get the anger out of the system. Others enjoy meditation.

You don't really need to ask TRP for this problem. This is just general anger/emotional management. There are plenty of options out there you can research. Best of luck to ya!

Canadian Prime Minister Teaches Men How To Be Better Feminists

3 upvotes · 6 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

To be clear: I am not advocating being a high SMV beta. My statement was just a statement of fact. The more we understand things around us, the less we will be confused when people come with specific exceptions. (Which I have seen on occasion, like examples of beta Hollywood male-feminist celebs with attractive women.)

This is why I have such a focus on attitude/alphaness and game as a separate topic in my mind to SMV. Or maybe split it down to a base SMV and then SMV adjusted for interaction in order to explain altered/strange individual female perceptions of male SMV.

[FR] The Ultimate Response to a LJBF rejection

3 upvotes · 5 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This isn't really a discussion, it's silly pedantry. My response to your comment was just a courtesy to show you there's a wider set of scenarios out there than you're currently considering. My original comment was just my immediate reaction on what the "ultimate" response would be. That's all I've done. This ain't one o' my deeper comments. It's about as deep as a paddling pool.
>
> If I was deadly serious about doing that though... it would be on a whim, like most of my little social experiments. It's mildly amusing to turn some chick's weapons back on herself. But yeah, you've got to be bored and not have anything more important to do.

The Viability of the Red Pill in the Future - Border Erosion

3 upvotes · 4 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> True. But it's not going to make working with TRP much fun... and there's a fair bit of crossover from some prominent members. I also miss theultimatecad. Does anybody know what happened to him?

How to bang on a first date - By Luke Mooney

3 upvotes · 27 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > with regard to the drink selection, all I'll say is try it once, see what your results are like.
>
> I'm not saying I haven't. I've done shared bottles of wine before. I've done cocktails too. It all depends on my mood. (If I'm enjoying myself and pleasing myself then I'll have a good time and she will therefore have a good time since I'm exuding positive energy.) That's why I said the positive reasons to adopt that are all fine.
>
> If you wanted a positive behind say pints of real ale, something I've done often, she'll usually be intrigued since she'll never have ordered something like that. Causing intrigue and curiosity in a woman is always a solid move in my book - just doing things for the sake of novelty shows you have more world experience than her (thus higher value) and as we all know... women don't want to build a life, they just want to go set up shop in the awesome life of a cool guy.
>
> A couple of regular comments tend to come from the real ale. If she tastes it and goes "that's disgusting" then a good response is "yeah, it puts hair on your chest. From what I can see, you can't do those sorts of things." Gives you an excuse to openly oogle her tits, while simultaneously putting a bit of a neg in as a joke. The other comment that's come up a couple of times is words to the effect of "that's an old man drink." I find this sly shit test amusing and it's a great excuse to respond "that your subtle way of telling me you have daddy issues?" She'll be back to selling herself to you in no time.

/r/theredpill is SUBREDDIT OF THE DAY!

3 upvotes · 24 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > limiting the privilege to speak.
>
> With the specific days... can we have a HumanSockPuppet day? (This is of course my cheeky way of suggesting some of the foremost contributors like yourself, whisper, archwinger, illimitableman et al, each get a day to repost some of your best stuff and have it discussed.)
>
> Oh and the expected Blue Pill brigading of that Subofftheday thread has now begun.

Theory Friday - No Stupid Questions Thread

3 upvotes · 22 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's probably just down to the halo effect. Pausing seems like you're taking it seriously, in control etc etc if you're

> attractive. If you're unattractive then pausing is because you lack confidence in what you're saying... probably because you're being deceitful.
>
> Personally I find pausing tends to give me more presence, but that's just my style because I use pressure flips a lot.

Why They Haven't Banned Us

3 upvotes · 21 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's on the sidebar.... that sidebar that all of you are supposed to have read thoroughly!

Sigma male..does he exist?

3 upvotes · 14 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Yes. I've met a couple of them. They're rare, but they are out there.

"In the age of promiscuity, women have more sexual partners than men" - can you even find a loyal woman with a low n-count who hasn't slept around these days? I'm getting less and less confident that that's even possible.

3 upvotes · 14 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is why we're so dead set against marriage. Not only is the outcome likely to be unfavourable, but the quality of the woman's behaviour will likely go down and it reduces your ability to manage her behaviour all in one stroke of the pen. It's just a totally insane deal for a man.

"You're just the nicest guy I've ever met"

3 upvotes · 7 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > While i don't doubt what you say, is it at least worth just laying it all on the line one time before I next her?
>
> Using straight talk here is pointless. Desire is non-negotiable. I think you may need to go back to the drawing board in terms of attitude since you've accepted 2 dates without a kiss and 4 dates without a fuck. It makes your time seem worthless. Have you done much dread to create scarcity in her mind? It might be worth some light dread before the weekend.
>
> When you say lay it all out... I would say you should mean "lead her to a bedroom and escalate heavily." If a chick wants you and you can do this without it being announced to everybody who knows her then generally you'll get laid. It just depends on your attitude and timing. Brush up on how to bypass LMR and the ASD too in preparation. Plenty of kino in the build up to getting her to the bedroom. That's about everything I can suggest. As some have said here, it could be a lost cause.

"You're just the nicest guy I've ever met"

3 upvotes · 7 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> What age range are we working in here? Are you estimating a very low partner count (i.e. 1 or 0) or not?

Don't Do These 9 Things In Your Next Interview

3 upvotes · 5 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Our good Uncle has already posted a link in the comments section, have a quick scroll up/down. He's endorsed so it'll be easy to spot his comment.

How to stop a girl shit testing?

3 upvotes · 1 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> You can't stop them. You can reduce them though:
>
> If they've been going on a while (i.e. over a week or two), start mocking and belittling the logic used in said shit test. This essentially provides an underlying subtext response of "your stupid shit is wearing thin. I'm clearly higher value than you and your inability to perceive this is starting to make me think your value is much lower than it seems." This is the best response if it is one particularly shit-testy woman. If such nonsense continues and she won't fall under my command then I'll just dump her.
>
> In addition, if you are constantly receiving shit tests from all of your plates, it is an indication that you are doing something which suggests low SMV. You need to figure out what that is and correct it as appropriate.

Always have a backup plan when you date.

3 upvotes · 26 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Ignore the passive aggressive way in which he tries to shame you for daring to have a male emotion. (I know, it's outrageous to actually feel annoyed as a man, right? Remember, on TRP we allow healthy expression of emotion and then offer advice.) The correct response on his part would have been to simply point out the passive aggressive nature of it and move on.
>
> Anyways, I specifically wanted to add here that a radio silence for a whole week is excessive. A weekend would work and if they haven't contacted you during that time then you'll probably want to look at a soft next. Also remember to never let them see your irritation, but do mock them about their poor timekeeping - something like "never gonna invite you to X (that they'd really like to see) since you can't seem to organise yourself" add a massive grin. I've just thrown that together now so there are probably some better ways of saying it, but the essence of the message needs to be that she's stupid for being disorganised and you'd consider dropping her when it came to anything nice because of her poor behaviour. Never state it directly though, it has to be implied - for example you're just making a sarcastic joke, but you could be serious etc. It's a useful all-purpose-womanese skill to have for tweaking things as part of your plate management.

Do certain aspects of TRP do more harm than good?

3 upvotes · 9 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm glad to see the toolbox analogy I started continues to be passed on. Now we'll see how far along the people who are reading this are. (Those with a long way to go will be shocked.) Beta behaviour can be a useful tool. The true Machivellian knows that sometimes it is best to project weakness under certain circumstances. The Machiavellian Maxims from IllimitableMan's blog will back this up. Social dynamics are more complicated than simply "alpha wins, beta loses" - Particularly with the currency now afforded to victims, the social game is more complex than ever. But a more complex woodworking project just means you'll need access to more tools and be able to wield them with more skill.
>
> I'm also going to address the replies you got from /u/Luis_McLovin and /u/Rasalom72 because they're both a little wrong and right.
>
> The reality is that just about everything in society comes back to sex. So anything discussed on here about making better men is tangentially related through the standard idea that a more successful man has more resources and more status etc etc - therefore he's more attractive, higher SMV and more women want him (and the more women who want him further increases his SMV.) At the same time, TRP does indeed just present the reality. We steer clear of moralising and political correctness/preventing hurt feelings, because reality is not going to be perfect or fair. Further discussions tend to focus on trying to draw out more concrete relationships between factors, but the major issues will remain the same.
>
> In a way, TRP both is and isn't about sex. It's an inescapable fact that men are biologically programmed to want

sex and it's an important addition to your life for both physical and mental health. There's also many ways to improve your SMV that aren't directly about sex. Because of this, you see how broad a topic sexual strategy is... to the point at which we could bring up the paradoxical nature of a good sexual strategy being that you're not that interested in sex or the pursuit of sex, and yet you still take it. Once you internalise this superficial paradox then you'll start to see that it's all interlinked and the later conclusion most draw from TRP is that it's all about sex and yet not about sex at all - a little Schroedinger's cat parallel for you. As /u/GayLubeOil said in this thread:

> There is nothing I nor anyone else can do to help low reading comprehension retards understand that the world operates in shades of grey. Retards only see black and white.

IM's Machiavellian Maxims: Part II

3 upvotes · 8 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

There is so much gold throughout this one.

Numbers 44, 45, 50, 51 and 56 are all essential for an aspiring Machiavellian. They are also distilled Red Pill truth when it comes to social dynamics.

I hate my great life. What the hell is wrong with me!? Is it that I'm lazy? Or maybe I just don't care... (like that famous quote/meme from the movie Office Space).

3 upvotes · 25 February 2016 · /r/askTRP

I'd agree with Archwinger, but I'd extend on his theme. One of the major problems of the modern world is the glass floor. You're clearly from a family that has wealth and influence. For the children in such families, they basically can't fail no matter whether they're a worthwhile addition and earn their place on merit or whether they're a worthless piece of shit who never really belonged in the first place. As a result of this, a reflective and perceptive individual who lives that life will likely subtly pick up on this reality. Hence the feelings that despite being successful, it's not satisfying because this is the norm - i.e. achieving what you have achieved logically looks like achievement, yet it does not feel like achievement.

Now, whether you believe my above reasoning or not is irrelevant because the advice I'd give applies regardless of whether my reasoning was right. Number one - get into an exercise regime. Exercise has been proven to alter the biochemistry in your brain and is generally an excellent first step towards fixing the blues, and it has been shown to have a measured effect upon clinical depression. - The challenge is getting the person to do the exercise.

Second, it's time to give yourself some real challenges. Things which can't be solved with money or influence. Given that small scale stuff doesn't seem to do anything for you as a result of your successful life, I'd try looking at something a bit bigger. Interested in electronics? Build a computer and/or a server. Write a program. Interested in literature? Write a novel. Interested in cars? Build a car or repair a classic. Interested in decorating or the layout of your house? Build a piece of furniture.

These are all big projects which (particularly if your knowledge is lacking in the area) will require lots of study, practice and then you'll need to bring it all together. All of these rest upon you as an individual and the glass floor won't save you. Pick something and you'll finally be able to see who you are without any outside factors influencing things... and more importantly, actually get some level of satisfaction from your success.

Study: Men think their male classmates are smarter, even when they're not

3 upvotes · 17 February 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

This is possibly the most interesting response I've ever had on PPD. I have a few concerns with this piece and some positive things to say.

> A 2012 report from the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology indicates that training

> scientists and engineers at current rates will result in a deficit of 1,000,000 workers to meet United States workforce demands over the next decade (1). To help close this formidable gap, the report calls for the increased training and retention of women, who are starkly underrepresented within many fields of science,

This is possibly amongst the most retarded things I've read. "We're not training enough people... so let's focus on women." This immediately makes me think the person writing this has an agenda and a specific narrative they want to push. While it is great that they're citing so many papers to back up their preamble to the current research... I don't have time to go read all those papers, so I'd be interested to know if anybody has ever followed up with a critique of this paper?

From my own read of the method, I've got a couple of issues.

> Following established practices, the laboratory manager application was designed to reflect high but slightly ambiguous competence, allowing for variability in participant responses.

Why would you want to do that? (I do get why, they want a reason to let academics make value judgements.) The problem is... leave an academic to not really care about something and they typically don't care about it. Has nobody shared with these guys the joke about undergrads not mattering? Leaving somebody with a "there is no wrong answer" sort of scenario means that any bias detected would be irrelevant anyway because there's no way to tell how seriously they're taking it. Thus you can't tell what data is random and what is not.

Which links back nicely to this bit:

> Of importance, participants believed they were evaluating a real student who would subsequently receive the faculty participants' ratings as feedback to help their career development (see SI Materials and Methods for more information, and Fig. S1 for the full text of the cover story). Thus, the faculty participants' ratings were associated with definite consequences.

I do not buy this. Given the quality of feedback typically given on low-importance papers to uni students, you're going to get equally low quality and interest here. Even if we assume it's correct which I do not accept the logic that academics are taking this seriously for "feedback purposes." Even then, any negative bias could then be explained by the constant culture of trying to push women forward in these fields, therefore they want to give more critical feedback so the women push themselves harder and make more improvements to their applications in order to achieve more easily. So their own culture has created this bias.

Personally I just don't think an academic will take this seriously enough. Particularly given that evaluation of an application would merely decide whether somebody would be granted an interview for a position or not. Such a decision is also typically weighted against the quality of competing applicants. So the study isn't really addressing the reality of the application process. It's a sterile yes or no based upon a piece of paper and the mood of the academic. It would be impossible to control for personalities despite the good work of a representative sample covering other factors. While it is possible to have all the grumpy fuckers in one group... I'd have thought it was unlikely. But even so, I'm more concerned that the stats would mean nothing due to not knowing who is taking it very seriously and who is not.

Due to this I find the results extremely odd and can see why it would likely have a high impact factor if the ANOVA was run correctly. I have no reason to doubt this, so I'd be very interested in seeing impartial replications of this study. That looks like the first step forward. (Since this is 4 years old), what ended up happening with that?

Also that's a pretty amusing bias against mentoring a woman. Amusing because of the very reliable significance. (Ultimately the numbers sit in the middle which to me shows a general indifference of the academics to this.) An interesting discussion point would be whether or not that particular bias is acceptable. After all, we don't need to mentor anyone, that's down to personality. (So again, through the methodology it's something of a pointless and

meaningless hypothetical question to ask academics. Though they seem fairly positive about mentoring this random person.) However if their experience in life is that women are typically more difficult to deal with... is it still wrong for the academic to have that bias on a hypothetical question, if they'd still give any woman they did hire a chance to see if they'd like to mentor them or not? (i.e. they think, "I'd give everyone a chance... but if you absolutely force me to make a decision on this hypothetical blind thing that doesn't matter... I'll pick the guy over the girl.") Is that so wrong? Does it even matter?

Of course the whole thing begs the question of how would we solve the issue? The bias has to come from somewhere. Is it really culture or is it life experience? And if it's life experience... how're you planning to fix that one if the majority of academia is experiencing women typically being less capable than men? It makes for an interesting discussion, the problem the paper has from an argumental standpoint is that it assumes equality of capability from the outset and does not allow for this as an explanation for a justified bias. (Particularly in our current positive discrimination environment. When you know positive discrimination exists in a field... it is not unreasonable to think that a man and woman with equal qualifications and experience on paper... that the woman could be less capable than that man due to the impact of positive discrimination. i.e. she has gained experience and qualifications more easily than the man due to PD. Which in turn is a legitimate concern to have in order to hold up the meritocracy and account for the positive discrimination factor.) So they very much oversimplify their view of meritocracy existing in some kind of vacuum and mankind not adapting to other variables through experience as a potential explanation for all of this. Which in turn would mean further thought is needed on how the fuck we get out of the mess we've subsequently created through all of that to get back to a more simplified meritocracy.

> The dearth of women within academic science reflects a significant wasted opportunity to benefit from the capabilities of our best potential scientists, whether male or female.

I don't buy this conclusion. I'm convinced that brilliance does shine through and we wouldn't lose the very best. The achievements of Marie Curie so long ago evidence this. At worst, if this is all true, then we'd merely lose the mediocre women. They're overstating the impact.

> it may undercut not only academic meritocracy, but also the expansion of the scientific workforce needed for the next decade’s advancement of national competitiveness

This is also total horseshit. The expansion of the scientific workforce is not going to be decided by whether or not more women want to be scientists. It will be decided by how many *people* want to become scientists. The author has an axe to grind and is shit at argumentation.

So overall that was an interesting read and definitely warrants further study.

> So if you think their methodology is so terribly skewed, then why don't you come up with a hypothetical study, including exactly how you would analyze the data?

Why? Because I don't care about getting the numbers of women in science up. I'd be far more interested in trying to eliminate department politics in these institutions. But as I said before, it's still worth them studying this in order to try and figure out (if the bias is real) where it is actually coming from and subsequently how would we address it. Would we even have the balls in this politically correct age to address the explanations we didn't necessarily like?

Thanks for that, it was an interesting little read.

Also, for final lulz, how do the academics know that John does not gender identify as a woman? Jennifer might prefer to be thought of as a man. In this politically correct, gender-fluid world... what's really in a name? The premise is clearly not taking into account the modern culture around gender haha!

How birth control is pussifying men.

3 upvotes · 17 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I did some research

Citations please? I have family who worked in water treatment plants. I asked them about this birth control oestrogen in the water supply thing and they are adamant that it is a myth. The whole "pussy men around" thing is easily explained by cultural shifts.

"All you want me for is sex!" - what does this really mean?

3 upvotes · 16 February 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

Perhaps that's all she offers? Her inability to be able to offer something else that I'd like is not my problem.

BlackDragon's Alpha 1.0 and 2.0: Illustrations

3 upvotes · 2 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Agreed. In my opinion this applies to all social interaction, not just with women and not just in text messages like this post talks about. Earlier today I wrote something similar.

> Those who have something to prove get into verbal fights or need to be overtly aggressive. High value/high status men have nothing to prove. Act accordingly.

How to fend off someone talking to your girl

3 upvotes · 29 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

Engage with him directly and ignore her. See if you get an opportunity to ask him directly whether he fancies her. That's a useful cockblock I've used in the past to deal with other guys.

Tinder Versus Match

3 upvotes · 27 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm going on a Tinder date this Saturday (if she isn't imprisoned or institutionalized before then) and I'll give you a report.

Didn't you get the memo on not sticking your dick in crazy?

Oh, The Feminist Jimmies This Will Rustle

3 upvotes · 23 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Totally agree with the UK comments. Most people I know are getting fed up of basically anyone who is non white being put on a social pedestal.

The thing which I find most heartening about this, is that this includes plenty of people from the "minorities." They're not interested in special treatment because most of their families have a built a life here from their own blood, sweat and tears. They really have worked hard to earn their respected place in society. They see all the anti-white sentiment and (since the majority of UK is white) they're basically seeing something which is anti-my-friends. And it's pissing them off just as much as anybody Caucasian. It gives me faith that this social justice idiocy will eventually be overcome.

Q4Women: what if you found out your son was reading TRP? Would it bother you? Would you

confront him on it?

3 upvotes · 22 January 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> TRP is a hate group

lol

> But I have a hard time believing that most RPers are happy.

Go ask the ladies over on /r/RedPillWomen - ask the long term married and the ones who've gone from single to having the question popped to them in record time whether they're happy. You could also ask them whether they think endorsed guys like me hate them. If they've come to a different conclusion than you, perhaps you could try to figure out why.

> And I am glad you're not my son as much as you're glad I'm not your mother.

Woo! Agreement and happiness on purplepilldebate! Ironically my mother is just as quick to judge by emotion as you... it takes me some time and some calm explanation to help her understand my views on modern society. After the initial emotional reaction, she comes around to agree with me every time.

Q4Women: what if you found out your son was reading TRP? Would it bother you? Would you confront him on it?

3 upvotes · 22 January 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

Wow... so your failure as a parent means that if he doesn't come back to your way (which has been failing to bring him happiness in the first place by your own admission) then you will disown him for attempting something other than your play book.

Wow. His choices are misery or to be disowned. Glad you're not my parent. I'd eagerly choose to be disowned by someone so selfish and stuck in their own views.

Is this really what I have to look forward to?

3 upvotes · 20 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

No. Think about what you want to do with your life. Don't see it as a transition time to do nothing. This is the time when you need to be starting a plan for whatever your mission is. Speaking as someone who came to it late and just pursued money for the sake of money, if I'd known earlier then I would have planned better and lived my life completely differently and I'd likely be further forward today (well duh! more time invested) than I am now.

My caveat is that there is no harm in what you're doing. It's actively good and continuing to raise your base SMV is never time wasted... but seriously, go away and start thinking about what you want to do with your life and start doing it sooner rather than later. It'll pay off in the long term.

(Edited for a minor punctuation mistake that was pissing me off.)

Misinterpreted RP Thinking You Must Address Now

3 upvotes · 19 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Not sure I'm on board with the whole levels of alpha thing, but it makes for interesting reading and as a concept it is not unreasonable.

Though I recently noticed the announcement of a piece of research that was concluding women are more attracted to altruistic men. I haven't looked into it in detail so I'm unsure on the specifics. However that might be

> something you should look up to further your theory there.

Plate wouldn't give head

3 upvotes · 14 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> I would probably make a really transparent fake excuse for her to go. Something like "Right, I need to go work out/cook X/return some video tapes." Then pick up my phone and appear to message someone. "You'd better get going. I'll text you." Then ignore her for a day or two. Her hamster will go into absolute overdrive. When you pay attention to her again, act like nothing has really happened. She'll probably be quite comfort testy, so you'll have to deal with that carefully - i.e. through commanding respect/I won't tolerate this nonsense kind of thing. So for example "Are you mad at me?" "If I was mad at you, would I be here with you now?" Shake head and laugh at her. The underlying conversation going on here is "Are you going to leave me for someone?" "That's a dumb question which I don't need to answer. Stop acting stupid or you might lose me."
>
> Calibrate how harsh you are depending on her personality. The more crazy/insecure she is, the less heavy handed you should behave, otherwise you risk breaking her. That bit is down to your own judgement.

NATURAL LAWS : "The All is Mind, the Universe is Mental"

3 upvotes · 13 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Man, you can only sell pussy on here. No pussy no points.
>
> I wouldn't be too concerned. It's why they award the endorsed flair - so you can get past the influence of the armies of gronks and mindless nihilists described in this very post.

"You look a lot like my last boyfriend"

3 upvotes · 12 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> She'll take it lightly if you're grinning and giving her the right signals. You're basically talking her language and teasing her.
>
> If she gets offended, then next her, but I doubt any chick would. Too much effort if she genuinely cares and there's always another slut round the corner.

Friendzoning a date (on purpose)

3 upvotes · 12 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Just act alpha, lead her on and don't escalate. She'll want to show you off to her friends if she thinks she's got a shot.

TRP truth from a girl, and EliteDaily none the less

3 upvotes · 4 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > All women think they can change a guy, and a lot of times they can.
>
> All women will test their man and attempt to hook him up to the poison drip. If he's successfully hooked up then they will eventually lose attraction to him, after they've made his life a living hell naturally.

The Slut Test

3 upvotes · 31 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Women tend to become more like the men they are attracted to. It's solipsism 101. (Or potentially trying to create

a shared interest for a man they're attracted to.)

The Slut Test

3 upvotes · 31 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> If you're still in the anger phase and don't want to think about your IRL Waifu getting railed, my suggestion is that you go to strip clubs and begin comforting yourself with women's whorishness by asking the girls there (who are barely even people, btw) about all their sordid sexual misdeeds. After hearing how almost every girl has blown very old men for cash, the world will begin to be tinted slightly red.

While this was probably a throwaway paragraph to round things out and address everything, the advice is solid. Seriously, since you know she's a stripper that's an automatic disqualification so it'll make it easier for newly unplugged guys to see her real value - i.e. little to nothing. (Come on, she sells looks at her tits for a living. We're talking what the desperate teen whore in the schoolyard would do when she needs money.)

Excellent piece.

I got banned from Relationships for calling out a women. Was attacked by beta white knights. Learned my lesson. TRP shouldn't be shared.

3 upvotes · 28 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

All of this just depends on how intelligent and capable you are of discussing RP stuff. Hold frame all you like, if you're a moron you'll get taken down by the brighter guy. (i.e. don't imitate Cis if you're not as bright and clued up as he is.) The reality is that most blooper white knights are retards... because to have no RP beliefs requires a conscious asserted effort at ignorance. (I know, even in my blue pill days I wasn't entirely plugged in. I saw *some* things and so did others.)

The Machiavellian approach would probably be the best for me to preach around this. Emotionally manipulate the emotionally vulnerable and intellectually trounce those who are more interested in logic and reason.

Oh, and OP also needs to distinguish the nuance around bad boys. Acting like an asshole does include a number of alpha qualities being displayed, and it's those qualities that attract the woman... not putting roaches down their pants. (And as amusing as this is... I never thought it would ever be required to be clarify something like that. Haha. Merry Christmas to me.)

I'm Milo Yiannopoulos, AMA

3 upvotes · 17 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Of course, and this is why you're paid the big bucks.

Appropriate in the Milo party thread: cocktails and cock waving all around.

The Crime of Rape - A Legal Primer

3 upvotes · 13 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Let's be very clear... we do have an extremely dreadful culture when it comes to rape. Only a couple of months ago a young boy falsely accused of rape took his own life, (he was only 17) adding to the male suicide epidemic in the UK. (In my opinion, the very fact that a charity like CALM - who have been around for almost a decade - can include in their mission statement: "Challenging a culture that prevents men seeking help when they need it" really says a lot about our culture.)

And while our courts are sort-of doing the job properly... I think this case from last year is a demonstration of our absolute insanity of the victim culture. A few points are extremely salient. Firstly, I think she was going to be convicted and rightfully so if she is guilty. I'm reasonably convinced because the UK police colloquially refer to

> the CPS as the "Can't Prosecute Service" because they don't actually prosecute so many cases that the police think have a good chance of getting a conviction. This is so they keep their stats good... but by luck it also reinforces our belief that we'd rather see a thousand guilty men go free than imprison an innocent. So when the CPS voluntarily take over a private prosecution, you know that the CPS believes this is a slam dunk conviction that is going to help their stats. They simply wouldn't do it if there seemed to be any level of risk.
>
> The second thing this shows about our culture is that there is no real risk for many women making false rape claims. Most men do not have the resources to follow up a false rape claim and afford to bring a private prosecution against a woman doing this. While the CPS does occasionally prosecute false claims, (and I'm sure this is likely down to the fact they've got to prove a negative which is ridiculously hard) it doesn't tend to get publicised much and so the seriousness of making a rape allegation is not emphasised enough to deter women who haven't really been raped. These false allegations in turn actively harm the women who are real victims because thanks to these false allegations, we're well aware that such vile women exist and end up having to treat real victims with heavy scepticism in order to ensure due process.
>
> Thirdly there is the way our culture still sees this woman who committed suicide as the victim and allows her father to write the most retarded nonsense in national papers about how we shouldn't have prosecuted her. For no other crime in history would anybody ever consider "it might hurt the feelings of the defendant" as a reason to not prosecute someone. But that is essentially what her father wrote. While it is a tragedy that she took her own life, that is not enough to say we should have done things differently. Our basic individual rights are more important than the life of a mentally ill person. These individual rights are the very basis of a free society in preventing governmental overreach/exceeding its authority.
>
> Being the victim of death does not grant you absolution of your sins. However our crazy society seems to think it does. That's the downside to individualism that our society doesn't seem to have grasped. You're free to make incredibly dumb choices that harm you and it is not society's fault when you do this. (The new illiberal site Copperfox has set up has an excellent example of this here.)
>
> Luckily there are some men in the media fighting back and showing the other side of the coin and what this rape hysteria culture does to the men. It's seriously damaging to the mental health of an innocent man.
>
> On a separate note, I don't believe the BBC is liberal. They are left wing biased and don't seem to push any liberal views. They push social justice and identity politics which are counter to liberal ideals. Thorium on youtube provides some excellent examples of this bias. I'm swinging towards having the license fee scrapped because the main thing about the BBC is that they're meant to be impartial, but they clearly aren't. If they can't fulfil this requirement then they're not doing the purpose required to deserve the funding. I'd prefer it if they were independent and we kept the license fee because I'd see that as valuable enough to be worth the money. Unfortunately we can't have that, so it's time to ditch the failed project.
>
> I'm not going to get into the Ched Evans thing because my views on it are very complicated. This is also one of the reasons I'm hoping someone with professional knowledge of UK law will pop by this thread out of interest, then read my comment and hopefully put something together. It's a long shot... but most of my openers are long shots too! The nuances would be very useful for men in the UK. Same would probably go for Australia and Canada.

I passed this hilarious of all shit tests last night, god bless TRP (FR)

3 upvotes · 5 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You can use some cocky asshole game to be a bit more dominant and assertive in your handling of it - as opposed to supplicating to her emotions as a means of reassuring her. (That's not to say the latter doesn't work. Doing the latter demonstrates strong social skills and is a good thing.)
>
> Something like "nobody is good enough for me, but I've chosen you." I've used both the asshole reassurance and

> the more caring reassurance in the past and found they both work well. It depends how irritating she's being I suppose. On a couple of occasions I've dealt with it by literally telling her to "stop whining" or "shut up" and then dropping a very passionate kiss on her.
>
> Women like it when you're not dealing in straight talk. They resort to straight talk when everything else has run out and they simply don't know anymore. If you can signal intent/desire through your actions in a strong enough way to reassure her then I'd say that's a bigger win in my humble opinion.

Response to "Don't Hate Women For Being Women"

3 upvotes · 4 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This strategy works on the individual level but causes apathy on the meta-scale. We need to work towards a system that does hold women accountable for the destructive tendencies of their hypergamy.

Then go become an MRA. TRP is not here to fight for rights, we're quite clear about that. We're just here to offer the best advice to adapt your sexual strategy under the circumstances. Nothing more, nothing less. While TRP discusses the long-term destruction of society through open hypergamy... it's not something we're organising around. We know what happens when we put our heads above the parapet. We can't convince plugged in blue pill men to not be captain save-a-ho. All we can do is watch and laugh as his fairy tale gets torn apart by the person he foolishly trusted the most.

So yeah, those are your choices: become an MRA, or care less. Pick one.

Basic Guide to Argumentation and Intellectual Growth

3 upvotes · 1 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> If you're in university you could see if there is a debating society or union. They'll teach all this, plus you'll get some training in public speaking and one day, potentially judging. Judging duties are particularly good for analysis and understanding the comparison and contrast of subjective matter and how you can consistently judge the strength of an argument under these constraints.
>
> I don't know whether you'd be able to take a minor or individual module on logic in philosophy. I've found that can be very interesting, but real world application is rather rare.
>
> As you apply more general principles across things and with the different people you talk to, you'll start to realise why society is so easily controlled by the feels. Our education system has merely imparted facts rather than tools in many cases.

Some Of You Really Have It All. Wrong.

3 upvotes · 1 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> That is not what I am advocating.
>
> The key is to make your achievements seem effortless. You can work, tire and achieve. But don't let anything but the achievements show. The reason for this is women are checking your genetics. Who is better, the man who needs to work his ass off to achieve big, or the one who is naturally just achieves big? If you say the first, that's fine... but women don't want him. They want the genetics that achieve big. So the one who naturally achieves it without working hard is the better catch.
>
> Let them know you're interested in sex, but be sure they don't think you're only interested in sex with them. They are merely one option open to you and you haven't made up your mind who you feel like having sex with next. That if she turns you down, you don't remotely care. You're in control. The moment you really care about sex is the moment you're going to struggle because they can smell desperate thirsty men.

Basic Guide to Argumentation and Intellectual Growth

3 upvotes · 30 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It is a quicker way of achieving intellectual growth. Challenging your views and theories is important to refine your choices into the best ones possible.
>
> Refusing to challenge anything means you're nothing but a dogmatic follower - that's not alpha.
>
> > I consider it a waste of time to argue.
>
> As I said in my piece: 99% of people are not worth arguing with. The guide is designed to help you approach it with like-minded people to achieve the most out of it. Refining your views and improving your understanding of things is important, particularly when you're trying to implement TRP. However the general rules can be applied widely. The more you understand, the more things in life you can approach optimally. It's all about having the widest range of options and situations you can turn to your advantage - that only comes from knowledge and understanding.

[FR] Nuclear LMR, AWALT

3 upvotes · 30 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Don't worry about it. This is how you learn.
>
> One HB9 is just another chick. Plenty more where she came from. On the plus side... now you know you are able to sleep with women like that. Adapt your game and you'll be railing one in no time.

Basic Guide to Argumentation and Intellectual Growth

3 upvotes · 30 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I've never studied critical thinking academically. For me it's not really a topic, it's something you do as a part of other topics such as evaluating scientific theories and methods and results etc etc.
>
> I suppose the difference between the two is that critical thinking would be the process that goes on when you're making and analysing arguments. So it's a part of argumentation itself.
>
> If you're interested in educating yourself in logic and philosophy, try to read a range of philosophers. However, essential pieces would include:
>
> - The Republic - Plato
> - Walden - Henry David Thoreau
> - On Liberty - John Stuart Mill
> - The Rights of Man - Thomas Paine
> - The General Will - Jean Jacques Rousseau
> - Candide - Voltaire

Classic from Mike Cernovich. Stop passing shit-tests. Start punishing, instead.

3 upvotes · 29 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > If a woman is being an obvious bitch for no reason, I see no reason not to be an obvious bitch back to her.
>
> Sinking to her level?
>
> > Its like if someone hit you for no reason, you can either ignore it, or you can fucking hit back. Ignoring it will only lead to more punches thrown.

I think this is a false equivalency that gets drawn often. Remember the golden rule: **men and women are not equal.** If you are the prize, you are superior. If you are superior, lashing out at a bratty inferior... you're basically acting like a sadistic bully rather than the benevolent leader you should be striving to be. The difference is, the bully loses frame to the person taunting them, while a benevolent leader does not.

There is no need to smack women into place mentally. Shit tests occur naturally to test your own level of dominance. Lashing out physically and verbally are losses of frame. You have entered her frame when you respond on her terms. This is why shit tests are to be treated as the unserious nonsense they are - you respond on your own terms. Maintain the frame of the interaction. Whether ignoring it is the right move is up to you... but don't enter her frame by trading insults. It's not alpha.

Fighting other men is a different matter and not to be compared - because as I said before: men and women are not equal and not comparable.

The End of Betadom.

3 upvotes · 23 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Unless you've got a felony record

Uh oh. Damn my civil responsibility.

> or a mental IDGAF frame of cryogenically hardened titanium/adamantium alloy

Oh, phew! Was worried for a minute there.

I think the end situation of this is that the average man is going to be in massive competition with other average men to impregnate 4s and 5s just to keep their genetics alive.

There will still be less attractive women that the alphas just have no interest in. So I'm not entirely convinced betas will die out. Though if they end up in reduced numbers (which appears to be the trend for populations... this will have political and economic consequences in the long run.)

On Leadership

3 upvotes · 22 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Because it is true most of the time. Behaving as a beta male will likely lead to social, romantic and sexual failure. Not always, extremely good looking men can act beta and still get laid. High status men can act beta and get laid. Total SMV is made up of a number of different factors and your behaviour is a big one... but that doesn't mean it can't be overcome if your status is high enough and you have enough money etc etc.

I don't believe it's possible to try to achieve something and then choose not to fail. All you can really do is just maximise your approach to things. Be pragmatic and use as many of the things which are within your control, then don't care if you fail because of things outside of your control. Remember: success is a lousy teacher. Failure gives you the chance to grow and address problems.

Even the most epic of alphas on here will get rejected by women sometimes. This doesn't make them beta. So failure does not equal beta. However, being beta means you're likely to be failing. It's a subtle difference, but yeah... as a result we tend to label negative actions leading to failure as simply "beta." Remember, it's the actions which we're labelling, not the failure itself. Keep an eye out for the idiots who label the failure as beta and not the actions.

Who am I?

3 upvotes · 22 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Make a plan to set out and get some quality male friends. Ones who typically agree with what you have to say on shit.

A golden example of social conformity

3 upvotes · 15 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> When my father died an old female friend from my childhood called to talk about it and lend an ear. You must be thinking how nice that was of her. No.
>
> No. No. She started calling a month and a half after he'd died. A month after the funeral and wanted me to call her back. Never at any point did she ask when would be a good time for me to speak.
>
> Lesson: bitch didn't care about my feelings. It was my dad who'd died and yet she'd still made it all about herself. She was calling on her terms in order to make herself feel good as being a nice person who lends an ear during a tough time. Fucking bitch.
>
> This bullshit is the same. Bitches and faggots pretending they care about shit to seem like they're nice people.

[FR] Plate's friend got violent with her boyfriend

3 upvotes · 8 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Relationship Market Place?
>
> Winner winner, chicken dinner.
>
> The RMP isn't discussed much on here these days. I am not so certain why... there is an excellent collection of LTR game posts from years back, but not so much anymore.

FR - Flying by the seat of my pants

3 upvotes · 6 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > You mean I don't have to approach
>
> Yes and no. You don't *need* to make a beeline for some chick on the other side of the bar. But at the same time, you can't not talk to the chick who's stood next to you at the bar that you find attractive. It's more about utilising circumstances for a more natural game rather than introvert vs extrovert. I think introverts need to break the habit of being an introvert.
>
> This FR was all about an opportunity presenting itself. An introvert probably wouldn't have been able to seize that opportunity because even though the chick spoke to them... they wouldn't take the lead and create the situation so they could close.

Friend the ECs to filter what you read.

3 upvotes · 6 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Why don't you learn how to filter your own stuff ?
>
> This is something to work towards as you learn more and fewer topics on TRP are of use to you. Then you'll need to filter through the sub itself.
>
> Until that point, newbies will definitely benefit from this practical approach to dealing with the signal/noise ratio issue and be able to use their time more effectively. We have a similar system in place for guys on their first day

> here - we call it the sidebar.

FR - Flying by the seat of my pants

3 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It was pseudo-serious with a smirk. Try to pick something true when you neg and she'll typically agree with it if she likes you. (as if to qualify herself to you and go, "oh yeah, I'm actually hotter than this.")
>
> Don't believe I've ever used a condom for a bj. Haven't caught anything in the past and I don't think all STDs can be transferred orally... those which can have visible symptoms like herpes. So just make sure her mouth doesn't look messed up. That's just off the top of my head though. I've never actually looked into it in depth. I remember reading something once where it's actually the person who's performing the bj who is more at risk of catching something. But yeah, I think it's so common without condoms because there isn't a serious disease risk. Any experts on this are welcome to weigh in.

Delusional & divorced single mom encourages riding th cock carousel

3 upvotes · 30 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > And after sex he should transform into chocolate.
>
> You mean to say you can't do that yet?

I stuck my dick, and then my whole hand, in crazy

3 upvotes · 27 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Da fuq??!!
>
> I mean, I knew there's guys out there who are so thirsty they'll tell a chick giving a really toothy blowjob that she's amazing at giving head... but biting your dick? Now I've heard everything.
>
> I think I'm ready to leave this planet now.

So superficial [Rant]

3 upvotes · 25 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > 6 months of lurking, and you didn't learn that women care about looks just as much as men do?
>
> However many months of lurking and you haven't learnt that women care about looks **more** than men do?
>
> It's not surprising given that they automatically place so much value on their own looks rather than trying to find other things to offer besides vagina. They can't get outside their own heads. Women are also excellent at running bullshit psychological warfare and redefining shit as they see fit and everybody believing them. The idea that men care more about looks than women is a load of shite. We'll fuck down by 3 points if we're desperate. A chick won't.
>
> The real difference is that a greater proportion of the decision men make is based upon the woman's looks. A lesser proportion of the woman's decision is based upon the man's looks. Things like status, money/resources and game all come into play in similarly equal measure. (Except status tends to be the trump.)
>
> Women have successfully equated this to "men are more shallow" when we're actually not. We won't disqualify for superficial reasons (well... not until we have standards anyway, now I do it all the time.) Women will always disqualify for the most superficial of reasons and then lie about it.

The "Fuck Boy" Phenomenon

3 upvotes · 25 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's just an extension of female rationalisation. She's fucking him because she's interested in him, realises she can't date him so she then pretends she was never interested in dating him in the first place. It's a bit like those chicks who "don't like muscles" - it's usually because they're a 5/10 or below and couldn't get a guy with muscles if they tried.
>
> Though if memory serves, doesn't the term fuckboy come from fucktoy? The latter objectifies a woman, and the former is used within the gay community when tops are talking about bottoms. Women always redefine shit for their own purposes. Check the redefining of sexism 10-15 years ago and the redefining of misogyny like 2 or 3 years ago. Trying to have a rational discussion with someone who not only moves the goal posts, but also changes the surface of the playing field at will is a complete waste of time.

Best response for "you're bossy"?

3 upvotes · 23 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

> I don't really consider this a shit test... more of a flirt with her enjoying your dominance. I'd just respond "you love it" in a cheeky/flirty tone and do whatever I felt like, kiss her, spank her playfully. You said you thought it was flirty, I'd go with your instincts on this one. Even if it is a shit test, the fact you're responding without taking it seriously/showing IDGAF, should be enough to pass.

Can't progress

3 upvotes · 23 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

> Yeah, it's called being human. If we allow ourselves, we will be lazy... because that is what is naturally best for us - conserving energy when there is nothing important to do like getting out there to hunt for food when we're hungry. Humans are naturally lazy.
>
> You've got to motivate yourself in the way that works for you. Some people imagine the consequences of failure. Others remind themselves that previous efforts are a waste of time if you don't remain consistent (that one tends to work for me.) Some will imagine the benefits and some will think about a big picture "if I do X today, it's the latest step on my journey to achieving said benefit." Others have found getting friends to share a journey of improvement prevents them from being lazy because it gives the idea of an obligation to their friend to turn up to the gym etc.
>
> Do some research on methods of motivation and find what works best for you, then remain consistent. It's natural to want to be lazy, but that's not a good enough reason to be lazy. It's just a natural road block that you **must** overcome through force of will. Motivating yourself well will help this.

Need some guidance

3 upvotes · 19 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I should simply tell you this belongs on askTRP...
>
> Yeah, let's not beat about the bush, at our height WE ARE PLAYING THE GAME WITH -1 TO OUR BASE SMV. Don't you like being the underdog? Don't you realise how much pleasure it's going to give you to outdo that 6ft tall runt? You need to own your height. Accept it. Your height is not deciding it... it's your attitude. INCLUDING your attitude towards your height. You double checked your posture?
>
> Even when I was a greater beta, women would tell me after talking to me for a bit "you're not really as intimidating as you seem." Remember that status trumps all - in those situations I not only had status, I had a significant aura about me that I didn't tolerate shit. Which I didn't. Try working on developing an intimidating masculine aura. Women figuratively melt in it... strong dominance at any height makes those panties so wet and

> their natural submissive nature kicks in.
>
> As for this bit:
>
> > I need your guidance so I don't fuck up and strike out again.
>
> Escalate more. Escalate with confidence and assertiveness. A few months ago, I was flirting with a girl back at her place and she tried mocking me as "the cuddly type really, underneath it all" and I stared at her and cocked an eyebrow until she started nervous laughing. I continued to stare like she was mad and waited for her to stop laughing. Only then did I smirk, grabbed her hand and took her upstairs. Banged her stupid.
>
> Keep in mind that most of the sexual dance occurs between the lines.

"Moral crusaders" and their behind-the-door hypocrisy

3 upvotes · 16 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is a reasonable tangent in my eyes. Though now seeing the logic, I would have linked it more to status.

Paul Elam's inability to run a conference unlike groups like NPI: Does Elam's inability to run a conference hurt the TRP movement by making it look inadequate?

3 upvotes · 16 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Elam does not help matters sometimes in my humble opinion.

If TRP prides itself on being amoral, why is it squeamish when it comes to actually extremely immoral things (rape, bullying, etc)?

3 upvotes · 16 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > You are seriously mad because red pill doesn't believe in raping women.
>
> It would certainly make his condemnation of Red Pill guys easier.
>
> Oh hell! Let's just pretend they love raping women anyway.

Hesitated asking a girls number because she's engaged to a fireman

3 upvotes · 15 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

> > This time... I just pictured this bimbo wrecking a firefighter's life... I didn't feel guilty, so much as just disapointed, or sad.
>
> The specific made it real. You empathised. It's called being human. Most guys getting cheated on are not AFCs. They're decent guys who became beta through failing shit tests and not being dominant enough because they've been brainwashed by society. If that's an AFC to you and you think they're deserving of what they get, remember that they didn't get the opportunity to wake up like you did. That's probably why you feel a bit sad about it... you've finally got a glimpse of the average guy in an LTR with an attractive woman. (Attractive women at their peak SMV don't LTR unattractive men. Why the fuck would they?)

Self-control is vital: Nature has not adapted to modern society

3 upvotes · 14 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > how to learn to stay a man with a functional brain around attractive women ?

Remember they're human. They shit, fart, have snot in their noses. You could always try reminding yourself in the moment that these women do all the usual unattractive things which are a part of life. Have you never seen a lass without her makeup on?

As for advice on how to become more comfortable around attractive women. Go talk to them without sex or game being the goal. You'll probably get bored very quickly because the more attractive a woman is... the less she's had to do to get people to like her, so it's likely she's going to be extremely vapid and boring. That's not always the case, it's just pretty common. Getting your brain used to you being around attractive women should do the trick to give you a bit more control.

Self-control is vital: Nature has not adapted to modern society

3 upvotes · 14 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

This post is the tip on self-control. Identify your nature and be self-aware of it so that you won't allow those damaging emotions to take over. Take the RPW and how they deal with their nature of wanting to shit test - they think before they speak and something as simple as this stops them saying stupid shit. You can do exactly the same.

You can't "not be affected" by it. The emotion itself is the effect. Besides, you don't want to be biologically "not affected" anyway because then you don't get the boners anymore! You kinda need that for a successful sexual strategy.

There is no ideal solution. "Focus" as you say is one way. Slowing down is also a good way to deal with emotions. Don't act rashly. (It's also good advice for game. Don't respond just because you feel the urge to. Stop and think before you speak. Silence isn't a bad thing if you're comfortable. It's even a useful tool.) Think before you act. When you feel an emotion, think about whether it is productive or unproductive to follow it.

First time I denied a sexual advance

3 upvotes · 14 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> while she is in the process of making amends she tries to take off my shirt and says "if we do this I want you to be committed to me".

Sounds like you've been spending quite a bit of time in her frame if she's this confident about manipulating you so brazenly.

And yeah, the fact she denied you 10 times shows she uses sex as a weapon and a tool of manipulation. Such women are to be absolutely 100% avoided under all circumstances. This is precisely the type of woman who will make a false rape claim if you have sex with her and then don't do what she wants. Sex is a tool for her and by having sex then doing whatever you like, it proves her tool is broken. Since that's a major part of her control of the world, she's likely to be angry and lash out... easiest way? Claim rape. This is similar to the thought process causing a lot of regret rape claims. "I had agreed sex expecting X and I got Y. If I knew I'd get Y, I'd never have agreed to sex. Therefore I couldn't have agreed to sex. It must have been rape."

Suggestion For Mods - 'Specialist Contributers'

3 upvotes · 12 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

So much this. There is a lot that is tangentially related to sexual strategy, but the subject will have its own internal discussion as a subject in its own right. That separate discussion doesn't make the topic less of a tangential subject to sexual strategy. It is merely that subject itself is not specifically or exclusively sexual strategy.

It is a subtle point but it is the explanation of why a non-sexual strategy topic can be considered good discussion

> in an area dedicated to sexual strategy discussion.

Suggestion For Mods - 'Specialist Contributers'

3 upvotes · 12 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Ahh pederasty in action. Haha!
>
> In all seriousness though, while creating specialisations you'll potentially overcomplicate the sub. I think most people are smart enough to pick up on when someone knows what they're talking about after reading their piece.
>
> And if you're not then it's a skill you need to develop.

Finally got laid a couple of times. Is it just me or do girls bodies look better in clothes?

3 upvotes · 10 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

> I feel sorry for girls who look better with their clothes on. But trust me, there are many out there who look better without.
>
> The next complaint you'll have is why are so many chicks shit at blowjobs despite believing they're awesome? Why do so many chicks need to be taught how to ride a dick properly and actually need telling to try to avoid snapping it? These questions will really boggle your mind when they're posed about sluts with double digit partner count.

"In praise of the beta male"

3 upvotes · 10 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I ofcourse am trying not to laugh.
>
> Roided hamster is no laughing matter. He's so deformed that he looks more like a squirrel to me.

The Red Pill vs. Angry Society: A Philosophical and Logical Disparity

3 upvotes · 9 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> A solid understanding of all the basics. You're going to go far. Now take the next step and plan what you're going to apply and how, based upon what you want out of life and society.

Western men are forgetting how much they suck, too

3 upvotes · 9 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > laced with more cynicism than a high school goths views of the world.
>
> Thank you for a tremendously loud laugh. Unfortunately...
>
> > And the best part is you call this insight.
>
> That just countered it and made me sad. Pointing out that both men and women have dropped in standards is about as insightful as most football pundits are.

"Oh you poor thing, you don't even have 10 likes!"

3 upvotes · 8 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Because he took it seriously. Shit tests are not serious. Hence why all possible successful responses are not serious. They do not merit a serious response. This is sidebar stuff... why are you asking this? Go do your

> reading!

Female doctor OD's on cocaine during "girls night out"

3 upvotes · 7 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's impossible to find a reasonable explanation for this topless chick. Particularly when the article goes on to describe her thus
>
> > Cerveny — who was found with her panties in her purse

on female hamstering and your indifference

3 upvotes · 2 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Argumentation is one of the best ways to achieve intellectual growth. However 95% of people, male and female, can't argue for shit. The only way to deal with this is to disengage when you realise there's nothing to gain from the discussion.

A quick word about Smugposting and our friend Chad

3 upvotes · 2 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is the same reason I advocate calibrating your game to your own personality. There is a natural alpha inside most men, they just need to find him.
>
> For me, Chad is just the natural alpha of today. Said alpha does need big muscles, so Chad is muscular. Said alpha doesn't need high intelligence, so Chad is not intelligent. And so on. It's just a useful comparison point for us. Not something to have a great debate over.

Q4BP: Attempted to calmly explain TRP to someone working in mental health advocacy on another site; told me I need intensive therapy. Why is the dehumanisation of women (indeed people) so threatening to you, why can you not accept our general behavioural model for all people?

3 upvotes · 20 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > "Forget dating, get better, go to therapy to get rid of toxic beliefs like 'women only date men of high status' then you can go back to it"
>
> Ironically, this is similar advice to what RP would give. If something like bad posture is holding you back when you're out in the field... go fix that first. It's why Monk Mode is a typical first move for the majority of men who join TRP.
>
> Also, don't try to convince the plugged in. Denial is the first stage... you clearly have more important things to do bro.

Losing interest in the reward.

3 upvotes · 19 September 2015 · /r/askTRP

> It sounds like you're reaching the end of the bargaining phase. You've moved quickly. Typically bargaining is "well if I apply TRP, I can sleep with some hot chicks. That's good, right?"
>
> The problem, is that it is as shallow as it seems. Having been promised so much more from your BP days, it doesn't really match up and you're just not getting enough out of it anymore. I'd advise doing a bit of reading on the depression phase so that you're ready to move into acceptance as soon as you can.

Young TRPers & The Masculine Facade

3 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> No, this is an attempt to explain the bizarre shallow behaviour of women. In the same vein, this is why pretty-boi game works. She looks at him and sees very high SMV and leaps to get into bed with him. It is only later when she's evaluated his behaviour and his responses to shit tests that her feelings change.
>
> Self-improvement creates your base SMV. If you're lucky enough to have good genetics then you could potentially be a 9/10 on your base SMV. For the rest of us though... we'll only ever reach 6 or 7. The difference is that's enough to get a woman to pay attention to you because you're in the top 20%. From there, good game will boost your SMV higher as you demonstrate you "just get it" when she deploys her evaluation system (e.g. shit tests.)
>
> This post gets at the distinction between final SMV and your base or initial SMV. If I was to add an addendum to it... it would be a piece on how game works so well.

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

3 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> It's not that simplistic. To help these depressed men come back, we need adopt a certain tone in encouraging them to own their mistakes.
>
> TRP is here to help men. You want to help women? We've got that over on /r/RedPillWomen and I think if a single mother showed up there for help and advice... she's likely to get a similar welcome to the one that a divorced man gets on TRP. An honest statement that you've fucked up so far and there are consequences to your actions. Then an honest assessment about how to improve and try to get what you want out of the remainder of your life.

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

3 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> What is it with you and your desperate attempts to disown all blame for this with women. I've already said somewhere else in this discussion that the men who do that are pretty crappy people. **This does not** exempt the women who made these poor choices from their share of the blame.

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

3 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > I thought TRP was anti marriage because that means that eventually your wife will age and that's disgusting.
>
> We're anti-marriage because the legal system means she press a button at any time for no real reason to take half our shit.
>
> > "Women are only good for sex."
>
> This comes up frequently because of the quality of the modern woman is extremely low. The level of entitlement and unwillingness to put in minute effort makes many of them utterly worthless human beings. Like honestly... she's proud of her own laziness and/or incompetence when it comes to a basic life skill just because of some historical gender roles.

> offers zero if she's a good person.

This is an issue of what she brings to a relationship. And unfortunately that's too big of a topic to get into this late. I'll try and remember to pop back and answer it properly tomorrow.

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

3 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> We do blame them. Then we offer them the chance to work at themselves and understand their mistakes. I've made a more detailed post above with a reasonable amount of detail on the specifics.

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

3 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Disliking injustice does not require the benefactor to be a bad person. In fact it's irrelevant. To be more specific TRP vilifies single mothers because they've usually fucked up in some sort of way and require somebody else to bail them out for their own poor behaviour.
>
> We do blame the husband who gets divorced. One of the first things these guys do is get book suggestions like No More Mr Nice Guy to read so that they can understand their mistakes, own them and then sort their life out. They don't tend to be vilified because they own this mistake in time and on top of this... even if they didn't, it wouldn't affect me.
>
> However the behaviour of single mothers does end up affecting me. My taxes are spent on them. They disproportionately raise more criminal children which affects society (indirectly affecting me.) There's also a whole bunch of other situations such as sperm jacking where the behaviour of women intending to become single mothers is forcing me to change my own behaviour to accommodate for potential risks. Simply put, it's not a double standard. There are reasons we've adopted the viewpoints we have.

[FR] Gone for two months. I guess the ex misses the sex.

3 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The essence of good attitude towards frame is that you've decided what you feel like doing and she gets to tag along. If you want to see a movie, you can take a plate. I'm not addressing this specific situation with this guy because it's more complicated, but a strong alpha with good frame can do whatever he feels like. When the attitude and aura radiate from you, she knows the subtext is that she's lucky to get to go with you wherever you are.
>
> Work on attitude and stress less about choosing activities.
>
> Specifically covering this FR, the dude needs to think about precisely what he wants from this. Is this bitch going to be a full plate? Or should she really be treated as a side plate? Personally I'd treat her as a side plate because of the danger of catching feelings for her.

Are white women who sleep with/date black men "contaminated" or damaged in some way?

3 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> We instinctively don't want them anymore once they've done that.

That's the thing... a lot of RP theory simply supports what men instinctively feel/know. We were given our sexual instincts for a reason. We just don't fully understand it. Which is in part why I created this thread because even

> TRP hasn't addressed this one... and I'd say they're unlikely to because it's not really all that relevant to sexual strategy. It's a bit of a tangent.

Are white women who sleep with/date black men "contaminated" or damaged in some way?

3 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> your response literally serves no purpose. You're actually wasting both our time,

CMV: A lot of TRPillers we see at the subreddit are fakes.

3 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I don't post FRs because I don't feel my experiences are special. They're mostly typical. One was so absurdly encompassing that I wrote it and had to take it down because it was loved by everyone on RP and BP then got a hold of it and upvoted it like crazy (meaning a chance of regular media grabbing it. Minor chance, sure... but the destruction if that happened by them investigating who I was would be absolute. Not worth it.)
>
> So I removed it and made my subsequent FR more vague. It still has value to men trying to learn... but I'm safe. That's the important thing.
>
> Oh... and there are more RP sociopaths than you'd think. Just from my own observations btw.... that's not a RP endorsed thing. Just me. Who is RP endorsed... so figure out what you will from that.

Can we agree on a standard rating system?

3 upvotes · 16 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > I think a lot of people in my last thread don't understand what I mean when I say 8.
>
> You rated a guy as an 8 didn't you? Seems easy enough for me to understand. Like all women, you have absurd standards for physical beauty.
>
> > The ratings correspond to nice numbers: 5-average 4-20th percentile, 6-80th percentile 3-5th percentile, 7-95th percentile 2-bottom 1%, 8-top 1%
>
> This is objectively drivel when it comes to male attractiveness. Women rating men's looks does not create a normal distribution. Circa 60% of men fall into the rating of 1-4 out of 10 when women rate them on looks. Circa 15% are above a 6. I'm more willing to trust the data from women's ratings when they thought nobody was looking, rather than what a woman will say publically.
>
> The men who walked into your thread and basically said you were rating a couple of blokes who were 9s or 10s as an 8... were being quite reasonable. You were also being quite reasonable since all women skew the curve left rather than actually following a normal distribution.
>
> If you are now talking about how men rate women... now that does follow a normal distribution and I think your system has discussion value... but guys have been discussing this for years already trying to standardise the system so we all know what we're talking about when we say HB7 or HB9.

TRP is suicidal at young ages.

3 upvotes · 15 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I think this is really more an issue of intelligence rather than RP knowledge. You'll eventually accept that the majority of the world is dumb and dumb people can't be convinced of things by rational means... and the only way to fix things is rationally. Shit ain't gonna be fixed.

Comfort Tests

3 upvotes · 15 September 2015 · /r/askTRP

> Most comfort tests are designed around her worry that you're not going to stick around. Usually the best response to that is physical. Simply show her that she is desired.
>
> Usually when she's asking for something it's a subtle shit test. However if you *want* to give her what she's asking for, then simply reframe the discussion. So that it moves from her asking for X to you deciding "nah, but I fancy Y and you can tag along." You give her an equivalent that meets her needs while maintaining the frame of the interaction and staying dominant. It's subtle, but useful. You can also turn it into an exchange where she is required to give you something first. This ensures you are one making the decisions on when she gets what she wants. Use your own instincts to ensure you're not over-indulging her and she's becoming too shit-testy.
>
> I'm sure you've seen all the statements that women are like children. They'll ask for some chocolate, if you make them finish their dinner first and let them have some chocolate... they're just going to ask for a new toy next. If they get that new toy, it won't be long until she asks for a pony. Decide how far you're willing to take things and remain firm... but never get her the pony!

So, I tried Tinder the other night.. bought new sheets the next day.

3 upvotes · 14 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > She bit and ripped the sheet apart for some reason..
>
> Hahaha! That's made my day bro! A lot of chicks love being restrained in bed in some way just to get that feeling they're not really in control of what's going on. If you're seeing her again, just tell her to invest in some fluffy handcuffs or something.

A top post in /r/theredpill right now is talking about the importance of self-respect. How does one develops self-respect without getting arrogant?

3 upvotes · 14 September 2015 · /r/askTRP

> In essence, avoid debasing yourself for women. Avoid doing things for them for free. Avoid doing things that you're not in control of - i.e. you chose to do it off your own back, rather than her asking/telling you to do it. Don't do anything which harms your own self-interest. Never sacrifice anything of yourself or your own for a piece of pussy ever again because you simply don't need to.
>
> Learn to just say no to her and not care. Or better yet, have a good laugh at her for asking in the first place.
>
> Arrogant isn't as bad as it sounds. I'm considered quite arrogant... yet in my social group, when I feel like leaving, all private conversations stop and everybody has to make a point to say bye to me and try to get a hi five or a handshake. That's an example of my own self-respect becoming a high level of respect from others. (They all need to pay their respects to the apex alpha in the group.) So don't be too concerned about being perceived as somewhat arrogant... so long as when you're accused of it you can have a good laugh at it and tell them they're overreacting.

If gender roles are biological, why do men need to be taught how to engage in courtship?

3 upvotes · 14 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Ah well. At least the explanation is there for anyone who agreed with your statement non-sarcastically.

Question for RP single men: if you want to get married, would you use Married Red Pill as a guide?

3 upvotes · 13 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Probably won't get married, but if I was contemplating a serious relationship I'd use some of the excellent LTR game series as a basis for things.

CMV: TRP is not just a sexual strategy , it promotes a certain political mindset.

3 upvotes · 13 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I wouldn't agree with this. One of my preferred ways of describing TRP (which I forget who actually said it first) is that "TRP is the radical idea that women are people too." This statement focuses on the way TRP thinks women should be held accountable for their fuck ups and shouldn't be given special privileges simply for being born women. This very ethos is liberal rather than conservative.
>
> I've actually seen a range of political viewpoints discussed in the Red Pill IRC... though the guys who shout the loudest do tend to be the to the right.

The Washington Post - "We should stop putting women in jail. For anything."

3 upvotes · 11 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> God, the Greens. I honestly don't understand how supposedly intelligent people give them the time of day.

"The Friendship/Oneitis Dilemma"

3 upvotes · 10 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Exceptional analysis, Sir. I'm particularly glad to see he's benefitted from it so quickly.
>
> Tearing yourself away from being an orbiter is probably the hardest thing a beta can do in his journey to become alpha. But her laid-bare responses in the update are fucking priceless.

Roles, respect and responsibility acceptance as an alpha trait

3 upvotes · 10 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Number one: Take a breath.
>
> Based upon your prose I would say that you're actually more worked up than you should be about this. As a courtesy, I've gone away and read through as much of the exchange as I could. There's certainly plenty of blame to go around and while I think he could have handled your behaviour better, it's your responsibility to manage yourself. Not his.
>
> One of the first iron rules of TRP is that the only thing you're in control of is you. You want to be sexually successful? Then **you** are the one who must change. You're the one who must improve and become the best version of yourself that you can be. It's nothing to do with you to see that the endorsed contributors behave to the best of their abilities or to evaluate their performance. Leave that to the mods and focus on the important thing: yourself.
>
> If you're looking for specifics about your own behaviour, I'll provide a couple now. Skip if you're not interested. I'll finish this with my educated guess on why you're acting like this and a little advice on how to help yourself.
>
> > So science is a lie? Do you know what the word calorie is derived from? Kcal? Do you understand the unit of energy in physics and chemistry? You sound like a real conspiracy theorist right now. Explain to me how they find out how much energy is in a food... Where do they come up with how many calories are in, say a handful of almonds?
>
> This reads as incredibly condescending and confrontational. It has no respect for the person it replies to and I believe this is the first reply you made to the ultimate cad. He has stated his position in a fairly blunt fashion

without any explanation... that's not great, sure... but it's nowhere near as confrontational as this.

Your other initial reply was:

> Unless you study science or have a degree in some type of science related to the body, please just stop now before you tell people false information. Don't be ignorant.

Again, confrontational, condescending and you even throw a veiled insult on the end. It's not useful if you're looking to learn and/or educate anyone on here.

Now since you mention that you've only been here a week or so, I'd say it's a reasonably good estimate to say you're in the anger phase. I remember going through that... you tend to lash out at people needlessly, all the directionless anger makes you much more aggressive than you'd normally be. You'll need to get that under control.

Personally I'd suggest taking a couple of weeks to just read rather than contributing. Specifically looking up resources on the anger phase can help. Then you'll need to try reading your own stuff back to yourself to see if you can objectively spot when your tone slips from serious to confrontational or condescending or even abusive. It's a nuance, but it's an important one. Lastly, but most importantly, you need to forgive yourself for letting your emotions get the better of you - accept that it happens and it is something you'll have to work on. There are posts and books on stoicism which I would recommend as a good way to combat this directly. It won't work overnight, but you seem like the type who will go away and work on something consistently for weeks.

On a more practical note to answer your question:

> So fucking crazy how he broke everyone of your rules here yet you're putting this out to the community instead of directly to your EC's to review first. Wow baffled. How can you expect those to lead the community in the correct direction if you don't start at the top?

The mods have enough work to do given the number of posts to the sub every day. They can do without having endorsed and vanguard posts submitted for approval. However you can tell that this posting has been given the thumbs up by the mods - they wouldn't have stickied it otherwise. However, just because something isn't stickied, doesn't mean it doesn't have great value. As you learn to be a dominant man and leader, you've got to make your own choices on what you want to follow. Which drill sergeants you rate and which ones you don't. The advantage is... your classes aren't set, you can switch anytime you like. Arguing with your drill sergeant is a waste of time and effort. Just change class to one you approve of - though if you find you're not approving of any, then perhaps you don't understand the pill as well as you think. There's an additional post on this sort of topic in my history on the Bruce Lee principle. I'm a big supporter of that.

I think I've covered everything here. But don't forget... I'm human and I miss things and make mistakes. Just ask for more explanation if you need it, but note that I'm unlikely to reply if you're condescending, confrontational, disrespectful or abusive. - Much like you can change class... I don't need any specific student in my class. Keep that in mind when you approach the endorsed guys, mods and the vanguard. They're not charging you for their time or advice, so it's a good idea to remember this and show that you appreciate it.

Roles, respect and responsibility acceptance as an alpha trait

3 upvotes · 10 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I agree with most of this. There's a responsibility to the leaders too - a bad leader leaves his troops in fear of speaking up when they have a good idea. BUT they can't afford to have their troops offer up these ideas in a disrespectful fashion. It's all about tone.

I always listen to the ideas. In a way I'd call it having your preferred drill sergeants taking your class. Except here, because it's down to you, you can pick your own preferred teacher. There's plenty of excellent teachers here on

> TRP.

Door holding

3 upvotes · 2 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Alternatively... hold the door open for anybody who is behind you and coming through because you believe you're doing this as a decent human being and not for them as much as for yourself.
>
> i.e. You've made your choice about the sort of person you want to be and you give no fucks what anyone else thinks about it.

Do women have sociopath traits?

3 upvotes · 29 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Then you should have said "women lack a sense of moral responsibility and social conscience." It's grossly irresponsible to suggest that's sociopathic... it is and it isn't - the lack of moral responsibility and social conscience tends to be a byproduct of the base lack of empathy and missing social tools that make their lives harder as a child. You should have a read of "confessions of a sociopath" by M.E. Thomas. Quite interesting, and for me it also shows that male and female sociopaths develop in different ways according to their gender strengths.

Attitude - It doesn't even matter if you're right

3 upvotes · 28 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Obviously you haven't been around very long. TRP by nature suffers an eternal September - specifically that the majority of members on the sub are in the anger phase. This causes an upvote of all basic stuff, particularly when endorsed contributors write a rehash of what they've said several hundred times already or when someone adds a good dose of vitriolic bile and rage into their post. (Naturally some smug bargaining phase guys will react with "you're so deep in the anger phase bro" - which really only demonstrates their own phase.)
>
> In turn, because the anger phase blinds them and they've yet to truly understand everything the pill has to offer, any post which tries to push the philosophy forward will be downvoted into oblivion no matter what the endorsed contributors say about it.
>
> Seeing this pattern, most Red Pill men will leave once they hit acceptance because there's nothing left for them to gain here. They may return now and again to remind themselves of the basics (and reviewing the basics is never wasted time) but ultimately the sort of debate and discussion needed to move the pill forward philosophically, will never happen. This will disappoint you, because the truth is - the sub has a finite amount of information for you to absorb.

From a blue piller, here's some things that bother me about the blue pill and the way it has discussions here.

3 upvotes · 25 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > Blue pill does not interpret red pill how they want to be interpreted.
> >
> > So?
>
> Because when you do that, you straw man. Thus it negates the entire purpose of debating the topic.

What's the point with women trying to debate TRPillers?

3 upvotes · 24 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Anyway , do you think that women can't debate rationally about their nature or that they just can't debate rationally in general ?

Answered that earlier.

> In my experience the majority of women I've spoken to are incapable of holding useful and rational debate on most topics. If we take that to female nature where there's neverending examples of women saying one thing then doing another... then I'd say it holds that the majority can't hold rational discussion on female nature.

It's necessary to be consistent in your words and actions to be able to make your words worth considering in a discussion on your own nature. Since they're not, the words are worthless. You can say X, but when you do Y... you're actively detracting from the discussion by adding X to the discussion instead of Y. Hopefully that doesn't detract too much by trying to reduce the essence of the point down.

What's the point with women trying to debate TRPillers?

3 upvotes · 24 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

So you believe that RP men take the generalisations from RP theory on women and overgeneralise it until they actively discriminate against women?

I'd disagree with that based upon the fact that women get replies on here. I've not seen a RP man on here simply state "shut up woman" or something similar. So they're not actively discriminating against female opinions simply because the person is female. They're just responding to the opinions... and since it's about female nature, it might seem more personal than it really is.

At worst, they're making the assumption that this woman is part of the majority until proven otherwise. (It's the only rational way to save time.) In which case they probably wouldn't bother to engage in discussion with them.

> They also believe that active discrimination starts from stereotypes and overgeneralizations.

Generalising without having any self-control or objectivity will lead to active discrimination. But I believe I pointed out earlier that I'm well aware my generalisation may not be 100% accurate for every last woman on the planet. So I'm not going to actively discriminate against women and say there's no way they could possibly debate their own nature rationally... (such a viewpoint basically flies in the face of the RPW sub's very existence, some can clearly comprehend it) but I'm satisfied that the majority can't.

Finally I'd restate what I said earlier:

> you're asking the wrong question... you should be asking whether your participation matters to you? Are you getting anything out of it?

What's the point with women trying to debate TRPillers?

3 upvotes · 24 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

Not really. In my experience the majority of women I've spoken to are incapable of holding useful and rational debate on most topics. If we take that to female nature where there's neverending examples of women saying one thing then doing another... then I'd say it holds that the majority can't hold rational discussion on female nature.

There's nothing wrong with generalisations you know. We actually use them as part of our psyche. Stereotypes not only have an obvious use to them, despite not being right 100% of the time. The problem is that society likes

> to tell us that stereotyping is wrong simply because of the minority of times when it is wrong. What they should be saying is to be more aware that your stereotype could potentially be wrong in an individual instance. Your question is based upon the assumption that generalising is bad... yet many generalisations can be "true in most cases" rather than just a gross oversimplification. I watched a very interesting documentary a few months back on this very topic that covered various stereotypical things that you couldn't say about someone's race... but statistically they were true in the majority of cases. It was quite eye opening. I'd had no idea just how far political correctness had been blighting our abilities to understand each other.

Why Do We Blame Women For Their Own Biology? (Slut Shaming and More)

3 upvotes · 23 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I love this response. An eloquent, logical explanation from a top-down socio-historical viewpoint.

Is it considered less creepy by society for really famous or high status men to sleep with 18 year old college girls?

3 upvotes · 22 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> No idea why this has been downvoted, I've seen this exact thing play out in my own life. In my mid twenties when I was a greater beta, I had an 18yr old girlfriend. My female colleague couldn't grasp it while my male boss told me I was his new hero.
>
> Flash forward to today... my late 20s plate is not remotely surprised I picked up an 18yr old almost 10 years my junior, or that her 17yr old friend started chasing me. Said plate is now open to arranging a threesome. It just depends how high your SMV is.
>
> Low to middle SMV - creepy/wrong because beta is not a sexual being. High SMV - pretty much as expected, can I have you too please sir?

redpillers: is it more important to you how you're percieved by women or how you're percieved by men?

3 upvotes · 20 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> That's the thing.... to be most attractive to women in terms of attitude... the most attractive attitude is to not care what women think of you.

The dangers of echo chambers

3 upvotes · 26 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > A statement like "there's a TRP consensus that all newbies should lift" is both encouraging and practically helpful. A statement "choose yourself whether you want to be selfish" isn't. Imagine if we told newbies "hey, some of us think you should lift, other people think you shouldn't. So do whatever."
>
> Ultimately, we are teaching men to be leaders. While they need to follow at first in order to learn... ultimately there must be a point where we release them to make their own choices. We help them raise their SMV, from there it's upto each individual what they do. They must become the leader of their own lives.
>
> I wrote a piece on morality a long time ago which was very negatively received by the majority. Some veterans made comments on it and viewed it positively as a sensible analysis of a complex topic. I eventually deleted it because I wasn't 100% happy with it and was beginning to run my own sort of moral experiments. TRP can provide you with information, but ultimately you need to decide how you're going to use and apply it.
>
> You finished your response with the suggestion that telling someone they're not thinking straight right now would be a good idea. I've been told I'm one of the deeper thinkers on here. I've also been told my attitude and

> understanding of it is excellent. Then I've also been told I'm deep in the anger phase. A couple of people have read my field reports and called me a psychopath. Which is it? The sub itself can't even make up its own mind as to whether I'm thinking straight or not. Therefore I wouldn't trust them. Listen to the veterans if you like, but ultimately TRP is just a bunch of men who've got together to discuss the realities of the situation without whiny feelings-first twats getting in the way. We compare experiences and swap advice, but we're all in control of ourselves and our own decisions. This is the way it should be if you're **leading** your own life.

Don't Be Embarrassed

3 upvotes · 24 June 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Hopping out of the way would have worked too. The actual win was not panicking. It wasn't a particularly good example, but the "don't get embarrassed/awkward, be stoic" advice is solid.

The Hierarchy of Love

3 upvotes · 2 June 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > He sees how women love their children, and upon making such an observation concludes that women are capable of great love. This is true, they are. But this is a love reserved for child, not man, and realistically it is a love that few men can ever hope to possess.
>
> What man can hope to attain such love? I don't believe even the Leonardo Dicrapios of the world could achieve this. I agree with the original analysis from Rollo that women are simply incapable of offering men this kind of unconditional love.

Testing Women for Motherhood

3 upvotes · 26 May 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Or Roosh's opposite examples are explained by the types of love, men love women, women love their children. Roosh is still her child, she loves him. One of the big things TRP tries to shout and scream in your ear is that you can not expect a woman to ever love you the way you can fall in love with them. Only your mother will love you. No woman will. Ever.
>
> I would extrapolate from this that testing a woman for motherly skills this way is a complete waste of time and energy. It isn't a test that will work.

For the Girl Writes What fan boys, Karen Straughan lays down her opinion of TRP

3 upvotes · 21 May 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This response! Yes!
>
> Holding your emotions in check and remaining objective is the key. The world is the way it is, the average man is not going to change it. Even great men struggle to change it despite having a huge impact. Approaching any of this from the perspective that you're going to react to the negatives rather than objectively analyse and **react to the analysis** is a recipe for the wrong mindset that will eventually fail you.
>
> It's a bit like talking pure red pill "women are inferior" in all social settings. You may be speaking truth, but you can't wear the truth like armour. You know the truth and you know the objective realities - the difference is political, always has been and always will be. Keep the nuance in mind when making your moves in life is obvious advice... yet so few men on here seem to be able to internalise this mentality in order to reconcile truth and reality with the political and social success strategies required for said reality.
>
> EDIT: I have no clue who is voting IM's post down, but seriously... do some more reading and deeper thinking.

Goodbye Redpill

3 upvotes · 19 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This pretty much proves he has not completed his journey yet. Venting is good... a closed mind is bad.

He didn't even stand a chance...

3 upvotes · 15 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Every now and again... there's a blue pill example so extreme that you just think this

Any of you ever had a problem being too much of a jerk/finding the balance?

3 upvotes · 31 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is true. Asshole comes in two forms from a female perspective. Assholes who are within their SMV reach, and assholes who are too far above them. Once a woman disqualifies herself as a social precaution, she has no choice but to declare the man to be an asshole who is just mean rather than admit that her value is far too low to be worthy of his time and attention.
>
> I had an evening recently where I watched two girls LOVE my asshole game and dominant behaviour for most of the night. However I played pure alpha all night with no comfort at all. When they eventually realised another girl was garnering more of my interest, they got very pissy about it and almost instantly changed from laughing, joking and touching me to angry harpies declaring me the worst scum of humanity. (One even began threatening me and then called me more of an asshole for ignoring her threats.)
>
> My behaviour hadn't changed. They were just shown first hand that they weren't good enough and with the level of entitlement shown by women today... it's not surprising that they acted like children throwing a tantrum when they didn't get what they wanted.

FR:Helping your friends, Beer for a rejection

3 upvotes · 27 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Technically male attractiveness is also affected by female hormones. A male face can be masculine or feminine. (Take a look a pretty boi in Hollywood like that guy in Twilight for an example of a very feminine male face.) A psych study I read (years back now) found that women who weren't in the ovulatory part of their cycle rated feminine male faces a fair bit more attractive. However during their ovulation phase, they rated masculine male faces *massively* more attractive.
>
> Our general standards of male aesthetics in wider society are based on other things like symmetry but because women are not in their ovulatory period more than they are, it's led to the wider success of the "pretty boi." During that time she rates guy A 2/10 and guy B 9/10. Then ovulation hits and "omg, I didn't realise his stubble was so hot" she now rates guy A 8/10 and guy B 6/10. It's all absurdly relative and interchangeable in a woman's mind. And this theory is before we even get into the idea of womens' perceptions of their own SMV etc etc since that's also very relevant to who she bangs.

Reminder: DO NOT TALK ABOUT THE RED PILL

3 upvotes · 13 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The standard politically correct response to the question "how are you able to just get girls like that?" is to shake your head a little as if you don't really know and then claim it's all just down to "confidence, self-belief and natural attractiveness I guess" A beta will swallow that right down and accept their place in the food chain beneath you. That said, it won't counteract any jealousy issues.

The need for solid knowledge over basic game should not be forgotten!

3 upvotes · 9 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Game is another tool in the box. Sometimes we seem to forget that on here. Just like the way you need to use a screwdriver on screws and a hammer on nails. Sure, you could use the hammer for both, but it's not sensible. It may even work, but that doesn't detract from the fact that a screwdriver is the better tool for that job. A wholistic approach to self-improvement is the key in my opinion. The advice on the sub gives you options for what tools you get and practice using. I'd rather have a toolbox that is filled so that I can approach more projects, as opposed to having a minimal toolbox that you can just about get by with.

My friend and his manipulative partner.

3 upvotes · 19 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Sometimes the hard decisions must be made. If a friend can't take the honest opinion of another friend without imploding then they are not really a worthwhile friend. Men resolve conflict while women complain about it. You can't have a strong male friendship with a woman who just happens to have male genitals. Good call bro, you're stronger as a result.

Alpha Widow Approaching The Wall Commits Suicide

3 upvotes · 11 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> And a lot of alcohol according to the article posted earlier today.

At University of Bath, the SU want a war on "Lad Culture".

3 upvotes · 10 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The retards in academia are multiplying... soon we'll be making no progress at all in most subjects.

Being short is a good thing

3 upvotes · 6 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> ...why care if it's a rationalisation? It's good analysis of a method of motivation for some of the brothers who didn't get the genetic advantage. This could be generalised across various things. I salute a brother who's finding an innovative way to motivate others.

There Are No Shortcuts: Thoughts on "Social Proof"

3 upvotes · 6 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I **love** the TL:DR at the end.
>
> This reminds me of a piece I've been considering writing on why women use things like social proof and status. It's part of their surrendering of agency in decision making. They can't decide a man's SMV for themselves, they rely upon external factors. His behaviour, his status, social proof, how hawt people think he is... anything to avoid agency.
>
> Many "short cuts" also involve the surrender of control. Men own their hard work. They take responsibility and don't pretend the world is going to do things for them. Great post.

Is it just me, or is everyone trying to understand alpha men, or become one?

3 upvotes · 4 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Deleted the old reply since you edited.
>
> I have no idea who has downvoted you. Whoever has. Uncool!
>
> I'm on board with those reasons. But the standard response to someone who is feeling a little... underwhelmed by the standard of the sub is to tell them to contribute more of what they'd like to see and not to whine about it. Men

> do, while women complain, ya know? With that not being your standard reaction, are you sure you don't have anything going on in your own life or your own journey which is making you feel a little low about things?
>
> The tone I inference (I'm a writer by profession, I've spent years studying how writing comes across, sue me) from your post was one of a man who was thoroughly fed up and looking for change. If you can objectively look at yourself and say everything is fine then great, the above advice about contributing what you want to see in the sub applies. If not, then perhaps this is food for thought. Either way, hope it has helped.

Advancing your personal attributes with deliberate action

3 upvotes · 27 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This. We must remember how much time we have (limited) and money (usually limited) and of course mental/emotional strength (usually pretty large, but eventually limited.) These limits are just biology and reality. Nothing to get upset about, but definitely something a reasonable man takes into account with his planning. Focus your strength on one or two areas at a time and you'll see significant gains and it will help to pull all the areas up much faster than trying to solve all at once.
>
> It also ties in with the tried and tested method of making small permanent changes to your life once every week or two. This tends to be far more successful in changing your life than the person who changes everything on one day.

On Debating and Discussion as a Man

3 upvotes · 25 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> If your target is simply to convince people to get behind you, then the ideal argument consists of 4 bits: principle, fact, causal logic and rhetoric. A strong argument like that will convince the vast majority of people. It's an interesting tangent and useful to people who are trying to become more Machiavellian.
>
> However, for the purposes of this topic, I wanted to focus on the type of discussion which will help the most members to self-improve. That's the most important thing to me.

"Murder is definitely not as much of a dealbreaker as being unattractive" [Girl on /r/Tinder knowingly matches with attractive murderer]

3 upvotes · 14 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'd agree, we shouldn't base things off it. What I was saying is that even in such an extreme example... what is stated by the Red Pill still holds true.

Why are creative types more attractive to women?

3 upvotes · 3 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is false. Some of the best artistic/creative people I know are hardcore blue pill, some are even the dreaded male feminists. I think what you're getting at is that artists tend to be more introspective than a salaryman... but whether they're intelligent enough to find any truth is a different issue entirely.

[PSA] I'm Adding Bro-Knighting To My List Of Bannable Offenses

3 upvotes · 26 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Nobody is saying you cannot apply your own personal morality. Where the transgression comes is when you project your own moral applications onto others, and expect them to conform to your morals.
> >
> > Projection of morals is exactly the root cause of Man-Shaming and Bro-Knighting.

If I was being picky I'd say Kantian morality would say that... but anyway... Projection is wrong and must be punished. However... How do you tell the difference? What morality can or can't be applied? Why should we even care? You've obviously taken my post seriously (I actually expected to get an insta-ban, but felt the point needed to be raised anyway.)

This:

> No, actually, it says:
>
> "Read the fucking sidebar post titled 'Sexual strategy is amoral' and stop projecting your own morals and values onto other men in your dealings in the sexual marketplace. If you run around here judging other men for their actions based on your own personal morality barometer, RPWT will ban you."
>
> All of the shit that GLO caught for his post was completely out of line. BPer after WK BPer lined up to scorn him for writing about fucking married women. They Man-Shamed and Bro-Knighted him and everyone else who came to explain WTF he was saying. It was a complete shit-show, and I won't stand for it.
>
> I'd rather have the contributions of one /u/GayLubeOil rather than 1000 thumb-sucking, whining, borderline BluePill dude-bros wagging their shame fingers and lecturing other men on what's moral and what isn't.

...is what's known as a disengagement. I think the subtle point I'm trying to make may have passed you. I agree with the sentiment. I disagree with the severity. Don't get me wrong, ban any fuckin' BPer who comes within a thousand miles of here. But there is no red pill morality yet.... BUT many of the most esteemed red pill members are philosophers (of a sort) who have come to conclusions as to what constitutes morality. And maybe this is actually a good watershed moment for the sub as a whole to begin addressing this issue? Because red pill has no moral stance... maybe we should be discussing if it ever should have one?

To me, this simple engagement of discussion points is a path through which the red pill needs to evolve next. Whether that comes in the next few months or the next few years.... it needs discussing. With or without the overly emotional fools.

[PSA] I'm Adding Bro-Knighting To My List Of Bannable Offenses

3 upvotes · 26 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

This is the weakest post I've ever seen by a mod.

There is a lack of separation between men having feelings and the note that men should strive to be in control of said feelings.

There is also a failing in terms of personal morality application. One can understand the world and still apply personal morality, so long as you can back it up reasonably. (This has never been discussed in TRP by anyone, so I'd be very interested to see where the big names pin their trousers so to speak.) In this particular case, the reasoning is oversimplified.

This post basically says "agree with dude causing bitch to cheat... ban hammer." or "disagree with dude causing bitch to cheat.... ban hammer." or as he put it...

> Man-Shaming, ("you shouldn't be fucking other guy's wife bro, that's not moral"), or Bro-Knighting ("That poor dude deserves to know his wife is a whore, you should tell him"), **will result in a righteous ban-hammer without prejudice.**

Ultimate truth that mod **probably** doesn't want to hear (in red pill tradition) - you need to get a hold of your own

> feels.

Useful skill sets

3 upvotes · 20 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> I've been to a couple of bars in Scotland which still have the old tap at the front of the bar so you can do your scotch and water yourself and avoid the barman fucking it up (mostly because the standard of bartending in this country is atrocious!)

2XX: We all basically disagree with TRP. Well, sort of...

3 upvotes · 20 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> It was more the latter part of that comment which I aimed my statement at. But hey, who am I to get in the way of a good nit-pick online? XD

Spoiled by AF/BB: a slight paradox

3 upvotes · 13 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> A Lucifer's Daughter would not survive long if it was obvious that she relished causing pain and manipulating men. Gotta keep deniability in mind.

How should a woman handle a guy in the anger phase

3 upvotes · 10 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> The truth of the matter is: A man must make the changes in his life for himself. Not just do them himself, but not do them for you or anyone else. It's got to be for him. From what you've described, it does not sound like he's making any positive changes. It sounds more like he's rudderless. Advice can be offered, but if he's reacting in the way you describe to your attempts to help, then you can't be expected to do any more.
>
> Normally, here we deal with anger by telling the guy to "man up" and sort his shit out. As simple as it sounds, we know it's bloody tough, but it's also the only sound advice. Get over yourself, calm the fuck down and stop acting like an emotional woman. You're a man. Act like one! Yes, this invalidates him. But you shouldn't be validating the wrong behaviour in the first place. If he doesn't like it, tell him quite simply that "I'm not validating your poor behaviour. Stop it!"
>
> That's the best I can offer. But the big problem for you, is that in sorting him out... you're kinda taking the dominant role in the relationship... which isn't really the best course of action for a strong and healthy long term relationship according the RP philosophy. Those are the breaks... do with it what you will. Personally I'd recommend cutting your losses and not wasting time trying to mould a low value man into a high value one. The gamble is just too great considering that the change you desire is completely out of your control.

Turning things around will take time... but time keeps marching no matter what you do

3 upvotes · 7 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> You have good points sir. But I stand by my choice. Huge oil tankers are more masculine!

Is depression beta?

3 upvotes · 2 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Depression is human. It is also a reinforcing condition. Depressive moods, reduced health and poor self image influenced by the depression make it harder to break free of said depression. These things make more things go wrong, make people like you less and reduce your fitness and health. Basically, to break free of depression, you have to fight both the depression itself, and yourself. A good test of whether you have truly earned an alpha status at the end of the long road.

> Depression is not beta. Wallowing in depression and allowing it to prevent you from achieving what you want to achieve is beta. Fight it!

Would you stay with a girl that gained weight from medication?

3 upvotes · 25 November 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Unicorns do not exist. If you insist on trying to make one, then feel free to hammer a spike into a horse. But if I were you, I'd pick a healthy horse for that. Not one which requires medication that swaps one type of bad health for another.

Andrew Tate isn't your enemy, and why you shouldn't blindly follow what other people say.

2 upvotes · 12 October 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> The whole Andrew Tate thing just reminds me of coach red pill. I recall seeing him recently cucking to Piers Morgan despite the fact Morgan was doing his usual utterly irrelevant nonsense. Dude lacks real strength of conviction.

Andrew Tate is not your friend

2 upvotes · 24 August 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm banned from a number of subs on reddit. Some for my participation here, others for calling child groomers the groomers that they are, using official govt training materials for safeguarding children to do it - but reddit doesn't like reality.
>
> Haven't made a post in years because I've got nothing else of substance to say.

Lies Women Want To Hear

2 upvotes · 28 July 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm not here to say what is right or wrong. As my point alludes to, it is both unnecessary and can potentially backfire very badly. When you are caught, it will also be seen as beta behaviour. Too many downsides, little in the way of upsides.

Just Discovered "Gymcel." Seriously considering giving up.

2 upvotes · 18 April 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> Oh, you mean the GLO fanboys?

NARS: The Real Epidemic

2 upvotes · 4 November 2021 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Truth only exists in mathematics
>
> Bollocks. Maths requires the assumption of the universal language. Everything rests on our perceptions. You want to argue for truth, argue it from the negative of relativism.

How to get rid of incel mentality

2 upvotes · 11 June 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Occasionally, words have a beauty all of their own. We'll see if the kid can figure out the hardest lesson he needs to learn coming here. That his preconceptions were wrong and he needs to accept he was an idiot and try things a different way for a bit.

How to get over caring about your LTR?

2 upvotes · 10 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Best of luck to you.

My life expirences don't match the redpill

2 upvotes · 1 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Well there you go, his life experiences do match TRP and he's just an idiot.

Would you ever LTR a near-wall woman in your 20's?

2 upvotes · 23 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> TL;DR. Answer to your title is absolutely not. There are no circumstances in which you're winning by LTRing a woman according the preferences of her sexual strategy and you are a moron for even considering it.

Asking height before a date?

2 upvotes · 23 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> "Taller than your tiny ass lol. See you at X." is the correct response.

What does “I’m not ready for a relationship” mean?

2 upvotes · 22 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Self-disqualification. Nothing more to see here. It's a social defence mechanism.

Signs, that she sees you as Alpha

2 upvotes · 15 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> > I didn't get the point with surrender to any jealously. There is also no further explanation, but I'm curious. Could you explain that through some examples, that might can happen?
>
> This sounds like it's referring to mate guarding. In order for a woman to cheat, she will need time alone with another man... however mate guarding such as pulling her away from other men is unattractive because it gives her all the power. It's no longer you making the decision to be with her, but her making the decision to be with you.
>
> The red pill solution to this conundrum of a woman is to basically force her hand in the behaviour she chooses for herself. Telling her simply, "you are free to do whatever you like, but my girlfriend does not go to private dinners with other men at their home." Implying that she is free to choose that, but that you'll break up with her if she does. It essentially sets ground rules for the behaviour you expect of her in the relationship. She may gain your commitment, but it is not without cost to her own sexual strategy. Everything to do with LTRs is a bit of a trade off to balance things. If you're just spinning plates, life is easier but the downside is you need to replace plates from time to time as a result.

Good indicators to know your LTR is cheating.

2 upvotes · 14 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> You pick up her phone for whatever other reason and look through. Same for laptops, go on to do something else originally. See if she reacts or not. If she doesn't, feel free to open stuff like email/texts etc. She shouldn't really give a toss if she's not messing about.
>
> This should not appear like you purposefully trying to hold her away from men... you're doing something else and if you don't have her password, you ask "what's the password" If she asks why "I need to get on here for X and

> cba to find mine. Tell me the password." If you get pushback then there's something going on.
>
> If you are unable to access any way to verify, you haven't vetted properly before starting a relationship. You dug your own hole bro. Only way out now is to next and move on with your life. Honestly if you're in an LTR I shouldn't need to tell you ANY of this. You should have vetted properly and established the correct dynamic in the first place so none of this even comes up as an issue.

How do i help my incel friend

2 upvotes · 3 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> You don't.

Girl says "I can't wait until we go out" the day before she posts "date night" on her story with another guy. Hard next?

2 upvotes · 27 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> They are not spinning plates. They are the plate of multiple men.

Girl says "I can't wait until we go out" the day before she posts "date night" on her story with another guy. Hard next?

2 upvotes · 27 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Do you have the link to that? I will probably have to bring it up with the rest of the team. This sort of thing is among a number of reasons I have no further respect for Rollo. I've challenged him on his failures of logic before and he had no comeback. I've said many times, his first year is worth reading, bits of the second, sure... beyond that disregard it as he's just milking it for monetary gain at that point and has nothing profound or insightful left to say.
>
> Men and women are playing two different games, by two different sets of rules, for different objectives and with different success/failure measures. They are not comparable. An orbiter is not equivalent to a plate. An orbiter is getting nothing and hoping to throw away their committment, a plate is giving sex and hoping to gain committment. These are two completely different things in the separate contexts of male and female sexual strategy. One is a loser, the other is attempting a less than optimised sexual strategy that has been proven to work that causes her psychological harm.
>
> This is not all just the same shit. This is exactly why we get so many dumb questions on AskTRP, because the fundamentals of theories are being misrepresented, misinterpreted and it's being perpetuated by further misinformation.

Girl says "I can't wait until we go out" the day before she posts "date night" on her story with another guy. Hard next?

2 upvotes · 27 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> > She's spinning plates
>
> Women cannot "spin plates." It does not fit with the sexual strategy. Use the language correctly or you start to confuse things and suggest parity between male and female sexual strategies. Male and female sexual strategies are non-comparable.

Do girls value height or looks more?

2 upvotes · 23 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Depends on their day of the month. Evidence suggests women will go for more feminine looking men most of the

> time, but then for roughly a week around the ovulation time of their cycle, they'll take a particular interest in more masculine looking men. Height is just a consistent +2 to your base SMV from what I've observed.

LTR going to bars with her friends

2 upvotes · 12 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Have you read the LTR guide?
>
> Former party girl, red flag. This latest reply of yours says her friends are calling her boring for going home after reasonable social behaviour, bad influence friends, red flag. How long did you vet her for? How long was she spinning as a plate and how did the relationship formally begin? Did you tell her she can't go out for dinner and drinks with this group of girls? If you've set a boundary, it must be enforced and respected by her. Any open defiance gets one non-subtle warning and then you walk.
>
> With two pretty big red flags, I don't think I'd have ever even considered her LTR material. She'd need to have literally ALL the green flags. But you're in now, if you have to walk away then you walk away. However when you walk away, this is admission of failure. The goal of an LTR is not to have sex. You can do that by spinning plates. The goal of an LTR is to lead a successful and fulfilling LTR.
>
> I constantly find newbies on here starting LTRs when they have no experience with women. It's like they want to fuck their lives up. If you require experience with women, spin plates and learn with women that don't matter if you fuck it up. If this is you, dump her or demote her and go spin plates.
>
> That's the best I can offer right now with the limited information.

Millennials & Younger Generations Are Quickly Dividing Into Two Separate Species!

2 upvotes · 9 March 2021 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I don’t think it’s dumb or naive at all to conclude that the waves our mind produces on a regular basis have immense power and can be channeled and directed towards getting what we want in life
>
> This would be the strong take-home from this piece imho.

How should i approach TRP?

2 upvotes · 10 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> You should approach it as a man approaches life. You read all you can, you adapt what you need and you tailor it to your life, getting the tools you need to identify and solve your own problems. You become responsible for yourself.
>
> I wrote a piece many moons ago on the Bruce Lee principle (essentially taking a quote of his as a useful principle to use as part of TRP.)
>
> The kind folks at the top have also labelled some posters as Endorsed, Senior and Vanguard. These are people who been vetted by the folks at the top and seen to have consistently "got it right" in all the areas they've discussed. When you see the label, you can take what they say seriously and if you see them disagreeing with someone else, you've got a strong chance that the one with the label is giving the more valuable advice.
>
> As you say though, Rollo gets criticism. He gets criticism from those with endorsement. Though most are in agreement that his first year of posts are worth reading. YMMV with further posts. I recall reading his posts on the depression phase and found it utterly asinine, with zero practical value.
>
> My advice is to read widely, find the teachers who write in a way that fits with your own personal style and go through their stuff in detail. There's a wide variety of endorsed guys around to pick from. Top posts of all time on the TRP sub will generally give you the most popular endorsed folks.

Promoted plate to LTR, and she's gotten very clingy

2 upvotes · 4 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> One broke, and I decided to LTR one after dating for 3 months.

Too short a vetting time and sounds like feels influenced your decision here. Not a good start.

> Immediately, she started to get clingy.

Women bond fast. Nothing to see here. Remain aloof and sensible.

> Initially I would see her 1-2 times a week, now she's asking almost every night to come over and cook.

A bit fast, but have you issued instructions or set boundaries?

> She went and bought me some kitchen utensils and started cleaning ravenously without telling me.

Again, not necessarily bad, but have you issued instructions and set boundaries? Have you stated the progression you will accept when she oversteps it? The great thing about speaking womanese and subtextually is that it if you are good at these, using straight talk becomes a weapon in itself which has it's own subtextual meaning - i.e. "your subtextual understanding is so poor that I've got to spell this out for your socially retarded ass."

> I did laundry and found a shirt of hers and gave it back. She said, "oh I pretty much live here now", to which I responded "That's cute you think that."

Again fine... but it sounds like you're dealing with a plate and not an LTR. There's no leadership. Which isn't needed for plates, it's useful because it'll make them last longer... but not essential. In an LTR... it's essential.

> but I can feel myself being sucked into her frame by her doing this,

I'd agree with this. You appear to be failing to lead the relationship and captain the ship. As a result, your first mate appears to making all the decisions based on what she reckons is best while the captain is messing around below decks drinking. He may retain the authority of his position... but the boat/relationship is going all over the place. It's only a matter of time before it starts hitting some rocks - and when that happens, it's the captain's fault.

Essentially you appear to be starting LTRs without being ready or properly skilled to have a successful LTR.

Why did RP'ed guys started rejecting and criticizing " She's not yours, it's just your turn"?

2 upvotes · 3 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Has Rollo himself endorsed this view?

How much time do you see a girl for before you go exclusive or move into something serious?

2 upvotes · 28 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Absolute minimum 6 months if vetting for an LTR. How long have you been spinning plates and how many plates have you landed? How many are in your current rotation?

Girl at work

2 upvotes · 25 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Maybe. Depends if your industry means you might end up working with her again in the future or not. If there's a chance you could, then you don't do it.

> What you should find concerning here is that you're dedicating an awful lot of your thinking time to a single chick who is in a relationship. Reflect on that.

Girl I hooked up with lied about having a BF, why do I care so much?

2 upvotes · 25 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Maybe you're coming to terms with the fact you appear to have stuck your dick in crazy without realising the crazy? Read all of her bizarre behaviour back to yourself and tell me this is a sane and sensible woman you've been dealing with.

How to escalate with potential LTR on 1st date after strict lockdown?

2 upvotes · 25 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> "1st date" and "potential LTR" being used in the same sentence demonstrate you are not ready for an LTR.
>
> > I want the escalation to end up in the bed this time.
>
> This is a statement of a low experience guy. Do not attempt an LTR. Spin plates until you gain more experience. LTRs get vetted, on the first date they were always a potential plate and nothing more. It's through time together that she shows herself as more and demonstrates the behaviours of a woman worthy of a relationship with you.

Reading non-sex psychology stuff makes me confused

2 upvotes · 25 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Those sound like self-help books rather than psychology books. Psychology books are dry scientific affairs with theories of human behaviour and discussions of evidence around testing those theories.

Exactly how mainstream are Red Pill concepts? Just saw a 2xchromosomes post about a girl's experience with Trp hit the front page.

2 upvotes · 24 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Had a flick through that too. That XKCD cartoon they did seems to agree with the incel view of the world. It made me laugh (not at the supposed joke), but at the fact they're so stupid that in attempting to mock us, they accidentally take a position that only makes sense if your attractiveness is already set and no action of your own can ever change it no matter how you try. The exact complaint of the incel.

She takes off her clothes and lays on the bed like a bodybag. The f am I supposed to do?

2 upvotes · 22 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Unfortunately, many modern women need training on how to have sex, how to give a decent blowjob etc. You will come across this frequently and need to put in further effort training her. It becomes tiresome and when you come across a woman who isn't just enthusiastic but also actually has an idea what she's doing... life just feels that little bit better. I think Uncle V did a piece ages ago on training chicks in the bedroom. Might be relevant, I don't know. Ultimately you should already have a good idea what you want her to know and do. You can be pretty straight-talky for stuff like this. No need to worry about power talk dynamics or anything like that. She's usually grateful for the direction.

What's your opinion on double first dates

2 upvotes · 17 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Makes it difficult to isolate. So you're basically guaranteeing a stronger ASD along with adding logistical problems you don't need. I don't see any benefit to this.

Why do all women hate their ex'es?

2 upvotes · 16 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> She's not yours. It's just your turn. Enjoy your turn, then move on.

Friend’s girlfriend is flipping the script

2 upvotes · 16 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Right, so you have a chat with him individually, or you take the initiative and organise some plans of your own. Setting up stuff in your own house typically gives you a sort of host privilege. If they then ask why him and his gf weren't invited, you can openly tell them you're not interested in stirring the drama and you're sick of her spreading lies about you so you're keeping a sensible distance.

Ignore LTR past if everything else is good?

2 upvotes · 6 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> It's like you've never done your basic reading on the epiphany phase. What is the point in you being here? None of this requires a question. It's all covered in basic reading for the hypergamous mating cycle for women who fail to land a true natural alpha and make him commit. Welcome to beta bucks land where the good sex will disappear the instant she's got that serious commitment i.e. marriage or child.
>
> Keep spinning her as a plate and pursue other options until she eventually breaks. Refer to HSP's guide for managing bitches on the sidebar.

How to handle feminism ?

2 upvotes · 23 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Amused mastery or nod head and hard next. It depends on whether you're trying to fuck the chick, screen for an LTR or just pass the time with a chick who's at work.

How do you admit your girlfriend is right and apologize without losing frame?

2 upvotes · 17 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Rollo's early posts are worth reading. First and maybe second year "best-of" stuff. He's mostly been posting worthless shit for the past few years.

How to handle a flakey women?

2 upvotes · 17 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> If a chick turns up late, you ask her what she's going to do to make it up to you. If she hesitates, you tell her what she's going to do. Nothing too heavy, buying drinks or dinner or whatever. If she puts up any resistance to this, hard next.
>
> If a chick flakes, you can do the same thing at your own discretion the first time. Again, any resistance is a hard next. Any further plans being changed or cancelled must have her giving you good warning, (e.g. 24hrs or start of the day so you're not wasting your time) or it's a hard next. She should be actively trying to rearrange said plans otherwise again it's a hard next. She should also be offering to make it up to you at this stage, otherwise, yet again, a hard next.
>
> What I'm reading in this post, is a woman who isn't particularly interested in you, but is using your interest to validate herself and feel attractive. The moment you get a hint of this sort of behaviour, you guessed it! It's a Hard. Next.
>
> The fact she's never initiating convos after you've been chatting for a month is pretty big sign telling you that she's not seriously attracted to you. Go and up your SMV and work on your abundance mentality. You're putting

> way too much stock in a single chick who isn't responding appropriately to you.

Should I wait for my girlfriend to call me after we had an argument

2 upvotes · 10 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> "Hypocrisy is the practice of engaging in the same behavior or activity for which one criticizes another"
>
> Then:
>
> > but his specific behavior to a females.
>
> Men and women are not comparable. You cannot directly compare male behaviour with female behaviour as the contexts are irrevocably different. We play two different games, with different goals and different rules. It is impossible to be hypocritical under that framework. Your statement on his hypocrisy is impossible if you have adopted red pill thinking. The red pill is a way of viewing the world, not a defense mechanism or some kind of manipulative whatever. It is a way of thinking, a lens through which things can be viewed to try and get closer to the truth of reality within the topic of competing sexual strategies.

Any good reads on how to segway a medium-long term, long-distance plate to open relationship?

2 upvotes · 6 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Plate - A woman who has sex with you without getting an exclusive commitment from you.
>
> Long distance - An interaction that does not involve any physical contact due to the physical distance involved. Typically long-distance relationship (LDR) a situation where the man has given commitment without sex. The opposite of spinning plates and purest blue pill.
>
> Long distance plate - A contradiction in terms.

What makes girls fall in love?

2 upvotes · 27 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> "Hey guys, I'm super alpha. Whut make da gurls fall in luv wit me? I got everything you all said and I read everything, but girls no like me after I spoke to them twice." This mocking paraphrase of your position should wake you up as to how dumb you sound when you present a common problem from early stages of swallowing the pill and behave as though you've completed your red pill journey. You are not the first guy to have done this. Luckily I have a little bit of spare time to do a bit more detail on this despite the fact it's all clear and basic sidebar stuff.
>
> Perceived. Value. SMV is not objective. This is the entire reason game works.
>
> Either you have low value. Or your value is perceived to be low/lower than other guys they have access to. This happens with individual girls sometimes and it's nothing to lose sleep over.
>
> This is not complicated. Given the amount of complete rubbish we deal with on AskTRP all the time, is it more likely that you are a top 5% guy with an incredibly rare and incredibly unlikely set of circumstances that requires a very detailed analysis to discern what bizarre anomaly is affecting your success..... or are you just a normal guy who has his assessment of where he is and his self-assigned SMV wrong? I know where I'd put my money.
>
> > But the majority of girls seems to lose interest in me over time rather quickly.
>
> This is a common complaint. It's why I'm treating you like a common case, because you are. The two common reasons for this are that your SMV is lower than you think it is and girls realise this after they've taken more than a passing glance. Or you have some strong beta tendencies that women pick up on once you've spoken to them

> for a little while. (This in turn lowers your SMV in their eyes.) Texting only for logistics may fix this and help your conversion ratio... but it won't fix the underlying problem.
>
> Hell, I could simply look at the fact that this is affecting you enough to need to post questions on it.... perhaps you're giving off a thirsty vibe subconsciously? You talk about your friend getting clingy girls as though this is something desirable. I couldn't think of anything more annoying than a clingy plate. Don't you see how something like that tells me about where your subconscious is? It still holds a woman's attention as high value. Why would her attention be valuable if you are the prize?
>
> The upside to this is that some of the superficial stuff you've been doing is working since women are taking a second look at you. The downside is you've likely still got a way to go in terms of attitude. My TRP Field Toolkit deals with this area for faking it until you make it. You'll find it on the sidebar here. Women are good at reading people. You say you've made it, but women seem to be finding you out over time. Logically, you'll still be in the fake it until you make it phase. Focus on passing this phase. Search for the literature on it among the TRP sub.
>
> The only other explanation would be that we are talking at crossed-purposes on the topic of how women love. Which again, is a sidebar issue because how men love and how women love is different and is very early reading. If you want any further advice then I expect you to come back with specifics and how these differ from the common sidebar stuff to demonstrate to me that you have read and understood the common things before you try to take things down the much more unlikely paths.

What makes girls fall in love?

2 upvotes · 26 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> This is a sidebar level question and you should be banned for asking it. Nobody is here to do the work for you. Go and do your reading. Taking part in AskTRP assumes you've at least read and understood the sidebar, which you clearly have not. This laziness and seeking to have your problems solved for you is why you are low value.

So swallowing the red pill means just being non needy over women and improving your smv and learning game, that's it?

2 upvotes · 14 August 2020 · /r/askTRP

> In the same way that becoming a brain surgeon is just getting a medical degree, learning some brain anatomy and training in surgery. Plus there's a bit more to the pill than that, but I doubt you're ready for that nuance yet.

‘No means no’ and sexual assault

2 upvotes · 30 June 2020 · /r/askTRP

> > I feel like there’s no line between sexual assault and casual sex anymore, and it completely undermines the integrity of genuine rape/sexual assault.
>
> Obviously. But don't say that too loud or the feminists might come for you spouting such heresy to their religion.
>
> Bear in mind the difficulty. Make sure to get texts after the night saying she had a good time. If she doesn't text back with that or says something that might be used to prove rape against you, respond as she was giving you an enthusiastic yes and that her weird behaviour and changing history is concerning to you.
>
> Protect yourself at all times gents. Don't forget to wrap it!

How do you activate her inner slut?

2 upvotes · 8 June 2020 · /r/askTRP

> > I'm watching RSD Tyler videos for inspiration

> I suppose if your target is below average looking women then crack on.
>
> Ultimately "activating the inner slut" is just be attractive, don't be unattractive.

LTR of 10 months took off to suck some other dick

2 upvotes · 3 June 2020 · /r/askTRP

> > LTR was very convenient.
>
> And that is why it failed.

Matched with my brothers ex on Tinder. Wanna pork her. Any advice on how to playfully get this going?

2 upvotes · 18 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

> You want this sort of drama in your family life? If your brother doesn't care then yeah, it's a good leading line. Personally I wouldn't fuck up my family ties over one bit of pussy. There's so much more out there and if you are considering it then it screams scarcity mentality.

Feeling bad smashing the innocent ones

2 upvotes · 30 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> They're not actually innocent.

Dumb girl says no sex until marriage

2 upvotes · 27 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> The person who requires punishing in this scenario is you.

Does blue pill ever go away?

2 upvotes · 23 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> IllimitableMan has written something on legacy and an innate desire to make a permanent impact on the world. This being the explanation of the male drive to reproduce and explain the literal change in the male brain when we meet our child for the first time. The blue pill conditioning is about equality of men and women and romantic notions of easy teamwork between equals where you live happily every after. These two sets of concepts are not the same, but some members conflate them. The former that IM writes about is a natural masculine urge. The latter is the blue pill programming and reinforcement from society.
>
> This reads like you can sort of smell a little of your internal reproductive urge... but wrapped up in blooper explanation. Find the reality and your might be able to reconcile your emotions and reality to gain a better understanding of yourself.

Second Night with Plate: way better sex, but she leaves crying after

2 upvotes · 28 March 2020 · /r/askTRP

> There's a couple of things which spring to mind. First is hormones are sending her crazy. I hope you've been wrapping it. Second is since she lives with her ex, maybe they started to attempt a reconciliation and she then immediately leapt on your cock like she'll never get another chance. After the great sex she realises she's going back to a boring relationship because she doesn't think she can lock you down. Or she mistakenly perceives herself as a moral person but she's acting like a piece of shit and upset about the internal conflict that she hasn't been able to explain away yet. Third one is you've simply been too alpha aloof and probably missed some

comfort tests along the way. As a plate she's smashed pretty much and you'll want to hope she doesn't get annoyed with you when she rewrites history and decides you raped her.

They're all pretty bad. This is all assuming she doesn't have underlying mental problems. Which, if she does, you kinda deserve all the crap you're about to get. If you do end up interacting with her again, make sure it's in a manner that's recorded (e.g. text/online chat) and you'll probably want to broach her behaviour in a way that demonstrates she appears crazy without accusing her of being crazy. Something like "I'm just a little concerned for you. You can see how leaving my house crying after a great night, then messaging me nonchalantly to play games like nothing happened... it looks like you might be struggling with some kind of mental or emotional issues... you do see that, right?" Essentially you get her to admit her own bizarre behaviour and how it is the behaviour of someone who isn't being normal. You might even find out what's going on with her to help inform your next move.

Had sex with a 18 year old girl from tinder on 1st date. Im 26. Girl says it doesnt feel comfortable to date someone my age.

2 upvotes · 27 March 2020 · /r/askTRP

> So what to do with this shit test ?

The same things you'd do with any shit test. This is not "Do my homework for me TRP" It's AskTRP, for slightly unusual stuff or unclear stuff - this is literally a sidebar answer. GO. READ. IT.

Should I give LTR of 5 months a gift for V-day?

2 upvotes · 15 February 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Bought my girl flowers for Valentine's day they were like $12 at trader Joe's. I also buy flowers whenever I'm visiting any woman. So if I came over to your house for Thanksgiving I would buy your mom flowers. Boy am I beta.

Strawman. I did not bring the alpha or beta concepts into any part of my argument. My point does not hinge on any of that.

> You could be using a woman for sex she's still a house guest. If a $3.50 Valentine's day cup cake is too much of an investment into your penis wrangler your either broke or a miser. Wow it's such a good idea to alienate the person/people you are in intimate sexual contact with and make her feel swindled.

Fails to engage my point. You're still rambling about costs which I never brought up, nor is my position interested in.

> I'm so glad you called me an SJW because the truth is the exact opposite.

A second dishonest SJW-**STYLE** misrepresentation of my thoughts. People and actions are two different concepts. Misrepresentation of positions by disregarding nuance and falsely conflating things is a standard SJW tactic to beget a false level of credibility to accusations of racism/misogyny/bigotry etc. You should never be doing this under any circumstances if you wish to contribute value to conversations. The fact I have to explain this to you is deeply concerning.

> Somehow the Red Pill confused masculintity with it's exact opposite being a sheckle swindeler. It's almost as if your view of dominantion is no longer that of your heratige but that if the Usurus elite. That's a master slave dialectic for you.

> I view americans as deracinated Europeans who were robed of their traditions however quaint those traditions were they still serve a positive constructive purpose. Tradition should still be enacted even if American women are trash , because the alternative is a rootless alienated nihlistic mass.
>
> Have fun "merchanting" all of the women in your life. I'm sure that's gunna turn out great for you fam because "merchants" are everyone's favorite group of people and people are going to like you a lot when you act like them.

You appear to be having a conversation with someone else in your own head.

You will want to work on your reading comprehension, grammar and spelling. Focus on engaging the actual argument, rather than making up the target opinion. You can learn this through an attempted steelman of positions you don't agree with.

You only want me for sex

2 upvotes · 17 December 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Check out part 3 of the TRP Field Toolkit on the sidebar of AskTRP.

Hey, I'm recently unplugged and I've been dating my first girl post redpill. I've run into an ldr situation and I need advice.

2 upvotes · 8 December 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Obviously she's not relationship material at this point. So she will forever be nothing more than a plate and if you start to catch any feels you must hard next. No ifs or buts.
>
> Beyond that why are you complaining about one girl? Go bang more. There's plenty out there and you need the practice. Plan to spin roughly 2-3 plates and do not stop gaming until you reach your target.

Is it time to hit monk mode?

2 upvotes · 20 November 2019 · /r/askTRP

> > I'm having issues with being alone I think
>
> What does this even mean?
>
> > Is monk mode something that helps this?
>
> No, monk mode is not for that. Monk mode is an initial step for men who need to improve because trying to game at their current base SMV is a totally pointless exercise.
>
> > I'm 22 and honestly doing great in life right now. Good steady job, paying mortgage not rent, minimal bills, decent savings and good hobbies I enjoy.
>
> I fail to see your problem. Sounds like it's in your head. Could be worth reading the u/illimitableman post on happiness.

Broke a chicks heart - wanna fuck again (TLDR in comments)

2 upvotes · 14 November 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Scarcity mentality. Next.

BP friend won't act on my advice, is going to crash and burn, but keeps coming back for more. I

don't want to waste my breath anymore, but don't want to lose the friendship.

2 upvotes · 14 November 2019 · /r/askTRP

> One of the things about giving advice is that the other person is free not to take it. You really shouldn't be getting frustrated with bloopers acting bloopy. As an EC, I have seen many many men come to me for advice, ignore it, crash and burn, come to me for more advice and feel it's getting more extreme and thus ignore it. Of course, the deeper they dig their hole, the harder it is to climb back out. So why am I still here coming to offer you some advice? Simple, it's two things, one of which is core red pill - outcome independence. It's his life that's gonna get fucked up, not yours. You had to make your choices and learn from some painful mistakes. Sometimes the pain is needed to learn you shouldn't touch the pretty looking fire. Daddy telling you it's hot and it'll hurt isn't enough, you need to experience it yourself.
>
> Thing is, once he's experienced it, he'll be way more inclined to listen to you. Jott down your advice, what happened and how things turned out somewhere. Put it aside for the day this all goes to shit. Based on what you're saying it's roughly 6 to 12 months from now. You can sit him down for a drink, a little venting from him, then talk him through these notes you made. See if he's willing to learn, see if he wants to understand why your advice is good and worth listening to and how you predicted things correctly.
>
> Finally, why do I keep coming back when it's pretty clear I'm wasting my breath on some guys? That odd occasion where something does get through, I've made a life-changing impact for one man. For that one man, my effort is priceless. If this guy is a genuine friend and you care about him... you should be strong enough to control yourself and play the long game. That's when your friendship becomes priceless, and if they're a real friend (which is rare these days) then priceless is worth it.

Alpha guys and teachers

2 upvotes · 14 November 2019 · /r/askTRP

> You oversimplify this to mere dominance and submission, as if paying attention in class vs being a dickhead is submission vs attempted dominance. Alphas aren't always dominating every single social interaction (it's not possible, think about the workplace and your boss as an obvious example - does this mean everybody with a boss can't be alpha?) alphas just aren't generally submissive. They'll still follow or perform actions as instructed by a leader for the good of the team. I wrote a piece a few years ago on the topic of alphas and aspiring alphas co-operating (i.e. what built civilisation.) Needing to remember, identify and perform your role in a team is all about good social skills. Good social skills = high value, poor social skills = low value.

What are your LTR deal-breaking red flags?

2 upvotes · 21 May 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Part 4 of the TRP Field Toolkit on the sidebar covers this, with links to multiple red flag lists. LTR material usually had no red flags. All red flags are a deal breaker unless you're still operating with scarcity mentality.

Geez it just makes me sick that when my girlfriend post images of herself all the male orbiters like and comment

2 upvotes · 10 May 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Maybe she didn't want you to see it. Maybe she thought it was dumb and she'd look dumb publicly for posting that comment. There's explanations for little stuff like that. You're avoiding the key issue here which is you. Go do your fucking reading.

Geez it just makes me sick that when my girlfriend post images of herself all the male orbiters like and comment

2 upvotes · 10 May 2019 · /r/askTRP

> It essentially demonstrates you can't speak womanese. Demonstration of poor social skills and thus low value.

> Look up the literature on powertalk. It is possible to go with direct confrontation sometimes, but usually it needs to be in specific situations where it comes off as a declaration that she has shit social skills and can't grasp X properly so you've been forced to spell it out to her. The default communication method should always be womanese. Everything is between the lines and actions are what matter.
>
> Honestly mate, you seem to be missing a whole bunch of basic concepts here. It's no wonder your relationship is in the state its in. You weren't ready for an LTR. Go do your fucking reading!

Geez it just makes me sick that when my girlfriend post images of herself all the male orbiters like and comment

2 upvotes · 10 May 2019 · /r/askTRP

> You should never reveal what is behind the curtain to women. If you desperately want to fix this, you'll need to go away and do some reading on pair bonding and how to achieve it. Personally I would just dump her and go find some better quality LTR material. There's masses of women out there and if you are the prize then why are you settling for a substandard gf? It suggests to me you're not really worth much which in itself is a severe problem from the RP perspective that you should be fixing.

Geez it just makes me sick that when my girlfriend post images of herself all the male orbiters like and comment

2 upvotes · 10 May 2019 · /r/askTRP

> LTR game is very different to plate spinning game. There's huge amounts of stuff on here about plate spinning, far less on LTRs. The goal of an LTR is a successful long-term pair bonding that rewards both of you. If she is actively maintaining orbiters then she has not committed to the relationship and you just gave up your most powerful card for nothing. As above, Matty thinks she might be planning a branch swing.. it's possible, but I think either you haven't managed this correctly and you've created an insecure bond through too much alpha aloofness, or that she was never a good LTR prospect because she is too controlled by her hypergamy and will not actually commit to anyone because she's too busy organising her next hypergamous step.
>
> Orbiters are there as a fallback option and general attention/reminder of her attractiveness level. A woman in a secure pair bond does not require this because her emotional needs are being met and she is satisfied she has achieved the apex male she can achieve with her attractiveness level. His being there is enough to reinforce how attractive she is. You've either picked badly or she hasn't bonded with you. Either way it's looking like you can't recover this if it has been 2 years.

Geez it just makes me sick that when my girlfriend post images of herself all the male orbiters like and comment

2 upvotes · 10 May 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Quality LTR material does not maintain orbiters.

How do you guys deal with existential crisis?

2 upvotes · 20 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Yes, because you've already made peace with the fact you're not perfect and you're working towards an answer. There's nothing more that can be done.

GF keeps making fun of my EX

2 upvotes · 19 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> > she says it’s the biggest issue in our relationship.

I see you've been failing that shit test. Honestly it's gonna be hard to come back from this because you've essentially been doing the inter-personal relationship equivalent of letting her peg you.

Step 1, heavy dread. Step 2, start pressure flipping. "The last guy you were with had bigger tits than you, yet you got wet, you secretly a lesbo?" or something like that. Something which throws the insult back at her and asks a question that you can play off as fun teasing. "We can make that lesbo thing happen you know." Cheeky grin etc.

Step 3, reevaluate where you are in your journey. How many plates have you spun? Why did you go for an LTR? (and if it has anything to do with her tits or ass I'm calling you gay and telling you to get the fuck off here until you've done some reading.) Step 4, explain the green flags you found in this chick? Were there any red flags? What unicorn hunter system were you using? How many chicks were rejected before this when the hunt began?

Am I the only one who can't get dates on Tinder?

2 upvotes · 19 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Should I take some pictures to display my physique?

Wasn't this like number 1 on all good tinder guides?

How do you guys deal with existential crisis?

2 upvotes · 19 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

Firstly, I would remind myself that I'm a human being and as such am limited in various ways. In addition, I am not the brightest man on the planet either, so having expectations to solve the meaning of life - a well known eternal question that has baffled men who make you look like a pitiful child being beaten in the boxing ring by someone like tyson - is wildly unreasonable.

So we look smaller. How does this apply to my own existence? Well, firstly since the meaning of my own life is a huge question, I would begin by giving myself time and not expecting myself to solve this quickly. Crisis tends to come from stress and that stress comes from an urge to get on with things. A worry that time is short, and yes it is. But much like a huge essay that's due in a month, time may be short but you have enough time and worrying about it will stress you and make you less productive towards that aim.

That is the key bit to come to peace with first and foremost. Acknowledging your own imperfection and forgiving yourself for it. Granting yourself the time you need to work on something as huge as this. And the time that is needed to figure out your own purpose or reason or meaning or whatever you want to call it will be measured in years, not days.

Which begs the question, what do you do in the meantime? Work to put yourself in the best possible position you can for a reasonably good life.

This does not give you an answer to your question but I believe it supplies what you need. Which is a clear set of reasoning in order to begin that search to satisfy your need for meaning. While I abhor relativism... when it comes to meaning, given that it's an emotional thing that upsets us not having it, a relativistic approach is probably best for now until men (much smarter than me) come up with something better. Work on experimenting and trying to find that meaning. Read. Lots.

Try new things. Search. Explore. Entertain ideas. This should provide a good starting point from which to explore the question of what matters to you and what might provide you with meaning? Drive? Passion? etc etc.

Always a shame when anti-censorship groups take ideological stances for censorship.

2 upvotes · 5 October 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> If you can get evidence of that then you have evidence of it being a kangaroo court process. A show trial because the decisions have already been made, and not for honest consistent reasons. Gamergate has constantly had the misogyny slur hurled at it so their reaction that they are safe from this is bizarre at best.

Always a shame when anti-censorship groups take ideological stances for censorship.

2 upvotes · 5 October 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> I think that's supposed to be included in the piece itself rather than in a separate comment. I dunno. Any more general discussion from the mods over there? They seem to be "we're not at risk so fuck you." Do they not realise that this is precisely the salami tactics that reddit employs? They don't want to fight us all at once, so they'll pick one major sub at a time and we're 3 times the size of KIA. If we go down, they have no chance in the future if the same ever happens to them.

Sokal 2.0 - The open secret of feminist philosophy

2 upvotes · 5 October 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Because my academic background is psychology and he is a jungian. Also his christian faith permeates much of his thinking which is like building a house on sand. Finally, I think it was Whisper who wrote it, but there's an entire article on JBP and why he's putting you back onto the plantation, just now as a happier and more effective worker... but you're still a slave to women. Pretty much all of that applies. A piece was stickied for a while about how Peterson and co just push some tradcon values without any further thought. You can't wish away how women really are just to fit into your traditional conservative dream. There's a reason all those conservative girls read those erotic novels then get caught in sex scandals with conservative men of power. AWALT still applies.

When A Girl's Beta BF Initiates Break Up - Thoughts?

2 upvotes · 29 September 2018 · /r/askTRP

> The only way a woman of much higher SMV got dumped by a loser guy is if she manipulated the situation to cause it. Otherwise any blue pilled guy will hold on and try to "fix" things when it all starts falling apart.

New prospect's friend interferes

2 upvotes · 28 September 2018 · /r/askTRP

> There's this one girl....

I don’t wanna be a sucker and spend so much time and money on a girl who doesn’t sleep with with me, but then I don’t want a hoe. What’s the happy medium?

2 upvotes · 8 September 2018 · /r/askTRP

> First: Stop asking to be spoon-fed. You're supposed to be a man. Start acting like one and solve your own problems. I'm not the reddit search engine.
>
> Second: If you used your brain, you'd realise ECs and mods had already addressed this problem of "where do I go for X" with X being something common.
>
> Third: Did you even read my comment? You don't need any of that reading for at least a couple of years.

LTR refused sex

2 upvotes · 5 September 2018 · /r/askTRP

> > maybe it's some genius shit, in that case it went way over my head. My bet is that you misunderstood what I or TrolsMan said.

This is not "genius shit" it is very simple.

> /u/TrolsMan told OP that he shouldn't give his GF ultimatums,

This comes from (emphasis mine):

> **Her words**- “You don’t have to Fuck ur girlfriend all the time. And if you Threaten her she doesn’t fuck you that you will fuck someone else it’s wrong.

Women will flat out lie to you. If she is telling the truth, then he is threatening her/giving ultimatums etc. Yours and trolsman's point comes from this statement that **SHE** made. You have to believe her and take her words as truth. If you do not then what you have said about him issuing ultimatums makes no sense.

This is the full context of things, he gave no further context:

> My latest LTR refuses sex from me frequently and gave me this long ass text explanation. What should I do?? What’s my response and action?? Thanks

It is impossible to take that and say from it that I know he is issuing ultimatums. From that you cannot come to the conclusion "his only *point* was that OP SHOULDN'T have verbally threatened to leave his GF unless she started putting out"

It requires you to believe what the woman is saying in order to make that conclusion. You should treat the words women speak as worthless. You and trolsman have clearly not internalised this yet. Again, this is NOT "genius shit." This is indeed sidebar-level stuff that you may have read, you may have stated it in other threads, but your thought process demonstrates you still don't get it.

Why do most girls I meet and "hit it off" with already have a boyfriend ? Does anyone else feel like the odds are stacked against them?

2 upvotes · 6 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> You are the dancing monkey for these women. SMV is too low or game is too weak. Your expression of sexual interest is then deflected after they've finished enjoying themselves. Yeah. You've got quite a bit of reading to do.

FWB got mad I turned down her exclusivity request, now she's posting shit on her social media trying to get me to react. What to do?

2 upvotes · 6 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Ignore and hard next. FTFY.

Is it cheating if you're dating, but not exclusive yet?

2 upvotes · 2 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Depends whether this guy is intending to plate or LTR this girl. If plate, nothing is cheating and the question is stupid.
>
> If LTR, and it's going well, why would you want to add potential future drama and more to the point, why haven't you fucked this girl after going on multiple dates over 2 weeks??? LTR material will have fucked you by the 2nd or 3rd date. The LTR should be satisfying your sexual needs. If not then you are failing to lead a worthwhile relationship. If she is then it begs the question why do you need further sex from a different girl? Or does this mean the blue pill desperation is still there "sex? omg I must have it!"

Im less attracted to my girlfriend after she gained some weight - what do?

2 upvotes · 31 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> She's been looking for leadership. Letting herself go and not saying anything is to see if you're still paying attention. Step up your leadership of her and the relationship and start commanding. My commanding101 guide is a good place to start.
>
> The mature response is because you broke womanese to issue direct talk. She responded directly to try and reassure you that her social skills are not as crap as you just implied. She's working in your frame. This is all good.

Im less attracted to my girlfriend after she gained some weight - what do?

2 upvotes · 31 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> To quote the guy making the question:
>
> > Im in the gym 6 days a week along with sprinting and yoga - muscular and on the way to a full six pack. My diet is strict too, she knows all this, but it seems its not having much of an effect in terms of dread
>
> To me that reads "commanding is the appropriate shot here." The direct message that her social skills are poor and she hasn't noticed she has to keep up. Breaking womanese when you've already demonstrated you know how to speak it well is a powerful move. He's already been running dread and it doesn't seem to be working. (Though I don't know how intense the dread has been... perhaps there's chance to get some more attractive women interested and let her see. Who knows.) I recommended a command by implication, get her to finish the instruction. It's good to get an insight into where the dynamic is at. - If the dynamic is lost, and you're already fit and high SMV then wtf you doing with this dumb bitch? Where's the abundance?
>
> If she isn't picking up on your actions and then reacting then she's not following. Need to up the leadership. I'd ask him what the state of things is in terms of dom/sub dynamic to confirm this. I bet it's "she used to be very sub, but been less proactive lately." That screams a need to reassert the dynamic.
>
> Based upon the guy who wrote the question reacting to you, I'd say he wants to save this rather than just see where it is and react accordingly. He's already starting to swallow the blue pill, trying to use red pill methods to achieve the blue pill fantasy because he's invested 5 years in a chick he probably didn't vet properly. Well now is his chance to figure it out. Poke her with a subtle command and watch what happens.

Disappointment in the Lack of Critical Thinking

2 upvotes · 31 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm always left with mixed feelings about how sporadically active I am on here. Clearly I'm missing out on things to laugh at.

Disappointment in the Lack of Critical Thinking

2 upvotes · 31 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Rollo got turned into a poster boy?

How to Monk Mode

2 upvotes · 22 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> You have personally performed wanking studies....
>
> I have no words. This is almost up there with the time some guy was on here talking about scientific studies trying to turn straight men gay so he wouldn't have to deal with women anymore. Almost.

Women Are Forgiving, Men Are Shallow

2 upvotes · 3 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> You guys are talking past each other. He's Brazilian, maybe the subtleties of the English language are lost here.

I'd certainly concede this. From the way he's replying to me, he seems to kinda get it, but I can't be sure because of the language.

It certainly could be that I'm being a pedant with a ESL gent who certainly doesn't deserve it if that's the case.

18 Red Flags When It Comes to Dating Females

2 upvotes · 3 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

This is an excellent post. I'd give you a point... but I checked your history. Seriously, get the fuck out of nofap. This isn't to take a stance on fapping. It's just the religious zealotry of those guys is not healthy. Do it if you feel you should, but don't rely on the nofap community. They ain't healthy either.

Anyways, pointers on this post.

> She's Passive-Aggressive

This point was kind of a meh point. Passive-aggressiveness is inherently feminine and it's how women actually display any aggressive feelings. (They do it in a passive manner.) Pretty much all women will do this at one point or another, you just need to manage it and it'll reduce to almost nothing over time.

> ## 11. She Gets Defensive When You Shit Test Her

I don't really get this shit testing of women thing. I never have. We can't beat biology guys. I get that it's in our interest to be the choosers by having multiple women lined up who have chosen us... but we're not the selecting gender. We don't shit test or piss about playing games to decide who we want. We know what we're doing, we have our plan and the bitch either comes for the ride or she doesn't. Anything involving testing her implies that her being part of this ride is important. - This is the **wrong** attitude. If dumb bitch don't want to be on the ride then that's her loss. I don't need to figure out if she wants to join my ride... the evaluation process begins with her already wanting to be on this ride.

> ## 16. Piercings and Tattoos

I saw a chick getting her hair dyed neon pink as I was leaving the hairdressers with my slick do all perfected... she looked at me with a yearning in her eyes. She wanted me to notice her. I looked away in total disgust for good reason. Attractive, mentally balanced women do not need to go out of their way to look different.

Gentlemen, if these women make advances on you, make your disgust clear. It is in their best interests that these bitches learn that their appearance and behaviour is not attractive to good, attractive men.

Other than those comments, crack on son.

When did you become a Man?

2 upvotes · 24 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm going to go on a rampage.

I love it when you talk dirty.

Separately to that. This kid probably needs a month off of TRP. A bit of time in monk mode to come to terms with the fact he needs to live his own life and while other men out there will have ideas and input (and there is no harm in listening to other men), ultimately there is nobody other than yourself who makes that final decision.

One of the issues that AskTRP has had in the past is the knobs who try to poll the sub for the best way forward. It's not pollTRP. You ask a question. You get your answers. You pick what jives and you go.

All the cowardly cunts who downvoted this comment need to go and have a damned good look in the mirror and ask themselves "is this man right? Is this a man I would stand beside and pledge allegiance?" Because if the answer is "no" then it's pretty clear why you're so intimidated by this statement from the mod.

If you cannot lead yourself, then you have no business trying to lead others.

How I Manage My LTR

2 upvotes · 7 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> So spending time with a plate is not wasting time but time with a LTR is wasting time if she is cheating?

You don't tend to spend much time with plates. Or at least I never really have. Sex is the goal for dealing with a plate. Sex isn't the goal of an LTR.

> This doesn’t make sense to me. You are doing things with your LTR that you don’t like?

No... you're really confusing me now man. Bonding with someone takes time. You're not trying to bond with a plate, so you don't need to invest that time with your plate. Any bonding time shouldn't be unpleasant... if so you've picked a woman you can't stand to LTR. I can't really be any clearer than that.

How I Manage My LTR

2 upvotes · 7 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

Drop a message to the mods about this including the specific info you've gathered. If he needs something more drastic doing then they'll sort it out.

Always Have A Back Up Country

2 upvotes · 18 February 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

Is there anyone here who can confirm the situation in New Zealand? We can dismiss Singapore since they're a dictatorship complete with blasphemy laws.

Been very red pill with gf, but she isn't lusting over me like she used to or like I lust over her?

2 upvotes · 7 February 2018 · /r/askTRP

The commanding 101 piece I put together is the basis of all moulding that goes on within LTRs. The higher your SMV, the easier it is because she'll be working to try and please you.

Typical double standards I'd advise are things like you can flirt with other women, she cannot flirt with other men. She cannot spend alone time with male friends, you can spend alone time with female friends. You can come home as late as you like, she should be home by a set time. I don't advise this one, but... you can get drunk at the office do, she cannot. (I'd advise never getting drunk. But you get the idea.) Typically the best way to mould double standards is from the negative of discouraging her behaviour of X through dread, withdrawl of affection,

> disappearing etc along with a combination of rewarding her when she does what you want. Physical affection is by far and away the best way to go about this but other positive things work too. Kisses, words of encouragement, boosting self esteem etc.
>
> Starting it can be just a simple command. "Be home by X." Or a throwaway comment "I don't date women who spend lots of alone time with other men. It's inappropriate." All of this is off the top of my head so it's quite possible there are better ways that I haven't thought of. I'm just dashing out this response before I head out. Keep it casual, these are statements, not negotiations.
>
> The justification for your behaviour is ironically not how you ensure you're not considered controlling. (Though it'll help if it's ever discussed socially. Things like "I do tend to worry about her, it's dangerous out there. Blah blah blah, social justice rape stats after dark. Rising crime rate, reduced police blah blah. She can obviously do as she wishes but I think she's being very considerate of my feelings. Just as an excellent girlfriend like her should be." Socially you just look like you're backing her up, turning their argument of controlling into a criticism of your gf's freedom of choice and her consideration of your feelings as important.) The reality is your SMV is usually enough. Issue your commands well and the great thing about working some double standards in is that you can get your dread card back into play legitimately.
>
> My caveat for this sort of advanced game is that the medium remains the message. Make sure you don't fall into straight talk unless she's seriously fucked up. Never forget that you're dealing with a child. When she breaks double standards, amused mastery is your best friend. Apply dread if it's serious. Never forget that any attempt to break these is a shit test, respond accordingly. You can never physically stop her from anything, but you can still mock her stupidity, pressure flip it or use a bit of silence with the right "are you seriously that stupid?" look.
>
> Ultimately if she's determined on any of these things then you reevaluate the relationship and decide where your lines are drawn. This bit is just the final reminder that no matter the length of the relationship, you continue to hold the card of walking away and you are prepared to play it. Dread is holding up that card. If it doesn't work, consider her calling you on it - the nuclear shit test. Nuclear shit tests have only one response.
>
> As I said earlier, this is just a quick response so you've got something tonight. If I've made any errors then I'm sure somebody will pick it up, but I think I've covered all the little factors involved. There's not a lot written on more advanced game, but as with all things in the SMP, it's all easier when your SMV is high.
>
> Oh and be careful how many double standards you put in. They don't matter in plate spinning, but in an LTR they can become a source of drama. So consider carefully what you want to have and whether you really need to be able to flirt in front of her or not. Sometimes simply speaking to another attractive woman is enough to dread.

Workplace fling, im in trouble.

2 upvotes · 31 January 2018 · /r/askTRP

> I hope he's been preparing his ass for this impending rape. Where's the "doesn't matter, had sex" brigade when you need them to give a bit of optimism back to the situation?

Asked LTR to do help with a chore. She said she would, then didn't. She doesn't even think it's a problem she flaked on it. How should I adjust my expectations of a woman's word?

2 upvotes · 13 January 2018 · /r/askTRP

> This is all true. In general you'll find the typical woman is terrible at making decisions. Hell, it became stereotype in the woman who can't decide what to wear. This ambivalence and uncertainty about what the right decision is makes women easier to lead. If she questions your decisions after not making one herself, then it's either a shit test or open rebellion, depending on the situation.

Potential LTR admitted she was raped after just turning 12

2 upvotes · 29 November 2017 · /r/askTRP

> The title alone gives my answer. She is not potential LTR. Doesn't matter if it's true or not. She's disqualified. Not even plate material. I don't even need to read your post. All those paragraphs are 6 paragraphs too many.

How to deal with other alphas?

2 upvotes · 8 November 2017 · /r/askTRP

> > I held frame and just said “do what? What are you talking about”
>
> I think you need to go read up on frame, because the way you used that made no sense.
>
> Ignoring him is a solid move. Though typically if you find gym assholes, you should report any of their behaviour which breaks the etiquette to the peeps in charge of the gym and let them deal with it. (That's if it bothers you enough.) Ultimately, you're there to work out, you should have enough to concentrate on without any needless drama.

She's the problem? Seeing Blue through Red Tinted Glasses

2 upvotes · 8 November 2017 · /r/askTRP

> It always comes back to you. If she is the problem, it's because you didn't vet and select properly for the optimal chance of a successful relationship. You accepted shit behaviour. You accepted being treated like shit. You accepted being manipulated. You accepted being her personal piggy bank. You have bitched and moaned about it and silently resented it in your head... but ultimately... you chose to accept that state of affairs and allowed it to play out.
>
> You have the power. When you find yourself in this situation you ditch women who are unable to behave themselves properly and go find a woman who can.
>
> If you check the sidebar you will find an 8 part guide to LTR game. It is substantially different to spinning plates. I recommend you take a year or two to spin plates before you even start looking at a fresh LTR... if that even becomes an option for you.

Better question, if she cheats, does it matter?

2 upvotes · 10 October 2017 · /r/askTRP

> > but for whatever reason the thought pops in my head, i can't help it.
>
> The gut tends to be a good tool for guys. There's a reason so much of culture is trying to tell men "don't listen to your gut. Be more trusting. It doesn't matter if there's no evidence either way."
>
> > I originally asked if there was a way to get the thought out,
>
> What do you mean by this?
>
> > I'd have to know and accept being cheated on to be a cuck
>
> You have entertained a scenario in which you are being cheated on and have suggested you could accept this - i.e. you are aware and are just ignoring it. That's a cuck.
>
> Interesting side note about the top end partner dance community, it is very sexually charged. At least where I'm from it is. Many have slept with each other when away simply because they felt horny after all the rubbing up against each other. You should read RedPope's classic FR "Dirty bedsheets" to remind you just how willing

> women are to throw everything away for a bit of cheap dick just because they feel like it. Never forget how women are feelings-driven.

I hate you?

2 upvotes · 8 September 2017 · /r/askTRP

> That's primarily for him. Figuring out if the hate is genuine is simple enough... but if he gets it wrong and she's a full blown can of crazy ready to explode...
>
> (Personally I would have used your second line.)

Can I have a good life at 5'8?

2 upvotes · 7 September 2017 · /r/askTRP

> > " 5'8 is way too much short "
>
> One would assume this is over tinder. (That magical place where the 5ft nothing girl demands 6ft tall men.) The reality is you are distinctly average when it comes to height. (I think the average is about 5ft9 for men, it's 5ft6 for women. So you're still taller than the average woman.) I know, because I'm the same height. All it means is you don't get to play on easy mode. So suck it up and work on that basis.
>
> First thing to note, girl inches. Most women can't measure height for shit. They'll say 5ft9 men are 6ft tall. They think a 7 inch penis is enormous 10 inches long. Ignore what she's saying. Pay attention to what she does.
>
> Second, if you're going to use tinder then you must have good pictures and at least one with some chicks where you're taller than them.
>
> Third, work on your game. There's some guides down the sidebar including my own TRP Field Toolkit series which covers all base game. Given my success level, you have zero excuse.
>
> Fourth and final:
>
> > When I see a tall man in the street he seems to look at me like an insect or a freak.
>
> Stop projecting your own insecurities. No secure man goes around glaring at other men of average height. So either that's all in your head or you've just noticed a freak in passing.
>
> Pull yourself together, find your testicles, identify the real issues you can resolve and then work on those. This is the answer to all feelings of helplessness because anything you can't deal with or alter such as height or race isn't worth worrying about.

Field Report: Got dumped after threesome

2 upvotes · 26 August 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > She would usually suspect me of fucking around, blow up like a crazy person, I would ignore her and she would end up back in my bed days later.
>
> Sounds like your leadership and comfort provision is poor.
>
> > knowing how to deal with her immaturity I would have cut her off by now.
>
> Ignoring isn't dealing with it. Amused mastery is the primary tool when it comes to immature/childish behaviour.
>
> In terms of feedback I want you to have a look at a few things you've done:

> I throw my hands up and hope for the best.

Then:

> I shrug it off and smile "hey babe!" and begin doing my own thing to let HB8 hang out with LTR.

Then:

> I texted her back saying "say the word and I'll just take the girl home, no big deal"

Then:

> I act indifferent and remind her that if she wants to hit eject to do so whenever she feels like it.

It's all incredibly passive. Now don't get me wrong here, being passive (i.e. non-reactive) when she's trying to push your buttons is the right move. However I think you miss some clear cries for emotional comfort which cannot be taken passively. They don't go away, the hamster spins and the plate smashes.

From what I've read you appear to be treating an LTR like a plate. Being high SMV as you clearly are you can typically push things further than most. However your game seems to be pure alpha with no ability to nuance or manage a woman's emotions completely. (Hence why she keeps blowing up in your face. This is what happens when you don't pass comfort tests well enough.)

Now there's a couple of things you can do to advance yourself here. Firstly I wouldn't LTRing chicks unless you intend to have a serious LTR with them. You can comfortably spin plates and that's fine without going around alpha widowing chicks. LTRing a chick like that, she is without question now totally alpha widowed and is going to be miserable for the rest of her life as she can't find that comfort from a man along with the level of dominance and sexual power she got from you. This means some poor bastards are going to end up paying for this. Remember the core red pill principle: masculinity is about building shit up, femininity tries to tear it all down to test it. Going around alpha widowing chicks is not the way forward.

So if you're looking at a serious LTR then look more into comfort tests, commanding and leadership. There are resources here on TRP, they just don't come up very often because most members on here are not looking at advanced game, they're looking to get their dick sucked for the first time. A position you're clearly no longer in.

If you're not looking for a serious LTR then get yourself back out there. Spread the story that she's crazy and encourage the HB8 to ensure people know she's crazy too. You won't win the social game but this will give you enough plausible deniability to keep you in the grey. Pick options, spin plates and use the excuse that she tried to fuck with your head so much that you just can't commit to a serious relationship right now. Maybe one day. This will start plate management off on a much stronger footing. You can also use this to counter "the talk" and put a chick off. Something along the lines of "you already know I'm not in a place to do that. Maybe we can talk about it again in 3/6 months." (Depending on how cocky you're feeling.)

Great thing about this is if she doesn't leave you immediately after that, if it comes up again you can laugh at her, call her deaf and suggest she gets a hearing aid because you'd both agreed she wouldn't bring this up again for X months. It's a great one and I've had some fantastic results managing plates in that way. You should also give yourself a refresher on HumanSockPuppet's "How to manage your bitches" guide.

Beyond that, rededicate yourself to your mission with gusto. That one kinda goes without saying but I don't see many guys saying it on here these days.

This is all just off the top of my head and based on your FR (which I enjoyed. It's rare to see such solid alpha game being run here these days.) If you want to clarify anything or ask questions then feel free to give me a shout.

Dealing with a more rational woman than usual. WTF is going on?

2 upvotes · 24 August 2017 · /r/askTRP

> Anyways, my brother hasn't had the best of luck with the Dread Game.

Dread requires you to be desired. If she responds to his absence with indifference then it means she doesn't care about losing him. The very definition of dread is "dreading the loss." His SMV is in question. He needs to up it and fast. She's probably already out looking for a branch swing.

> to be completely honest, the scheduling mix up was his fault.

His leadership is in question along with his SMV. This will be particularly tough to get back because any attempt at leadership is now likely to be greeted with a shit test - i.e. disobedience.

> What happens when the woman is kind of marching to the beat of her own drum and/or is too oblivious to some of TRP tactics that usually works on past women?

It's not that they don't work... he's just failing. It's a bit like saying "I tried talking to this chick and negging her and she called me creepy" she's just reacting as she's programmed to. Negative reaction because your SMV is too low. If a hot guy had said the same then the reaction would be different.

If I was to make a diagnosis of what has happened here I'd say your brother has failed to hold frame, failed to demonstrate a consistently upward trajectory of his SMV, and most damaging of all he has failed to lead. Check out my Commanding 101 guide as a good practical introduction to commanding and leadership with women. (It is essential advanced game for LTRs. You should not be attempting an LTR without knowing your game flawlessly because it is harder to maintain an LTR than it is to maintain some plates and replace them here and there. If you have not managed to manage+maintain a plate for 9 months without her smashing then you're not ready for an LTR.)

Additionally there are other factors involved. For example she will likely be getting interest from other men and keeping an eye on her options. Once other options are starting to look worth exploring is when she devalues her current relationship. If she's simply having a number of good quality options appearing regularly then she has no reason to settle at all. Such women should not be LTR'd.

Ask the Redpill side bar suggestion.....

2 upvotes · 19 June 2017 · /r/askTRP

The sidebar already contains enough information on AskTRP to solve any such problem. The vast majority of questions on AskTRP do not need to be asked if you've truly understood the material. Which is basically the purpose of this, to help the guys who struggle after first reading to really digest the material. Such guys who haven't truly internalised abundance will need to be told to next a bitch. Others need to be told not to shit where they eat. The third category need to learn to walk away from sex if it's not certain to have no negative consequences. It's the third category that we have the hardest time dealing with.

UK paternity test.

2 upvotes · 1 June 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

In the UK, you can take failure to pay to smaller courts and eventually get a Sheriff involved if it gets to the high court. They then have powers to demand payment in full and if they don't get that they then have powers to force entry and start seizing property to sell at auction to get the money. It just takes a long time and you need the money for the legal fees (however if you win, these will be added onto the payment owed by her.) I'd view this as a sort of long term investment. If the case goes your way and the child wasn't yours, then I strongly suggest you

> invest in this as you might finally get some justice. Hope that helps.

Enjoy the Decline

2 upvotes · 12 May 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Depending on your semantics you can come to any conclusion. There's an interesting thesis on nihilism called Suicide Note. It's heavy reading, but having made my way through it I eventually rejected the ideas and concluded that man rises above the base realities of the world and creates things which are more than these. Using these "mores" as a greater justification and once you get into the creation and use of the abstract you will get to ideas similar to the idea that man creates out of nothing in a way like the universe was created from nothing. i.e. there was a beginning of time. There was (for want of a better word) a time, before time began.
>
> I then combine this with the base idea of science that just because we don't understand something yet, doesn't mean it isn't there. There was a time we didn't know about gravity, but you'd still fall if you walked off a cliff. Simply not knowing a reason for being here is not enough to say conclusively that there is no reason. Not having a purpose is not reason to suggest you should go without purpose.
>
> It is essentially how I come to similar conclusion to IllimitableMan when he did his pieces on morality, how to be happy, and particularly his stuff on having a mission in life.
>
> I can still enjoy all the things you cite in your liberation of "enjoying the decline" while still rejecting the idea of nihilism. So I don't see the point in your position. It offers me nothing useful that I can't take while rejecting it.
>
> It takes grit to carve a path from nothing. It also takes imagination to create from nothing. Enjoying the decline requires no imagination. You just exploit what's in front of you. You and your fellow Americans have manifest destiny for your frontier attitude. We Brits used Divine Right to imagine more for the empire and every battle that held back those who would oppress. It was only later that we discovered how much harder it was to impose civilisation than we thought. All that said, the two are not as far apart as you'd think. Neither are our views here.

The Story of My LTR

2 upvotes · 10 May 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I don't think she is a bad person in any way,
>
> Are you joking? When a cunt acts like a cunt, you call her a cunt. Take that pussy off the pedestal. Bitch is acting like a bitch. Now call her behaviour shitty and call her a bitch because that is what her behaviour denotes. I notice the naturalistic fallacy used a lot around here. Just because her behaviour is natural, doesn't make it either acceptable or "not bad." Don't fall into that apathetic trap.
>
> > I'm considering leaving her almost daily.
>
> Then leave her. Nobody else will find your testicles for you. She literally demonstrated one of the most astonishing cases of Briffault's law described here. 15 seconds after you do a massage for her, when you won't turn out the light, you "never do anything for her." This isn't typical female behaviour to be quite so brazen. It's borderline mental illness and you should call it what it is. Unacceptable levels of mental illness that you're not going to put up with.
>
> Essentially the real problem here is that she's got herself a nice little beta boy to look after her. She's satisfied with that until a better deal comes along. Given your legal course etc, she might genuinely be looking to run a serious AF/BB on you in due course.
>
> > ' You better stop acting like a child or I'm going to tell you something you won't like'

> Don't give ultimatums, they're pointless.
>
> Essentially you've got a relationship here where you're seen as a beta and no matter what you do, it's unlikely to change things. Either learn to lead and command immediately and refuse to tolerate any more bullshit... or leave her. Because there will be more bullshit as you attempt this change.

Having Female Friends is More Important Than You Think

2 upvotes · 1 April 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is an april fools, right?

Commanding 101

2 upvotes · 27 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Then either raise your SMV or start asserting yourself more if it's irritating you. Frame it as you helping her out because she's useless at knowing what to do and doesn't do it without you telling her, you're sick of her whinging about it/being ungrateful and disrespectful for your help and you want her to stop complaining needlessly unless it's something which is a genuine bad. (If your orders are good, she now has no justification for this.)
>
> The downside to the heavy handed assertion of dominance/command respect response to a shit test is if she doesn't submit she'll either try to turn it into a lengthy argument (it's a not a discussion or a negotiation) or she'll behave like a bratty child and sulk. Both of which are unacceptable responses and should result in at least a 2 day radio silence and soft next. Possibly even a hard next if she's disrespectful enough and you think there's no saving things.
>
> The fact it keeps coming up is your feedback mate. She's still not certain of your SMV, or she is crying out in distress for reason to have more confidence in your leadership. If it's the latter then commanding respect is the exact response needed and will fix the issue. If it's the former then it could work or it could blow up in your face.
>
> You're the guy on the ground so I'll leave it to your judgement as to what you think is going on.

99.9% Rejection Rate. HELP!

2 upvotes · 23 March 2017 · /r/askTRP

> Work on your style then. Ensure you have perfect body language of confidence.

Don't Just Lift

2 upvotes · 1 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> A few questions to help improve your post.
>
> > I can't just stand on a soap box and say that all disciplines are created equal. And all places that you can train at are going to yield positive results. Just like anything else in life, you have to find what fighting style fits you.
>
> Okay, what advice can you give for people to be able to identify this?
>
> > What's even harder than that is finding a place that actually knows what their talking about. Not all trainers are the same, and not every person who can fight, can teach others how to do the same. I've had my fair share of piece of shit trainers who just want to pimp you out to fights so they can get money while you get your ass handed to you. Do research into the different schools around you. See if they've produced MULTIPLE fighters.
>
> Okay, that's cool. Now how would you start the research and what if the area where you live doesn't give you

> much information in that regard?
>
> Finally I'd ask what about age? You mention how you started at 8 years old. Most guys reading this are not 8. What about guys who've hit 30 starting? 40? 50? Is it still worth it at 50? Would it still be worth it at 60? Are there likely to be any differences caused by age since you've mentioned how tough the work is? (Particularly if these guys have only been lifting so they're not used to stuff that requires a higher stamina.) If you can provide some idea of what to expect and explain it then it lends a significant level of credibility to your post.
>
> This isn't to say your post has no credibility. It's more to say that the more bases you cover, the more credibility and deference your post will receive. Most of the guys commenting are guys similar to you... they're clearly not the target of your post. The guys who aren't like you are the target. They're the ones you want that credibility and defence from. Do you see what I mean?

Movies Are For Girls: “Terminator: Genisys” (2015)

2 upvotes · 1 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Except maybe “Batman vs. Superman,” because, you know, Batman.
>
> On a scale of 1 to pissed off, how annoyed were you by that film?

A massive conundrum for both TRP and liberals alike

2 upvotes · 1 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Not only that, it's again the symbolism of his attitude towards women and what that (supposedly) represents that he got endorsed right here on TRP.
>
> Ya pick up that opinion from the blue pill sub on your way here? Because that's almost an exact quote of what they'd say about us. It's also categorically false to anybody who read RPS's post on the matter.

Even Alphas get cheated on. AWALT

2 upvotes · 30 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> On the sidebar of the AskTRP sub you'll find a section of series posts. Find the LTR series by Occamsusername, Part 3 I believe is the Unicorn Hunter checklist. I also have an archived version of that and a separate set of "green flags" in one of the parts of my TRP Field Toolkit series.
>
> Do a little hunting around from these and you should be able to find plenty of green flag and red flag indicators for evaluating a woman.

What happened here, was it me or my text game?

2 upvotes · 29 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> You move on. She should be putting in more effort. There is another guy in her phone that she is more interested in texting. That's all it is.

I have a hard time starting a conversation with a stranger.

2 upvotes · 28 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Try "hi." I find that works very well.
>
> Environmental cues are another good one. I'm very lazy with my openers so I'll just strike up conversation at the bar about drinks or what stupid thing the chick is wearing or whatever. Just pick something and make a comment. It's a good way to gauge how open the chick is to being gamed. It's about the warmest way to do a cold opening.

Does demographic change your SMV

2 upvotes · 26 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> There are two natural things decided by your genetics which affect SMV, your race and your height. Height has a bigger impact than race. However these are just two of many factors that affect male SMV, so you can note them, but in terms of the big picture they don't really matter.
>
> Any other "demographic" stuff is irrelevant. Focus on the stuff you can change. Improve your body. Learn game. Become stylish and get the right fashion for you. These are the three major things you can change.

Responded to a breadcrumb from my ex and now i'm obsessing

2 upvotes · 26 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> You appear to be playing the game without knowing why. What are you doing? Why are you doing it? What is your goal here?
>
> Until you can figure yourself out and what you want, I can't help you.

Responded to a breadcrumb from my ex and now i'm obsessing

2 upvotes · 26 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> This is bad advice and a good way to head towards depression.

Observation: The Most Damaged Girls are the 6s and 7s

2 upvotes · 23 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You're basically lacking the ability to grasp nuance...
>
> Edit: For all you guys who're agreeing with him through the voting system, you're going to need to do a similar bit of reflection because you're also not grasping the nuances going on here. Remember that TRP is not a democracy for good reason. Because the masses of newbies compared to veterans would make very bad teachers.

Raising a Glass Tonight to a Fallen Friend.

2 upvotes · 23 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I know what you mean. And I'd point out rather than you being disposable, it is your lack of natural value that you mention helps to drive you. A subtle difference between the two.
>
> I believe we have no natural or inherent worth. I also believe that society has extended this concept to consider you disposable. That is where I part ways with society. I still believe you don't have a natural worth, you build it yourself. But I don't consider you disposable. You have built your value through blood, sweat and foul language.
>
> Now let's extend this nuance to the newer kids who have not built value. They have no worth. They are still disposable in the eyes of society. However we don't write them off simply because they have no value. We don't consider them disposable merely based upon crude male sexual or societal contribution value. Instead we teach them to build worth. This very act shows we don't consider them disposable, while also accepting that they lack value and do not have a natural value. If we considered them disposable, we'd ignore them.
>
> Ultimately this nuance probably matters very little. Interesting to consider though.

Nothing but SMV matters if you want to get laid with young women

2 upvotes · 19 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Increase your SMV in other ways and learn game.

Why telling her "How It's Going to Be" is always the wrong move.

2 upvotes · 14 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's not any of that. It's the fact he's been deemed a beta by her, but a beta with enough social status or looks to be worth her associating with. Once decided as a beta, it's highly unlikely you'll get out of that.
>
> The key here is this: Betas do not get to decide how things are. They are the subordinates. A beta trying to declare "this is how things will be" is going to be laughed at. An alpha can do that and just declare this and that. Why? Because women follow alpha leaders. If he declares "this is where we're going" she will obediently follow. That's the difference. It's not that it can't be done... it's that betas can't do it.
>
> Once she's decided you're a beta, your only real option is to next her. You could potentially use her as social proof... but that's about it.

Banned from TBP on first post

2 upvotes · 5 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > It'd just deteriorate into me blatantly exposing and mocking their inferiority.
>
> They wouldn't understand that either.

Woman on AskMen isn't attracted to her loving/doting beta provider husband, and is planning to kick his ass to the curb. Happy Thanksgiving.

2 upvotes · 25 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You give her far too much credit for positive thinking. Set the bar a bit lower.

The most important thing to remember is that as a man your entire life is determined by your value

2 upvotes · 13 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I don't tend to point the way on the basics anymore. High SMV=good... well fuckin' durr. I am more interested in the nuances at the acceptance stage. My posts are ones you read when you've been going for about 6 months to a year. With the possible exception of my game guide.
>
> If somebody isn't going to improve themselves and wishes to deny reality, they'll leave. It doesn't matter whether you shout "raise your SMV" at them or not. Additionally, internal motivation is far stronger than external. When a person believes in their heart that this is the right thing to do, they'll research and do it themselves.
>
> The mods will remove anything that preaches being a sexless virgin. The idea that anybody on here does that is too far fetched to be considered seriously. Additionally the idea that adding some nuance such as improve SMV, but don't become the high value beta who bullies others into accepting his world view, does not corrupt the message. It refines it. Life is not simplistic or black and white. Neither is TRP.
>
> The essence of the problem in your arguments comes down to trying to portray my views as "low is SMV is good." It is not and that is not my view.
>
> Let's take another example on "walking away." There are a number of situations where, if I had the chance to have sex with a chick, I would choose not to. Does this mean I am advocating being a sexless virgin? No. We have a selection of guys who come up here and there in the sub who basically have the attitude "doesn't matter, had sex." There's a wide array of reasons why this is a retarded attitude, however before you can grasp any discussion on the topic, you've got to accept that opposing that attitude is not endorsing a sexless life. Any newer guy who sees that and then thinks sexless life is the way to go is so stupid that they were probably too autistic to be helped in the first place. So I don't worry about them. Not to mention that again... I am not responsible for every guy who can't understand my points. It is not my problem.

> The message of TRP is loud and clear: information and discussion on successful sexual strategies. Nuance on the different topics does not corrupt this base message.

The most important thing to remember is that as a man your entire life is determined by your value

2 upvotes · 13 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > ALL taken from the antibiotic nuke thread on the sidebar, I can't link to it because my post gets removed when I do.
>
> Yeah, I've read it. Incidentally, it was substantially updated last year and you're quoting from the old version. All you've quoted is the bit that points out why we are doing what we are doing to help betas improve their SMV. At no point does he declare that **we** don't care.
>
> I don't get what you're finding so hard about this nuance and the difference between the world/society/women and TRP. I don't believe it is possible to make it any clearer.

This is not a political sub. But this is the Red-pill view of politics. This is what the SJW's have done and it's simply fucking realism. What can we learn from it?

2 upvotes · 12 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > What would you have me do in Canada, where the largest party, Liberal party, represents SJWs, socialized health care and gun registration? And the conservative party represents low taxes and traditionalism.
>
> Point out that they're not liberal. Mock the fact that they're not liberal. Call them the authoritarian party.
>
> In all seriousness I would leave Canada asap. If the nonsense against Jordan Petersen passes then your country is truly fucked beyond repair.
>
> I'm not from the US so I can't really comment on Johnson and co. Though I heard he was woefully misinformed about foreign policy or something that absolutely killed any chance he had of getting 5%. Though if you are involved in political activity in Canada, best of luck to you. (Be attractive to do so!!! Don't make the MRA mistake.)

After taking the red pill, and seeing it for yourself it's life on easy mode...

2 upvotes · 7 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Obvious overstatement is obvious. Theory is easy, action and outcome are the hard bits.
>
> > Prior to the pill I wouldn't believe my luck. Now I expect it. These girls are lucky to have me, and my most valuable resource of time. And that there is the beauty of this all, you know what you want and how you're going to get it. It really is life on easy mode.
>
> How long did it take you? Nothing in life worth having is easy, hence why women tend to come pretty low down the list of priorities once you've slept with a few. This post could potentially be very misleading to a newbie.

This is what we're concerned with attracting?

2 upvotes · 31 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Men and women are not comparable. Your point is based on this assumption.

"The Red Pill" documentary banned in Australia

2 upvotes · 25 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> No, that's your opinion and I completely disagree. How can you say that? Is this subreddit about women? Is Rollo's books/blog about women? The whole point of "our corner" is putting men as the MPO.

This subreddit is not a part of society. The state does not endorse it and if pressed, would probably prefer it didn't exist. Society, in the loosest sense, disavows us and tries to discredit our discussion at every opportunity. You're talking about a woman coming out of mainstream society, of course it'll still be gynocentric. Even here on TRP we see examples of how deep the programming of our gynocentric society goes. Women have a natural value which causes this and it's natural for society to end up like this... "women and children first" was a natural evolutionary thing for survival of the species. So yeah, if you come from the mainstream thought and have a mindset that has any level of mass appeal... of course you'll make it about the woman. Society at large doesn't care about men or men's issues.

> How is she deprogrammed? Maybe I miss something, would you care to explain what you mean?

Sure. The blue pill programming we refer to is the nonsense about how women are oppressed by men, there's a gender wage gap etc etc. It is indoctrination into a false narrative. Cassie Jaye was a full fledged feminist who believed all these things. She was a true believer that women had it worse. Her opinions have changed now and she's opened her eyes to the issues men face and the dogmatic nature of the feminist narrative. She has been deprogrammed.

> How?
>
> The only positive I see from this is that, because of the name of the documentary, someone might actually google TRP and find this sub. Other than that...it's their game

The men's rights movement suffers from an automatic inherent handicap - they're men. Nobody cares about men. We have no inherent value. We create our own value individually.

Women have an inherent biological value due to their status as the carriers of children and the sexual selectors. i.e. a society with lots of women and few men can survive and grow, but a society with lots of men and few women is in real trouble. So there's a natural evolutionary imperative to value women more so than men. It's why, as society has grown to such an extent, we are now a completely disposable gender.

Regardless of whether we like it or not, more attractive people hold more value in society. You may have noticed that as your SMV improves, your treatment by others changes. You will be treated better by people who don't know you and what you care about/talk about is treated differently (there is a chance of possible jealousy from betas who you already know.) Combine this with the way society values women more than men and you will see how the treatment of an issue by society is changed depending on whether it is discussed by an average to below average SMV old man, vs a high SMV woman. She has much greater social value and her words will have more weight than his.

> I completely agree with him, but I don't see how this is the same.

Think about the big picture, the war on men and boys as the logical justification that RPS uses. See how you can apply this logic to other political activity and then consider this issue as a part of broader political activity against the big picture of the cultural war on men and boys. You'll get there.

Chart of Male-Female Suicide Rates

2 upvotes · 20 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Wow... to think we had an epidemic in the west. This is a worldwide epidemic and nobody notices or cares. Let the latter part of that sink in for a moment.

Potentially Pregnant Plate

2 upvotes · 7 October 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Depends how you want to play it. I mean, I've seen posts from dark triad guys on how to cause miscarriages... so you can take it that extreme if you want to. As a first course of action I'd say if she is on a contraceptive that prevents the fertilised egg from attaching to the uterus lining then make sure she keeps taking it. Morning after pills can still be taken upto 72 hours after the sex, so she should get one of them.
>
> Another course of action would be to go hyper-beta and hope she'll naturally want to have an abortion if she thinks you're low value (you've got plenty of time for her to go down that route.) Thinking about it, if this is the sort of drama she's going to bring you, it might be time to actively smash this plate. I hope she's not part of your social circle, 'cos otherwise this method will hurt you.
>
> Adoption is a pain in the ass for one thing in terms of paperwork (and women are very lazy) but also because until the legal papers are all done, she's had the child and then the child is actively in the home of the new parents... up until that point she can change her mind and you're fucked. She holds all the cards here, so I would discourage you from hoping on adoption. It might just be her way of keeping you around while she has the kid and then suddenly "feels different" about it all.

Stunning 18 year-old princess cheats on her boyfriend with a female friend, loses her mind afterwards

2 upvotes · 6 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yes. Feeding the hamster is a very useful tactic that I don't see enough about on here because it requires you to use reasoning, (specifically, doing her reasoning for her)... something which is counter to methods of seduction - you can't negotiate or reason attraction. Again, it's one of these things where a little nuance goes a long way. Lack of nuance is by far and away the biggest problem on TRP.

TRP cold approach dilemma: Women who disengage, act disinterested, etc when in fact they are secretly attracted to you and welcome your advances?

2 upvotes · 2 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Eye pops are when a chick is looking down and then fixes you with a stare a bit like the "I want to fuck you look" you'd give a chick.

Karen Straughan: Female Men's Rights Activist on The Rubin Report 9/12/16

2 upvotes · 14 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> All of those interviews are well worth watching. Good thinkers with differing takes on things who fall differently on the political spectrum but agree with Rubin that the left is now out of control and going against basic western values. Rubin is a neverending reminder of what the left, right and centre in the west have in common - or should have in common since we all believe in freedom and liberty. It's the regressive left that have come in and undermined that freedom and pitted left and right against each other in something they should agree on.
>
> While it's important to remind the left of this, it's also important to tell guys on the right that they need to stop hating people on the left because that's exactly what the regressives want. They want that conflict and they want an enemy who seems unreasonable and lacking compassion. Prove them wrong and find the non-regressives on the left to ally with on the shared basic western values.

Extra-Large-Medium: Pervy Cheerleader Observations by an Old Married Guy

2 upvotes · 12 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> When dealing with flak, double down. Laugh at them. Make jokes about how butthurt they are or how overly sensitive they are. If they continue to whine just shake your head and walk away chuckling. That's how you get your IDGAF to level 2.5

lostgeneration has been taken over by SJW

2 upvotes · 7 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Amusing to note that our mod /u/bsutansalt is posting away in that thread with impunity. You should follow his example on blending in.

[FR] Experimentation & Learnings From on Online Dating

2 upvotes · 30 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I play ‘spot the lie’ where each of us says a truth and a lie, and a correct guess lets you ask whatever you want about the other person.

I played something similar in a group, two truths and a lie. In this I make an option "I've dated a professional model" or semi-pro model as a good test of where she sees your SMV. Couple it with some outrageous claims about what you've done with your life, I paired it with a couple of claims around jobs I'd done in other countries. You know your SMV is high when they immediately start scrutinising the jobs and other countries while putting a high value dating history as a given.

For number 5 I don't think I've paid for a date since I discovered TRP. I just take the lead on that and either tell her we're splitting it or that she's paying. I might pay for something else later, but never the first thing and only if she's already paid for something etc.

Careful with number 12, you might accidentally get yourself measured against a superior man. That's game over.

Otherwise, this is probably the best post we've had regarding online dating in a while.

The culture of this subreddit is almost completely beta now.

2 upvotes · 29 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I just looked at that 10 things to say you're doing it right thing.... yeah. This kid doesn't understand nuance either He needs to lurk more and stop posting for a couple of months. He still appears to pedestalise women.

Women are "naturals"

2 upvotes · 21 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> They are pathetically bad at game.

Don't start me on the current level of girl-game out there. It's fucking dreadful.

why not dating women who used to be men?

2 upvotes · 18 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Those are defined medical problems. They are not based upon feelings. There are tangible things influencing it and it should therefore be treated as a medical problem not a mental health issue. However, sometimes medical problems can lead to secondary mental health issues such as brain biochemical imbalances leading to depression. It doesn't mean that depression is not a mental health problem or that it is acceptable. Nor does it stop the

> biochemical imbalances or whatever caused them to no longer be a medical issue.
>
> Unfortunately things like Turner's syndrome are beyond our current science for a proper cure (i.e. to repair or replace the faulty X chromosome.) So if a medical condition beyond our control is influencing things causing mental illness then it's still a mental illness, just that it's being caused by a medical condition that we have little capability to cure at the moment. Sometimes things just suck. That's life for ya.

The lie is easy to believe

2 upvotes · 12 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah. Women can't un-sex with a man, but a man can withdraw his commitment. Marriage 1.0 was a good compromise between the two sexual strategies and prevented men abusing our trump card.
>
> All they've done by making marriage 2.0 so abusive to men... is we're now abusing that very privilege - heh, a chance to use that term realistically - of ours to walk away and withdraw any commitment after sex.

Wants vs needs: the sexual attitude nuance

2 upvotes · 4 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's an imperative and drive, as determined by evolution. Not a need.
>
> Evolution weeds out the low value this way. The low value still exist. Lack of basic needs will weed both high and low value men out of existence. Being low value means they won't get much sex, if any, but they don't suddenly die as someone without a basic need would. There is a very clear difference here and sex ends up in the category of wants like wealth and power, rather than needs like water and food. Remember to factor in the paradoxical nature of IDGAF attractiveness.

Why do women say "It was only sex" when referring to their CC days?

2 upvotes · 29 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Think about how we have a standard response on the sidebar here at asktrp for when your plate has "the talk" with you about turning it into a committed relationship.
>
> Then add in the fact that women don't even know how they operate. They don't understand themselves. I've had multiple women admit to me their vagina has more power over them than they have over it. When you state your behaviour is caused by something in you that you have no control over, you are admitting you haven't figured out how it works in order to be able to control scenarios in such a way as to have control over it. It's the exact same notion of us controlling our dicks and being able to genuinely walk away during last min resistance in order to bypass it.
>
> Put those two together and you see exactly what's happening when women choose to ride the CC and it demonstrates why they fail, which leads into my earlier comment that explains why they then need to subsequently rationalise it away.

One Night Stand to Fuck Buddy

2 upvotes · 26 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Yeah, the "what's up" text was a bad idea. That probably translated to "please respond" if she's not into you anymore.
>
> There's a number of possible explanations of this from: a guy more alpha than you suddenly showing interest in her... maybe she has a boyfriend she lives with... all the way to, your first convo/text game was weak. Let's not hover on that and get on with it. Forget about her until the weekend and then on Sunday drop her a text with something concrete to meet up during the week for whatever reason and escalate from there. I recommend something more than merely drinks or food.

> Whatever the outcome of that text, continue with the fuck-it attitude. Even if she messages you, ignore until the weekend, it'll drive her hamster crazy. You're the prize here and she needs to act like it. If she doesn't... then she doesn't matter anyway. Hit up the next chick.

Hamstering? Comfort test? Guys will eventually lose love/passion.

2 upvotes · 26 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Yeah, your arguments sucked mate, but the reality is this is just another example of AWALT.
>
> Chick is just absolving herself of responsibility for anything bad. Then she shames you in response to your disagreement.
>
> > She said that I must have not had many relationships. She even told me to go date more girls.
>
> You basically told her that you don't "just get it." Never bother explaining to chicks, they're not interested in knowing themselves because that would break their ability to rationalise and behave like a woman. Don't forget, it is this rationalisation ability that allows women to bring that feminine happy-cheery-positive vibe to relationships regardless of what's going on in the world. But yeah, this chick shows red flags because SOMETHING prompted her to go through that specific rationalisation. Once she's been there, she doesn't come back.

Women Are Now Cheating As Much As Men, But With Fewer Consequences

2 upvotes · 21 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> What woman has a second family that she supports financially while married? This is the most confusing thing I've ever read haha.
>
> I'm assuming you've misread me... but I'll have a pint of what he's drinking!

Go Your Own Way Elsewhere: Why TRP Isn't MGTOW and We're Not Monks

2 upvotes · 18 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Frankly, if someone isn't interested in putting forth effort to have sex with women, he doesn't belong at The Red Pill.
>
> Strongly disagree. I believe the end goal of Red Pill is to be able to put the least effort necessary into getting sex from women. They're frankly not worth Herculean efforts. Additionally, this would tally as the end-logic of abundance mentality - i.e. no woman is worth significant effort because there is another slut round the corner.
>
> That said, I want to delve into a specific:
>
> > not wasting your time catering to other people makes girls want to have sex with you
>
> The paradoxical reality of attractive behaviour... the less you give a shit about women and impressing them, the more they want you. I've seen this play out so many times now it's funny to think about how I could have been so blind.
>
> I believe the biggest danger a new Red Pill man can have is to have sex on too high of a pedestal. This is not to suggest it's not worth having or not enjoyable or any of that other nonsense that a virgin MGTOW would cling to. However if it's top of the list of priorities then that person is better off hanging out with some PUAs. They most certainly do not belong amongst TRP's men.
>
> I believe this for two reasons. Firstly, overemphasis on sex risks indiscipline and an inability to walk away - more important than ever in the modern environment/court system! Secondly, an overemphasis on sex feeds the

> opposing side of the earlier stated paradoxical reality of attraction. As women are very good at spotting fakes... when bypassing the anti-slut defence for example, when a man gets up to say he's leaving because he doesn't care if she sleeps with him... he needs to really mean it. If she doesn't believe it then it'll affect her feelings for him and the whole situation goes a different way.
>
> I would opine that while TRP started as seduction and sexual strategy... the conclusion has been that the optimal strategy is men putting themselves first in a society that frequently and openly opposes this. Since sex permeates all aspects of life to one degree or another, this would explain why people see TRP as more than mere sexual strategy and so heavily self-improvement. Due to the paradoxical nature of conclusions drawn regarding attractive behaviour, the message regarding sexual strategy becomes mixed and the only consistent is that of men putting themselves first.
>
> This should also make clear why this discussion keeps popping up from time to time. - Essentially I don't back the MGTOW side either. I simply disprove the pure sexual strategy side and then try to rethink the paradoxical inconsistency with something which is more universally consistent among discussions.

Love: Freud vs Jung perspective

2 upvotes · 18 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> modern theorists

hahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha. Per-lease! I studied psych years ago and even back then the field had all but dismissed everything Freud and Jung have to say by now due to the unfalsifiable nature of much of it. They're basically a piece of history now. The focus for the real professionals in the field (not the politically motivated) is keeping things as scientific as possible and adhering to Galileo's wisdom on measuring.

This entire post is not just shit, it's shit based upon manure. You shouldn't have given it time of day mate.

feeling like a consolation prize

2 upvotes · 14 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> How did you get to the acceptance phases? Im stuck between depression and anger phases.

Yeah, you're in bargaining. "If I can just improve a bit more to compete with these other guys then maybe I'll be happy."

> How do you get over the fact you aren't at the same level as the other men that women you can attract have been involved with?

I've never known anyone in my life who is better than me in every department. If a guy is wealthier than me, I might be better looking than him. My hair is definitely superior to his, so I'm already 2-1 up. Try being a bit kinder to yourself mate. If you've worked hard then you'll have achieved some stuff worth bragging to yourself about a little.

> Instead of celebrating my huge progress, I've ended up focusing in on guys that are way ahead of me at the same age either at the office or at the gym.

Life is a marathon, not a sprint. Usually guys who are ahead when young don't tend to be the most worthy. They're just the lucky ones. Some will press on, others will allow their luck to run out. Watch and enjoy the show all you like, but honestly you can only compare yourself to YOU because you're the only one who had the precise level of luck you've had.

knowledge vs application

2 upvotes · 12 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> But, I have the difficulty of applying it. I can't change my inherent ways. or force myself to change in the moment, it feels inauthentic and not my personality.

With what? You need to be more specific than just "applying red pill." This ain't some topical cream mate. There's a lot of nuances and facets to the pill that allow personalisation of your use of the knowledge.

How do I handle this woman?

2 upvotes · 11 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> I'm gonna see her at another event on the 5th of August, I feel like it might be better to use that occasion?

Yeah, that can make it more natural.

> Not trying to find an excuse for not seeing her, but I'm so absolutely terrible at starting a conversation "out of thin air", and an event is always a good starting point.

I get that man. This is why I try to make openers as natural as possible and unforced. That's not to say I don't do stupid forced openers like cheesey pick-up lines sometimes for the laugh, but typically I'll start situational conversations. You can run a PUA type thing of just doing lots of approaches anytime you're in public. All you aim for is a conversation for 5-10 mins and just start situational conversations.

For example if you're at a bar you can ask about a weird drink a chick has/is buying. Mock it for extra fun. If you're at an event then that's obviously the topic. Hell, I picked up a girl once in the library talking to her about a book she was looking at. Roosh's day bang is a pretty good book for this stuff. I think he advocated taking along some props as conversation starters and such. Worth a read. Practice practice practice!

> Also, is there anywhere I can find some stuff about leading conversations about "private" topics like those FORD? I'm a good active listener, but I'm screwed when the other doesn't lead (now guess again why I've never gotten a woman)... And of course, on the basis of the other topics it's quite unfitting to escalate :P

Any PUA should be able to do that. I mean, most of that conversation is pretty benign. I'd talk about similar stupid shit with a guy I was getting to know at work. Seriously, when it comes to conversation, chicks aren't special. They're usually just worse at it than the average guy. Try thinking about some anecdotes or stories you could tell about various things that you can slip in if the conversation ever lags... but typically you want to keep the focus on her and keep her talking.

I recall Nick Sparks (a PUA I saw years ago) did an interesting chatter about teaching a chick to have a conversation when she's useless. Most of it was about being comfortable in the awkward silence. So focus on learning that too.

> Well, guess I'll have to get her to take me home. That's probably the easier part, that's the one thing I already achieved before. I hope we haven't drunk each other under the table by that time...

Careful old chap... also, you went home with her and didn't fuck her? Dude... when a chick does that it's like runway lights towards her pussy lol. Don't drop the opportunity this time, the fact you didn't use it last time may have damaged your image as a sexual being in her mind since you didn't act like one. When you get back to her place, escalate hard. Kissing, heavy petting etc within like 1-2 mins of getting through the door. If you can get her feeling up your hardon then you're pretty much home free. I can't think of an occasion where it's got that far and

> hasn't led to sex.

Opinions are like assholes, so who gives a shit?

2 upvotes · 10 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> If no one is following you, and you are marching to a band that everyone hates, what's the point.

Indeed. The nuance would be that you need to listen to others and be sure in your opinions. However you will not be cowed, manipulated or shamed into holding opinions without a damned good set of reasoning behind it.

You can persuade others of your views if you so choose, however it is not of great importance to you. You know what you're doing, and anybody smart enough to listen is welcome to learn. It's essentially how all the ED's and mods operate here - we're happy to explain the views we hold and why we hold them to educate others. However if you wish to reject our views, you're welcome to and we don't really care.

Low smv, no confidence, self hatred. Where do i start?

2 upvotes · 5 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

Monk mode. Lift. Get Starting Strength by Ripptoe or do Stronglifts 5x5. Get to a doctor and get your acne treated. Read the TRP sidebar in full. Look into mens fashion during your monk mode and overhaul your wardrobe. Finally, read some basic game, (my TRP Field Toolkit is a good place to cover that) and/or look up some PUA techniques.

All of that should take you two to three months to see serious progress, by which point you'll be in a better place to think about heading out without feeling embarrassed about trying to talk to women.

Never confront her -- Betas don't get to set boundaries

2 upvotes · 5 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

If these guys want an alternative game plan, they could happily kick their asshole game into high gear. Mocking and deriding a woman for her poor behaviour is a move I've found to be very effective. If you wish to accentuate this then you can outright state that doing X makes her unattractive. It's amazed me how effective it has been to openly tell a woman she's unattractive to you for X, Y or Z reason.

There are two reactions that asshole game brings. The response to an alpha is laughing and agreement/cute looks for reassurance. The response to a beta is anger. If the beta then holds his ground and ignores her tantrum, then she may reevaluate her view of him. It's a more implicit way of setting the boundary that fits the feminine/womanese conversational pattern.

I also strongly disagree with this:

> Confrontation is a tool of women, not men

As someone who uses pressure flips all the time, I can say that direct confrontation is a very handy tool for men. I believe that confrontation simply has different goals, different styles and different... "success conditions" if you will.

For women, confrontation is designed as a sort of war of attrition tool to try to cow a man into the submissive role through emotional manipulation. For men, confrontation is about asserting dominance then and there in a quick strike. Whether this is emotional, physical or intellectual dominance is irrelevant, the victory condition is simple: she must be totally overwhelmed by you immediately. (Hence why the biggest win on a pressure flip is to turn her into a babbling mess.)

I believe this is why you have come to that conclusion that it is not for men, because many men simply can't show

> the level of ruthlessness required to completely overwhelm their woman and actively demonstrate to her just how utterly worthless she is by comparison to him.
>
> To flesh out the nuance to the point you've made more completely, I'd go on to say that when you refer to using a woman's tool against her, is trying to use the woman's style of confrontation - along with her victory conditions - against her is merely that confirmation that you are not good enough to completely overwhelm her and assert dominance. Instead you have to ask her to submit to you, just like her attempts to emotionally manipulate are - she is requesting that her man submit to her. (Another of the endless tests women give us to see whether we'll give up our dominant position or not.)

"My girlfriend discovered I masturbate to porn and went NUTS..."

2 upvotes · 2 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I don't think there's an ideal response... there is however, what I would say to a woman being stupid.

The Ultimate Test: Going on a date in a Wheelchair. [UPDATE]

2 upvotes · 22 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > How did i do it? the simple answer, by not caring about failure.
>
> Legend. I chime in less and less on here at the moment (busy on the referendum right now, so will return in due course), but one of the things I never really forget is that paradoxical idea that alphas who aren't looking to get laid and genuinely don't care about getting laid, will get laid the most. It's a bit of a paradox: if you want to get laid, then don't *want* to get laid.
>
> Also:
>
> > If the internet has taught me anything it's that even batman can beat superman with enough prep time.
>
> You got a little laugh out of me on that one. Though I'd point out most game stuff you use will work on the majority of women. It becomes more about just adjusting yourself according to the feedback you get from her behaviour. SMV is a subjective thing decided by women - she may be a 9 in your book, but that doesn't mean she won't consider herself a 6. It's all about making the balance to get her perceptions as he is +1 or maybe +2 SMV above her own. If you're equal she'll shit test you to be sure, if you're below she'll ignore you and if you're way out above, she'll try to avoid embarrassing herself by disqualifying herself in advance.

Is it bad that I could not stop laughing about how Beta a guy was??

2 upvotes · 12 June 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Nah. Idiots gonna idiot. Not your problem.
>
> If laughing at cringe was wrong then The Inbetweeners wouldn't have become so popular.

We Don't Hate Sluts; We Just Recognize Their Low Value

2 upvotes · 7 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Excellent understanding of the nuances. Clearly written in a logical fashion that's easy to digest.
>
> I read this yesterday and didn't have time to comment. It's quality posts like this which make me believe there's still hope in TRP. Have a point. ♂

Around the World in 80 Lays: Ugly post-wall feminist goes on sex romp having lots of sex around the world to get over a breakup.

2 upvotes · 4 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Feeling the emotion of happiness most of the time for the majority of their life. I'd consider that happiness for anyone. Men and women will be able to experience that differently though.

Frustration and/or woman hating?

2 upvotes · 2 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Thank you for demonstrating that I was right. It helps the guys here understand why we have the hierarchy we have.

Rule number 1

2 upvotes · 1 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This one comes up from time to time on here. Height is similar to race or facial features. You can't control it, you're stuck with it. If you're asian it's an automatic -1 to your SMV. It sucks... but life isn't fair and you've got to step past it.
>
> Now height is a bugger in some respects, because I personally believe it's an automatic -2 to your SMV. However, that doesn't mean you can't bang hot chicks. I'm not tall and even as blooper I wasn't doing too badly. Develop presence and status and your height really doesn't matter at all.
>
> You may get rejected based upon your height... but you can also get rejected for all sorts of other dumb shit due to the girl's fee-fees at the time. It's only the chicks in the mid-range who'll reject you for height. Tall girls won't and neither will most petite girls (my personal preference.)
>
> Maybe your game needs work? Does your physique need more work? How about your style? Have you tried using women as social proof? There's a plethora of ways to improve your SMV... -1 or -2 is irrelevant because you can raise it back up by 6 or 7 points if you're sharp enough.
>
> To correct your rules:
>
> - Rule 1: Be attractive.
> - Rule 2: Don't be unattractive.

Did my best friend(girl) trick me regarding my girl friend?

2 upvotes · 27 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > Amanda previously had a abusive relationship.
>
> lol
>
> You mean Amanda got with Chad, did everything he wanted and she got used and disposed of because she's a brainless cunt.
>
> I've said before that chicks who cry rape are instantly hard-nexted. This is because it doesn't actually matter if she was raped or not, all possible outcomes and explanations for her crying rape **all** warrant a hard next.
>
> I would say the same for a chick who claims she's been abused. She gains socially from this claim regardless of whether it's true... so why would she claim this?
>
> It is one of three possible reasons:
>
> - She made a mistake, but her rationalisation process goes to extremes in order to secure herself sympathy. In which case she's a pathological liar and needs hard nexting.
> - She is projecting her own emotional abuse of a guy who decided to leave her. In which case her light switch is

strong and she'll rationalise you as the bad guy at some point whether you're expecting it or not. Ranks high on the crazy scale and therefore hard next. Or she a manipulative bitch, that one's an obvious hard next.

- She actually was abused. This means she has a ton of emotional baggage and associated mental issues. Again, instant hard next.

So inherently, Amanda's reality is irrelevant. You need to cut this toxic chick from your life as soon as possible. Be careful to manage and mitigate any potential social fallout since you're sounding like a high school lad. Social dynamics are a bit gay in high school.

You'll need to go monk mode for a couple of months anyway just to sort yourself out/reinvent yourself. So just ghost her, pretend you're busy (which you should be, you've got a lot to do if you've just discovered the pill and want to become an attractive man), shut down conversations politely and ensure there's a text trail that you can show people at will because since this chick is toxic... it's only a matter of time until she lashes out at you. Be prepared to fight that social shit in a calm manner because as your attitude changes people will notice and some will try to drag you back down again, Amanda likely included... and be aware that she has the ol' vagina advantage. (People will naturally side with women in social disputes. Esp attractive women. This is female privilege from sexual attractiveness. Take it away and they suddenly realise what it's like being a man.)

Also note that high school is blue-pill-indoctrination-land. Anything other than serving the feminine imperative is frowned upon if you are beta... however if you're a natural alpha then you can do whatever the fuck you like. As you transition, they'll try to stop you and shame you. But once you're there... you can do whatever you want and you'll be loved by the girls who think they can/want to get with you.

Extreme Circumstances

2 upvotes · 12 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

First thought: not my call.

Second thought: We typically adapt when we need to adapt. Not before. I trust the guys in charge to sort things out when they need sorting. For the time being we're too small for this to be a serious issue. We need another 100K people before this is even on the horizon. Once it does happen... that's the time for discussion among the guys in charge to decide how to approach things.

Let's discuss frame: How do you deal with nagging/bitchy ltrs/gfs/plates?

2 upvotes · 10 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

There's various types and styles of commanding I've identified. I'll be putting up the piece in the next 2-3 months once I've got other things sorted. You might find bits of it useful as more simplistic commands or some suggestive commands are excellent for setting the correct dom/sub dynamic. So keep an eye out, you might find something useful you can use.

If I was in your shoes, I'd focus on ridding yourself of that latent blue pill programming that's got you comparing men and women (probably without even realising you're doing it.) Men and women are very separate creatures and are not remotely equal or comparable. We're playing totally different games of life in totally different ways with totally different skill sets and attributes.

Have a good one!

Let's discuss frame: How do you deal with nagging/bitchy ltrs/gfs/plates?

2 upvotes · 10 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> If I (42) tried to pull this kind of stuff on my (43) gf, she'd laugh me out into last week.

> If a woman laughs at you when you're being serious then she does not respect you. Why have you LTR'd a woman older than you who you don't think respects you?

Does she think I'm her friend?

2 upvotes · 7 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> My rule of not smashing girls in my classes is only to prevent drama, but what if I could avoid drama. That "what if" is the only thing holding me back.

This is pussy on the pedestal talk. You are agonising over one chick and trying to rationalise in order to sleep with her. Hard next before you catch more serious feels.

How to bang on a first date - By Luke Mooney

2 upvotes · 27 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Thanks. Game is something I enjoy. My TRP Field Toolkit series on base game is actually what a couple of endorsed guys told me they learned their game from. I'm going to post a follow up on commands once I've got my blog up and running. Real life is a pain that keeps getting in the way.

Theory Weekend - No Stupid Questions Thread Part II

2 upvotes · 25 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Hobbies. Groups. Sports teams.

Try meetup.com and you'll find some groups to get you started. I also recommend checking out things which tend to be masculine/male-heavy environments. (Hence sports teams as one starting point. Groups to do with cars and racing etc can be good too.) It all varies from city to city so you'll just have to do your research and go from there. It took me about 9 months to revamp my social group, so don't expect any sudden or quick changes for this. Friendships take time to build. I was (ironically) discussing this with a new friend of circa 7 months the other day... how everyone in these groups seemed to expect instant deep friendships without needing to invest any time or making any effort to build a bond.

Theory Weekend - No Stupid Questions Thread Part II

2 upvotes · 25 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> So how do you approach it if a girl ignores your messages?

You move on. You know what the worst thing you're going to have to acknowledge is? There are other men out there with higher SMV than you who are willing to bang that same chick. And if one of those men shows her some interest... she's going to pay attention to him and ignore you. That is what will happen and short of upping your SMV, there's nothing you can do about it other than move along.

Women's morphing need for male investment. - Dalrock

2 upvotes · 24 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> throw themselves at me for sex and am starting a trend of waiting for it to weed out even more of the girls I don't want.

Just as a warning on that, you're going to get caught out by any girl who is well practiced in her "Good girl" game. A while back I was almost fooled by one, but I pressed on for sex, got it, and then had to have a hard look in the

> mirror at myself that I'd almost deluded myself into thinking I'd found some kind of unicorn. Evaluation just takes time. Don't fail to pursue sex.
>
> There's a whole other discussion to be had on how not escalating will eventually be seen as beta behaviour, but I'm not really in the mood for writing about that right now. It's all food for thought though.

Sigma male..does he exist?

2 upvotes · 14 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> No irony. I am serious.
>
> One of the things I did while I was honing my game skills was to set out and meet guys too so I could revamp my social circle and make some worthwhile male friends and ditch the betas from my old social group. In searching for new friends... I happened upon some alphas, some betas, the occasional omega and the occasional sigma. There are no prizes for guessing the guys I focused on building friendships with.

[FR] NOBODY can negotiate their attraction.

2 upvotes · 10 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I feel comfortable promoting this because it doesn't actually harm your ability to game chicks or to maintain your plates. Women help their friends by covering up their bad behaviour or blaming someone/something else... for men to fight back, we need to look out for each other a bit better. Given that this method has no downside for you personally, I think it's the ideal solution.

plates want commitment after a couple of weeks

2 upvotes · 7 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > From what you write, i assume i did everything wrong.
>
> I wouldn't say that. I think it's a combo of having some women who are very focused on getting commitment combined with misinterpreting how some advice works.
>
> When we're talking about making it clear that it's not a relationship, it's about declaring where you are right then and there. That it isn't a relationship. You don't say it will never be a relationship. You leave the hamster to assume "it's not a relationship now... but he's attracted to me, so it will be one eventually" and she'll wait. When she brings it up again later and gets the same answer, that's usually when the plate will smash unless she's susceptible to the sunk cost fallacy. In which case she'll just look to branch swing. Once a tactic to get what she wants fails, women will typically throw tantrums. When that fails, they'll sulk and try using a guilt/pity tactic on you.
>
> Anyway, the advantage of my method is that by specifying the time period, if she brings it up any sooner then it's easy to dismiss as "we agreed we'd discuss it in X months time." If she tries to force things then treat like a shit test and use a respect commanding response. Nice and heavy handed. Bitch will fall in line or she's gone. (Because in fairness, she's gone anyway if you let that play out.) Always remember: you have all the leverage. Use it.
>
> There are also a fair few totally retarded women out there who actively go out to ride the CC on purpose and are happy to just have a high SMV FWB for regular sex during her 20s. These women require little management - they'll be with you until a better man comes along basically. So I'd say the fact you seem to be picking women who have a very clear game plan to secure commitment is probably the other half of your problem. Hopefully this has given you a bit more insight into what's going on beneath the surface so you can adapt on your own terms using your own style.

Why are you sending mixed messages

2 upvotes · 7 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Why are you so insecure?
>
> That's the pressure flip I'd use on this nonsense. I wouldn't respond via text. She'll probably shit test you in person.
>
> If you want the translation of her "I don't know what to think anymore" drivel then it's something along the lines of "my tingles are really distracting me. Validate me by giving me attention and taking me seriously!" Obviously, she doesn't need any validation. She's chasing you, you're winning. Pull her in on your own terms, not hers.

Is it okay to go through an LTRs phone?

2 upvotes · 6 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> I would say there's no point in confronting a woman when she has/is cheated/cheating. It's utterly pointless because your position isn't going to change, she's getting dumped. So we shouldn't even be considering confronting her about it.
>
> The Masterclass delivered in this post is very relevant for this discussion and for /u/imthemanyesiam since he could find himself suddenly in a similar scenario.
>
> Remember: women just need to be put in the situation where "it just happened" and that's enough for them to disown all responsibility for their actions.

One Year of RP in and coincidentally a one year dry spell

2 upvotes · 1 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> If you were successful as a blooper then you can't be physically unattractive. I'm willing to bet your escalation and game is where the problem lies.
>
> Something like this:
>
> > "Where are your friends?"
>
> is an obvious shit test, but you're putting it like it is a genuinely problematic statement. So your SMV isn't bad, but you lack social proof so she wants to figure out where your SMV really is through assessing your social status. If you fail that or appear flustered by it then it's game over.
>
> In terms of an active field guide for this, have you read my TRP Field Toolkit? You'll find the 4 part guide in my submission history. It covers all base game with examples and further reading to allow you to spot and deal with the majority of issues you'll face in the field. Let me know if you have any questions.

Was I butthurt?

2 upvotes · 1 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> You weren't butthurt but you gave way too much ground by simply giving her control over everything. As a general rule: When you've agreed a plan and she wants to change things, you will tell her what she is going to give as payment for this. Don't let her have it for free.
>
> Something simple like "No worries, I guess drinks are on you now." This is also a good way to discover if she is really interested in you or whether you're her backup option. A backup guy is likely just there to boost her self esteem... she won't want to actually invest anything into him. That is reserved for the man or men she wants to fuck and lock down. So if she refuses then hard next and move on.
>
> When a woman pushes beyond this and messes you around, it's a soft next because you have options of women

> who won't mess you about. Your time is valuable, if she has wasted it then she is not rewarded with any more of it without paying due costs for the time she has wasted. An apology and promise to pay for whatever you do together next - you can order her to do this in a jokey way and I've found 95% of women will respond positively. (It's all in the subtext.) Think about it like you're high power consultant/doctor/lawyer... she missed the appointment, she still has to pay for it. Until she does this and admits/apologises for it, she will not get another appointment. Your time costs, she must pay for it. You're not a state employee/beta, you're a private citizen running his game privately for profit, not just for whatever is going.

Polish women may be among the greatest LTR material in the Western world, and genetic markers of prenatal testosterone exposure prove it

2 upvotes · 11 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Everybody should be watching this. One of the key points as to why men and women are not equals is because the biology very clearly adapts us for different roles in the dominance/submission dynamic. If you check out the sidebar material post on "Gender studies is nonsense" then you'll see how the researchers at reputable institutions absolutely reject the idea that gender is either a social construct or malleable in any way... it's decided right from when we're in the womb. Men are exposed to something like 300 times more testosterone than women iirc. This has significant impact and this science is the basis for one of the base principles of TRP, that men and women are different and the idea "women can do anything men can do" is complete and utter garbage.

Need help from you red pillers

2 upvotes · 10 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I started browsing this subreddits about 4 months ago reading up on things.
>
> And yet doesn't know how to use the askTRP sub.

Study: Men think their male classmates are smarter, even when they're not

2 upvotes · 18 February 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > Explain how the ANOVA was not run correctly.
>
> Missed out the my follow-up stating that I've got no reason to suspect that. Any inferential stat can be fucked up just like any calculation. It's why they're always run multiple times to account for the possibility that you've hit the wrong button at some stage. This is why I was openly pointing out that I don't disagree with the validity of the inferential stats - so we're on the same page as discounting the theory they've inputted info incorrectly. Jeez. Misrepresenting what I said to try and score cheap points does nothing but make me take you less seriously.
>
> > This is generally the case in most traditionally male-dominated careers. Really it comes down to the lack of women present in these fields, indicating that "it's just not for women", thanks to actual past oppression.
>
> Do you even read yourself? Men view women as less talented from their experiences of professionally interacting with women in their field... because there's not enough women. That's what you've just said and tried to justify through a cultural idea that some things are "not for women." In doing this you've assumed none of these people are objective in recalling their own experiences. The entire point behind that was whether it was even possible to face an unpleasant reality. From your response, you're assuming against an unpleasant discovery instead of factoring it in.
>
> > One way of resolving it is to use numbers for applications.

Maybe. You could repeat this experiment with just a number instead of a name of an applicant to see whether the variance in responses narrows or not. It would act as a good control.

> we need to have highly qualified individuals.

As standards continue to be lowered in order to admit more women, the whole positive discrimination thing will harm your goal.

> The point being, then, that if those doing the hiring are assuming female candidates are less capable only by virtue of them being female, then we're dismissing equally valid candidates and thus not utilizing the full potential of trained personnel.

Losing the mediocre isn't something to cry too much over. You're not going to achieve perfection in a subjective field like recruitment. Which then means we need to weigh up the lesser of the evils. Do we lose some mediocre women? Or do we gain them back and additionally take on some less talented women through positive discrimination and lose the mediocre men. Overall the difference will likely be small, so it's better not to waste time and resources on it. Particularly since there's a valid argument that you're replacing mediocre men with some mediocre women and some inferior women will actively harm your goal of achieving the best potential personnel.

Oh and:

> And since when do faculty not care about the ability of a potential lab manager hire? We're not talking about a lab drone to clean benches and fill pipette tip boxes, they're going to be key in ensuring lab productivity.

No we're not. We're talking about a piece of paper for which there are no real world consequences if the academic doesn't give a shit. It's a hypothetical decision about whether they'd interview someone or not. We are relying on the honour of academics to be true - and given the state of modern academia and college campuses tolerating the horseshit from entitled whiny students who want safe spaces... I don't trust academics honour to do something seriously otherwise all the nonsense that happened at mizzou and such would have been more roundly squashed by academics across the US rising up to tell them all (in response to brainless chick who is demonstrating Yale is not as impressive as it once was) "No. University IS an intellectual space, not a home." But I don't believe that's the prevailing attitude. From what I've observed, the prevailing attitude seems to be "I'm alright, Jack." So long as they're getting paid first and foremost, and they get to do some research if they're interested in that (I've seen academics who get tenure and then basically down tools.) And until proven otherwise, my doubts are going to remain.

"All you want me for is sex!" - what does this really mean?

2 upvotes · 17 February 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> What would you like them to offer?

My individual preferences are irrelevant. There's an almost endless plethora of things humans can offer each other. Anything from the more popular things like being able to cook a tasty and healthy range of meals, to less likely things like being able to fix cars, all bring additional things to the table. Additional skills and abilities to enhance the life of your partner. I'm not going to make a list because it would be enormous. Use your imagination and think about knowledge and/or skill sets.

> Why would you even commit to a relationship if you think she has nothing to offer except for sex?

That's easy. Though I do object to your shifting the grounds of the debate from the general principle of any

> woman making the statement, to it being a woman you're in a committed relationship with. I'll cover off both eventualities. First, the plate: unless a man wants to be voluntarily celibate, he will either have to find one or more women for regular sex or have a succession of one night stands. The succession of one night stands is not only time consuming, but you've got increased risks of catching an STD and increased risks of picking up some crazy chick who does damage to your life (whether physical damage to your property, intangible damage to your social life or even a combination from a false rape claim.) Even if you've selected a plate who can offer nothing but sex, it is preferable to manage that plate well in order to ensure you're using your time effectively. An additional benefit would be that you can teach her what you enjoy sexually.
>
> Covering off the relationship thing, I personally wouldn't get into a relationship with a woman who offered nothing but sex. All women can offer sex, so such a woman is worthless as a person. However I can see that some men would, simply because of societal pressure to have a serious relationship. There is endless shaming of single men who don't have a girlfriend, from being called sexual failures through to being told they need to man up and get a woman. These pressures alone are enough to push a man into a relationship with such a worthless excuse for a woman. Sometimes her simply demanding the relationship status is enough for her to get it. In a way, you answer your own question with the quandary itself: it is not logical for a man to commit to a woman who can offer nothing to him but sex. Therefore there are three solutions to why this would happen:
>
> - he has been coerced
> - he has been strongarmed
> - this is the general standard of modern women and there aren't good alternatives available to a man who wants a relationship
>
> Pick your favourite.

Went out with a chick I met through tinder, 48 partner count, she cuts herself on occasion, got pregnant twice, both times aborted and is constantly suicidal

2 upvotes · 16 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Reading this, my first thought is that a lesson you need to learn is to raise your fuckin' standards. Jeez. How bad does a slut need to be for a guy to actually learn the lesson on this place that not all sex with women is worth it? Sometimes it is better to walk away.
>
> Also, you listened to all this shite about her? If I'd made the mistake of having sex with her, I'd have left at that point and prompted for a positive text to cover my ass then ghosted her.
>
> The lesson to learn here is **when to walk away.**

If you could go back and give yourself advice right when you entered the SMP, what would you tell yourself?

2 upvotes · 3 February 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Women are more shallow than most men. Behave accordingly if you want to attract the more attractive ones.

The Goal Is Alpha Bux

2 upvotes · 30 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Newbies listen up to this post. Inform your decisions well because you can't go back and change them to recover any time you've lost.
>
> This in particular is a reason I've ditched certain friends when I left Corporateland:
>
> > Money, while useful as a tool, is a shitty way to keep score.

> It's exactly the same principle as not falling into the fallacious trap women use of believing whoever has the highest SMV must be right about things. Middle and low SMV guys are only worthless to women, not to the enlightened. The only times their SMV is relevant to your own goals is if you're hanging around them when you're intending to play the game and whether their SMV gap will cause them to become a toxic influence on your life or not.

Made girl pay for first date drinks... calls me an asshole. Becomes huge topic of discussion.

2 upvotes · 29 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Women don't like paying. It's a hit to their self-esteem. In fact, pretty much anything that doesn't prop them up is a hit to their self-esteem.

This is complete nonsense. Everybody disregard. Women are **eager** to pay for high value men to spend time with them. Any petulant complaints about her paying for some drinks are merely a shit test to see if she can make you buckle. If I'm ever out with a woman then I'm never paying for the first round anymore because inevitably the woman has done something dumb that I can tell her she needs to make up for. The insecurity of her social status only comes into play if you can't provide the explanation she needs.

e.g. "Oh I arrived late so I had to make it up by buying the drinks" is seen as a perfectly acceptable excuse amongst women.

can you tell red from blue?

2 upvotes · 22 January 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> do you think trpers are more attractive than average?

Practiced Red Pill men, yes. Not the newbies. Newbies are likely to be unattractive. It's the reason they came to TRP.

can you tell red from blue?

2 upvotes · 22 January 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

You wouldn't spot me. I hide in the shadows on rooftops, only appearing to fight crime like a ninja.

Though in all seriousness, I recall putting up a field report that was discussed over on the blue pill sub (I took it down later because it was too specific.) Anyways, I was told about this, so I went to read it for a chuckle. One of the earliest comments from a girl was words to the effect of "If only it didn't have all that misogynist nasty stuff going through his head. It sounded like a really nice date."

She was pretty much admitting that just reading about it, spending time with me seemed like fun and she'd see herself and maybe others doing the same thing as the girl did. So I'd say no, you're unlikely to spot a practiced Red Piller in the wild. You'll just find us attractive and think we're a normal attractive guy.

Blue pillers... you can probably spot them because if you say anything "problematic" they'll be the one using shaming language to tell you off for your unacceptable thoughts. They'll also say racist and sexist things in the pursuit of their identity politics.

Why Every Man Should Spend A Year In Commissioned Sales

2 upvotes · 11 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

I do believe my consultancy days (which incorporated a large number of boiler room sales tactics on potential clients, once you got the sale you rinse them as best as possible) helped inform my game style. Very willing to

> walk away, plenty more out there and manage the pussy you've plated well for the long term. It's identical to how I used to work. Focus on managing current and repeat clients first for continual income, play opportunist and focus on closing new clients in asap. If they piss you about when they're not paying you, don't waste valuable time on them.

My Army buddy needs our help and I don't want to mess anything up, please help.

2 upvotes · 6 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Empathise with him first and foremost. Until you can get there to speak in person, you'll need to emphasise that you feel his pain and that he is not alone. Tell him how you intend to help him work through this shit because you've seen this crap before and he's completely right about how wrong it is. You're going up to see him already, he just has to hold his shit together until you get there (maybe you could sorta jokingly run a military-style "hold the position soldier, reinforcements will be there." Sometimes a little light hearted silly camaraderie can lift spirits better than anything serious ever could.)
>
> Don't try to force the pill down his throat. Once you're there, focus on helping. You're dealing with a man who is emotionally broken, he requires support, compassion and empathy (something society will never provide to men, hence the suicide epidemic.) You'll need to make it clear to him that he's facing an uphill battle against odds. Perhaps a serious question about how much he truly cares about his son and how much of himself he's willing to sacrifice for his son will motivate him to get his emotions in check - because they need to be in check for the legal battle ahead.
>
> A quality lawyer is essential. No more contact with his ex. Accept that she currently holds the best hand and anything he does or has done that shows him in a bad light that she records is going to be used against him. So any mistakes he's made, he'll need to own in order to move forward and not repeat them. If he's not already, then he needs to start documenting everything to do with his ex and saving copies of anything she sends him.
>
> This is just my own automatic reaction, the legal specifics need to be covered by experts. I recall /u/ProtoPill is one of our resident legal experts. Perhaps he can provide better input than me.

Instruction For A Polite Feminist

2 upvotes · 3 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Obviously I'm going to agree with that. You've just implicitly conceded my point without admitting it. Understanding and self control are what we need.

Instruction For A Polite Feminist

2 upvotes · 3 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> One of your best works. A couple of paragraphs in there giving an exceptionally detailed, yet brief, explanation of what I've always thought.

The greater your success the more jealousy you will face

2 upvotes · 30 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I guess he meant by holding frame he wasnt suck into his friends jealousy frame.
>
> What an achievement... honestly I've never felt bad about my success because of the jealousy of others. So I simply don't understand that as a thing. (And believe me, I've seen plenty of jealous people.) To me, none of this is Red Pill. It all falls under common sense. When TRP is talking about friendships it's more a matter of finding quality male-male friendships and the difficulty involved in that in this day and age. (Blue pill manginas throwing you under the bus, thirsty guys, guys who just don't contribute anything, balancing between the guys who

> contribute one thing but would lower your SMV in other situations. And so on and so forth.)

[FR] That girl that never wanted you.. Pre & Post TRP comparison.

2 upvotes · 30 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's the entire reason most of us offer the help, because it's actually making a difference for real people in a world where it's very difficult to make any kind of serious impact beyond the traditional careers.
>
> Congrats on your transformation. It's another example that you can pick up a chick you used to orbit... though personally I wouldn't put much effort into it. (She's nothing special.) Enjoy the hatefuck, you've earned it.

The greater your success the more jealousy you will face

2 upvotes · 30 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Without knowing how to hold frame I would have made myself look like an undeserving kid who did not earn such an opportunity.
>
> Ya whut? What the hell has holding frame got to do with earning a scholarship? Just ditch the frenemies. Nothing more needs to be said on that. (Incidentally, if you regularly humblebrag like this, it's not remotely surprising that people turn on you. That's something you'll want to fix.)

Best response to 'Be a man'?

2 upvotes · 22 December 2015 · /r/askTRP

> "Grow some tits." :D

for all sides of this war to come together

2 upvotes · 21 December 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Deeply disappointed this did not lead to the scene from Rambo.

I may have found a good girl... how to calibrate the amount of communication?

2 upvotes · 20 December 2015 · /r/askTRP

> You're going to hate my answer bro, and I suspect you won't follow my advice and it's going to bite you in the ass later...
>
> Reading this bit:
>
> > I am single, and I haven't much relationship exerience (2 months with and older +10y woman when I was 28, some weeks with a -5y woman and 10 days with a -6y woman when I was 30).
>
> You don't have enough experience with women to play LTR game. Sorry bro, but that's the reality. You need to go spin some plates and get some hands on experience dealing with their shit the red pill way.
>
> Many guys think they've found a decent girl early in their Red Pill days... but they are the "good girl" who doesn't really exist. Chicks can keep up this façade for months mate. So you probably haven't found unicorn-potential material. (In addition, your little experience should be telling you not to trust yourself on this anyway while you're learning.)
>
> Focus on yourself, your career, upping your SMV so you can spin some plates for a bit. If you're interested in LTRs then come back to it in a year and research LTR game once you've got some extended encounters with at least 3 or 4 women.

Maybe you're not that red pilled and you're probably not cut out for any of this.

2 upvotes · 20 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Getting pussy is a part of sexual strategy.
>
> Getting pussy means getting sex, getting the woman into bed.
>
> Sexual strategy includes lifting, getting in shape, altering your diet, organising your life, developing attitude etc etc... it also includes the night itself you're seducing a woman and what to do there. The things I've listed before are indirectly linked to getting pussy. However they are not done exclusively for getting pussy. Getting pussy is a part of sexual strategy, one of the end goals (depending on your end goals. For example, guys wanting to play LTR game have the end goal of a stable relationship, not just sex. The universal end goal for all TRP is your life mission - essential to the right attitude.)

Republican vs Democrat: An observation about American politics and voters from someone who took the pill.

2 upvotes · 13 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Props from me are always earned. Clearly none of the props have gone to your head. My thanks to you are more because I've got less to write as a result! There's a reason I champion "lazy game" - am all about efficiency.
>
> I'll check that out the website at some point. Essay pieces? Or a breakdown of videos maybe?
>
> Back on topic: I think OP has missed the point I made, (given his reply.)

Response to "Don't Hate Women For Being Women"

2 upvotes · 4 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I think you've missed the point. The reason I say there's two options is because trying to make any kind of change to how society works currently comes with certain... drawbacks and social stigma (as per the MRAs experience.)
>
> Red Pill actively advises against trying to make any change because of the social stigma. While I'd like to think there might be a happy medium somewhere... I just can't see it. That's why it's not really discussed, because the choices pretty much are TRP and pussy crushing... or MRA and mild political correctness.
>
> We don't stop you trying though. I'd be interested to know if you figure something out. Come back and report if you do.

Response to "Don't Hate Women For Being Women"

2 upvotes · 4 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> HAHAHAHA!
>
> This is the funniest moral response I've ever had on TRP. I'm going to go into my workout smiling now.
>
> In all honesty, I don't care about the specifics. Didn't care when I wrote that because it was merely a passing comment. Still don't care. My general standpoint is that it should be illegal because it would be better for society. I'm not going to set out a specific mechanism for enforcing this here, because most of this falls from underlying issues.
>
> > Who gets to decide what constitutes cheating and what doesn't? From where do they derive the moral authority to be the one(s) to decide?
>
> Who decides executions are legal, yet murder for purposes of righteous retribution is not? The whole moral authority argument is so irrelevant when it comes to making laws. As Hoover pointed out: "justice is merely incidental to law and order." The moral side of things doesn't come from governments who make the laws, it comes from the pressure of the masses demanding that the government or ruler behave in a certain way as a

minimum - think the Magna Carta and you'll understand.

> There are way too many arbitrary, subjective, and random variables in there for it to even be close to morally acceptable to make "cheating" illegal.

This is so laughable I have no idea where to begin when you've so greatly oversimplified ethical philosophy. I think there's some overview/introductory books out there that will detail a few varying approaches to ethics and how they've altered since ancient times, through medieval, to more modern times. Ethical systems are actually quite fascinating. Pick up a book and you'll see how they've taken these subjective matters and made them quite straightforward to measure. Watch out for Kantian ethics. His style of language makes it quite a drudge to absorb.

Response to "Don't Hate Women For Being Women"

2 upvotes · 4 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Cheating isn't illegal. It should be, but it isn't. That's part of why it happens so frequently. The other part is hypergamy.

Facing Despair - Memoirs of a "natural alpha"

2 upvotes · 3 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

If you've had so many years of this... why precisely have you not yet found something more interesting than women to spend your time on?

Seriously.

Some Of You Really Have It All. Wrong.

2 upvotes · 1 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

This is why I've always called it "paradoxical" in nature. It is literally reconciling taking something you want, while not actively caring about it.

I'm glad I've cleared up one of the nuances of the pill for you.

Some Of You Really Have It All. Wrong.

2 upvotes · 1 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Amusingly... care as in IDGAF attitude.

The problem with appearing not to care... is that doesn't always work. Since women have spent so much of their life on social interactions, many do seem to have a sort of sixth sense that reads you exceptionally well. In a FR I had to take down, one girl knew (word for word) my exact attitude/thoughts going through my head within 15 seconds of meeting me.

While we advise fake-it-until-you-make-it for attitude... there is no substitute for the real thing.

I think the fact that you still care is indicative of a fear of failure. I've been out with a chick, fancied sex and not got it. Did I care? Nope. Did I try harder? Nope. That was a lost battle. War isn't over and there's plenty more battles ahead. Do you want to know the advantage of this mindset? I can fancy sex after meeting a chick and then close within 20 mins.

There's a great fear of failure going around TRP right now for some reason. Care less about sex. You can want it, but don't care about it. Do you see the nuance now?

Classic from Mike Cernovich. Stop passing shit-tests. Start punishing, instead.

2 upvotes · 29 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is a reasonable point. The fact it's been downvoted so much demonstrates how stupid and closed minded half the new crowd are.

My girlfriend thinks TRP is laughably false and unnecessary, and their arguments aren't based of facts and statistics.

2 upvotes · 25 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I would love to see some stats and facts TRP talks about (please link them). Also I'd love some arguing points that are based off facts so I have some ground to stand on. Examples like: Mens suicide rate vs women Homeless men vs women Support system provided by the state (i read recently about there being considerably more womens shelters than men) And anything that supports why we do what we red pillers do.
>
> Dear beta, please go do your own research. We have better things to do with our time.
>
> > Because she thinks were so unnecessary.
>
> Please also learn basic grammar before you return.

Pulling a Cypher- Why You Don't Proselytize The Red Pill.

2 upvotes · 24 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yes and no. Naturally you can learn faster from mentors using the Socratic method if they're any good at teaching. In my personal journey, I actually sought better men than me to learn from and asked questions often. I tried to establish who was better in different aspects of RP knowledge and seek out their expertise. (Literally practicing what I preach from my post many months ago on "Debating and discussion as a man" which is a little analysis of intellectual growth and how you achieve it faster being applied to the differences between men and women.)
>
> However I never lost sight of the fact that while I was doing this, I needed to adapt everything to suit my individual style and approach. For the couple of guys that I mentor, once they started seeing results, I became quite cold and less helpful. Telling them to take the lead more and figure out their problems more than I used to. Taking that step towards personal leadership is something I went through in detail in my most recent piece "On Leadership." It's extremely important.
>
> So overall, there's nothing wrong with having a mentor... though that mentor needs to understand they're trying to prepare you to not need them. Some guys can't grasp this and like the admiration, status and power that being the teacher gives them. So it heavily depends on them to get it right. You'll learn faster, so it's got benefits. On the other hand being alone in your journey forces you to take the lead, which is useful in and of itself.
>
> Swings and roundabouts I suppose. I'm going to be doing a piece next on how this links in with why we don't share the Red Pill.

On Leadership

2 upvotes · 22 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You've gotta do what you've gotta do. I would recommend the 8 part LTR series by OccamsUsername as a solid starting point.

On Leadership

2 upvotes · 22 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Indeed. The Great leader who has people who want to get things done around him is particularly strong when he

> has a diverse collection of talented men who respect him and can lead in their own right. Inspirational stuff.

AWALT

2 upvotes · 20 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This posting is being supremely under-upvoted. I sometimes wonder if it is a requirement to suffer the emotional gut wrenching at the hands of a woman to truly get that positive bias out of a man's head. To see that creature who was purring, clinging to you for dear life and practically crying at how much she loved you... turn full 180 in a matter of days and be able to honestly declare (at least in her own recollection) that she never really loved you in the first place. The differences between men in love and women in love don't seem to be mentioned as much on here anymore... and I'm wondering if this is why guys are finding it harder to internalise AWALT correctly.

Cassie Jaye calls out yellow journalism among feminists

2 upvotes · 12 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I remember seeing this live. Cassie shows quite a bit of genuine shock at just what despicable people some of these feminists have shown themselves to be.
>
> For anyone who hasn't seen it: this is the statement she released that includes her refuting the smear campaign.

TRP and The Revenge Fantasy

2 upvotes · 12 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Attitude, gentlemen. The correct response to:
>
> > “Right now I’m just me, but once I’m making six figures, banging models, and have the body of a god, those girls that turned me down in high school will be begging for me to take them back.”
>
> Is "who cares what happens to them?" They're just women. They don't matter. None of it matters. Focus on your own life.

Recent beta TRP convert looking for advice on how to not fall in love with every girl who gives me attention

2 upvotes · 8 November 2015 · /r/askTRP

> Right, so there's been a few short answers to this one already... and quite frankly OP, your question is far too big for a short answer. You seem sincere about your request, so I'm going to take the time to give you my nuanced view on the almost paradoxical successful attitude you'll want to adopt in order to keep oneitis at bay. There is no black and white on this and I've provided multiple suggestions of action, so take what you like and leave what you don't like. But remember to question yourself on why you dislike those things - and if the answer is "feels" then ignore them and implement it anyway. For in-field game attitude, you can check out the part of my TRP Field Toolkit series on Attitude and IDGAF. (But that's not directed at avoiding catching those pesky feelz... it's directed at closing the deal as quickly as possible.)
>
> > One of the big lessons I've gotten is not to go too far too fast -- I shouldn't drop 20lbs, get some nice clothes and assume I'm not a beta. One of the big things people seem to stress around here is delayed gratification and building towards something.
>
> Ironically this touches on what I would say is the big issue. It's a side effect of training yourself for the big thing that gives you your power back and takes it away from the pussy.
>
> And you're not going to like this.
>
> Be ready to walk away. From any woman. Because you can. I can already hear the Gronks crying from on high

"but dude, my sweet gainz should be used to get me secks!" Well... yes and no. Sex is good, but there is a plethora of situations in which I will turn down sex and this seems to be consistently forgotten. As a couple of examples, a chick who has any kind of rape story will not get sex from me. Either she was really raped and she's probably broken and could therefore act seriously irrationally and cry rape on me. Or she's been lying which means she could accuse me of a false rape since she's got a history of this. Either way, it's too much of a risk. Another example is the chick who shows crazy behaviour. Remember the PSA from Red Pill which is repeated ad nauseam: Don't stick your dick in crazy. I wouldn't have sex with a co-worker, no matter how hot she was because it's inadvisable to shit where you eat.

Many newer members are so sex starved that they can't see anything beyond that need to get their dick wet. That need is natural, but then you've got the paradox that men who are that sex starved and desperate to get their dick wet are extremely unattractive. Whereas men like me who can take it or leave it on our own terms, have women practically begging to be one of the choices we make when we choose to have sex. You want to keep in mind that this is the end goal. It is a fundamental attitude readjustment. So now you know the goal, you want to know how to get there.

I would advise things like practicing walking away. If you can't even make yourself do that for no reason... how can you trust yourself to do it when you need to? And guess what this behaviour can do - yup... women will chase you harder.

A lot of guys here sneer at MGTOWs because they think they couldn't get sex anyway. They see them and realise they're horrendously low SMV. Which is true for some and untrue for others. I have a friend who is something of a natural MGTOW in his early 20s. Good job, in exceptional shape, decent looking, good fashion sense, has his own place and hobbies. He's just smart enough that he's noticed what is going on in society and decided women simply aren't worth the effort they expect. He regularly gets shamed by his sister and mother, but he has his friends like me to provide the emotional support he needs. I've chosen to spin plates, he has chosen to opt out altogether and I respect that. He's entitled to his choice, and I might end up joining him as a MGTOW one day. I haven't closed off that option, because I will still walk away if I need to. The Red Pill is all about practicality and pragmatism.

So the irony is that while some guys on TRP and MGTOW guys sneer at each other for the choices they make, any true Red Pill man will still be keeping MGTOW as an option (not necessarily their community, but in terms of the base philosophy of walking away from the entire SMP and putting no more effort into it.)

Here's something I sometimes do, I game a chick I'm not interested in for fun. I know from the outset that I'm not going to seal the deal (because I actually find the interaction and the sort of mental game of chess going on rather entertaining. The game is a game to me. You'll win some and lose some, but it's fun to play.) (**EDIT** This reminded me of an excellent FR I read recently at the Chateau Heartiste. I think it will be useful to you.) For you I'd suggest that the game is super serious and that the outcome keeps becoming important to you. That needs to change.

Repeat after me: women do not matter. These are creatures who need men that do not need them. If you need women, they do not need you.

Have you got a good group of male friends with a solid IDGAF mindset and an eagerness to have fun? It sounds like you could do with spending more time with such men and getting that platonic emotional intimacy. At the end of the day, a platonic friendship will provide for just about every human social need short of sexual intimacy. (And that's why many Red Pill men spin plates rather than having relationships. They don't need the emotional intimacy from women.)

And we're finally getting to my unifying point here (yes, it's long, but if you want a serious answer from me then you won't care) the sort of man who is really attractive to women and has a strong abundance mentality could readily live a life without women because he's already got an awesome life set up without any one specific woman

> in it. You should focus on building that life. Make that your new mission, go monk mode for a few months with the mission to create a life that you're happy with - to the point that you're seriously questioning whether any woman could add much or anything at all to it. After all, have you spoken to these women giving you attention? Like, seriously analysed what they say... is any of it intellectually stimulating? Or is it mostly just stimulating your emotions? As a writer, I'd hope you could get that emotional fulfilment in many other ways. (Esp now that you know you can't share any negative emotions with women.) When you finally do start to go after women again, the best attitude that will convince you to will be something along the lines of "I'll give it a shot, might be a laugh."
>
> We say time and again that women aren't interested in the "in-progress" man, they want the finished article. They don't want to know how it's made, they just want the final product and they want it now. This is much the same with the life a woman wants. She doesn't want her own life, she wants to ditch her own boring life and set up shop in the life of a man who already has an amazing life. She doesn't want to work to build a cool life. She'll just get with a guy who already has one since that requires very little effort. And here's the kicker - **she can do that** and you can't.
>
> So go focus on building that life bro. Once you're done... you might end up having a good laugh when you start getting messages from your ex wanting to set up shop in your new life.

Friend the ECs to filter what you read.

2 upvotes · 6 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > What you're proposing is censorship
>
> You are so retarded. Filtration of information in order to use your limited time more effectively is not censorship. It is a sensible and practical approach to the noise vs signal issue.

[Mod] If you bitch and moan, I'm a throw you out.

2 upvotes · 6 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You can still do that. It's a good demonstration of abundance mentality. Ultimately, any individual woman does not matter and it's your choice whether you have the patience to tolerate her shit in order to put your penis in her. Sometimes the trade simply isn't worth the effort.

FR - Flying by the seat of my pants

2 upvotes · 6 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Not just passively waiting for an opportunity of plausible deniability to appear but actively creating one.
>
> That's not always possible. Sometimes you simply can't create the opportunity. For example, if the place wasn't deserted... I couldn't possibly have created that opportunity.

Tell my female feminist cousin her black girlfriend is ugly. Calls me a misogynistic racist

2 upvotes · 6 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > She was acting out like a 5 year old brat, and you noticed and engaged, which is what she wanted. She got her reinforcement: attention. Expect more of the same now.
>
> He needs to treat her behaviour like the idiocy it is. The reactions gave her attention rather than shutting her down.

> Next time, pretend like she is not even there, no matter what she says. And if her behavior becomes intolerable, simply stand up, thank everyone for the invite, but you have to go, since the evening is degrading into a farce, due to the cousin. Then exit.

This is something of a nuclear response in my opinion and would be the last option in my toolbox.

Shutting her down is simple. You point out where she's not behaving like a decent or polite human being, where she's acting like a child. The armpit thing for example, OP complains is "going to make him throw up" is a needlessly childish insult and confrontational. Do not confront, command. "Put your arms down, that's not appropriate." She retorts about beauty standards. "This is not about beauty standards, it is about behaving like an adult in polite society. It would not be appropriate for ANYBODY here to do that." Look around the table and you'll typically see agreement from everyone.

The further incident with the unattractive girlfriend was her looking to pick a fight with OP and he gave her it. "Not my type." would be the easy response. Any pushing is given the same response, she's just not my type. Again, it's not indulging her or giving her the fight she wants. Continued pushing can be shut down with "you asked for my personal opinion which does not line up with your personal opinion. That's everything that has happened here. Stop trying to make more of it than it is." Then start a conversation with someone else.

All of what she is doing is a demonstration of poor social skills and obviously everyone around the table knows this and isn't telling her simply because they don't want to start a fight. The correct response is calm, heavy commands of respect without engaging in the fight itself.

FR - Flying by the seat of my pants

2 upvotes · 6 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Embrace the fuck ups then. Seriously bro, the **biggest** thing about good game is attitude. Care less whether you achieve the bang or not and just have some fun.

On Female Consequences and Ditching Your Revenge Porn Fantasies

2 upvotes · 2 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Well it's good that you're reminding these kids that the house has rigged things in favour of the modern woman. It's probably harder for her to fail. While it is completely righteous to be angered by the unjust imbalance... maybe they should try to have a bit more fun playing as the underdog? Gotta take what we can.
>
> Reminds me that I need to get my latest idea written up this week. Never enough hours in the day.

[FR] Keep your feelings to yourself, and especially away from women.

2 upvotes · 1 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Whether it is admissible in court is one matter. Whether the cops will cease their investigation when they know you're innocent is another. Ultimately, if the system is determined to fuck you then you will be fucked and it doesn't really matter what you do.

TRP is apolitical, and why

2 upvotes · 28 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Your point is reasonable.
>
> But I want you to figure out a way around it. It's possible to do it, so I think you should. Your suggestion is a good method to filter some of the noise in the sub for people who don't have the time.
>
> It also has the advantage that when you do have the time and see new posters who write things that you like, you can also add them as friends and increase the pool of useful information, while still filtering the noise efficiently.

> The mods do an excellent job, but it's not personalised and was never meant to be, I encourage people to adapt their game and style to their own personal strengths... it's a good idea to do the same in the sub itself.
>
> Go tell them, they might start following you.

TRP is apolitical, and why

2 upvotes · 28 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah, and this submission is a great submission. Unfortunate that it isn't being voted as such... but we both knew from the outset that it wouldn't be getting the votes it deserved.
>
> A lot of the guys on here see everything in black and white terms. Whenever I note anything nuanced about the pill, endorsed guys all get it and I'll usually get a comment similar to yours going "pay attention to this! insightful!" but whatever nuanced theme will be ignored by the majority who just want easy answers.
>
> And in fairness to them... eat well, lift, dress well/be stylish and learn game is all they need. You don't *really* need more than that to be successful with women. (It's why the mindless chad can be so successful any many of the smarter guys here stared in disbelief scratching their heads as to why intelligent women would go for a guy who was clearly so stupid.)
>
> But that's not me. I prefer to work smart. Optimise as much as I can to put the game as close to easy mode as I can, as quickly as I can. I think that comes across in my writing and the style of game/attitude towards women that I encourage. I'm not about merely being successful with women, I think the pill is more complex than that and sometimes people can't see it until they've got bored banging chicks. That said, because I encourage guys to push status over muscles... I get the meatheads downvoting me and shouting "gainz!" across the sub. They don't like the fact that status trumps all and they refuse to accept it. But I know it's true... I couldn't have been a greater beta in my blue pill days if I hadn't worked those trumps into my hand.
>
> So yeah, it's a shame this is going to fall from the front page so quickly... but ultimately, all we can do is keep mentioning the nuances and move on. Repeat ad nauseam. After all, the guys aiming merely for popular posts are never going to mention these things. Such is the problem with democratic voting system imposed on us by reddit. Keep up the good work bro!

The Decline has made us the poorest men in history

2 upvotes · 27 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Fair enough. Perhaps then something different happened and the birth of romanticism was mankind attempting to purchase a luxury we couldn't really afford. Said luxury may have started the decline maybe.
>
> It wasn't really a key point and I'm not a historian, but thanks for catching that.

I Got Rejected More Than Once, And It Felt Good!

2 upvotes · 26 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This bit is a balance. While I agree there is no point waiting until you're the uber-alpha, because that'll take years.... approaching while you're a beta is pointless because betas are invisible to women. There's no point playing the game on nightmare mode... wait until you've cranked it down to medium. No need to wait until it's on easy.

I Got Rejected More Than Once, And It Felt Good!

2 upvotes · 26 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I think you have the right idea. This has been unfairly downvoted. Long term, you want to be as efficient as possible with your time and effort. The guy who makes 3 approaches and picks up one chick as a solid plate is far superior to the man who makes 30 approaches and gets 3 ONSs.

> All that said, when you're in the sort of position OP is in where he basically hasn't talked to women in 2 years... just doing some cold approaching for the hell of it is useful to ease himself back into the game. When you start out, numbers is fine because experience is more important than success to effort ratio. It's when you reach my kind of stage where I literally refuse to bother putting in effort anymore (at least until another one of my plates smash) because women really are that far down my priorities list and sex is on tap anyway. It's a progression. Long term you want to try and reduce effort. Where OP is on the journey, he's doing fine... if he continued that long term I'd call him a PUA. For now he's just a young apprentice.

Advice Needed: Scheduling Dates

2 upvotes · 25 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Right, let's clear one thing up before we go any further. You are an idiot with poor judgement.
>
> The evidence of this is:
>
> - you should have posted this in AskTRP.
> - you've left out information that YOU feel is relevant when you put the thread together
> - you KNOW she's a virgin. Until I've personally checked her hymen, I don't believe any woman who claims to be a virgin. I am even less inclined to believe your judgement because of all of the above.
>
> Now let's quickly move on to your reply itself and I'm going to point something very important out to you:
>
> > She's from Guatemala and she's religious. As such, she's quite conservative and traditional. I know she's a virgin and as far as I know, there's a very low possibility she's seeing other guys, and a very low possibility of riding the CC. I see her as LTR material, not a typical American girl, which I've lost any interest in having an LTR with.
>
> Where does it say there that she does not see you as a backup choice? **Everything** you've written screams that **even if** she is good LTR material... she's aiming higher than you or holding out for better than you. The very fact that **other things** take priority over you demonstrates categorically that you are not top of her list of priorities and therefore not the alpha male she wants to tame.
>
> As a general rule: If she wants you, you will be able to tell because she will fall all over herself and change her plans to accommodate spending time with you.
>
> You are gerbilling everything. Her pussy is so obviously sat on a giant pedestal within your love shrine. My advice is to get the fuck away from her and get some perspective. But I don't think you're capable of that. My prediction is you'll go back to her and provide her with all the attention she desires while getting nothing in return.
>
> > I see her as LTR material, not a typical American girl, which I've lost any interest in having an LTR with.
>
> This sounds like heavy scarcity mentality. You've known her 3 weeks and singled her out above other women already.... chicks can keep up a false front for **MONTHS** and you're already planning marriage and kids. Go take a cold shower and come back when you're actually out of denial and ready to swallow the pill.
>
> When I message a plate at like 24 hours notice to say "I'm coming over this weekend, sort out your place and get food in to cook." They leap at the chance and change whatever plans they had to accommodate my decision. The fact you can't do that demonstrates that she is not that interested in you. Move on before you develop serious oneitis. Or follow your own judgement... your own poor judgement. There's nothing more I can do here.

Advice Needed: Scheduling Dates

2 upvotes · 25 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> 9 times out of 10, I am the one who initiates a text and it seems like I'm always trying to work with her schedule in order to make things work, rather than her working with my schedule. I make sacrifices to spend time with her and I feel like she often gives petty excuses for why she can't meet up at certain times, making me feel like **I'm the lowest priority on her schedule.**

That's because you are. She's just not that into you. You are the beta she wants to keep on a back burner while she lets Chad fuck her senseless in the hope that Chad will commit to her... but just in case he doesn't... good old beta egga94 will be waiting in the wings to save her.

This belongs in AskTRP and I'd give it the same answer. Can people please start learning the difference between what belongs on TRP and what belongs on AskTRP?!!

Frame check from last night, gettin' sick of this sort of tantrum behavior and going to put it on lock tonight, so need some advice. (MRP)

2 upvotes · 25 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I told her to "wipe that fucking look off your face"

This is not how you hold frame. I get that it's like scolding a child... but no. It's mummy's job to scold. Daddy doesn't need to waste his time on that shit. Daddy is amused

If we break things down using the classic Medium as the message then I'd say she's saying something along the lines of "you are weak and you will provide comfort as my emotional tampon" and you responded "I have no idea what's going on and I don't like it." So that's why it didn't sort itself out that evening.

The next morning is a fresh battle, where you played things much better. If I was to suggest something to you, it would be to be cheerier. It's very clear from your post that you're under stress from the kids, she's adding to it and driving you further insane. You're going to have dig deep inside your balls and find a greater emotional strength if you want to beat this, there is no two ways about it. Meditation might be helpful

> Want to send her a text right now to let her know she's not out of the woods and that behavior won't be tolerated.

For Christ's sake don't do that. That's straight talk. When you resort to straight talk, you lose. You need to sort out the messages you're sending her and start speaking to her in womanese. That's why you keep getting disrespect, all the straight talk shows you don't have the right social skills and demonstrates low SMV. Which triggers more shit testing and frame stealing to make sure she made the right choice. Sometimes I feel like I'm going to need to hold a class in womanese here. It truly is a foreign language until you learn it.

Social Cues: The Subtlety of Remembering Names

2 upvotes · 21 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

It's a great book. Essential reading and should also be treated as a reference book that you pick up every now and again to refresh yourself.

How do you truly not give a fuck ?

2 upvotes · 19 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

Try rolling your eyes more often.

Once you get the irony of this comment, things in your head will click.

Feminist op-ed insulting Beta male feminists

2 upvotes · 19 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> UK's most liberal mainstream newspaper.

Incorrect. It is a left wing broadsheet... surprisingly popular considering how bad most of the "journalists" are at writing.

> I wish all women could be as honest as her.

She's not being honest, she's following her feelz. If shit was going her way then she'd be happy, but it isn't so she's whining. This probably motivates most of the shit she wastes her life on.

TRP mentoring program

2 upvotes · 19 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

The place to start is to pick up on which members write stuff you like, then follow those members and follow their writing. Typically they'll cover most things in time. Comment on it, show appreciation and add your own perspective. If you've got something worth saying they'll most likely notice you. From there, most guys are open to a chat or smaller problems being addressed by PM, so long as you're not trying to take up too much of their time.

If it hadn't been for a friend on here, I'm not sure I would have been able to get out of my depression phase so quickly.

If TRP prides itself on being amoral, why is it squeamish when it comes to actually extremely immoral things (rape, bullying, etc)?

2 upvotes · 16 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Rape cannot be argued as anything other than immoral, it's up there with murder

Actually you can... you just won't get many decent human beings agreeing with you. It depends on the moral system you adopt. If you take a relativistic utilitarian moral stance, it's perfectly reasonable to take instances of rape and murder and justify them as moral actions. (This is not what I believe in adopting/doing, since bloopers seem to like assuming that anything bad/mean ever considered by a Red Piller is exactly what they believe and do on a daily basis.)

The ultimate Red Piller would be an *outwardly* sex-positive, BluePill-approving, successful, feminism-loving, democratic, well-off, good-looking, plate-spinning, dude.

2 upvotes · 15 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

Fairly accurate description of me. Though I refuse to identify as a feminist. You can break that shit down with base logic and a statement that you believe in liberty over authoritarianism. (No need to claim Red Pill.) People are either smart and agree, or they're too stupid to comprehend, so they agree when they realise you know a hell of a lot more than they do. Plus you've got to remember... the tide is turning on the word "feminism" with a large number of women not wanting anything to do with it.

Being well-off, good-looking and democratic are not needed. So yeah, reasonably accurate list but I don't think TRP men need to have the entire checklist. Just some.

My critique of Red Pill Men and Red Pill Women

2 upvotes · 14 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> This point is not a debate point. It is a matter of fact point. You are incorrect or "wrong" in stating the factual matters of Red Pill theory. You actively get TRP wrong, so any analysis, debate and conclusions are all wrong. It's similar to doing scientific research with faulty data.

Would you join a fitness group if it had outspokenly racist members, even among group leaders, and none of the other members spoke up? Would you defend them to other people?

2 upvotes · 11 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> People can be xenophobic if they choose. It's not useful to encourage it in society, it's good to question it, but not to silence them simply for having a thought you might disagree with or find uncomfortable.
>
> My stance on this is the very basis of liberty and liberalism. The modern progressive does not believe in liberty and individualism. They're far more totalitarian than they'd like to admit. The more important question you need to ask, why are you unable to tolerate a xenophobic viewpoint from a friend? Surely your friendship is based upon more than one political viewpoint? What makes that viewpoint so very much worse than disagreeing on others? Personally I would sooner be friends with a racist who will tolerate my not being racist, than an intolerant non-racist.
>
> Also, just as a sidenote:
>
> > If someone were to ask me what I thought of that subreddit, I'd say they are a group of closed-minded, arrogant sudo-intellectuals.
>
> When you're putting down people for being "pseudointellectuals" it helps to spell it correctly. Looks a little hypocritical otherwise. This is mostly because less intelligent people are genuinely incapable of understanding some things and they have been seen in the past to lash out at those who can. Whether it's jealousy or fear that motivates this is irrelevant. The point is, when you accuse someone of holding a pseudointellectual viewpoint, you'd better be intelligent yourself and present your point like a mature, intelligent person. Otherwise, regardless of whether you're right or wrong, you look like just another idiot lashing out at those who can comprehend things you can't.
>
> That's a general point as to why anyone who wants to be taken seriously in written conversations should ensure their spelling/grammar is of a high standard. I am not having a go at you, nor am I defending r/atheism since I've never even been there. It's just a relevant observation which can help improve the quality of exchanges you have in the future. As another sidenote, I encourage everyone to figure out where your intellectual limits are. You do have them, nobody doesn't, and it's really helpful for any continuing lifelong education plans you may have.

What advice should I give to my brother?

2 upvotes · 10 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

> Too beta, he's been put in the non-fuck camp despite initially being in the fuck camp. Wasn't dominant enough to initiate at the right time - i.e. when she's grabbing your cock, she wants sex. Do you need a diagram too? Maybe a pantomime would get the message across? Perhaps your bro should look out for skywriting in the future to see if she's paid to have "fuck me" written in huge letters above the two of them?
>
> So yeah... forget her, cut ties. Be careful at work - that was seriously dumb, you don't shit where you eat - and I hope you have some good texts to avoid any potential sexual harassment shit. She may try to pull him back in as an orbiter... be careful not to do that, it'll just make you feel even worse. If you can get some really good social proof in time... you might be able to salvage it but I think it's unlikely. Hard next is the only move.
>
> Oh, and smack him in the face and tell him he deserved it for not shagging a chick who's grabbing his cock. I honestly don't get how any non-virgin doesn't get that message. I was certain that memo was distributed

> throughout the entire male gender.

Frustrated because can't pull

2 upvotes · 10 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

> If your confidence is good and your game is solid and you're not pulling, it is because your base SMV is too low. Monk mode for a couple of months to fix that.
>
> Regarding game, you can check out my TRP Field Toolkit 4 part series from my submission history. It covers all basic game in detail.

What do you think of this post on TRP

2 upvotes · 10 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > No, because this is relativism and it's absolute horseshit.
>
> Do you actually know what relativism means? I started reading this exchange and figured usual BP/RP disagreement due to base assumptions... then you pull relativism out of your ass? Like that sentence actually makes no sense in the context of this conversation. You do realise RP is based mostly on things which can be generalised... i.e. it is the total opposite of relativism. Like this is the thing we are criticised for all the time.
>
> The closest thing you could accuse TRP of in regards to relativism is pragmatism. Principles and statements of beliefs about universal truths are used up until the point they don't work. Unfortunately my asshole coins keep getting accepted so I haven't needed the pragmatism yet.

Why increasing number of women don't want to be identified as feminists anymore, and as humanists instead, because of how fucked up feminism really has become

2 upvotes · 9 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Because women tend to be naturally more passive, it's fairly obvious why the good women in their tiny numbers are doing nothing - and that is evil enough. This is why so many men don't see a way out of this and argue to simply enjoy the decline. Because there are women out there, even feminists who have identified that their movement needs to clean house badly... but they've seen how they get shunned and how they'd have to sacrifice themselves in order to make any headway. They still don't do anything because they don't want to have to make hard decisions. And so the shit keeps piling up in the streets.

Your struggle and pain? Women neither understand nor give much of a fuck.

2 upvotes · 8 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I never said it negated the rule... I was merely implying it would be possible to find a woman capable of the understanding to deal with this shit.
>
> If you have such a dim view of RPW, go over there and improve it then. More recently I'm becoming of the opinion that TRP isn't even achieving what it wants to achieve from the shite I've been reading. - My response is to post where I can and add where I can. I was even going to start a blog but I mentioned this and asked some questions. Got no answers, so obviously my style is not particularly wanted, but that doesn't make me ignore everybody on TRP.
>
> Just because men like you turn up who have a brain doesn't make me think the majority of the world has a brain too. If you're not willing to add to RPW... why should I add to RP? It's all the same nonsense of idiots whining while the sensible try to add some semblance of sanity to it.

Your struggle and pain? Women neither understand nor give much of a fuck.

2 upvotes · 8 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> We're on our own with this. Woman on TRP will never empathise with us, they are just here to enhance their own manipulation. Women have an edge and they don't want men to take that away from them.

What about the RPW who take their understanding of hypergamy and TRP in order to make themselves better and try to provide better support to their spouse? Surely that is taking their edge and placing it back in the corner of their relationship?

TRP priorities: truth vs. utility

2 upvotes · 7 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> is your priority truth, or is it utility?

For me, this depends upon the scenario. I have openly stated that I feel attitude on the sub and attitude in the field should be completely different. While I'm around here, I'm more interested in truth. Out in the field... utility is king and I become the ultimate pragmatist which means every woman is viewed as a worthless slut, placed on this earth for my personal amusement. Once you've seen a chick's eyes light up with desire after you've told her she looks like crap... it becomes very easy to be pragmatic and not really care about truth. If you're getting positive results, it'll reinforce the behaviour.

Red Pill: The End Game

2 upvotes · 3 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Unfortunately silly Saturday could only end up applying to ECs. Does the story go anywhere or will it just be a series of small stories?

How does RP reconcile George Clooney and his wife when they don't fit neatly into their RP theory?

2 upvotes · 22 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

I'd just assume Clooney is quite blue pill. His SMV is basically one of the highest in the world from looks, confidence, status and wealth. His base SMV is like a 12 out of 10. We're talking seriously stratospheric, the kind that's still above the loftiest dreams of the average guy. So even playing pretty-boy beta game he'd still be a 10 out of 10.

He can do whatever he likes short of humiliating himself in public and crying... and he'll still be alpha.

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

2 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> fallacious arguments

I haven't made a single full argument in this thread. It's tough to make fallacious arguments when you're not making any arguments at all. One of the things I've seen in PPD is that there's very little agreed upon principle, so this was a brief attempt to break things down a bit and establish some more general principles that you guys actually believe in.

I have no idea whether it would work back or not, but most people who use logic tend to end up with reasonably similar base principles, from which they make the rest of their opinions. If they don't then they just generally make no sense whatsoever.

Oh, and just for the amusement value:

> not respecting people who do shitty things, by comparing cruel behavior to having a vagina and calling both a "group".

That's a crap argument because behaviour and having a vagina are different grouping systems (one is controllable and can be morally judged, the other is uncontrollable.) Which, when you're making a point based around your personal view of someone... it's not particularly useful to equate something which can be changed to something which can't. Just saying.

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

2 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> People who are manipulative and abusive.

So I'm assuming that puts me into the category of not being respected? XD

There's a lot of groups there you don't respect. Let's try and narrow it down and talk about racists. In my experience, there are certain words which are so heavily associated with bigotry, such as the N word, that whenever you hear someone use it outside of say, academic discussion, you can happily assume they're probably a racist or have leanings in that direction. Is that a fair comment in your opinion?

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

2 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Yes, downvoting is against the rules. Unfortunately, Reddit CSS doesn't allow mods to completely disable downvotes, so there is little we can do to prevent it until the admins revise that mechanism (if they do). I understand your frustration, as it happens to me as well.

I think this happens to most people who participate on PPD. I've seen minus figures for both blue and red pill peeps.

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

2 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

Care to provide some examples?

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

2 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

You're right, I have done this purposefully. I'm looking to start discussion based upon some agreed principles. We just need to establish them first if that's possible. Please have a read through my edit and respond whenever you like.

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

2 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

This is the first time I've noticed a post by you. I'm actually interested in responses otherwise I wouldn't have posted this in the first place.

EDIT:

> I guess I don't really have respect for the 'Haha I'm just so ditzy I can barely function!' crowd, nor the type of women who go after men based on their money. I have little tolerance for ignorance in general. I have a strong dislike for statements like 'men will never understand women!' 'treat me like a princess' and 'if you can't love me at my worst, you don't deserve me at my best!' (Belch). I sometimes roll my eyes when I see pregnant teenagers, because contraception really isn't that hard and what are you thinking - but that one is probably a bit unkind since I don't know the about their lives. I'm not a fan of your typical 'drama queen'. I don't like Bible thumpers of either sex in general. Because I was just discussing it, I don't really it when larger women body shame slimmer women (it's thinly-veiled jealousy), but at the other end of the scale I don't like women who think their looks alone qualify them for anything.

Do Red Pillers get a place in your list?

> As a rule, I actively try not to dislike entire groups based on a rudimentary criteria - I'm pretty such that's what prejudice is, and I don't really aspire to be an unkind person. In fact, I tend to disrespect those kinds of people, women included. They tend to be pretty ignorant.

Respect and hatred are two different things. Your list that you went on with collects various groups of women based upon specific criteria (i.e. how they act and what they think/say) as being unworthy of respect. I accept that you don't actively hate these groups. The question that stems from this is do you think TRP actively hates women? Or simply doesn't respect them?

Hypergamy and cheating are awesome.

2 upvotes · 20 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> What an ironic response XD

Q4BP: Attempted to calmly explain TRP to someone working in mental health advocacy on another site; told me I need intensive therapy. Why is the dehumanisation of women (indeed people) so threatening to you, why can you not accept our general behavioural model for all people?

2 upvotes · 20 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > Especially when they send me to therapy for the primary purpose of 'curing toxic beliefs' like Hypergamy.
>
> Yeah, ignore all that nonsense. There's nothing toxic about TRP. All I was saying was, if you think you require therapy to help you work through anything which might be holding you back... then get yourself a good CBT expert or someone who works well doing cognitive therapy. They work well if it's things like addressing depression or phobias or disorders like BPD and OCD.
>
> Application is important but don't waste your time on 4s. Hanging around them will lower your own SMV because anyone watching thinks that's the league you play in.

Both pills; What do you find attractive in a mate? What do you find sexually arousing?

2 upvotes · 19 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > about 7 days worth of dark stubble
>
> now means
>
> > 7 days is basically a half beard.

> Your use of language makes so much confuse. Basically you go for the ungroomed look.

Do women often not realize that _other women_ are their biggest problem, not him?

2 upvotes · 19 September 2015 · /r/askTRP

> /r/RedPillWomen is for the ladies who want to execute a successful strategy. While an alpha could drop a woman who has invested heavily in him... it's highly unlikely because men are designed to fall in love and bond with women as an evolutionary mechanism. (The genes of men who didn't protect their women died off because they got their women... then left her and the kids to die. Not a successful long term strategy.) It's why oneitis is such an issue for us men.
>
> Over on RPW they teach women to find a good man, how to look for him, attract him and how to keep him. They're viewing things from the woman's perspective where they have a different challenge because as you say... you don't want the man leaving for a younger model. HOWEVER, they've also got to mindful that they don't turn him into a beta and lose the attraction to him. (I don't think all their men get introduced to the main Red Pill sub.) It's a tough gig and certainly not for the weak!
>
> The modern dating market is so screwed up that both sides typically end up unhappy in the end. In 30-40 years we'll see who's left standing who beat the odds through all this crap.

"Not just women, humans are irrational..."?

2 upvotes · 19 September 2015 · /r/askTRP

> > And while Carnegie himself wasn't attempting to give a dating advice but a social one (from a mostly "salesman" perspective) his advice still holds merit, even though he was dealing with men 99% of the time!
>
> It's an exceptional book, even today where it's like 80 years old.
>
> > Do you agree with the claim that all humans are irrational, and do you think the belief that TRPers aren't as emotional as women and blue pillers holds merit when scrutinized?
>
> Yes we are. When TRP emphasises holding frame, in turn it emphasises for you not to act irrationally, emotionally or rashly. It's why stoicism is so popular on TRP. I'm a big advocate of stoicism.
>
> Only BPers and women let their emotions take over and make irrational, stupid decisions. If we did that, we'd catch oneitis in no time flat. It's just not a good sexual strategy to let your emotions rule you.

Adopting the best attitude to learn

2 upvotes · 19 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is pretty much the reality. Some men are in a position to put their head above the parapet. I appreciate those men and what they do, but unfortunately, due to my own circumstances I can't be one of them. I sense this is a similar situation to most of the ECs, mods and vanguard.

If women are as sexual and rational as men, why can't you ask them for sex directly?

2 upvotes · 19 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I'm not going to read this, I'm just going to answer the title question quickly: it's because doing that is a demonstration of poor SMV. Women want a man who "just gets it" instinctively and by asking directly you just demonstrated you don't. Therefore low SMV: no attraction.

Chateau Heartiste Maxims (Updated from 17/09/2014 to 19/09/2015)

2 upvotes · 19 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Because women don't know the difference between that and a confident woman.

Men of PPD, when a woman tells you that she has an advanced degree like a PhD, or has a high prestige career (e.g., lawyer, doctor), does that cause you to become sexually aroused by her?

2 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Nah, it's kinda irrelevant. I went through a period where I used to attract a lot of med students for some reason... their earning potential was utterly irrelevant to me. They were either attractive and interesting or ugly and boring... these are the things which are important in a woman.

How swallowing the red pill influenced my (bi)sexuality

2 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Research is being done on this topic apparently. I saw it the other day... I'm still unsure what I'm supposed to think.

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

2 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I try to be open about the fact that we're not in a relationship and while I'd be open to it... for the time being let's keep this dating casual. If you want more, we can discuss. After we've slept together once or twice, most women in my experience will start probing about the status of the two of us (i.e. they'll try to lock me into a relationship with them, something I'm trying to avoid.) I want to avoid it for a couple of reasons, but that's not so relevant here. The point is that I openly assert what the status of things is so she can't pretend she's been lied to. A large proportion of women who are bitter about dating, are bitter because they feel like they've been led on and lied to just so a guy can have sex with them.
>
> I want them leaving feeling like the time they spent with me was great and they had fun, but it was never going to be a forever thing - that way they get to look back at some happy memories. I'm not saying this is 100% successful, but I do try.
>
> Also while I'm with a plate, I do tend to get to know her. (A side plate, I do not. A woman who can only make side plate status is just unbearable to be around. She's the one I'll sleep with then put my clothes on and leave immediately.) My plates tend to do nice things for me, cook things or bake things for me. They'll clean up, or buy me a ticket to something I want to see etc. These women are worth being around, however when they open up... read between the lines of their dating history and life history etc... and many red pill truths tend to fall out.
>
> What I try to do as I talk to them about this, is see if I can explain to them in non-red pill terms, what their mistakes have been in the past. I'll try to help them understand why their emotions make them act the way they do when they look back and try to rationalise their irrational behaviour. Doing that doesn't help them to achieve what they want in the dating market, make their new relationships successful or help them to attract the sort of man they'd like.
>
> Since these women know my own education history and know I majored in psychology, minor in ethical philosophy, they tend to be open and trusting when they listen to me on the topic. I can openly talk about evo psych without any worry of "you must be red pill" since my knowledge actually came before I found TRP. I try to use this to help them see how they can help themselves. I don't tend to keep in touch with them to see how things go, but I do hope that they'll find a little bit of happiness.

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

2 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Is divorce law the main reason men on TRP are anti commitment?

That and family court law. I have a family member who loves his son dearly but can never see him because the court arbitrarily gave primary custody to his mother and she tends to screw around with his visitation just to piss him off and hurt him.

> Because I always thought it was the disdain for ever having to have sex with a woman over 22. (half joking)

Most of TRP is logical. If you're just having sex with a woman and nothing else... why not go for the more attractive ones? In addition, as women get older and go through the dating game... their list of requirements in a man tends to become absurd. They become harder to sleep with. They also tend to become quite bitter about their failure in the dating game which gives them a bad attitude. When the end goal is just casual sex, it just makes sense to put your time into pursuing young attractive women rather than older ones.

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

2 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Oh, we don't like them either. But that doesn't take the blame away from the mother who made such a poor choice.

Sexbots: Why Women Should Panic

2 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> There's a variation on your uncle's quote as one of the standard bot messages that can be brought up to encourage newer members in the IRC.
>
> If you did do a post around it, I suspect it would be a good motivational one.

Red pill and being silly in relationships

2 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Shit bro! There's a slot there for those niceness tokens... BUT IT DOESN'T SAY HOW MANY YOU NEED TO PAY!

Red pill and being silly in relationships

2 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Finally! some sense is being made. I'd say a 13 (or soft 12) is a reasonable assessment.

Are white women who sleep with/date black men "contaminated" or damaged in some way?

2 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Me neither. It's hard to read inflection into the written word. Could ask Socrates or just assume everyone sucks. I don't really mind.

Are white women who sleep with/date black men "contaminated" or damaged in some way?

2 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> It's very important these days to be able to identify internet sarcasm.

Post pictures of what you think a 4, 6 and 8 look like of the gender you are attracted to.

2 upvotes · 16 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Why? Because we speak to more women and have a greater appreciation of the variety of female attractiveness?

Redpillers: Should women get fake boobs?

2 upvotes · 16 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> That's possible... so long as she doesn't get alpha widowed first. (Something the party lifestyle exponentially increases the chances of.)

Redpillers: Should women get fake boobs?

2 upvotes · 16 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> The definition of the AF/BB strategy. He's missed out the downside... you're gonna be on such a high in your 20s that once you hit the wall you're gonna be miserable for the rest of your life and will have to settle for a man who repulses you. You'll desperately want to recapture your 20s again, but can't. You'll probably do a bunch of dumb shit in order to try and feel like that again... but nothing will work. That's the trade off.

Comfort Tests

2 upvotes · 15 September 2015 · /r/askTRP

> Personally I'd respond with some heavy asshole game to "do you care about me?" I honestly don't have time for chicks being super-insecure. Neither should you if you want to maintain the illusion of abundance with her. (Why would a guy with options waste time on a high maintenance chick? You need to covertly convey that you're not willing to tolerate that kind of clingy demands for constant comfort. - mostly because it gets in the way of running decent dread.)
>
> "No, I couldn't give two flying shits if you died tomorrow... that's clearly why I'm spending time with you." or "Well you are pretty ugly and I usually go with attractive women, so I guess there has to be some explanation of why I'd kiss you." Then kiss her. First one is heavy sarcasm, the latter one I'd deliver more like I'm being thoughtful at first with a smirk or grin to show I'm not that serious.
>
> Both covertly convey the message "this is stupid. stop acting stupid if you want me to take you seriously."
>
> If she is truly super insecure and doesn't reign it in a bit from this... then you're going to have to make a decision on whether she's worth keeping as a plate or not given all the extra emotional energy you'll have to invest to keep her spinning.

What is "Romance?"

2 upvotes · 14 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> This is an interesting one. Personally I think romance is the beta or comfort test response that became popular back in medieval/renaissance times because men held all the societal power. Given this, it puts them a naturally more alpha position than they have these days, so encouraging a bit of beta behaviour would create better relationships. Better long term relationships between men and women naturally benefits society.
>
> That's just off the top of my head, I haven't really refined the thoughts properly.

When do you feel you were brainwashed?

2 upvotes · 13 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I don't really understand your question... you might have misused the word dogmatism. All speculation tends to rest upon principles which are assumed either through deductive or inductive reasoning. I would say TRP is already quite speculative in places and attempts a sort of crowd-sourced inductive reasoning. I don't see any problem with that... because you've seen the sun rise yesterday and the day before, you assume it will rise

tomorrow. Just because your religious theory can't **correctly** explain why the sun will rise tomorrow doesn't mean that it suddenly won't. The physics is still in play and it will still happen.

So I'm happy with the assumptive principles of TRP, even if they aren't 100% correct in the theory... if the predictive powers are strong then it is pragmatically worth using. It's a bit like the issue which physicists have talked about where there are competing theories of lift which explain why planes fly. They can't all be right, but just because we don't know the correct one doesn't mean we shouldn't use planes.

TRP is no more dogmatic than any western civilisation. It has assumptive principles set down just like most western civilisations have the assumptive principle that democracy is the best form of government. Law and order is a good thing for society. There are alternative views to both of these from Plato to Rousseau, but being part of western civilisation requires you to accept these dogma. If you can't, then you can look at what is going on but you're not going to change it. Choosing to be a part of TRP does not make me anymore dogmatic than choosing to continue living in the west. I don't need to agree with everything, but I need to accept it to be a part of the group.

Specifically concerning TRP, I do agree with all the core principles, to greater or lesser extents depending on my own nuanced interpretations of them. So putting all of this together, I've got no issue with any of the dogmatism of TRP... it's pretty normal for any group. (As mentioned, western civilisation is no different.) And speculation can still occur within that dogma anyway.

Most of the time I find people who hate TRP, hate it because they have a poor appreciation for nuance. So when I say I have no respect for modern women when I first meet them, it's translated into "I hate women" which has a completely different meaning. Once you've misinterpreted the dogma of any organisation or group... it provides free reign to condemn everything they say. (Edit2: It's as if they read this post and on cue wanted to prove my point.)

(Edit to clarify: The reason I don't quite understand your question is because it implies to me that dogmatism and speculation are not mutually exclusive within a group. I can see why they are contradictory on whether a specific topic is treated dogmatically or speculatively... but TRP is a group, not a singular topic without complication or nuance.)

As we all know, spewing hate for people on internet forums is pathetic and not particularly cool or Alpha. Why do extremely hate fuelled rants get so many votes on your sub?

2 upvotes · 13 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> At the end of the day, red pillers just like to talk shit for fun and if bloops rage then that's even better.

Amen. Bloop rage is like the chick you just dismissed trying too hard to prove they have value/should have value in your eyes. It's just fun to watch.

"...Aand What Was Your Name Again?"

2 upvotes · 12 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I could've been shot in the street over some damn pussy I bet my father never had't pat down some blonde homicidal bimbo in his doorway…

They should bring over takeaway not guns. At least the expected fuck up won't be lethal. Told a chick to bring Chinese food if she was coming over the other night... she got lost on the way. I had to reheat the food after sex... feminine incompetence is such hassle bro.

Ex-gf nails every red-pill cliche in the book

2 upvotes · 12 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Has 2 cats.

It's nice to break things up with a little humour in between the bouts of rampaging misogyny.

Roles, respect and responsibility acceptance as an alpha trait

2 upvotes · 10 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I'd advise simple things like asking other people about themselves. Asking them what they've been upto, how their project X is going or whatever really. You can always take command with things like "let's get another round" or "X, come help me with more beers" and leave them to lead the conversation instead. There's a huge number of things you can do when you're in charge of a social group.

Once you're the king, don't be afraid to tell the jester to dance for you.

Roles, respect and responsibility acceptance as an alpha trait

2 upvotes · 10 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

This is an interesting alternative angle on things. In a way, it brings up a valuable point about the reality of the society which we have to live in. There are people out there with more structural power than us.

I hope my post brings at least some feeling of important mindset to adopt when trying to remain an independent, high value man while dealing with situations where you don't have the structural dominance.

According to TRP theory, my sister shouldn't be attracted to her boyfriend.

2 upvotes · 9 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Maybe he's running unseen dread?

Maybe he has supreme status in some way you're not aware of?

Perhaps he's a hell of a lot more dominant in private?

Perhaps she's cheating on him with chad and is lining up her life in that AF/BB fashion? After all, if she can do it without him knowing... why would you assume that you'd notice?

Maybe she has self esteem issues and views herself as a HB5 and is very satisfied with her 6/10 bf? Personal likes can increase perceived SMV too and she could see this guy as a 9/10 while nobody else sees it.

Or maybe, just maybe... she's spotted her CC riding friends and seen how desperately unhappy they are and she's decided to try the tactic of the older generation because she's seen how they became happy?

There's a multitude of reasons why these things happen. The SMP is always subjective to every individual. I've met HB9s who think they're 4s or 5s.

The red pill works and I'm disgusted.

2 upvotes · 7 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

.... I fail to understand your post. It reads like you have misunderstood what I was saying and you've got a little more reading to do.

My point was simply that girls who will fall to plate spinning game are inherently not LTR material. A good filter system is to run that same game on said LTR potential on the first date and see if she trips up. The reason for this is to avoid getting sucked in by a whore who just happens to be very talented at her good-girl game.

> If you continue to act like all you want to do is fuck her, she's going to think that's all you want. It will confuse her. And she will put you out of serious LTR material.

This is just incorrect. Both of my plates want to LTR me if they can and they know damned well I'm just fucking them. The reason plates smash is because the girl can't hold on forever hoping to get the commitment. Fuck any girl well enough and she will want a serious LTR with you.

Plate spinning game won't work on a unicorn so there's no real question of being able to spin her like a plate and confuse her because she'd never start spinning in the first place. The girl who would start spinning who you'd then advocate LTRing is merely a good girl - and you're in for a rude awakening at some point because you are playing into her specific sexual strategy.

Also:

> Don't push that stuff.

I'm not pushing anything. I'm objectively explaining what fits where and the subtle nuance which should be applied to your current line of thought to help you apply your sexual strategy better.

Generally it's not a good idea to start trying to tell the endorsed contributors what is "not fully correct" when you've only been posting here a couple of weeks. (Don't let one good comment getting some solid upvotes go to your head.) You should be looking to learn from them because we've been discussing the theory and living it for a long time. If you are interested in LTR game, then I'll happily provide you with a link or two to some excellent LTR game series from the veterans.

The red pill works and I'm disgusted.

2 upvotes · 7 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Immediately trying to screw the woman instead of building anything.

The interesting thing here is that if you're playing LTR game and evaluating a girl with your unicorn hunter checklist... you'll want to play your usual game to try and seal the deal on that first night... so that you can see whether she'll get out of it/prevent herself ending up in a situation where her instincts take over and she lets you fuck her senseless.

In a way, this should be a win-win... but I've found it has left me feeling a little empty before too. For even if the sex was good... it can't really make up for the potential relationship which you know just disappeared.

What do you think about this red pill post about single mothers?

2 upvotes · 3 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Yeah. male anger is not tolerated anywhere else online.
>
> Happy now?
>
> The point is more about how male emotion is typically invalidated around the internet and men are told "you shouldn't feel that" and shamed for feeling anger or disgust, particularly with women. Typically I will always say that since emotion is not always logical and you shouldn't be shamed for the emotions you feel, I'm always happy to validate male emotions. Including anger. It's natural and it's healthy to get it out of your system.

What do you think about this red pill post about single mothers?

2 upvotes · 3 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> My immediate thoughts about this are as follows:

- Illimitableman usually does very high quality posts with accurate information. I don't need to read the whole thing to suspect he's probably right.
- Illimitableman is in a bad mood and therefore done a rant to get the anger out of his system. As male anger is not tolerated anywhere else, I'm happy to lend my support to him. His emotion is valid and he can let it out safely and freely on TRP. It is healthy.
- I didn't read the whole thing because I already have my views on single mothers - I would not date one because I don't wish to raise a child who is not mine. The reality of individual cases will tell us about the woman as an individual. At best she is making an incredibly unfortunate situation that has been absolutely forced after no other avenues are left available. At worst, she's a selfish, narcissistic and despicable human being and I pity her child for receiving the very worst caregiver and role model they could possibly have received.

Supplanting Daddy.

2 upvotes · 2 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> UPDATE: Whoa. I wasn't expecting such a response! Good news boys and girls. I finally let her in. She looked pissed for a moment. Called me a twat (insert English accent). And proceeded to dry hump me and call me an idiot for a solid minute. I am now tasked with making her something to eat

I'd say he fucked up. He was on for the most intense, dirty sex session of all time and instead he's making her dinner. Why? Because he really did give fucks and ran away like a coward then looked to secure forgiveness. Pure beta.

I agree that his alpha behaviour was not intended to be so public, so I'd say he's something of a greater beta. It's very interesting food for thought though. I sometimes tease my plates by getting them to do sexy things in a publically risky fashion - can confirm that it turns them on no end to be ordered by a dominant man to do risky things... it's like they want to be like me and give no fucks, but need my strength to be able to experience it. I strongly recommend pushing the envelope whenever you can if you're dominant enough in her eyes and the dom/sub relationship between you is well established. It also fills in her need for drama and excitement.

[FR] Persistence and frame during an uncommon situation lead to Tinder close.

2 upvotes · 1 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Persistence from a place of non-neediness (waited 6 days to try another Tinder message) works.

This probably looked more like IDGAF based upon your text game. You're amusing yourself, having fun and you don't care what she does. Probably added a point or two to your SMV in her eyes.

[Mod] The Red Pill is Sexual Strategy

2 upvotes · 1 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I've been wondering about this lately and I suspect half the problem - i.e. why this sort of thing keeps coming up again and again - is that on TRP, because the men have been taught incorrect roles... once you begin teaching them to become dominant (and as necessary, leaders of their own) they struggle to reconcile the new alpha attitude with following.

I'd compare it to the idea of oversimplifying the role of a troop of soldiers in training. The idea that the cadets or trainees simply can not act alpha and dominant because their role is to submit to their drill sergeant. But what happens when you graduate the academy? What happens if you become squad leader but never become the drill sergeant (e.g. an endorsed contributor) do you challenge the drill sergeant when you think he's wrong? No, of

> course you don't. That's not your role. It's the role of the base commander (e.g. a mod) to sort out any failings in his officers on the base. You should focus on your own role.
>
> That said, if the group is on patrol and one of the soldiers realises they've left their route... he should be pointing this out to his leader. But there is a particular way in which confident, dominant men can convey this while keeping their role. i.e. they tell their leader what they see and then leave it to the leader to make the command decisions. A leader who causes his troops to unwilling to speak up when they see this is a poor leader and gets far less out of his troops. So the role and responsibilities rest on both sides.
>
> I would compare the Red Pill as a sub to this sort of structure. It does not create cowards who lie back in fear. However it hasn't quite perfected the structure to achieve the best it can from the unit as a whole because the leaders are better suited to training the green recruits, they know what to do with the drill sergeants, but they're not quite sure how to get the best out of the corporals and lance-corporals. I'd say that part of this rests upon the shoulders of those who've learnt a bit and want to contribute something original and help... but they've not learnt how to approach it correctly and respectfully.
>
> One of the things I love about the sub is the full freedom of speech. As /u/RedPillWatchTower says, the policing of language and reframing of the mission is utterly unacceptable in the sub. That's not the call of the squad or the squad leader, it's the call of the commander. That's not to say when they appear to be misinterpreting a piece of intelligence or missing something I shouldn't speak up... that would make me a much less useful subordinate. It's about the approach.
>
> When a man becomes more dominant, he tends to become more challenging. But challenging your commander is not acceptable under any circumstances. The respectful engagement in tactical conversation on the other hand is acceptable. And what's the difference between the two? In the first, the squaddie has decided he's right and tells the commander so. In the latter, the squaddie has a thought that he shares, before leaving the commander to make up his own mind. This is the nuance that a good member of TRP should learn in order to be the most productive member of the sub he can be. It is how male co-operation is maximised. When you show respect and work towards to the best of the group, you'll get respect in return.
>
> After all, I'm not here so much for me anymore. I have my two plates, will probably have a third in the next week or two. I was helped to reach acceptance from my depression phrase by a friend from the sub. So I believe I should give something back. Now I'll just provide advice and guidance where I think I can help. That's my responsibility. The leadership and overall running of the sub is not. That's up to the mod team.
>
> I may or may not make a more detailed post later on how role acceptance for the good of a group is an alpha trait. Alphas adapt to succeed, that's what this sub shows since most of the guys here used to be beta before they adapted. And after all, if you know someone in your group is more knowledgeable than you on a subject the group is working on... you'd be a fool to challenge their leadership.
>
> That's my contribution, judge it how you will. However it's judged, my squad and I will still be lining up behind the commander and his sergeant because that's my role here. To add my two cents then join the final plan, whatever they decide the final plan is.

"As soon as a guy asks me to pay, I lose attraction for him."

2 upvotes · 31 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You put in too much effort with a single woman.

Do women have sociopath traits?

2 upvotes · 29 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> This is true. Misuse of depression when someone is just feeling sad or listless pisses me off. But the misuse of the term OCD really makes me rage - people don't understand why it pisses me off until I explain to them just how

> serious the condition is and shouldn't be compared to being some clean freak or neat freak. It belittles it too much. So yeah... we should really have better general education about mental health and I'd encourage everyone to do a little reading about it in your spare time. Not a lot, just get the Hollywood stereotypes out of your head.

TRP Weekend Mindset: Glengarry Glen Ross

2 upvotes · 29 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Having been someone who has worked in a numbers driven sales environment... I recognise the entire speech from start to finish. I also thoroughly enjoyed the film (a few times.)
>
> It's a great scene, but this is short sighted advice. The thing about that sort of environment is that it's always a numbers game to newbies... but the smart salesman, someone who has been round the block as shown by Al Pacino "Patel? WTF is this? PATEL?" knows there's more to the game than just the raw effort that a newbie will put in. The boss has a wilful ignorance of the variables in play and they know it. They tend to become irritated and/or ignore those speeches by the guys at the top because they know it's taken the years of experience to figure out where best to expend their effort... and when the boss tries to pressure them into wasting their time - "How am I gonna make a living out of these deadbeats?"
>
> Now you're probably asking, why would a boss not look after his long term employees? Why give them a speech that only pisses them off? It's because high pressure sales as an environment has probably the highest employee turnover of any industry. 80-90% of people simply can't make it. So they're constantly dealing with newbies who need to play that numbers game to gain the experience needed to identify how to use their time best. So it's a rational choice and Red Pill is similar... most guys are in the anger phase and need to go out and waste their time for a while getting rejected and getting over their approach anxiety etc.
>
> People actually react in three ways to this. Some who've earned their stripes in the environment just smirk at Baldwin's speech, ignore him and carry on with what we know works. My weekend mindset? I'm gonna get cleaned up and head out in a few hours, I'm gonna hang out with my mate, see a film with him, get some food and catch up, chat about our workouts, call him a beast. Then I'm gonna call a plate and tell her to come over and suck my dick. My sales number will go on the board, but I'm not doing it your way. Keep perspective gents - know what you're aiming for. There's no point achieving some numbers if you can't make a living long-term. Motivate yourself to learn and achieve smarter. After all, you don't want to be spending all your weekends working in the SMP - you want to be minimising that effort.

Is it okay to be hypocritical when looking for a relationship?

2 upvotes · 26 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Because I don't want to date myself.
>
> The person I am is utterly irrelevant when deciding the person I want to date. Calling hypocrisy because I want to date someone with a low partner count, just because I have a high partner count is akin to saying I'm a hypocrite for wanting to date a woman when I am a man. Difference to yourself in dating is perfectly acceptable. So be as "hypocritical" as you like in your partner choices. I'd even go so far as to say it is a misuse of the term hypocrisy or the term double standards.

From a blue piller, here's some things that bother me about the blue pill and the way it has discussions here.

2 upvotes · 26 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Don't bother bro. He's trolling. Nobody is this stupid, he's just being wilfully ignorant. It's why he's demanding examples of a straw man in response to an example of his own straw man point. What worries me most is that it was upvoted by 3 other people.

Bill Burr BRILLIANTLY Exposes Just How Little Women Do/Seem to Care for Men in Relationships Today [Brand New Joke]

2 upvotes · 26 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The response by /u/MoneyStatusLooks gives you a good idea. It's a personality thing... combined with my own dominant actions. She is naturally one of these women who likes to give and make others happy. Once you know that, just supply her with ideas and she'll do the rest.
>
> TRP isn't about manipulation, it's about identifying the qualities in a woman... walking away from the overly bitchy and entitled ones who're more trouble than they're worth... and then allowing nature to take its course with the women who have less baggage/problems. That's what we do by acting dominant, we take on our natural role and allow the woman to take on her natural feminine role - most of which eagerly do. And it makes us both happy.

TRP is all internal game, could use a refresher on external

2 upvotes · 25 August 2015 · /r/askTRP

> My series the TRP Field Toolkit covers all the basics of attitude and action with game. You could use to refresh yourself. Illimitableman thought it was ideal for that. Just search my submission history.
>
> It won't create conversation topics though... typically I'd remember FORD (Family, Occupation, Recreation, Dreams) and avoid RAPE (Religion, Abortion, Politics, Economics) for general conversation.
>
> If it's purely about your own wit levels... perhaps watch more stand up comedy (with lesser known comedians.) That can help to sharpen your wit.
>
> Think I've covered all the bases with this.

From a blue piller, here's some things that bother me about the blue pill and the way it has discussions here.

2 upvotes · 25 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > I'm interpreting things that they're actually saying and you and OP are telling me that I shouldn't do that.
>
> No, we're saying you need to interpret them correctly. When you don't, you are misrepresenting the argument and wasting everybody's time, including your own, by responding. Or as you put it:
>
> > when you create a new argument for the other side that they don't believe.
>
> that's what happens when you mistakenly interpret something someone has said.
>
> > This would be like if you criticized feminism and I said "well you just have to ignore all the bad things and just believe that feminism is for equal rights! If you don't interpret it that exact way then you're committing a logical fallacy!"
>
> You're very bad at argumentation. I suggest if you're of school age, you try to find your school, university or college debating society and join. Speak to the veterans of the society and they will be able to help you in analysing how objective argumentation and evaluation works.
>
> In brief, what you've written relies upon an assumption we haven't agreed. (That what is said about a group is worth more than the actions of said group.)
>
> Everything I have stated to you rests upon the assumption that you want to gain something useful from the discussions. It concerns me that my assumption may be false.

Are there any benefits to dating white women?

2 upvotes · 25 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> As a reasonably young Red Pill Man... I wouldn't say I'm anti-marriage. I'm more anti-the-current-alimony/divorce-laws. If it were possible to find a woman with the right qualities to negate the enormous risk, then I can see a situation where it would be possible. Unfortunately, even the best of women I've considered as LTR material over the past year simply haven't proven reliable/consistent enough in their actions for me to risk giving them a button to press for cash prizes at my expense.

[FR] Recently divorced guy getting back into the game, with a question

2 upvotes · 25 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> One of the things I've had great success with is when agreeing to give a girl a lift home, I also tell her we're going to "have a nightcap" so it gives her a platonic excuse to hamster with... while giving me legitimate reason to be not only in her place, but also to kino hard once we're in private.
>
> In some cases... the nightcap is never had. Try it in future. I think it'll help you hit that home run on the first strike.

So, I just met the first woman in the wild who completely fits redpill stereotypes...

2 upvotes · 22 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Perhaps she's just very bad at her "good girl" game?

Women unknowingly confirms core RP themes, article blows up with agreement from women all over Facebook and my feed.

2 upvotes · 22 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I almost fell off my chair laughing at that one. Usually a hamsterism is something I can just about see how they're stretching the rationalisation.... this one I honestly can't comprehend any universe in which it makes any form of sense.
>
> Now we need to figure out how to harness the power of this hamster's warp speed capabilities... I can see us exploring the universe in my lifetime. Get NASA on the phone!

White Knight going down the rabbit hole

2 upvotes · 22 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I can relate to your story, in my earlier blue pill days I was like this. It almost drove me insane how retarded the behaviour of women seemed. I would frequently ditch women as friends because I felt they were so stupid that they weren't bothering to learn from their mistakes.
>
> Of course, today I know better. It's simply down to AWALT and the cultural impact of freeing women from all responsibility. However, as with women having innate hypergamy, men have an innate programming to fall in love with women and want to protect them. I bring this up because, just as one of the other commenters pointed out - you can slip back to being blue pill again.
>
> You just have to remember that allowing your emotions to take hold and becoming that white knight again because you gave in to that urge to protect women at your own expense... is just as retarded as those women who can't help repeating the same mistakes because of their uncontrolled hypergamy.
>
> Even when you get your attitude right, you need to maintain it. I wrote a series called the TRP Toolkit which covers all basic aspects of how you act in the field. The first part of it covers the right attitude to adopt. Best of luck brother.

Why hasn't RP made pure theory sub where only the elder counsel of rp can decide what is part RPT and what isn't?

2 upvotes · 19 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Because nobody would read that level of nuance except me and maybe one or two others. Ultimately, knowledge for knowledge sake is not for most people. The majority are happy and satisfied with TRP once they get their dick wet.

First tinder date...

2 upvotes · 19 August 2015 · /r/askTRP

> Chicks who arrive 10 mins late are the worst. It's so annoying. If they apologise immediately on arrival then it could be a case of these things happen. If they don't mention it then it's an immediate red flag.
>
> As advice going forward, I typically tell any late chick after I've greeted her "since you were late, you can make it up to me by buying the first drinks." It's a good dominant assertion of frame which puts her in the submissive role and starts her off agreeing to do things for you. If she doesn't submit for something so cheap as a drink after her poor behaviour then it's **massive** red flag that she'll be serious problems in the future and I'd just walk out of there.

I have a bunch of questions for BPers. Please answer honestly.

2 upvotes · 19 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Not quite sure why this has so many upvotes. (Edit: Reread this and felt it could be misinterpreted. It felt to me like the logic wasn't entirely complete and just stated I don't believe X because Y and then only touched the surface of your own conclusions.) Personally I buy the analogies because it's really more to prove that "history counts as an indicator of the future." I can see why slut shaming is a cross cultural thing. It looks designed to make sure women know that they can't go sleep around and then expect every man to be ok with it and date/marry them. Though I get the liberal point you're making that women should be allowed to have sex whenever they want (in fact they are, and there are just some negative repercussions to it) if we remove slut shaming... the major repercussion is still there, except women will be less aware that they've removed various men from their potential future partner pool. Unless they lie about their number which is a fairly frequent practice. (Do you think they subconsciously know they're limiting their own options by having a high number of partners and then try to rationalise the number lower by "such and such a time doesn't really count" style logic?)
>
> The way I'd approach that is just because somebody says "that's not me." Doesn't make it so. It's why parole hearings check to see if the person is still a danger to society or not anymore, because we know people will simply say whatever to get what they want and it's important to make more informed judgements based upon their behaviour. That's a pretty heavy tenant of TRP. Judge on behaviour, not words.
>
> If we go back and accept your statement that there is simply nothing rationally analogous to the way a lot of men don't want to date or marry a slut (or former slut)... what are your opinions on reformed sluts? A girl who has decided after she's had 50 partners that she's not really that type of woman and from now on would rather just have more intimate LTR sex. Would you date her? I'm curious because I'd like to explore the full depth of your logic.

I've never actually witnessed so called redpill truths offline

2 upvotes · 17 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > In some ways you might think he was an asshole, but he wasn't at all cocky, muscular, chiseled, he was simply a whole world apart from TRP's ideal man of this successful, stoic, muscular, machismo, super-spartan.

Particularly tall? Status? Money? High level natural game through mystery?

I never seem to stop laughing at the number of tall skinny guys with dreadful body language and no style who don't seem to have trouble picking up attractive women. I sometimes think TRP needs to update the measures on a guy's base SMV to say +2 for being over 6ft. Then again, it could be down to social groups too and he's simply the best on offer for women in that clique. Better to take a medium SMV man until you can branch swing rather than have nothing at all.

"Yes, I cheated, No, I don't regret it"

2 upvotes · 6 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> There we go. Cheating is utterly justified as long as it's done purely for selfish reasons. Are you fucking shitting me?

A daily reminder of the worst of women is an excellent way to keep your opinion of women nice and low. Essential for maintaining the correct attitude in order to game successfully.

[FR] Wingmen, fuckwits and the value of muscles and ASD

2 upvotes · 2 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Please elaborate

In post-coital chatter with girls... I let them just open up their thoughts to me and encourage them to talk. A number have talked about how they felt "you didn't care what happened, but you're just so fun that you seem to cause fun." And one in particular said "the moment you grabbed my hand, I knew you were just there to enjoy yourself regardless of what happened." The latter sentence was an exact word-for-word description of my attitude upon greeting her for the first time. I'd said hi, hugged her, rubbed her arms, then asked her if she was ready for food, great. Grabbed her hand and pulled her off. Took about 15 seconds and her empathy was so good that she instantly knew what was going through my head. This is why genuine attitude is so vital.

Iron Sharpens Iron

2 upvotes · 1 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Game is better practiced solo. This is because you're going to fuck up and you're going to be rejected... a lot. So steel yourself and jump right in there.

> get a leg up on our BP brethren.

You've already got one if you're lifting, dressing well and emphasising your best qualities and cocky attitude.

Dominance is everything: Study shows bullies highest self esteem, social status, lowest rates of depression

2 upvotes · 30 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I feel the Alpha Game blog post on this was much more useful and interesting (for anyone who hasn't already read it.)

I can confirm that this "No doubt that bit of nonsense was cooked up by progressives to vilify masculinity as they so often do, but now there's a study showing that it's a load of horseshit:" is total nonsense. Putting others down and trying to negatively impact the competition is how women compete. Men improve. Bullying is not masculine. If anything it's obviously feminine given the gender differences in competitive behaviour. As usual, life is more

> complex than we wish it was. If it wasn't... I'd have found it much easier than I have. Shrugs and boredom continues.

Casino Royale - James Bond: The Ultimate Chad Thundercock is a great Red Pill character.

2 upvotes · 29 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> While I'm not a fan of Fleming's writing style, you should be reading the books bro.

The dangers of echo chambers

2 upvotes · 26 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> TRP tries to address these issues... but ultimately when you encourage men to become leaders... not everyone is going to become Marcus Aurelius. You're going to get some Ghengis Khans and Attillas appearing too. Like everything in life, it's not a 100% positive outcome. When we talk about defending freedom of speech, you end up defending the people with all the worst things to say. Even with the shit, it's better than the alternative.
>
> There are attempts to mitigate potential damage from amorality and the faulty logic that just because sexual strategy (a biological reality) is amoral, then your decisions (a human construct applied to reality) can be amoral too. /u/illimitableman has an excellent post on The Red Pill, You & Morality which I think should probably be spread more often.

If there's one thing a beta can't stand...

2 upvotes · 25 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Guys are typically not "ugly" as such... it's just women rate looks on a negative curve as part of the maximising rejections strategy. Realistically, guys will actually be on a bell curve if rated objectively... the problem is that women can't rate objectively and besides, their idea of attractive can be trumped in so many ways. (e.g. status, social proof, resources, high-level game etc etc etc)

[FR] BP acquaintance gets manipulated by his girlfriend, and I am the one who is wrong.

2 upvotes · 23 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Besides the fact she can't make a single decision for herself... she knows she's a slut. In her head she knows the behaviour of a slut correlates exactly with her behaviour. The hamster is incredibly tired trying to spin the wheel fast enough to try and rationalise this one away... so she needs someone else to tell her she's not a slut. It's simply impossible to believe herself. Your acquaintance is the willing patsy for this fantasy world. Never be the patsy.

The Hierarchy of Love

2 upvotes · 2 June 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Anytime brother. We've got to be here for each other. You've always been around to correct me and provide advice for free. God knows nobody else is here for men these days...

The Hierarchy of Love

2 upvotes · 2 June 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I agree with the conclusion 100%. What I meant by picking up on that was to alert you to the specific danger of such a semanticism for the ordinary man... he may foolishly think he sits within the minority. Your choice what you do with it bro. Either way, I'm merely tributing your work.

Can a girlfriend hold someone back?

2 upvotes · 28 May 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Really this belongs on AskTRP.... but yes, a girlfriend can AND WILL hold you back if you allow them to.

> The poison drip is real. I can tell you this because I was previously hooked up to it for two years. Looking back on it now, I could see how I slowly gained weight, became more submissive, reduced performance in my job, reduced interest in bettering myself, slowly reduced interest in my hobbies... might even have become physically weaker. Do a search for further reading on the poison drip, but as a simple summation of it: Women have a counter-intuitive method of mate guarding that involves holding their man back from becoming too strong in order to prevent him from attracting higher SMV women that she would have to compete with. This comforts her for some time... then it makes the man unattractive to her and she will then move on.
>
> As a rule of thumb (heh, I use this phrase as much as I can around feminists... so funny to see how some take offence) do not stay in a relationship that does not add to your life. Even if it doesn't subtract, it's just not worth the time.

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 4: Flags, ASD, LMR and Walking Away

2 upvotes · 2 May 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Thanks man. You can never remind yourself enough of red flag lists in my opinion. While we are dominant, we also have to balance with the absurd turn of society against men and protect ourselves. In a way, this requires more knowledge and preparation to be masculine today than any man before us.

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 4: Flags, ASD, LMR and Walking Away

2 upvotes · 2 May 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It received gold for good reason. I filed it away into the back of my mind for the same reason. It's valuable reading. (In fact, everything I've linked throughout the series is valuable reading imho.)

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 4: Flags, ASD, LMR and Walking Away

2 upvotes · 2 May 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Appreciate the effort and work put into your 4 parts, but this to me really takes the attention off the self improvement of yourself, and starts to feel a lot like a counter attack to female screening tactics.
>
> Err... that's the field for you bro. Interacting with women is hardly self-improvement (unless the only thing you're looking to improve is your ability to talk to women.) And the field is never going to be anything more than that. But guys like sex, so this is still important stuff.

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 2: Frame, Posture and Body Language

2 upvotes · 30 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> My default expression is bored/disinterested. I smirk often rather than actually smiling. I think one girl accused me of scowling all the time. She was still heavily into me so obviously it didn't seem to matter.

FR - Re-Plate success

2 upvotes · 24 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > But dude you literally sound like you are seething with jealousy over some guy dating your plate. Abundance mentality? Where are the new girls? Why all this time on your hands?
>
> Since you asked, I have another plate on the go. The ex-plate mentioned who I used for preselection in this also invited me to a house party that shall be full of 18-21yo chicks, so to be fair... I'm so abundant I'm kind of a little bored. Originally I nexted her... but I couldn't resist my urge to experiment. And this was only a few hours. Similar sort of time I'd need to put in approaching and setting up a new plate if you factor in rejections. Just a

> different scenario and thus different experience.
>
> As for edit number 362, I'll be putting out a post on field tools over the weekend (that I've been working on for a while.) It's bloody enormous including examples and shit, so hopefully that should satisfy your thirst for education more than this did.

FR - Re-Plate success

2 upvotes · 24 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > and thought, "Wow, that's just hateful. WTF?" Not that I'm saying OP should be concerned with the guys feelings, but I got the sense after reading that and the line about being 'inconvenienced' that there was some revenge involved that went beyond simply wanting to bang some plate.
>
> I get how you could think that. But that specific line I do believe needs to be hammered home to some newbies. The world truly does hate betas. Any newbie who is a beta **NEEDS** to realise this as a matter of urgency. I look at what you say and think "maybe I was a little strong" but then I think "no. they need that pain." Look at how much emotional pain bitches put them through... the way some of them trickle truth these things makes me wonder if the bitches actually want to psychologically torture them. Pain is our mechanism to tell us not to do something again. That it is harmful to us to do that. Some betas passively accept pain and that attitude should be shown and addressed for the benefit of newbies. The way I see the world now, the cause and effect that's in play... yeah, if you act the wrong way you deserve the outcome. (Even if you have the best of intentions. I'm not here to coddle anyone who isn't here to accept reality for everything it is, shit and all.)
>
> Then look at the way this has actually worked out. I'm merely inconvenienced, yet the actions I can take will cause him great pain. Massive difference in balance of power and attitude. Which one do you want to be in that equation? Newbies need to see the clear daylight between the two. If you want to put me down for that, fine. However as I said above, I'm interested in showing reality for what it is and I'm pretty much on board with the idea that the reality of the causes and effects in play for all of this are just what they are and the cause deserves the effect.
>
> Finally, think about this... if I truly hated him... I'd have left him to marry her and watch his life implode in the next few years. We know how a beta marriage ends up. Both of you need to stop looking at the world and wishing it was better than it is.

What are the properties that you look for in an ideal RP woman?

2 upvotes · 18 April 2015 · /r/askTRP

> Unicorn hunter checklist
>
> Green flags
>
> You also need to adopt a more proactive role in your research. While this isn't sidebar material... you only need to begin researching LTR game to find this kind of stuff. Go do so and avoid asking others to hand things to you on a plate. It will be detrimental to your self-improving attitude.

Am I still in the anger phase?

2 upvotes · 18 April 2015 · /r/askTRP

> > I actually legitimately think that all those "winners" are the reason my industry of interest is being dragged down.
>
> It probably is. Occam's razor and all that. If this is reality... how are you going to address it besides complaining

about it?

> Am I just a wannabe manipulative asshole or is this the bitterness people talk about? When does it go away?

It could just be anger stage because you're clearly angry at reality. However you also come across as someone who simply doesn't like people. This could be solved by finding new friends/a better quality of person in your life. Or you could have an anti-social disorder. Whichever it is, this is something you can research and improve upon to try and find happiness. Stay positive and focus on solutions.

Male Strippers Giving Insight Into Their Experiences

2 upvotes · 17 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

This is such a truth of reality. But you're dumping agency over your own choices just because "bitches be bitches." Next step is to work towards something more specific though. To claim back that agency. Good reading.

Are RP Men capable of love?

2 upvotes · 15 April 2015 · /r/askTRP

RP men are definitely capable of love. Love is an irrational emotion which is hardwired into us as an evolutionary mechanism to ensure our women are protected from harm. If we didn't have this to encourage us to protect them then our offspring would be in danger. Part of being RP is to ensure you don't let that feeling run rampant because that is incredibly detrimental (causes scarcity mentality, desperation, potential for being cuckolded etc) and I'd say this is kind-of important for any RP man who wants to play LTR game - i.e. what's the point in bonding with a bitch you can't care about? If you can't care about her, just spin plates instead.

My take on "looks don't matter" statements

2 upvotes · 12 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Any part of the genetics game where you've been unfortunate to lose out is something you need to accept from the outset. You'll play with -1 to your SMV. You need to work harder and compensate across the board. While I'm not as short as you, I'm still considered short by many women, so I can tell you it's not straightforward... but you can definitely have success. Any time you're stuck for what to do to raise your SMV next, come back to TRP and search for the basics. Max looks, style, social life, game, posture, attitude and never forget your mission. Women need to become a secondary priority in your life.

How I started making LOVE not WAR with Feminists. Sex + Socratic method = Social Change.

2 upvotes · 4 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

There's plenty of good LTR information available on the sub. Though opinion is obviously divided between the guys who want to spin plates, LTR or go MGTOW. If you're interested in further reading on LTRs I would recommend:

The basics

This excellent 8 part LTR series

Beyond that, it's down to you to make your own mind up and calibrate according to what suits you individually and your woman.

Giving woman mini-orgasms.

2 upvotes · 1 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Yo Elliot! Anybody in Monk Mode should know about him. When you've reached that stage where you're a little tired of reading and thinking deeply and you've done your workout... Elliot's videos are an excellent way to keep

> learning with less effort. The advice he gives covers a range of masculine issues. His fitness stuff is excellent too.

You cannot save everyone

2 upvotes · 17 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > End result? Me wasting my time and he kept doing what he always did, after of course he accused me by saying "so that's it? when you start doing something you feel oh so productive and better than other people that you start being judgmental of other people's activities and mindsets? this explains a lot :p".
>
> I have a question. Why would you remain friends with such a person? One the pieces of advice I came across early on when I was first swallowing the pill was to cultivate some good quality male friendships and remove the poor ones from my life because they can hold you back. So obviously this advice leads me to believe you shouldn't remain friends with someone like that who has allowed their gerbil full control. I'd be interested in your perspective on this.

Red pill has created victim mentality and that's counterproductive to the game. Need help.

2 upvotes · 15 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You sound like someone who needs to do more reading. Start here and here
>
> The current victim mentality attitude needs to be changed and you can only change these things through understanding and learning. I would recommend Monk Mode and taking some time to focus on yourself. The anger is caused by external factors and focus on the self and self-improvement tends to help this.

Gay Clubs and Fruit Flies

2 upvotes · 11 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> There's a wider point open for discussion here... the concept of some women pursuing the whole gay BFF thing. I get how the gay BFF will be an emotional tampon. What I don't get is why a girl who wants attention (the 6/10 for example) would choose to go to a gay bar? It seems counter-productive.

I am truly fascinated by a females natural ability to create an assemblage of orbiters, betas and alphas to tend to her different "needs".

2 upvotes · 11 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This was a fascinating read. Do any other guys have observations/stories similar to this? I haven't spotted any from a skim of the comments.

An Open Letter to Those “Forever Alone”

2 upvotes · 10 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The Wiki article should have plenty of information, plus the precise references of the papers involved will be at the bottom.
>
> Skimming it... here's a particularly relevant quote:
>
> > Zimbardo argued that the prisoners had internalized their roles, since some had stated that they would accept "parole" even if it would mean forfeiting their pay, despite the fact that quitting would have achieved the same result without the delay involved in waiting for their parole requests to be granted or denied.[8] Zimbardo argued they had no reason for continued participation in the experiment after having lost all monetary compensation, yet they did, because they had internalized the prisoner identity.

Posture is more than standing tall. Esther Gokhale's info is worth the money.

2 upvotes · 4 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You should be linking the youtube vids you reference mate.

[FR] My CC Riding Plate

2 upvotes · 4 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The health risk is there. Personally though, I'd say the undertone of this post from your perspective Disorderly, is that you've reached an impasse where your mind has to make a choice. Since you're the man, you decide if you keep fucking her or not. While I don't care what you choose to do, we offer to compare analysis on TRP and personally I'd ditch her at this point. Find another plate to add to the roster, it only increases your experience.
>
> Further reading on how I came to that conclusion

Life is good

2 upvotes · 3 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yet not being a dick when it's needed means you're avoiding honesty in favour of feels. As in all things RP, calibration is key.
>
> > The problem is that people are equating anger and negative for realistic.
>
> This is a bad attitude to have because the anger stage is real and needs to be worked through. Obviously it is not the realistic end product of where we want to be, but it is still realistic. No less realistic than the acceptance which comes after. See the current stickied post on hate. If you have reached acceptance, you need to be tolerant of your brothers who have not. It has to be worked through and we're here to support each other because there is no support network for the majority of men anymore. That's realism. It's not all good or bad. The way we calibrate is what is good or bad.

Managing other people's girlfriends.

2 upvotes · 2 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Whenever one of my friends gets a girlfriend I immediately cross them off as my 'friend'. They all become hollow shells of themselves as the girl slowly starts running their life for them.
>
> It's astonishing how quickly the poison drip is hooked up for the modern man.
>
> I'd say OP needs to tell these friends of his that they need to control their bitch and he doesn't appreciate their behaviour. Makes him feel uncomfortable.
>
> Alternatively, you could also increase your SMV so that it is so much higher so that these bitches will disqualify themselves for you as a social precaution. They're obviously under the impression that you're easily obtainable. You could also lower their perceived SMV by pointing out their flaws. Anything to make them think the gap is too wide and they're just embarrassing themselves.

The position of FUCK YOU!

2 upvotes · 2 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> While I see no good reason for **me** not to lift... I am not everyone else. The Red Pill sub is all about men getting together in a masculine, non-PC environment to have open and productive talks on things which men care about. This is why I've encouraged the Bruce Lee principle to people on the sub many times. Lots of opinions on the best way to go about things are written here. (Thanks to the general level of male confidence being raised very high here... these opinions are borderline commands and statements.) Take what you want for you. If physical

> betterment isn't top of his list of things to do, then that is his choice to achieve his goals.
>
> That said, we should rightly question one another. In this case, rather than pointing out he could find the time to lift... I sense that it just isn't enough of a priority to bother finding that time. That said, I'd be pointing out the good mental and physical benefits gained from having an exercise regiment and how this might feed into helping him achieve other goals. Healthier body, better mind. Hopefully that all makes sense to you (I'm in a bit of a rush.) I don't think that was gerbiling. It seems more like a different priority. Hence why it seems more productive to discuss why lifting should be enough of a priority to feature in his life.
>
> All said and done, back to the Bruce Lee principle. Take what you find useful.

The modern slut mistakenly increases her own value... we shouldn't help.

2 upvotes · 30 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is an excellent overview of the divide within the community. I have felt some of my posts and analysis is probably better aimed at the guys who're much further along the process/journey. I believe there is still plenty to learn from engaging in discussion with these men. From this, maybe there is another step to the journey which can be discovered. (Essentially: Never stop thinking and pushing to become better.)

The modern slut mistakenly increases her own value... we shouldn't help.

2 upvotes · 30 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is an interesting perspective. I hadn't considered the physical and psychological health angle in any detail. It's very relevant.

The modern slut mistakenly increases her own value... we shouldn't help.

2 upvotes · 30 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I would also add to this that joining the winning side just because it's going to win is a very feminine trait. That's what women do, they try to latch onto a high status alpha and get the benefits without doing the work.
>
> Men on the other hand will stand their ground and make their own mind up. They will not be shamed or cowed into joining the popular side unless they're convinced it is right. The following will hurt the egos of a lot of men on the sub and likely cause them to lash out in anger, but: if your reasoning for having sex with any chick you can is just "that's how society is, it's all going to shit so I'm not going to do anything about it and just have fun" then you're not alpha because you are attempting to justify your actions through the relinquishing of agency over your own decisions. Your justification is that society has made the decision for you because your actions will not impact it. That is a feminine trait.
>
> Thanks for some more good analysis and bringing a fresh angle to the topic.

The Golden Cock

2 upvotes · 28 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Might want to do a bit more research first. That's not how the publishing industry works mate.

Short and Sweet Proof of TRP at Work

2 upvotes · 16 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > (a built in 80% sexual failure rate)
>
> As a minimum. Adding in other variables would likely see this number rise.

On Morality having a place within Red Pill Philosophy

2 upvotes · 10 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> These are more sensible arguments. I also had more arguments based upon specifics, but I wanted to boil this down to the lowest common areas and focus upon the foundations. It all remains relevant.

Not just sexual strategy is amoral, everything is. Why? Because life has no feelings, no conscience or consciousness and gives no fucks about shit. That doesn't mean disregarding morality as a whole is a wise or even balanced thing to do.

2 upvotes · 29 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Hurrah! Somebody gets the subtle distinction I was making in RedPillWatchtower's pinned topic. I'd taken the loss on this and just put it to one side in my mind. (More important things to worry about right now.) Good read as usual man.

The Red Pill Lens

2 upvotes · 28 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> I noticed this the other day when I turned on the radio for the first time in forever and caught a couple of songs. Women singing about being plated and how they can see they're just going to be used for sex but they're going to do that anyway. Quality of women won't be improving anytime soon since the current crop of teens will be listening to this and taking emotional teachings from it. This doesn't really matter to me because I'll adapt to look after myself and fulfil my own needs... but it's bad news for society because not everybody can adapt.

Learn public speaking

2 upvotes · 16 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Competitive debating is more useful because it will provide these benefits plus more.

Society has never been lower. So you might aswell be Red Pill

2 upvotes · 9 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> This will probably be the most underrated statement on this thread. But everyone should seriously listen up!

Turning things around will take time... but time keeps marching no matter what you do

2 upvotes · 8 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> You got it spot on DCLdit. Absolutely spot on. You're going to become a better man very quickly.

A relevant excerpt from Henry Kissenger's new book, World Order.

2 upvotes · 4 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Being Machiavellian requires a brain in the first place. It also does not disqualify you from being someone who could provide both valuable advice or a powerful leader. In fact the very word points out you're an ideal adviser or leader because of the origin.

Resolving the "anger phase" - help for newer members

2 upvotes · 26 November 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm sorry to hear you're suffering brother. Much of TRP is about introspection which is why I felt it fitted well with cognitive therapy. Essentially the therapist will help the patient to introspect, identify issues and reason with them on the topic. As I said, this is very watered down. But just reading it is not going to instantly resolve things. The mind is not hyper-reactive. Personality and/or social problems people suffer take time to resolve. Reading the piece I put together is designed to try and help you introspect better and (hopefully) allow you to speed up

> your passing through the anger phase. Simply revealing a faulty cognition doesn't instantly cure someone. The mind needs time to heal and adapt. Try to remind yourself where you thinking is wrong each day. Hopefully in a week or two, you'll be feeling better.
>
> That is the very best I can offer you. I'm not a miracle healer. Just trying to offer some of my knowledge to help. I don't get anything back from this and based upon the posts, I don't think I'm going to be thanked by anyone. I'm aware it won't work for everyone... but if in a few weeks time, even one person has made it out of that phase a little sooner, then it was worth it in my eyes.

Brace yourselves men, winter is coming. "New domestic violence law will outlaw coercive control"

2 upvotes · 24 November 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Remember the feminist belief... domestic abuse doesn't happen to men.

[40M][30F] Silence in Long-Distance Relationship After She went out. Advice?

1 upvotes · 3 November 2023 · /r/TheRedPill

> Access the sub on a computer browser, down the right hand side of thesub's homepage you'll find the description, the rules and then remainder of the sidebar - to which everyone is referring. The basic info, new beginner reading, theory reading, reference material etc.
>
> While you're reading that, this bit is all you need:
>
> > Beginners: Start lifting, eat clean, get your career on point, socialise, look to acquire plates to spin. In that order.

STOP Cold Approaching

1 upvotes · 23 December 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is basically a massive post about why I advocate "warming" the approach.

Should men have a male VERSION of the classic hourglass physique?

1 upvotes · 26 July 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> Don't recall reading that paper... but wouldn't dispute it based upon the behaviour of many women I've been with.

Just Discovered "Gymcel." Seriously considering giving up.

1 upvotes · 17 April 2022 · /r/TheRedPill

> wtf is a gymcel?

How effective is Redpill relationship advice when it comes to marriage?

1 upvotes · 14 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

> > RP mainly is not designated for married men, but there are vast concept that you can relate them to marriage.
>
> RP is not designed with either single life or married life in mind. It's designed with success in mind.
>
> The reason it doesn't tend to be talked about in relation to marriage and why TRP has a strict "don't get married" stance is because of the principles of TRP being played out in that dynamic. Once married, you have legally surrendered your most powerful card - your ability to walk away and next the chick. In addition to this, most married situations where the man has become beta are just pointless. In a single man's life, if a chick sees you as

a beta we don't advise you keep working on her. Our advice is hard next her, not just because the effort is disproportionate, but because there are no guarantees you can turn it around, starting from zero is better than starting from negative numbers and abundance mentality means any one individual chick doesn't matter. Do you see the clear fundamental incompatibilities here?

It is not that they weren't designed for marriage, it's that they don't tessellate properly with it. The truth remains the truth, it's not suddenly changing between single or married life. To answer OP's question, you take everything you can from TRP that applies to you and will help you become a better man. Whether it'll work when you're already married is an entirely different question.

My[20M] Girl[22F] has plans to travel solo in the future

1 upvotes · 10 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

> I appreciate the brutal truth man, I think I'm gonna dig a lot more into the literature.

Spin plates for at least 18 months before even considering an LTR. If you then want to consider an LTR, go read the guides, there's an excellent one on the sidebar here. Don't touch LTRs until you have gathered plenty of plate management experience.

That's all you need to know on that.

Read the literature in your spare time, pick the ECs you want to read and cover all the sidebar on both TRP and AskTRP and you'll have the vast majority of things covered. The hypocrisy seems to be more about you still being plugged in to the matrix partly rather than having properly digested the red pill reality of the world and the sexual marketplace. Keep learning and it'll slowly fall into place for you. (Though that may not be a nice experience if you're still clinging to any blue pill dreams.)

How to get over girlfriend's body count?

1 upvotes · 7 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Alright so I've been with this girl going on 3 years

Ok.

> Her body count is over 30 but under 40.

So you didn't vet properly before entering an LTR. Everybody makes mistakes. Do your LTR reading. Dump, complete the reading and move on so you can try again.

Messaging girls you haven't fucked yet at night, low value?

1 upvotes · 7 May 2021 · /r/askTRP

This. Remember, even the guy who's not getting any and falling asleep with some lass in his arms on Friday night is more valuable than the dude who's on his own that no girl cares about to even talk to. Keep yourself higher up the scale.

Why do girls go so crazy over guys they find attractive?

1 upvotes · 21 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> This only works if stopping wanting to get laid doesn't coincide with you being a loser who never leaves his home.

> Yes, but that misses the point. If you understand the point, then what you've just said goes without saying. Did you intend to miss the point?

Good indicators to know your LTR is cheating.

1 upvotes · 18 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> I hear some EC's say AWALT, that all LTR's are are long term plates, and some say just vet bro and she'll be a good wife. which is it?

It's both. LTR's are about dynamic and maintaining that dynamic. Vetting is about making your life easier in maintaining this but also to rule out women who won't be able to remain stable for an extended period of time, e.g. mental health problems. AWALT still applies, stick her in a hotel room, on her own with Brad Pitt and she's gonna cheat on you, but you should have set ground rules so that she'd never end up alone in Brad Pitt's hotel room anyway.

Good indicators to know your LTR is cheating.

1 upvotes · 14 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Ie if I lay next to her and say “show me your recent messages”, is that too much?

Sounds a bit of a weird way of doing it, but if it works for you, whatever man.

> I’m just a little bit uncomfortable doing this, it sort of feels like a threat to her privacy.

Make your mind up what you want. You don't get to see everything while also giving her privacy. It's logically impossible. You can have one or the other.

> Where are the guides on vetting?

On the sidebar. Where everything is. Sidebar of AskTRP has a number of series guides, one of which is an 8 part comprehensive LTR guide.

Good indicators to know your LTR is cheating.

1 upvotes · 14 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> If you're in an LTR, you should have casual means of verifying whenever you feel like it. (Trust but verify being the rule here. When your gut tells you something is off, verify and if everything is cool then your instincts will adjust accordingly over time to read her better.)

“Text me when you get home safe”

1 upvotes · 14 April 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Did you give it as an instruction or a suggestion?

Would you LTR a girl that was previously someones elses plate?

1 upvotes · 31 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> LTR guide is on the sidebar. LTR should not be attempted by low experience men. Spin plates for at least 18 months first to learn.

Would you LTR a girl that was previously someones elses plate?

1 upvotes · 31 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> It's a red flag, so no. Her dating history should essentially be low number of serious relationships and that's it. That's your green flag. Why are you looking for an LTR and don't know this very basic piece of vetting????

Girl says "I can't wait until we go out" the day before she posts "date night" on her story with another guy. Hard next?

1 upvotes · 28 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> I've had the opportunity now to re-read that piece. Oh boy, is my estimation of rollo going further down the drains. Essentially that piece has one worthwhile bit in it:
>
> > All women are sexual, you just need to be the right guy at the right time for the job.
>
> As per usual, the typical rollo problems are evident. The prose is awful. Everything he says, he says it in a roundabout way. Vagary to the point of devaluing the statement.
>
> I also see exactly why he's causing such confusion. The vague and imprecise use of language has always been a hallmark of rollo. (It's why you grow beyond him after a little while.) I think he also oversimplifies matters as he refers to orbiters as "maybes" in the eyes of women. An orbiter is not a maybe guy. On the odd occasion he can be, if her perceived SMV has tanked suddenly, but for the most part he's a "no." He's there for validation and attention, not for sex.
>
> Women do gain validation from having sex with their perceived alphas. They may even entertain multiple alphas. However one will always be the one she'd rather lock down. He is the one who gets first dibs on her. We occasionally get questions here on AskTRP from guys who've fucked a girl and then she's suddenly off out with another guy. Yeah... it's because she thinks he's more valuable than you. If you were more valuable, she'd drop him for you in a heartbeat.
>
> It should be noted that rollo does acknowledge that women are not seeing these men based on "who am I going to bang tonight" like a guy might. So it's in there that he's not missed the important point I'm bringing in. I would say he probably agrees. However I'm going to have to start recommending people don't read him anymore. The imprecise use of language is clearly causing misunderstandings and confusion within the community.
>
> I'm here stating something that's really basic, that women can't spin plates because they don't work a sexual strategy like that. They pick from what options they have and they're notoriously bad at making choices. (Remember how you can use "the worry of missing out" on women? Remember how that tends to be the dumb justification for them doing something stupid? Because they felt they might be missing out if they didn't.) A woman dating multiple men is just that - either she hasn't got the exclusivity from her preferred choice and so is keeping her options open, or she isn't able to decide on which man is more valuable and she dithers, waiting for the decision to be made for her.
>
> This is totally different to a man who picks up a woman because he's attractive enough. Then does it again to make sure he has options so he doesn't need to bother with any psychological mind games or other nonsense designed to demand something of his in exchange for sexual partnership. It's all about getting that sexual gatekeeper to say "you may pass" without any further demands. That is not what a woman is doing when she sleeps with multiple men.
>
> I hope this has been useful and will enable you to spread the correct message wider in this community. It's hard enough correcting misunderstandings without the typical pile on of morons who think they know better than the guys who've been endorsed. It doesn't help when we have guys in our own ranks who cause this sort of problem. This is going to be a tough one to sort out because as I say, he's not explicitly wrong, he's just so vague and poorly written that it lends itself to misinterpretation. A tough one to solve, but solve it we must.

Girl says "I can't wait until we go out" the day before she posts "date night" on her story with another guy. Hard next?

1 upvotes · 27 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Thanks for the link. I'm going to go and have a chat with others about this. It's the TRP perspective for a long time that men and women are not comparable and it is a cardinal sin of the theory to compare directly - you end up with all those shit arguments about "well it's ok when a guy does this, but you object when a woman." Yes. Because men and women aren't the same. Based on a quick read, Rollo is not helping with this sort of use of the lingo.
>
> I'm not making any kind of point with regards to OP's situation. I am trying to correct a subtle cancer in the discussion of TRP theory and base principles. Once you accept that men and women are comparable and not running totally separate strategies, e.g. women can spin plates, you then end up in very murky waters of equality bollocks. If a man can spin plates, why can't a woman? If men and women are comparable, why don't we treat them the same? Why are you such a misogynist and so on.
>
> It may seem like nothing at first glance, but if you really start to think you'll see how it is a cancer that undermines many of the base presumptions of the theories.

When my girlfriend and I were first talking she went on a Tinder date, it happened 6 months ago but still makes me mad

1 upvotes · 21 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> > She told me she “fell in love” with me when we first started to hang out
>
> This lie is exposed by her behaviour. You instinctually know this. That's why you're annoyed. She's literally telling you lies to your face in this relationship and you're aware of it. Yet you're still there. Maybe she even believes her own lies. Or maybe she occasionally laughs at you in her head when she sees how eagerly you lap up bullshit.
>
> Plate her. Go spin other plates. Let plates break. Repeat.

Why is OmLaLa the only endorsed contributor on the sidebar?

1 upvotes · 11 March 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Laziness. He's written a lot of good stuff so the sidebar would be much longer if we started picking and choosing some of his better work. He'd get like 10 pieces on there probably.

Millennials & Younger Generations Are Quickly Dividing Into Two Separate Species!

1 upvotes · 9 March 2021 · /r/TheRedPill

> Reminds me of Extremistan. Have you read The Black Swan by Taleb?
>
> Retain perspective. The people at the financial top in Extremistan don't tend to be the best of us. Due to the nature of the outcomes being so extreme, it has little to do with a genuine meritocracy. God or slave is not the choice. Man or slave is the choice. You get to be God if you're lucky on top.

LTR smokes weed with guys, immediately break up?

1 upvotes · 25 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Then you're not secure enough in yourself to be ready to lead a woman yet. You're unprepared for an LTR. Spin plates instead until you learn and come back to the reading in a year or two when you've matured and learned.

LTR smokes weed with guys, immediately break up?

1 upvotes · 25 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> So you're either mentally retarded or you didn't do any of the basic LTR reading since your list of red flags indicates you don't LTR this girl. (Yet you have LTR'd this girl, while being aware of this.)
>
> How to proceed? Well you either need to go do your basic reading and reset yourself or go work on your mental retardation, figure out a way to actually do the things you've read that you should be doing.
>
> This has a strong whiff of troll about it. Particularly since you've also posted it in the relationshits sub.

hi i been referred here , 33 year old balding micropenis loser male need advice how to improve my "SMV"

1 upvotes · 24 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> You are fixated on the wrong bit. You fixate on the micropenis bit. It's the loser bit that's the problem. Until you can reframe that you will struggle.

hi i been referred here , 33 year old balding micropenis loser male need advice how to improve my "SMV"

1 upvotes · 24 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> > can a guy with a micropenis even have any smv?
>
> Yes, SMV is not about dick size. I have little doubt you'll have practical issues to address in the bedroom and I'm not going to sugar coat that. That will require your own separate research.
>
> However being an attractive man is down to the same old things. Looks, status and game. Go do your reading on what actually impacts SMV and I bet you'll realise you've neglected all three. Max your looks, do what you can about your status, learn game and you will attract women. That is obviously easier said than done but the principle remains solid.

Why did RP'ed guys started rejecting and criticizing " She's not yours, it's just your turn"?

1 upvotes · 3 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Cheers man

Why did RP'ed guys started rejecting and criticizing " She's not yours, it's just your turn"?

1 upvotes · 3 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Please link the vid and I'll look into it.

Im being manipulated in a LTR

1 upvotes · 2 February 2021 · /r/askTRP

> The fact she's "losing her mind" around you demonstrates the dynamics are not set properly. How long did you plate her for? What period of time were you vetting? What green flags did she display? What red flags did you pick up on and when?
>
> Your post lacks basic information on the state of the LTR and your own position within your red pill journey. Without these basic sets of information it is impossible to understand where you have gone wrong because LTR dynamics are multifaceted and varied.

(UK) Trouble with approaching and breaking ice?

1 upvotes · 26 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

I'd say the landscape is broadly similar. I've never received accusations of harassment before.... I mean an opener can't be classed as harassment by literal definition. If you ever encounter that, just walk away shaking your head. Everyone around you knows she's crazy if she's flipping out over being asked her name or some inconsequential question about a drink or whatever.

I remember years ago in uni I had a chick scream "don't touch me!" at me at a house party. My crime? I tapped her on the shoulder to deliver a message from her mate who couldn't get her attention. These absolutely crazy chicks do exist. Everybody who saw it, found it awkward and the small amount of conversation about it was sympathy for me.

It's these sorts of unpleasant experiences where a guy barely starts a conversation with a girl and she freaks out that do a lot of damage to a lad's ego. Just remember, we've all encountered these crazy chicks. Remember that they are crazy and most people who witness this will also know that she's crazy. Extricate yourself from the situation and go on with your day. It's not worth dwelling on. I only remember my own example because I found it so bizarre. Most of the time when a chick isn't interested when you've opened her it'll be a pretty mundane let-down. One word answers, she's angling herself away from you. It's pretty clear, just read the signals and move on.

It reads like you've just psyched yourself out or had one or two particularly bad experiences. Physical SMV is absolutely maxed... which I doubt... I've been doing this years and while it's higher, I wouldn't call it "maxed" since I'm not always wearing my best outfits and I'm lacking a proper haircut at the moment due to lockdown. To max your physical SMV you'll need the better part of a decade in the gym if you're doing things naturally, a high earning job, a good eye for fashion, on point clothing and accessories, reasonably unique style, perfect posture etc etc.

You could use the remainder of lockdown to monk mode, practice inconsequential openers, get the fashion absolutely on-point. If your physical SMV is maxed you'll be absolutely crushing it on tinder... so it would then beg the question, why care about openers and day game?

Think on it. Sometimes you need to judge yourself harshly to get things sorted. Hope things work out a bit better once lockdown is over.

(UK) Trouble with approaching and breaking ice?

1 upvotes · 26 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

Then raise your SMV. Much of the detail of game matters less the greater your base SMV is. If you're getting IOIs and the most basic opener in world history of asking a chick her name is getting you "slaughtered" then you mustn't be reading the IOIs right or you've somehow stumbled into the rare bitch who's literally just out to try and bring down guys self esteem for no rational reason. They're not that common, but you'll meet them occasionally simply due to the numbers game. If you've done it enough times it won't be affecting you at this point.

The elderly opener (observational) was a mainstay on here from day game and is done in a bit of detail in Roosh's old Day Bang book. If this tried and tested stuff ain't working for you, then your SMV is too low or you're working it in the wrong environment. Roosh was literally picking up chicks by talking about pens and laptop models. Maybe he got "slaughtered" sometimes too. Some day game stuff simply doesn't work because the chick is closed off to everything anyway so nothing you say really matters at that point. It's better that you'd said anything at all given the epidemic of approach anxiety in the modern dating scene.

I'm only restating basic stuff which I've used and has worked in my own plate spinning days. Hell, I've talked to a chick about what coffee I should get while waiting in line at the shop and picked up a number in 5 mins, then went about the rest of my day. Didn't care about being "slaughtered" because if a chick is planning a really nasty put down for an inconsequential line about a pen or a laptop or a cocktail or asking her name... there's way bigger issues suddenly come to the fore ahead of wanting to open a conversation with her. Keep it in perspective.

Girl at work

1 upvotes · 25 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> But no this is a different situation.

No it's not. Do not shit where you eat.

Games girl and she likes me, great. Now she’s trying to text me all the time and although I’m not really texting her back(saying I’m busy etc.) I want to get some advice on how I should play it with the texting. Is too little communication gonna hurt me. Tap in please.

1 upvotes · 25 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

Scraps of attention and maybe drop in something like "I don't know how you find the time to text me this much XD guess you must like me." Essentially a little something to give her an out to explain her investing more in you than you are in her. Give her the justification to keep building that emotional connection/bond with you over little to nothing. Focus on getting her in person. Isolate. Escalate. Have fun.

Why do all women hate their ex'es?

1 upvotes · 17 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

You need to spin plates for a substantial time before even considering an LTR. Based on your post history and current questions, you should not even be considering an LTR where your current experience and skill set is.

Why do all women hate their ex'es?

1 upvotes · 16 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

AWALT bro.

Friend’s girlfriend is flipping the script

1 upvotes · 16 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

I don't get what you're saying now. Why would she be at something you haven't invited her to?

"Rejection isn't as bad as regret", or how can I forgive myself for my failure

1 upvotes · 6 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

Then you need to learn to get a hold of your emotions. I understand meditation can help with this. Stoicism is worth looking into aswell.

Asking a girl to come over to my place as first message on a dating app? (No time due to self-improvement)

1 upvotes · 6 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

Depends on the initial SMV you appear to show via said app. It can work. I've seen it work for some guys. I've also literally had girls turn up at my place in lingerie and a coat or less just because I told them to. None of these things are outside the realms of possibility if you're smart about the way you play the game.

"Rejection isn't as bad as regret", or how can I forgive myself for my failure

1 upvotes · 6 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

First remember that there's plenty more women out there. This one woman and her opinions do not matter in the grand tapestry that is your life.

Second, I'd suggest your anger is not so much about her rejecting you. It's more at the arrogance that she thinks

> she can reject you, but then use you for attention and "friendship" and you're such a weakling that you couldn't possibly say no to this arrangement if it doesn't suit you. It's quite a nasty indirect insult that many men are supposed to just accept. (In time, once you've accepted the first thing I mentioned, you don't tend to care about being insulted by people like this anymore.)
>
> Third, you might simply be annoyed at yourself seeing proof that your SMV is not as high as you'd like it to be. At least there's a solution to the third one. The first one you can work on too.

Work w/ my ex

1 upvotes · 1 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> Finish your food as best you can. Clean all the faeces from your dining area. Make a note to not shit where you eat in future.
>
> Or more obviously, focus on work while there. Continue to be polite and treat any problems caused by her as silly immaturity while you will behave professionally. Seek a new, preferably better, job. Switch jobs asap. Make a note to not shit where you eat in future.

I think I overdosed on the red pill now I'm (22M) emotionally not attracted to women, but I want kids. Help?!

1 upvotes · 29 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> There is much more to discuss. You're young. Don't be so arrogant.

Copy asian Kpop stars.

1 upvotes · 24 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> You can become a Kpop star. That will give you the status needed for the high SMV they enjoy. Looking like one will just mark you as weird and probably reduce your SMV with any chick who's not intimately familiar with Kpop. And the ones who are, it won't help you.
>
> Go lift, get a decent fashion sense and clothes that fit properly, learn game.

How do I find balance with everyday balance in an LTR?

1 upvotes · 17 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> It is impossible to tell from the vague post you have made.
>
> Your woman should only be coming to you to request help on things she can't do herself or that you are significantly more talented in dealing with. This list should not be overwhelming, so as long as she is showing the proper deference/dynamics in her request.... it shouldn't be an issue you doing it at your convenience.
>
> If she's coming to you with dumb shit then you have LTR'd up a low value girl. Congrats on failing your vetting.
>
> If she's coming to you with things you're better at (and she is providing value in the relationship in other ways to balance this out), and you still refuse to do these things then you are a poor captain and this will likely slowly be taking its toll.

How to pressure flip "Do you have a girlfriend?"

1 upvotes · 12 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Loads of girls are my friends. What would you say makes you someone I wanna be friendly with? (Keep it cheeky in tone.)

Girl I've been seeing for a year, bruises

1 upvotes · 10 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> I don't

Then why is this an issue? Standard rules apply. I fail to see how this is something that requires anybody to weigh in on.

She's not yours, it's just your turn. Your turn will eventually end. If the dynamics are not to your liking, that is your fault. Change the dynamics.

Should I wait for my girlfriend to call me after we had an argument

1 upvotes · 10 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

There can be no hypocrisy as men and women are not comparable. Everybody who upvoted this has committed a cardinal sin of red pill philosophy. Or is simply blue pill.

The kid is a moron who acted butthurt for having his frame challenged and then immediately surrendered said frame. There is no way that behaviour is red pilled. That said, muddying the waters further with blue pill perspectives is not conducive to improving matters.

My gut is telling me to just next this girl totally cause she isn't gonna put out...how long have you waited to bang?

1 upvotes · 2 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

> She told me I was hot and sexy, extremely confusing.

Cardinal sin right there. Do not listen to what she says, look at what she does.

My gut is telling me to just next this girl totally cause she isn't gonna put out...how long have you waited to bang?

1 upvotes · 2 December 2020 · /r/askTRP

This. At best he is a backup option to amuse her with attention when her real desire isn't giving her that attention.

What makes girls fall in love?

1 upvotes · 28 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> This "everyone asking questions here is a blue pill wannabe alpha loser" attitude is tiring.

Find it as tiring as you like. The reality remains that we see delusional guys in here on a regular basis who deny that they are delusional... and we regularly lose endorsed guys because they can't be arsed to deal with them and their arrogance anymore.

> I fucked several girls in the last 2,5 years, much more than the average guy. Some were interested in something more serious, but I went super blue pill with them. I know where I fucked up there, so I only mentioned it briefly in the OP.

This is significantly more important than you realise to inform where you are in your red pill journey and informs the advice you will want to receive. You should have included this as part of your original post.

> After that I tried to fix that problem by going all in on the other side. I fucked girls that I wasn't that interested in, dominated them and basically only texted them when I wanted to fuck. They initiated contact

> with texts like "I want you to fuck my face" or just nudes and me ignoring it too often until they broke. This plates held for the longest time, but I didn't really enjoy my time spent with them.

Back to what I said before, perceived value. One of the ironic ways I managed to fuck a personal 10 and "have her fall in love with me" in my pre-red pill days was assuming she was out of my league and so pushing my luck and not treating her as remotely important to me. (Why waste my time on a chick I've got no chance with? Make the odd joke and tell her to get naked for me. What does it matter if she says no? Turns out she decided "yes" was the correct answer to this lol) Good game alters your SMV in her eyes. All that matters is you keep your SMV above hers and the tingles will be there. Once the tingles are there, she behaves more like a nympho than a rational human. You need to learn to treat women you do like, more like you treat the women you don't care about. Remember: YOU are the prize.

> My described problem of this post happens in between those two. I try to get hotter/more interesting girls so that I actually enjoy the time spent with them apart from fucking them. Which leads to me giving them more attention than just booty calls. I'm not texting them every 20 minutes, but it's rare for days to pass completely without me answering their texts. This starts well every time and I do fuck a good amount of them, but they seem to be getting bored more quickly which results in more work for me because I have to find another girl to fuck now. That's why I mentioned my friend. I don't want crazy stalker girls getting clingy after one phone call, but getting a bit of this magic so that I don't have to replace every plate after a month would be great.

Interesting girls have cultivated a value beyond sex (and they tend to be very rare.) Unfortunately this means they don't need to provide sex as much in order to gain attention. Which in turn can ruin the dynamic as you treat her like she's important and can't be dropped at a moments notice. Getting the balance right can be tough sometimes. Withdrawing attention, increasing dread, introducing social proof are all tried and tested tactics which work here to keep adding the smattering of alpha you need over the long term. The outcome you describe is typically down to too much beta. Too much comfort. Too much attention for free. Too much of her not needing to work for your attention. Failing shit tests without noticing. (The shit tests never end.) These things all lower your SMV. Again, it goes back to what I said before. Perceived value. You cannot get away from the fact that the women who lose interest consider you lower value than what they believe they can get. This is a common issue in modern society because women were never designed by evolution to deal with the amount of choice they have in prospective partners that they now have in modern society. It also bears noting that some factors are outside your control. A guy with more social status might show an interest in her. Some kind of uber-chad comes along. Basically any guy she perceives to have significantly higher SMV than you.

The solution to this will be more alpha aloofness, more amused mastery. Focus on learning to lead and maintain the correct dynamic. You can enjoy yourself, but you can't lose yourself in your enjoyment or you'll miss and/or fail shit tests. I think HumanSockPuppet wrote a guide for managing plates which might be relevant here. My Commanding 101 guide is also relevant as it discusses dynamic management. At all times she must remain sub and you must remain dom, with a focus on leading. If you can't show her the leadership she needs, then off she will wander searching for someone who can give her the feelings of security she seeks.

Then there's the opposite end of the spectrum... but I'm doubting this one is relevant here based on what you say. Your SMV is so high she thinks she's got zero chance of locking you down so she disqualifies herself and goes searching for a guy of slightly lower SMV than you. It bears repeating again: perceived value. Important word here being perceived. Pay attention to what she does, not what she says and you'll get the feedback on what she perceives. From this you can begin to manage the dynamics and adjust alpha/comfort accordingly.

> All I'm looking for are plates/fwb/maybe a LTR that I enjoy being around and not breaking fast because for me personally only fucking someone is wasted time and not enjoyable.

> There is an LTR guide on the sidebar if you're interested in vetting for LTRs. I believe it is also a minor part of my TRP Field Toolkit. Part 3 or 4. I can't remember off the top of my head. Do not enter an LTR without significant vetting and long term plate status. How is your knowledge of red and green flags? These aren't just useful for LTR vetting, they also allow you to judge for a better quality of plate and manage dynamics better. You can screen out women who will likely break early, remove the crazy ones and focus in on more reliable women. They also tend to be easier in terms of managing the dynamics.
>
> If these do not solve your problem in the next month or so, I'm willing to discuss privately in a more mentor-like fashion a more in-depth evaluation to get at a specific approach to your problem. Send me a private message if this is needed.

Super shit test

1 upvotes · 19 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> It's a really obvious shit test, but it's the disrespectful kind. We know that she's checking you out to see if she wants to go have sex on that date. The fact she needs to assert it openly in that particular style of asserting frame. I'd mock her for it and take the piss out the fact she needs to explain dating out loud. Follow it up with her telling you how this BDSM thing works because obviously a good sub spends her time explaining all this to her dom while asserting how much control she wants over everything. Essentially stating that her social skills suck and her womanese needs work - between the lines this asserts to her that she needs to justify her value to you. Those who are fluent in game will already see where this goes. It reasserts frame and tells her to play the game or risk losing you. If this breaks her, doesn't matter, she'd have been a crap sub anyway.

Girls with different politics

1 upvotes · 18 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Politics shouldn't be coming up on dates. You're there to see if there's a sexual attraction, not establish a policy proposal to bring peace to Syria.

Girl I'm trying to LTR mad at me and being distant for ignoring her texts. How to patch things up without sounding beta?

1 upvotes · 9 November 2020 · /r/askTRP

> There's 2 red flags in this post alone. LTR material displays zero red flags. The moment a red flag shows up it's an auto-disqualification if you are playing LTR game correctly. Based on this, it sounds like you're not.

LTR Liking pics of orbiters on social media

1 upvotes · 28 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Why are you in an LTR with a chick who still has orbiters?

LTR did 180 turn on "our" vision

1 upvotes · 28 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Ah the light switch. It's been a while since I've seen one of these.
>
> You've LTR'd a girl who was unsuitable for an LTR. Congrats. Now she has demonstrated she is unsuitable, time to either downgrade her to a plate or next her. There's nothing more interesting to consider here.

Girl randomly ghosted

1 upvotes · 18 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Orbiters get reeled in initially. Read that sidebar more thoroughly.

The alpha spectrum is huge

1 upvotes · 30 April 2020 · /r/TheRedPill

> The power of game on show right here.
>
> Side-note: Don't shit where you eat. Alonso is not a role model and you should not emulate him.

DGAF attitude is effective in getting women. But is it also effective in other areas of life?

1 upvotes · 28 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> You're describing aloofness. It has its benefits and drawbacks like anything else. There is no one perfect way to play the game of life.

Is it pointless to talk to a girl that lives far away?

1 upvotes · 27 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> Yes

Lack of intimacy and conflicted

1 upvotes · 27 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> > It's not really an LTR I'm describing (or aiming for) without any prior experience, so I wonder what you mean.
>
> And yet you had plans to form an LTR as a method of losing your virginity. What? You've been red pill for 4 years and haven't lost your virginity yet... that is an immediate red flag that you're not as red pilled as you claim. That's before we go onto things like you declaring "going celibate mode" which does not exist in red pill philosophy.
>
> Add to that your clear misunderstanding of the purpose of LTRs, the different style of game required, the mismatching of it with your plans etc demonstrates you haven't done your reading on the topic. Yet you had it in your plans anyway.
>
> Then you come to complain of lack of intimacy, specifically romantic intimacy. A very strong indicator that you are blue pilled. Maybe you're an idealist who's shown up and is trying to fulfil blue pill fantasies with red pill methods. The short version on that... it doesn't work. Women are not there to provide you with romance (they're pretty shit at it in any case, men are the romantics, remember?)
>
> The order of things remains the same. Men love women. Women love children. Children love dogs. (And dogs love everyone.)
>
> If you have raised your SMV to the standard you claim, find a tinderella and go lose your v card. The sooner you break any mystique about sex the better. Then you can start planning for spinning plates for a bit. Keep abundance high and by the point you've sorted all this out you can start looking at LTR reading.

Lack of intimacy and conflicted

1 upvotes · 26 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> > I thought this exchange would be the time to lose the v card and get into a relationship for as long as the exchange.
>
> You smell of blue pills

Was committing to an ltr a bad move?

1 upvotes · 22 April 2020 · /r/askTRP

> LTRs are not inherently a bad thing. They have benefits and drawbacks compared to plate spinning. You've got to go with what works best for you.
>
> The usual problem is that lads give their first plate an immediate LTR promotion for no real reason. You appear to have vetted, but the questions that remain are whether you wanted an LTR and whether you are ready to play LTR game rather than plate spinning.
>
> Keep your SMV up and look into some of the LTR guides here.

Should I give LTR of 5 months a gift for V-day?

1 upvotes · 10 March 2020 · /r/askTRP

> That's because his point went entirely over your head.

So I've concluded that his point is irrelevant, because I didn't understand his point? Wow, I've got a real genius on my hands here.

A brief education because I'm bored. Apply someone's logic to your position. If you can concede the point and it doesn't affect your own argument, then it is an irrelevant point that doesn't engage. It's a good way of spotting an idiot who's adding no value to discussion and can't get out of their own head. In this case a great shame to see GLO doing this as I used to have a level of respect for him and even defended him against other ECs who complained about him. Nothing lasts forever I suppose.

So I'm over here discussing a position on intra-sexual competition and LTR unicorn hunter selection methods. The LTR selection point in particular supersedes the logic going into his (and your) point on sexual value. You will have already selected a woman who does not buy into this as an important indicator of any sexual value exchange. It's totally irrelevant to my position. If you have selected a woman who's bothered by the issue you raise... my position would have been you've already selected poorly. It simply doesn't engage with my position since (if you understand my position) you'd already know the above response.

In short: You're busy making an argument about sexual value exchange and my position has already resolved it before that can even become a topic for discussion. It's poor argumentation at best, which GLO then combined with a bunch of ad hominems followed by some misrepresentations of my comparisons as literal rather than obviously figurative to demonstrate a point. Frustrating is not a strong enough word to describe dealing with such buffoons. Avoid becoming the next example. GLO is not very bright. He's fairly average. So take what he has to declare with a pinch of salt and seek more information. Personally I'd recommend folks like IllimitableMan, Whisper, HumanSockPuppet and Copperfox3c

Should I give LTR of 5 months a gift for V-day?

1 upvotes · 18 February 2020 · /r/askTRP

> That's fundamentaly your position

You do not grasp my position. Your comprehension of English is below average. Dealing with you is a total waste of my time.

Should I give LTR of 5 months a gift for V-day?

1 upvotes · 14 February 2020 · /r/askTRP

> How is buying flowers for Valentine's day any more arbitrary than buying flowers for a funeral?

Firstly, you of all people should recall the standard "don't buy her flowers. ever." advice issued by the sub. Terrible choice of example.

Secondly, most of the guys on AskTRP LTR up the first chick to give them any interest. When they say LTR, we're really talking about plate material 99% of the time. This particular chap has not provided enough detail for me to think otherwise.

Thirdly, the valentines day scam is part of female intra-sexual bragging. Any bloke worth his salt is not remotely interested in pissing about in that particular pool. Never thought I'd see you going to bat for the denialists who still believe their romantic gestures are a good idea just because they've decided it.

> What happens to men who isolate themselves by abandoning all social rituals in favor of egoic individualism? How does that usually turn out?
>
> What happens when this minimalism/miser-ism/social disintegration is echoed back to you, when the impressionable vagina person copies you and adopts your values?

Your SJW-style dishonest representation of my inner-thought process is noted.

Should I give LTR of 5 months a gift for V-day?

1 upvotes · 14 February 2020 · /r/askTRP

Valentines day should be ignored by all red pill men. If your woman cares about it, you have selected poorly or she doesn't grasp the nature of being your plate. She will learn in time.

I broke up with my LTR (Painful)

1 upvotes · 9 February 2020 · /r/askTRP

Of course. You were quite clearly a placeholder until she found someone else. Why on earth did you promote her from plate?

« I don’t like muscles »

1 upvotes · 12 January 2020 · /r/TheRedPill

In other news, straight women rather like a bit of hard penis. You could write a piece on that and it goes in a very similar fashion.

In a LTR, getting constant shit tests

1 upvotes · 24 December 2019 · /r/askTRP

First:

> She's quite high on the crazy/hot scale so this might be part of the reason,

If you've LTR'd a crazy chick you deserve nothing but scorn.

Assuming you're not a complete fucking imbecile, you're getting shit tested because you don't appear to be the right level of attractiveness for her. Raise your SMV. If that is not the issue, then you're shit at leading. Learn to lead and command. My Commanding 101 piece can be useful for this. I get a shit test every now and then... but it's rare because she's led well and she knows I don't like being shit tested.

Are women aware of the wall, do they deny it, does it catch them off guard?

1 upvotes · 21 December 2019 · /r/askTRP

> They primarily deny it. They just have this vague idea of what makes them attractive. It's why they get confused when women they don't consider attractive get more attention than them. When any bloke nearby can see exactly why she's more attractive.

Anyone explain this?

1 upvotes · 21 November 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Serious mental problems. Steer clear of her. Hard next and block her on everything.

Do Not Be Blinded And Ignore Red Flags

1 upvotes · 20 November 2019 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah, and Scotty was totally beta standing there with a limp head. He was super-duper dominated! Dat handshake demonstrates who got the biggest dick. Such grand challenges of masculinity! Must squeeze harder than the other guy otherwise you're super limp and he be establishing dominance over you. The funniest thing is you're serious about this while I'm taking the piss. You crack on with your insecurity bro.

Do Not Be Blinded And Ignore Red Flags

1 upvotes · 20 November 2019 · /r/TheRedPill

> > and squeeze this guy's hand harder than any hand I had ever squeezed in a handshake before.
>
> And you think he's the beta. He provoked an irrational reaction out of you. Weaklings are not worthy of your wrath. Believe me, I know, because I did similar sorts of things to the end of your story in the past. It's just childish behaviour. The beta guy is no competition, why even behave like he matters? Beta guys are stupid and irritating at times, but beating them in the sexual game is like beating up a toddler. They had no chance to begin with and your victory is hollow.
>
> I remember a great story from about 5 years ago by scottishredpill who was chatting up a lass with a boyfriend and had his head slammed into a wall by a beta orbiter. No reaction from him to this. Chick reacted poorly to the beta's violence, told him off, told him to go sit in the corner. Took scotty outside and blew him and gave him her phone number or something like that. It made me laugh how unequal the competition is. He literally didn't need to bother doing anything.
>
> An additional point that should be made on this FR - it's a good example of female solipsism. They evaluate men more positively by the amount of interest men can get from other women. (Social proof.) They assume that by showing they have men interested in them, that this somehow makes them more attractive to us when in fact the opposite is true. Women can't get out of their own little heads.

Broke a chicks heart - wanna fuck again (TLDR in comments)

1 upvotes · 14 November 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Unless you happen to be trapped on an island in the outer Hebrides, that is merely rationalising your scarcity mentality.

How do you handle a physically abusive LTR?

1 upvotes · 13 November 2019 · /r/askTRP

> I doubt any action you take will show any strength or courage. Based on your post history, you're weak and you've tolerated a huge amount of shit that no red pill man would even consider. The things you're asking about aren't

> even serious questions to us. You clearly have not read the sidebar or if you have, you have not understood it or internalised it. Based on your current state of mind, you're at least a year from being competent enough to be approaching a healthy relationship with a woman. More than likely two years as you clearly need more general experience with women and soft power so you'll need to spend some time spinning plates. Until you get to the point of spinning plates comfortably, you are not ready for LTR game. Do not LTR up the first bitch who shows you any kind of sexual interest!!!

How do you handle a physically abusive LTR?

1 upvotes · 12 November 2019 · /r/askTRP

> If you've already dealt with it the single time it ever came up, why is it still an issue? You're playing semantics here to try and pretend to yourself that you're not as weak as she clearly perceives you if there's been any repeat.

Incels/braincels

1 upvotes · 30 September 2019 · /r/askTRP

> You don't need to become hyper-wealthy or hyper famous. The problem with the incels rejecting the example because it's a famous person is that the demand for an example basically makes the position unfalsifiable. I present someone unknown and the response will be "I don't believe that person exists, you're lying." So essentially the position would be illogical.
>
> I do not buy that the majority of incels seriously deformed. They're mostly average (remember that average is 3-4 out of 10 in the looks dept.) Over 80% of men are considered 4 out of 10 or lower by women.
>
> > You gotta remember that incels aren't really interested in being the beta-bux either, just the same that you aren't.
>
> Which means if they wish to reject the get rich idea (and getting rich is not as unreasonable as it is stated. A millionaire isn't even in the 1% these days. Faking it can also work.) then it still doesn't deal with the isolated high social value point I made. Have you seen Rollo? He ain't some brad pitt, but got most of his pussy simply because he was fronting some small time band that played some public gigs. This is not beyond the average guy. Anything where you get to take centre stage will do. Stand up comedy, one man band stuff. There's probably more, but honestly I'm not here to do all the work for some lazy incel.
>
> > I have never seen one either. Neither I have seen some 5'4 ugly lad who's good with women posting here. I don't think such a thing exists. All the FRs here are tinder dates.
>
> My point remains. They haven't even attempted to max what they've got. The biggest winner on tinder is a shirtless pic if you're built and ripped, they don't even care about your face, it can literally be cropped out and they'll still match. And no, I doubt you will ever find some 5ft4 ugly looking dude on here because it would be career suicide to post face pics on TRP. Though I bet if you looked, you'll find some shorter guys who'll tell you about working through the difficulty of red pill life while short. I'm not really short but I'm not near to 6ft and there are women who will just reject me out of hand as a result. But that doesn't matter, there's always more out there. Give fewer fucks and improve yourself. Maybe things won't turn around quickly, but at least you're improving your life/health/career. Those should be enough on their own.

Incels/braincels

1 upvotes · 30 September 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Absolutely right.

LTR being hounded by a beta-orbiter?

1 upvotes · 20 September 2019 · /r/askTRP

> She really seems to like telling you about this

This is relevant in itself because of women's solipsism. They think having other men chasing them proves how attractive they are to other men. Much like a woman sees a guy thinks he's nothing special, but then he's getting attraction indicators from other women and suddenly she's like "oh he's looking quite attractive."

So it's sorta like she's trying to remind him she's got "high SMV."

LTR seems to be applying the dread game and I'm not with it

1 upvotes · 16 September 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Women can't play dread game you moron. I doubt you've read the sidebar properly, but if you have, you need to read it again until you actually understand it.

Modern Women "I've Had Sex With Well Over 100 Men, but That Does Not Define me as a Slut"

1 upvotes · 29 June 2019 · /r/TheRedPill

> Bloopers gonna bloop.

Modern Women "I've Had Sex With Well Over 100 Men, but That Does Not Define me as a Slut"

1 upvotes · 29 June 2019 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is sidebar level stuff.
>
> In addition you have committed the cardinal sin of assumptions in your thinking. Men and women are not comparable. In anything. They play completely different games with completely different rules and completely different progression paths.
>
> Men bond slowly and deeply, women bond quickly but there is little depth to the bond and a deep bond takes longer than for a man. This is designed with purpose by nature. A woman must be able to detach quickly and form a new bond quickly because her fertile period is roughly 15 years and if her man dies she must still reproduce. A man on the other hand is playing a completely different game where reproduction is almost scattershot but raising a child is a significant investment for him. For her the reproduction itself itself is a significant investment. Two wildly different games, with wildly different factors and hence different strategies. We are one of only two species on the planet where the female has been designed to become infertile after a certain time period. The reasoning for this latter fact has not been fully resolved yet.

Slut friend of potential LTR

1 upvotes · 10 May 2019 · /r/askTRP

> To make herself look better to you.

Do I wait until I've got my sh*t together?

1 upvotes · 2 May 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Set yourself a monk mode for 3 or 6 months. Depending on how bad you think things are, then stick to it and have a precise plan for the day monk mode ends so you don't end up in perpetual monk mode.
>
> Years ago when I started out, I tried coming out of monk mode a month early and it was disastrous. My ego got the better of me. Make a plan and stick to it. Lay strong foundations if you're planning to build for the future.

Outcome independence

1 upvotes · 20 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Like another date. Mates you can go hang with instead. What kinda dumb question is this?

How do you walk up to a girl and get to know her without seeming creepy?

1 upvotes · 20 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Ironically he's right. It sounds like you need to go monk mode for a bit. You can test your progress by throwing out hooks at various social functions and seeing what sort of response you get. If you're just lacking in confidence then this will also figure that out for you too.

Outcome independence

1 upvotes · 20 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> You should have a backup plan for her not turning up too.

Is it better to game 5's than none?

1 upvotes · 19 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> > If you don’t meet an 8’s minimum threshold of value and aesthetic, you will be written off almost immediately.
>
> False. Status trumps all. Remember to incorporate all of the factors involved in male attractiveness. I've always viewed game as a sort of way to alter your SMV. That's one of the things, when that alters things and you're dealing with a girl who doesn't get approached much or gets fawned all over frequently, the slightest bit of game can have a much bigger impact.
>
> Rejection out of hand is part of the strategy anyways. If anyone comes to me and says they've got a 100% success rate then I call bullshit. The difference is I genuinely couldn't care less if some chick turns me down. Perhaps it is that vibe. I have mentioned many times that these things must be cultivated because women are very good at reading men. If you DGAF for real, success is genuinely much easier... and even easier with the 8 or 9 because it screws with her head. It's like you've negged her without negging her.
>
> Does everything work 100% of the time? No. Even some nights there's just nothing you could have done with that girl because she was in a bad mood or whatever. You can play everything perfect and be brad pitt looks and the bitch was just in a foul mood and looking to be nasty to just get some random dumb emotion out of her system.
>
> So yeah, overall it's typically easier to game an 8 than a 5 or 6 because she's surprised a guy genuinely couldn't care less about her interest. That intrigues her and things tend to go from there. As another reply mentioned, 5s or 6s can see that and will preemptively disqualify themselves to avoid social embarrassment/demonstrating poor social skills to chase men outside their league.
>
> Once you've got your game down, it's easier to game hotter chicks than the average ones.

Used fat friend to get to hot friend and now the "girl code" has been activated.

1 upvotes · 5 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Why are you listening to words? Think on that.

Purposely "failed" shit tests on date, somehow she's still interested?

1 upvotes · 5 November 2018 · /r/askTRP

> The only thing worse than a woman who has the commitment of a beta man, is a woman who can secure no man's commitment. Maybe she has no other serious options and just secretly hates you (and subsequently herself.) Or

> perhaps she views her SMV as quite low and yours as quite high to the point where you get to play some prettiboi game.

My chad friend called the girl I like beautiful . Some one explain to me his success

1 upvotes · 2 November 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Take your incel bollocks elsewhere. Your inability to use game well is not an indicator that it doesn't work. It is an indicator of how useless you are.

My chad friend called the girl I like beautiful . Some one explain to me his success

1 upvotes · 2 November 2018 · /r/askTRP

> TRP is not closed. It is quarantined. You can still visit. You just need to use a proper computer and either type the address to visit directly or click through from the sidebar here on asktrp. Speaking of which, there is also the asktrp sidebar that holds masses of great info for frequent problems.

Lifting is lowering my SMV

1 upvotes · 26 October 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Sounds like you moved to social justice central. Time to add some more strings to your bow. It sounds like you relied too heavily on your physique and previous social status for pussy. You're getting a first hand look at how shit changes and why I've pointed out many times that social status trumps all.

Always a shame when anti-censorship groups take ideological stances for censorship.

1 upvotes · 7 October 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Okay. I take you at your word. Care to share how KiA has come to be so chill about the new round of quarantines? It can't just be that you're obeying the rules, we're doing that and you guys get accused of bullshit misogyny too. I was impressed when you guys got everything sorted after that problem with the original founder nuking the place. Now we're being illegitimately nuked by reddit admins and you don't have a peep to say.

Girl i have been seeing refused and said i only use her for sex

1 upvotes · 6 October 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Comfort tests do not need to be passed in a beta fashion. I would expect you of all people to know this.

Girl i have been seeing refused and said i only use her for sex

1 upvotes · 6 October 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Because "you only want me for sex" is a well known comfort test. The subtext is obvious "I am worried that I don't have your commitment." If it is a power play then she's weaponised sex and it's game over anyway so the discussion is irrelevant.

Three academics just proved the nonsense of Gender Studies that led to our quarantine

1 upvotes · 3 October 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Country difference perhaps? Maybe a field difference?

Why do girls agree to dates if they’re just gonna flake?

1 upvotes · 13 September 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Feelings.

Possible shit test? need help asap.

1 upvotes · 5 September 2018 · /r/askTRP

> It's a nod to the dynamic she expects. She's reaffirming that you're leading the plans. Do whatever you want and chill out.

I Thought Girls Were Supposed To Be Good With Hints

1 upvotes · 5 September 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Implied insults are passive aggression. I am not in the mood for idiotic hamstering.

I Thought Girls Were Supposed To Be Good With Hints

1 upvotes · 5 September 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Your interpretation says more about you than me.
>
> Being passive aggressive is a feminine trait. Cut it out.

Raising a glass to a fallen brother

1 upvotes · 13 August 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> You obviously skipped the follow up point. "Got a few screws loose" adds nothing of value to this discussion. Take some time off and think about what you're actually contributing to this sub. If I see you still posting tomorrow I'll drop the mods a line to get you banned for a bit if you can't control yourself.

Why do most girls I meet and "hit it off" with already have a boyfriend ? Does anyone else feel like the odds are stacked against them?

1 upvotes · 6 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> How much of the TRP Field Toolkit do you know?
>
> Entertainment as in are you the guy providing interesting conversation and amusing jokes for nothing in return?

First date failure, killed the vibe by jokingly insulting her

1 upvotes · 3 August 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah, you fucked up. You saw it (difficult to miss.) You could potentially have rescued it with something along the lines of "Are you one of those girls who reads too much into every little detail and over-analyses everything?" But there's no guarantees at this point. Women and their fee-fees. Never underestimate how irrational and stubborn they will be.
>
> Mentally speaking you seem to be in the right place. You class her as just another worthless bitch. Unfortunately you made that a little too clear. (That she will never hold any value to you.) The moment she realised that she held no value in the exchange, she knew she wasn't having sex with you and the story was probably to try and make you jealous/reaffirm to herself that she's attractive/valuable.
>
> A hard next is probably called for, but you could always soft next and see what happens. Though if she wants back in, you should make it clear that she's coming over to your place for dinner and wearing nothing but knickers and jewelry. In all honesty though I think this is a lost cause. Plenty more chicks out there, go find the next one.

Can’t enjoy sex anymore

1 upvotes · 1 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Good is defined by both average and bad. You don't get to know good sex without knowing average sex and even bad sex. When you've had great sex you'll discover you can't even get that all the time, even when you have the

> same girl and similar situation. It's called life. Some moments are just that, they're great moments. Try to understand this and you'll likely enjoy life as a whole more.
>
> If you wish to turn to the dark side... look up boyfriend destroyer game. I can vouch it works... but I don't think you'll get that quality of sex again. It sounds like she was really looking for great sex and took a break from her bf purposefully to do that and not feel guilty about being disloyal "cos technically we weren't together."

My new mechanic is asking me to fuck his girl

1 upvotes · 31 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Well that's a better situation than I thought it was. And yeah, he does sound like a complete loser. You now have a role model of what-not-to-be.

Im less attracted to my girlfriend after she gained some weight - what do?

1 upvotes · 31 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Check if she's planning any activities. If not then you need to do some research and make sure you're ready to deal with any situation that comes up. The usual is "I'm bored of x, let's do something else." "What do you want to do?" "I don't know." This is a classic chick thing. Make sure you've got answers in mind.
>
> Take plenty of sex stuff, any new things to add to the bedroom in mind? This is an ideal time to reveal them. Buy some handcuffs then joke about handcuffing her to the bed and just leaving. Then in the middle of the session, do that and watch her rage that you dared to not do sex in precisely the way she was hoping for. Grab a beer, have a laugh at her, wave your dick an inch from her mouth and watch her try to suck it. These are just a few fun and silly teasing methods that get her that little bit hornier. Very good for time away because it's good to mix things up and remind her that you're not entirely predictable.
>
> Remember, leading isn't about making all the decisions. It's about stepping up when you need to in order to provide certainty that there will be no limbo or situations where decisions aren't being made. It's also about being prepared. When you're well prepared she'll notice when things go in a way that is unexpected, you can step up without breaking a sweat. You should be able to do that for yourself anyway.
>
> Other things to consider are getting a special food or drink to try something new. I'd also recommend taking some reading material with you that you can just get out and about on your own for a short while. You want to be able to step out if you're not actually doing something as a couple at that time. That little bit of independence will keep her on her toes. Similar to having a non-flirtatious chat with another attractive girl. (Light dread.) It's useful and can be passed off as just being outgoing and friendly if any complaints are made by your chick.
>
> That's all pretty rambly but hopefully you can get something out of it. Key things are keep teasing, make decisions and make sure you've got plenty of pre-prepped solutions.
>
> If you're successful stepping up your leadership and commanding... thus making her feel more secure and comfortable as your follower... you'll likely experience more instances of her expressing affection towards you. It'll give you a good idea of feedback to check your progress. Have a good holiday!

Im less attracted to my girlfriend after she gained some weight - what do?

1 upvotes · 31 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> And I thought for a minute you'd spent too much time on MRP trying to save lost causes through actions, silence and persistence. The problem with words and using commands is they're heavy handed. If she fights back you've got to walk away, it's the only play.
>
> Do you think he understands this? Do you think he'll do what I suggested and figure out the dynamic? - Taking that route might cause some heartbreak. I don't know if he's got the balls to take the pain.

> I just assume OP won't listen, and double down on his own self sabotaged behaviours, almost all guys do that.

I'm guessing you agree.

I can't seem to create a spark of interest...

1 upvotes · 27 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> There are VERY few girls who actually seem enthusiastic about me

You have low SMV. Go figure out why it's low and fix it. Typical questions: Are you short? Low muscle? Poor posture? No fashion sense? Unfitting clothes? Shy? Stutter? No eye contact?

> My ex gave me a comment once, she said that a lot of people think I'm a "Player" and a lot of people judge me saying things like "He doesn't seem like the type of person you could have a deep meaningful conversation with." She said that girls are aware that I'm "bad" for them... This would seem like a compliment in disguise as a put down but really it seems to be ringing true because I really don't seem to be pulling as many as I'd like...

Well you're definitely stupid because you're listening to women on the topic of catching women. From a woman who believes your SMV is too low for her standards. It seems difficult to be swallowing more blue pills than you are. "Please wake me up from this terrible nightmare of realising chicks don't want me simply for being me."

Serious question now... have you even read the sidebar on the main sub? Because this entire post suggests you either haven't read it or haven't understood it.

"you are very lucky"

1 upvotes · 21 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> What is this desperate faggotry?

How to Monk Mode

1 upvotes · 21 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I'm a Registered Nurse and have done countless studies,
>
> Whut?....

Women Are Forgiving, Men Are Shallow

1 upvotes · 3 July 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> allegedly brutally attacked?

Key is in the wording mate. Even some of the old school feminists got that one figured out. Gold diggin' whores. It amuses me that I'm barely even paraphrasing.

It ain't rape until it's convenient for them. (Not that it actually is rape when that sort of thing comes into it.)

Long term field report which even boggled my mind...oh wait, not really.

1 upvotes · 24 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> She's never cheated on me;

> That I know of. FTFY. Never forget that the polls in the 90s set the number of men raising a child who wasn't theirs at roughly 1 in 3. Based on what you've said, you seem like you'd be a prime candidate to be part of that one third in the past. Or at least that is what she might have been planning. Hypergamy is so reactive it's kinda pathetic to watch.
>
> Side chick may or may not make a difference. It's probably more of a psychological effect of giving you the difference between actual abundance and your previous "abundance" mentality. You get me? You still need to become more.

Taking your text game to the next level

1 upvotes · 10 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> is there an emotion being conveyed by the message? That's the only question you need ask yourself. Then you will see how this fits precisely with what Rollo says.

Taking your text game to the next level

1 upvotes · 10 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > See the difference? That :P emoji is by far my favorite. It conveys that I am teasing. Leave those out when you are teasing and you're opening yourself up for problems.
>
> There's a thing on text game that it is devoid of emotion. Women feed upon emotion... so much so that the medium is the message for them rather than the substance of the words. I believe it is sometimes accidentally ignored by some guys on here that the stupid smiley faces and nonsense like that (while complete bullshit to the normal bloke) is all about conveying emotion. Something very natural to women to the point most of them have internalised the emoticon in todays society. So have at it guys... so long as you know what you're doing.

PSA - what is a plate ?

1 upvotes · 5 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Most of these guys can’t tell plate from oneitis.
>
> Agreed. But this does not mean my point is invalid. This is the reason I commented on your post. This reality is needed now more than ever as I've spent the past year (probably more) trying to tell these imbeciles that there's a red pill difference between a plate and an LTR, why there is a difference and how to tell if you're ready to play LTR game. (Hint, they're not.)
>
> I have been very much alone in this mission. That said, I was against Jordan Peterson before my fellow endorsed began their campaign... so I'm probably just a trend setter who is pleased to see a fellow early-adopter.

PSA - what is a plate ?

1 upvotes · 5 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Let me rephrase this in a way that may be helpful to you.
>
> If you have multiple dogs but you are training one for a specific task, you would care less about what happens to the dog who is not being trained. You'd probably pick up another to replace it if something happened? Though you'd probably go searching for a replacement dog while my attitude is to pick one up while doing other things. In this case, dogs are women. But let's not be too on the nose about this. They might smell it otherwise.

Academy Award for best double standard: Girlfriend wants open relationship. Boyfriend

hesitantly agrees. Girlfriend goes apeshit when he starts seeing someone else.

1 upvotes · 5 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> No!

Amusing opening... no clear indication of what I stated that was wrong? Usually this would mean you assume everything I wrote is wrong... which is nonsense.

> In an LTR a man is not supposed to cater to a woman.

Managing a woman is not the same as catering to her. You have much to read so I would suggest you reduce your emotion levels quickly.

> Does your woman cater to you and fear losing you?

She's spent £100s on me recently and is well aware she will be much worse off without me. But that's not my point, it's a by-product of my point.

> Holding frame, acting Alpha is nothibg but a pathetic existence where life cannot by lived on a man's terms but rather a woman's.

Do you even grasp what the term "frame" means? Based upon this sentence I'm not sure you actually understand the term.

> Having gotten sex is nothibg compared to the peace if mind and utter euphoria you feel when you dont have to bow down to anyone or worry about someone leaving you. If there is no loyalty, there shall be no compromise or submission. Its better to die on your feet rather than living on your knees.

You should put this in a self-help book.

> My current LTR gives me sex whenever I want without me holding frame or acting like a jackass.

Good for you. Let's hope you're not a suffering Dunning-Kruger.

> Learn this and learn it well: A woman that can leave you unless you behave a certain way is not a woman worth changing for. Be a fucking man.

You state this to an EC... you really must be a cretin to not watch the potential interpretations of your tone. In all honesty I'm tempted to tell the mods to ban you simply because you waste your time posting in niceguys.

Academy Award for best double standard: Girlfriend wants open relationship. Boyfriend hesitantly agrees. Girlfriend goes apeshit when he starts seeing someone else.

1 upvotes · 5 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> You appear to have missed the point. Your goal in plate spinning is to get sex. This is relatively simple. Your goal in an LTR is to have a successful LTR... if you dump her then you've failed in that goal. You can break a plate while continuing to spin plates successfully. He's talking about an LTR here, do you grasp how your plate spinning logic doesn't fit in this scenario?

How do I become a polarizing person?

1 upvotes · 20 February 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Anything else is stupid. Just hanging out with specific friends more solves this one.

> The human mind can't actually maintain over 100 people in memory. So whenever you see someone with over 100 facebook friends/linkedin with over 100 connections (like me) you will know they don't give a shit about them.

Incorporating kindness without niceguyism?

1 upvotes · 20 February 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Try thinking of kindness in the relevance of IDGAF... if you can string those together you'll be fine.
>
> If you look at my response with a furrowed brow then you're not ready and "kindness" is not the thing for a recovering "niceguy" to be looking at.

Inexperienced (possibly virgin) prospect. How to proceed?

1 upvotes · 20 February 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Or she's BPD...

But i just said Good Morning?

1 upvotes · 16 February 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Bitch shield. Ignore.

Gaming while Conceal & Carrying

1 upvotes · 16 February 2018 · /r/askTRP

> "Is that a gun in your pants?"
>
> "Nah, it's a flashlight."

I always get laid on the first date but struggle to keep girls around

1 upvotes · 8 February 2018 · /r/askTRP

> No, just too much attention to her for free. Nothing complicated.

Been very red pill with gf, but she isn't lusting over me like she used to or like I lust over her?

1 upvotes · 7 February 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Best thing for an LTR is to ensure you are that woman's apex alpha. I think there is a discussion to be had around whether it is essential or not.

Am I doing this right or am I just being a dick?

1 upvotes · 5 February 2018 · /r/askTRP

> As a general rule, the best way to cut a chick off is once you have chosen to cut them off, just go completely silent and ignore them.

Workplace fling, im in trouble.

1 upvotes · 31 January 2018 · /r/askTRP

> None of that is inconvenient at all. Makes total sense. Must have been planned.

The Slaughter Of The German Girls

1 upvotes · 15 January 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I'd like to emphasize, that I am not one of those people who try to shut their eyes and ignore what is

> happening.

Don't worry, I'm under no illusions on that. I think I'm just erring on the side of greater caution... probably because of the list of cities in Britain with muslim rape gang problems is more than I can count on one hand so if it is going on, I wouldn't be surprised to discover I didn't know about it and had no way to know.

> We got our own problems to solve right now

Tell me about it.

Former EC here. One of the mods banned me yesterday for writing a post that was #3 on the front page at the time (and also removed the post). I'm not here to whine. I just want to know what the hell is going on with that sub?

1 upvotes · 9 November 2017 · /r/AlreadyRed

> and I'll expose your censorship

Now you've convinced me that you were never endorsed. Only newbies and bloopers don't understand the mod policies and why they are the way they are. Go ahead. Go "expose" our mod team for saying they didn't think you were an endorsed contributor to TRP.

Former EC here. One of the mods banned me yesterday for writing a post that was #3 on the front page at the time (and also removed the post). I'm not here to whine. I just want to know what the hell is going on with that sub?

1 upvotes · 9 November 2017 · /r/AlreadyRed

My guess would be whichever mod read this concluded it was "low quality." That's the only rule I can think of that would get it removed. Having read it myself now I think it's a bit... all over the place and full of vagaries which are borderline platitudes in places. Writing style will be to blame for that.

We've got a number of things on shit tests and your point on that could have been delivered in the first paragraph. So it doesn't really matter too much. Try messaging the mods, I've always had a fair answer whenever I've asked them anything.

How to deal with other alphas?

1 upvotes · 9 November 2017 · /r/askTRP

Frame. As a general rule, all of year one of Rational Male is gold and should be read along with most of year two. It's a separate thing that you should remain calm in all situations.

Advice on a girl that has a boyfriend

1 upvotes · 8 November 2017 · /r/askTRP

> Well I have been realizing this girl is actually girlfriend material.

Uh huh?

> she has a boyfriend. She did not tell me she has a boyfriend but I know she does

You do realise these two quotes do not mesh? You're a moron and this post conclusively demonstrates you are not ready for LTR game. Go spin some plates. Come back in a year or two to read about LTR game.

Better question, if she cheats, does it matter?

1 upvotes · 10 October 2017 · /r/askTRP

> Then that's good. Get the ego in line, read more widely and behave more cooperatively with your fellow man on here. (My post history contains a piece on how alphas successfully interact which I reposted a few months back so it'll be towards the top of my submitted stuff.) You'll do well if you can do those things.

Better question, if she cheats, does it matter?

1 upvotes · 10 October 2017 · /r/askTRP

> > I would never accept her cheating on me. I'd drop her in the blink of an eye and go back to cold approaches, tinder, and bumble.
>
> This is the correct attitude. I strongly advise you to go read this. It will answer your following point:
>
> > but i fuck her reeeeaaaallll good, so i just gotta hope she doesn't wanna lose a sexy guy with a nice body who treats her well and has balanced a perfect amount of danger and rebellion and push/pull just for some dick that might not even be that good.
>
> Anyways, it's up to you what you do. But given the information you've given me, I would never have LTR'd this chick in the first place. Situation you're in is basically trust but verify. Can always hire a PI for a week or two for peace of mind. But if your gut tells you something is up, then it's likely something is up. Time and again this gets proven on TRP. I think you've proven to yourself in this thread that ignoring things is not the answer you're looking for.
>
> Best of luck to you.

I hate you?

1 upvotes · 8 September 2017 · /r/askTRP

> No.

Forgiveness? (Not as simple as it appears...)

1 upvotes · 1 September 2017 · /r/askTRP

> > Plus, women disobey and betray strong men all the fucking time. It's in a woman's nature to constantly seek the upgrade.
>
> Well fuckin' durr. Haha. Thanks for reminding me of hypergamy. I've never come across this concept before. Jeez man, you've given me a good laugh today. Thanks. We'll go over the iron rules of Tomassi later.
>
> In the meantime, let's amuse ourselves for a minute and assume that I've been an endorsed contributor here for years. I know, for someone who's never heard of the basic concept of hypergamy it's ridiculous, but let's have a laugh. Why would someone who is aware of hypergamy, not set out that straight fact as a basis for advice?
>
> Well, we're looking at a kid who's been in a year long LTR, not someone spinning plates. Where does the natural conclusion of hypergamy go? You spin plates. How do we reconcile this conclusion with LTRs? You can't. Why? Because you'll never have full control over any woman no matter your leadership or SMV and they'll always trade up if given the chance. Now why would that dumb fuck Nightwing not be stating straight hypergamy in this scenario?
>
> Oh, by the by:

> Plus, women disobey and betray strong men all the fucking time.

Disobedience is typically a shit test for a man who isn't beta friendzoned. By definition, she's not sure you're a strong man by shit testing you like this. Nuance man, nuance.

LTR wants sex constantly, but doesn't take care of herself.

1 upvotes · 29 August 2017 · /r/askTRP

> I talk about going to the gym with her all the time but she shrugs it off.

Do you talk about your feelings too? What the fuck are you "talking" about? You don't talk to her about it. You tell her to get her fat ass down the gym.

> but she just smacks me and says shut up.

Oh wait. You allow total disrespect of yourself. You carry on porking fatties then. You get the level you deserve for your efforts. This is all correctly in line.

Commanding 101

1 upvotes · 26 August 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

Disobedience is either a shit test or it is a declaration that your SMV is too low. If it's a shit test then deal with it accordingly. If it is the declaration that your SMV is too low then trying to deal with it like a shit test will blow up in your face. Women don't follow weak/unattractive men.

I'm 22 and get taken as 14/15 all the time. Anything I can do?

1 upvotes · 24 August 2017 · /r/askTRP

> Don't look like it in a shirt,

Start shopping for clothes that fit properly then. tshirts should be tight around the chest and shoulders to show off the upper body.

Dealing with baby face is a different issue. Have you tried growing a beard? Altering your hairstyle can also have a similar effect, you'll want to focus on something which you would NOT be able to sport at school. Use it as a peacock and it should distract.

The Utility of Beta Men

1 upvotes · 2 June 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> I know that game is amoral, but we all have some aspects of morality and personal ethical codes, no matter if your a monogamous christian or a plate spinning poly person.

The community first dealt seriously with the morality question about 1 and a half to 2 years ago. IllimitableMan did an excellent piece on morality for his blog. The long and the short of the discussion was that while game itself like most of nature and reality is amoral, it does not follow that you should act amoral. Women go around excusing their moral failings using their feelings and urges. How do we pretend to be better if we try to do the same?

The conclusion was that while we will not decide upon a specific code of ethics. It is encouraged that you think about and decide upon a moral code for yourself that you will hold yourself to. Essentially taking that first

leadership step as a man to hold yourself to some standards of behaviour. After all, it would be hypocritical to demand women hold themselves to a standard of behaviour in order to associate with you while you don't hold yourself to any standards.

> Since being RP'ed last year, I've sought out 'good men friends' because one of the things that came to me over the years of BP/PP/RP struggle was that "somethings just not right" and because of that, rarely made close male friends.

This is the bit you'll find hardest. Quality male friends will not come easy. The easy bits are lifting and getting women into bed. Sorting out the rest of your life both financially and socially are the hard bits which take much more time and effort.

The Utility of Beta Men

1 upvotes · 2 June 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> The question is, if you don't care, does it count? What about the situation where you don't give a shit cuz she was a plate anyway?

If you don't care then it doesn't matter. Though it obviously begs the question, why do you consider a friendship you have to be a friendship if it has no value to you?

It actually took me a moment to get my head around your second question because you're viewing this as the beta who lost out and she slept with the other guy. I've been viewing it as the guy who chooses whether or not he's going to sleep with this girl XD

I can't fathom any situation where I'd prioritise some pussy over one of the few close male friends I have. If it was one of these mates who slept with one of my plates I would probably have to reconsider the friendship as they are potentially (not necessarily, but the possibility is enough) causing me hassle and inconvenience in exchange for their own hedonism/lack of abundance/lack of respect for me. That to me, is not a healthy male-male friendship. Respect is given and return between men in a healthy friendship. You wouldn't take actions that potentially cause problems in your friend's life without at least consulting them. This is a basic matter of respect and behaving like a decent, trustworthy man. An untrustworthy man is worthless as a male friend anyway so you can automatically discount any situations where he doesn't behave in a trustworthy fashion and assume that he wouldn't get to be/remain a friend.

> Or the dude is open/poly, and while hurt, just chalks it up to her being a woman and lets it happen?

Any man who capitulates to prioritise the feminine sexual strategy over his own is unworthy of my respect and my friendship, so the question is irrelevant. It wouldn't come up because such a man could never be my friend.

> These days, even friends don't have bro code.

I leave this until last because I want to expand upon it. This is true and it is a very sad state of affairs because man does not build civilisation in solitude. Man does not achieve greatness in solitude. He does it as a part of the greater society which he is measured against. A part of this is developing healthy male-male friendships which supply many things a man needs for good mental and social health which cannot be supplied by a woman.

It is a tragic indication of our society that these friendships are so few and far between these days. That men will so easily turn upon any other man at the mere whiff of pussy. It is an indication of just how weak the average man has become. An effective cooperation between men is the bedrock of such friendships which in turn build greater things. This cannot be achieved without trust. Such trust is based on the idea that you do not harm one another first and foremost. Secondly that you will help one another. The reality is that intrasexual competition

> will still happen, but the confident secure alpha male does not get jealous at the success of his friends. He wishes for the success of his friends.
>
> It is the beta male who needs to sabotage his friends in order to succeed in the intrasexual competition. Men excel and out compete. It is women who try to tear down the competition. Once you see this feminine strategy in the beta male and reconcile it with how society has become feminised.... it becomes very clear why strong, good quality male-male friendships are now so very rare.

Dialogue is Impossible

1 upvotes · 17 May 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is one of the issues which has been rearing its ugly head more frequently of late. It is a naturalistic fallacy that comes from the blue pill programming. They give a woman a pass on her bad behaviour because they label it as natural. Then they proceed to declare anybody who has a problem with this to be in the anger phase.
>
> I've noted it many many times on here and my answer is always the same: when a cunt acts like a cunt you call her a cunt because she is a cunt. TRP is not the place for excusing bad behaviour. The bad behaviour may be natural or it may not be, but that's irrelevant to whether or not it is acceptable behaviour.
>
> All that original post demonstrated was that women have no control over their emotions and will behave in the most atrocious of manners at the drop of a hat because in today's culture they don't get shouted at for being a cunt. They get a pussy pass. No pussy passes to be issued here.

Enjoy the Decline

1 upvotes · 11 May 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Clearly somebody missed the most recent piece by RPS.

Thoughts on Race and Help for Dark Meat Guys Gaming White Girls

1 upvotes · 21 April 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Would you say that this applies to all races or is there the usual east asian (chinese/japanese woman) exception?

Game is used as an umbrella term but what does it mean? Can anyone tell me what it is vs what it isn't?

1 upvotes · 21 April 2017 · /r/askTRP

> Tl;DR=oversimplifying

Am I TheRedPill material?

1 upvotes · 30 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Passive aggressiveness is a feminine trait. Cut that shit out while you're here. You will also want to consult the sidebar rules if you wish to continue participating.

Am I TheRedPill material?

1 upvotes · 30 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I think your problem with women
>
> I do not have a problem. If you read my comment correctly, you would see that I offered an explanation for your observations. There is no personal commentary besides citing a phrase I like using for explanation purposes.

Am I TheRedPill material?

1 upvotes · 30 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> There's a simple question to be asked here, can you hate an individual woman? If the answer to that is no, then you have the problem that pussy is on the pedestal. Part of the blue pill programming. Women are capable of great evil and those women who commit these evils should, rightly, be reviled. This is common sense. The blue pill conditioning demands that pussy is never to blame for anything evil.
>
> The second issue you need to cover is empathy. Can you feel the pain of another man? Those who can empathise with a man who has been fucked over by a woman will rightly feel anger. It's natural be annoyed by injustice such as the woman who had a man who provided for her, betray him and receive no punishment (even maybe getting rewarded) for her awful behaviour. Once you discover AWALT, it reveals a very unpleasant truth... that any woman is capable of these evils. If you don't have any anger or bitterness during the journey then I'd say there's something wrong with the way your emotions operate. These are perfectly natural and you'll eventually come to terms with it.
>
> Which brings us to the conclusion of the logic: just because someone like me has passed the point of any anger or bitterness about reality, does not mean I should pretend that these emotions are any less legitimate for my fellow men. There's a phrase I like to use "when a cunt acts like a cunt, you call her a cunt because she is a cunt." This is my reminder of reality. That women are people too, and as such they are entitled to both the positive and negative emotions. Unfortunately, due to society being held in the grip of rape hysteria and feminism, you are not permitted to vent the negative about women. However, here you can vent it. This is why there is a disproportionate amount of negativity regarding women.
>
> In addition to this, it is pragmatic for a successful sexual strategy to view women as inferior. The reality is that we're not actually comparable. Men and women are playing different games. However women will find men who treat them as though they are a disposable afterthought and behave as thought they (the man) are the prize to be much more attractive. Essentially it's a matter of shifting the way your mind works. You clearly still have some of the blue pill programming designed to make you avoid any negative emotions or thoughts about women. The irony is that this is typically brought about by a false suggestion that men and women are equal. The problem with their thoughts is that if men and women are equal then women should get an equally harsh viewing from such a man who believes this. If you've never hated a woman in your life then you clearly don't believe in equality. Nobody has only ever had positive experiences with women. Not even women. (Especially not women.)

Commanding 101

1 upvotes · 27 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Do you believe that entering situations where women have more knowledge of the situation breaks this leading dynamic?
>
> This is likely to be very rare because women date up. So she is likely to know that she's dumber than you and knows less than you on the majority of things. However if she's got a job in something like medicine or pharmaceuticals and you're a lawyer or whatever, you'd be a shit leader if you weren't consulting her expertise in those areas.
>
> Think of it this way, when a commander has wounded he needs to evacuate from a base who are being treated, he will speak to the medic/doctor who is treating the patients to get some expert information before making his command decision. It's perfectly simple to lead despite your follower having greater expertise. You've just got to listen and seek expert advice when you need it.
>
> Being the expert doesn't make you the leader. Ignoring the expert in your team makes you a bad leader.
>
> As a general rule when it comes to the workplace, it's better to seek out male help when you need it. There's

> guaranteed no womanese involved then, just masculine straight talk.

Take her out to breakfast the morning after

1 upvotes · 23 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> You too. I notice you've been MIA... almost as badly as me.

i make emo music, should i change genres/subjects?

1 upvotes · 23 March 2017 · /r/askTRP

> Aim some of that emotional crap at a woman's perspective and get big in the music scene. Why do you think that fat talentless screeching bag Adele is so successful? It's just female fee fees. They love it.
>
> If you're currently fucking 3 different women then you can't be doing a whole lot wrong. High SMV men get held to a different standard to low SMV men. Remember that.
>
> Also, as a general rule in the creative arts, if you're not thinking your work is potentially cringey then you're putting no emotion into it and it's therefore worthless work. Instead you just need to be sure everyone else doesn't find it cringey and it's just you being paranoid and having high standards for yourself.

Dread Game too strong?

1 upvotes · 23 March 2017 · /r/askTRP

> This one you don't. It'd be too heavy of a beta signal to comfort her over her jealousy. She has to prove herself positively to be worthy of an LTR, not just making enough noise/bitch and moan loud enough to annoy you into it.

A massive conundrum for both TRP and liberals alike

1 upvotes · 1 March 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > But on point, RPS's post contained, and I'm (almost) quoting, Trump is endorsed "not in spite, but because" of the pussy grabbing remarks. The key of RPS's endorsement was his attitude towards women, not much else.
>
> False. You need to work on your reading comprehension. From the piece by RPS:
>
> > Let's imagine the next four (or God help us, eight) years with Hillary at the helm. Is there any reason to believe that this war on language and men will subside? The very tools that put her into power will obviously be solidified, and built upon with Hillary in power. These tools are too effective to be used once and then tossed. They will be used and abused.
> >
> > This is why I am officially endorsing Trump. I'm not here to get into the nitty-gritty details of foreign or economic policy, or discuss the best way to fix the healthcare situation in our country. I do have opinions on them, and coincidentally I believe Trump has pretty decent stances on a lot of them. But the reason I'm addressing this here on TRP and to this community is because what we stand to gain or lose is much larger than these items.
> >
> > The war on men hasn't been abating as many here have suggested over the last few years. It's growing, and it's growing out of control.
>
> and his final conclusion:

> When somebody accuses a powerful or famous figure like Trump of "sexual assault," I don't look the other way. I don't denounce them or their behavior. Instead I run towards them, because there is no truer signal which side somebody is on, than when they're given a bogus accusation by the establishment. This is our beacon to find allies in the war.
>
> Do you ever wonder why, after an event like the Trump leak, reporters are quick to ask his endorsers: "But you don't condone sexual assault, do you?" Because the story they are trying to sell is that there is no question a sexual assault took place. They do this by distracting the viewership into thinking the only question left to ask is whether the bad people think it's okay.

It was not the pussy grabbing remarks. It was the reaction of the media, of the regressives and SJWs combined with the current culture war. More specifically the place of men. Not Trump's attitude towards women. We couldn't care less about that.

You may believe your blood is red. I'm telling you it is not and you've still got some of the deep programming going on there. Specifically because you appear to have switched sides and see "misogyny" as acceptable. Red Pillers don't acknowledge the blue pill definitions of misogyny that are levelled at us except to trigger bloopers. Our base principles are different to those in the blue pill sub. Yours are currently more aligned with theirs.

And that wasn't a personal attack. It was my objective observation. But again, bloopers take facts and reality as though they are some sort of personal attack. The worst you could accuse me of is mocking you in that short reply because the idea that Trump was endorsed by us because he likes to commit sexual assault is not only false as demonstrated above... it is right out of the blue pill sub's view of TRP. That we endorse rape and sexual assault and beating women etc etc, while there are pieces which demonstrate the opposite. You'll want to ruminate on this if you are not a troll since I haven't bothered to offer advice around here for a while. You'd be the first I've offered help to in a month or two.

> And you cannot honestly deny that his remarks upon signing the bills are worthy of the best/worst Clinton.

I neither agree or deny. As I said, I take it all with a good pinch of salt. There's a political game being played and I don't see Trump as an ally but merely a useful player who may not save the world, but he should at least throw a spanner in the works to a certain degree. I think you need to look at the bigger picture.

> Consequently, if you claim that's what you were expecting from him when you cast your vote, you are lying to yourself.

I'm British, so my vote went towards Brexit. From Trump I expected a more positive interaction with Britain than Obama gave us, reduced chance of starting WW3 with Russia and a massive triggering of SJWs. So far all three of my expectations appear to be on track.

This shit fucking hurts'

1 upvotes · 10 February 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> You throw your life away for the sake of someone else, fuck yeah the world should shit on you.

This is borderline autistic logic. Not only have you strawmanned the kid, but whether one follows the other or one should follow the other are two different questions. Reality is reality. You've followed with a naturalistic fallacy.

This is what a Red Pill Woman looks like

1 upvotes · 13 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> She has the worst scenario though... trying to deal with cucked Canada. At least America isn't *completely* bonkers.

PUSSY PASS: Drunk cunt rage hits ex with car, he's brain damaged & disabled. Thumbs up.

1 upvotes · 9 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Am I the only one who thinks that picture looks photoshopped? (Badly?) I also note that this is only being reported in the tabloids... why have none of the broadsheets picked this up? It's certainly outrageous enough.
>
> There's something very fishy going on here.

Why you HAVE to be a social butterfly

1 upvotes · 7 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Disengagement.
>
> Either you're shit at arguing or you didn't understand the point.

LMR from your LTR: Never let a women decide when you DON'T have sex.

1 upvotes · 5 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > If you expect to have the same relationship with a woman seven years in it simply will not happen.
>
> That wasn't my point. We know SMV changes over time and I wouldn't say that RMV doesn't alter. I do believe that it is probably more resistant to alteration than SMV, but the point is that it is an indicator of the long term chance of success of a relationship.
>
> I've never really been a fan of the whole "arbitrary thing happens because it's arbitrary." I think that in these scenarios it is simply that we don't know the reason. Why do kids start trying to be independent at 7 years old? You could theorise I suppose, but I'm more convinced that there'll be a reason for it and we simply don't know the specifics rather than "it's just that way."
>
> That's also not to say that any arbitrary observations are not useful either. They certainly are. However I think if we want to look at LTRs and the game used to be successful, we should probably work from the differences between successful and unsuccessful ones, prioritise this information and try to put as little down to random chance or arbitrary "this happens" as we can. It just makes more sense from a pragmatic perspective.
>
> As a sidenote, are you in the depression stage by any chance? Was just flicking through your comment history.

LMR from your LTR: Never let a women decide when you DON'T have sex.

1 upvotes · 5 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> Your argument is essentially that no woman has acceptable RMV? If we follow your logic that all women have the hamster whispering in their ear, reducing their RMV all the time... no relationship would ever be successful. I do not believe this holds to reality.
>
> Some relationships are and many more are not. We need to focus on telling the difference between the two. So far, the best interpretation of the differences is our understanding of RMV.

You cannot save your bluepill friends. Has anyone successfully unplugged anyone?

1 upvotes · 3 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> I've had a couple of successes, but I don't tend to go looking for people to unplug. Guys tend to come to me for advice on this, that or the other because they know I won't be pulling any punches.

> Sean will ask me to be the best man at his wedding when he inevitably decides to marry this chick

Politely refuse and then refuse to elaborate and just say you just want to be a regular guest. Trust me.

How to deal with cynicism as a result of reading TRP?

1 upvotes · 29 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Is that ultimate conclusion of TRP that relationships outside of casual sexual relationships not worth it?

Not at all. Just that the work is ramped up exponentially and the rewards are not equally great. There are more rewards from an LTR, but the work is much much harder than spinning some plates. The reason most guys stick to spinning plates is because it's just less hassle and at the end of the day so long as you're sticking your dick in some pussy you're satisfied once you've busted that nut... until the next time. Never ending cycle... this is a rational solution to it.

> How the hell do you deal with the cynicism from realizing that the one thing that I wanted is something that can't be obtained because of how women apparently are?

Nah. It's more that what you were promised is impossible... however you can have a more difficult version of what your grandparents had. Which is pretty cool too. It's just never going to be the best. Do you seriously complain about being able to drive just because you can't afford a Ferrari? I did a post about this sort of attitude problem we develop and why called "We're the poorest men in history" or words to that effect.

Do I need verbal escalation?

1 upvotes · 29 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

The game is the game mate. I can't change that.

> What do I do instead of being smooth?

Become a rich celebrity.

Do I need verbal escalation?

1 upvotes · 29 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

I can't do the conversations for you mate. Much of any conversation is contextual. Only advice I can give here is to watch more stand up comedy, particularly with sexual jokes in it so you can make those jokes when the opportunity arises.

Do I need verbal escalation?

1 upvotes · 29 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

Yeah take things sexual asap. A blue joke of some sort is my go-to. It's when they blush being caught off guard by it that really pleases me most. Brush up on your innuendo for this one.

Objective of the conversation is fun and some light kino. Get her to laugh a few times. Find out what she's doing, that'll tell you if insta-date is even possible. If it is, just tell her "come get coffee/drinks with me and I'll make you blush again."

If the insta-date isn't on then same goals except you want to tell her to give you her number.

Do I need verbal escalation?

1 upvotes · 28 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> What the fuck is verbal escalation? Just keep it simple mate. Purpose of day game is either to start an insta-date (i.e. get her to join you for coffee or drinks there and then) or to get a number, maybe a K-close if you're feeling ballsy... there's nothing else to worry about.
>
> Calm your tits, breathe, worry less about the outcome and more about having fun. If you're not having fun then why are you doing this? Remember that "I want sex" is not an acceptable answer for someone who supposedly has an attitude that has devalued sex. Have some fun, that's why women were put on this planet for us... so we can amuse ourselves with them.

Any good red pill podcasts or YouTube links to listen to while driving?

1 upvotes · 28 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Any of the interviews GayLubeOil did and the one Dr Warlock did. Plus that interview Illimitableman did. They're all worth seeking. There is nothing regular that is strictly Red Pill.

Does demographic change your SMV

1 upvotes · 26 December 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Fair shout.

Fundamental Problems with the IDGAF Mentality

1 upvotes · 4 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Anything in extremes is a bad idea... this is such a pointless post.

Transitioning – A Red Pill Lens on Transgenderism

1 upvotes · 24 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> There are some mental health doctors who refuse to fall in line. Cognitive therapy has been proven to have an excellent success rate with eating disorders, along with CBT combinations. I would advise seeking out a clinical psychologist who does not believe in "transitioning." Some cognitive therapy might unearth what the real problems are. Identity problems are not uncommon among boys who haven't yet had chance to become men. Hell, identity problems are common for gay guys until they're able to accept that they're gay. Much of this has been solved (more or less) by the psychological sciences. Our major problem is that we've been infiltrated by the social justice warriors and those with a political agenda who are more interested in "trans rights" than actually interested in figuring out what is going on with the individual.
>
> Based upon you saying you just naturally have low testosterone, I'm wondering if that might be your only disorder. Yes, taking estorgen will mess up your body, particularly if you're not fully developed yet. The lack of testosterone would also explain your sluggishness and lethargy.
>
> I will not suggest your behaviour is deviant or anything like that. However I think this is a prime example of the doctors getting it wrong. Occams razor would suggest if you test someone and they're having gender identity problems, and they have very low testosterone... give them a testosterone supplement and see what happens.
>
> You could also seek out a psychiatrist for this particular solution. Psychiatrists are medical doctors who then go on to specialise in mental health, so they have the prescription pad to prescribe testosterone that the clinical psychologist would not have. The clinical psychologist will likely have a better knowledge of mental health issues (due to their entire focus being on mental health) while a psychiatrist will have less knowledge of this but will have solid general medical knowledge and the ability to prescribe.
>
> While the tide is starting to turn, with the american college of pediatricians now saying trans is not right for children or teenagers. (Because it is child abuse to cause irreversible damage to a child who could just feel like

> being a girl for a few days... or worse, their parent is forcing them into it causing serious psychological harm.) However the regular doctors and people are so scared of the politically correct authoritarians that they won't even question these things. Watch Lauren Southern getting the appropriate things off a genuine doctor in order to change her sex on her ID card to male. It's ridiculous.
>
> So yeah, spend a bit of time researching a clinical psychologist or a psychiatrist who doesn't believe in this nonsense. Travel if you have to because this is your entire life on the line. Help will be out there. It just might be very difficult to find.

Slow down, and calm down

1 upvotes · 10 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> There is a gentleman who runs a men-only barbers in Manchester I think it was. He's expanded out into multiple cities. He was reported on as sexist because he told a woman to leave and it just grew his business. He gained more customers. So long as it's not literally a men's club like the old elites used to have in the early-mid 1900s then I see no reason you can't be successful.
>
> While we do talk about men being a tough market to write for, men as a market are still a solid market to aim at. You should have no problem with the sex discrimination act otherwise they'll have to close down all those women-only gyms. Just don't be open about refusing to hire women or some such, just find reasons not to hire them just like you'd find a reason not to hire someone whose personality rubbed you the wrong way. Ultimately you need to do what is best for your business. Be aware that starting any business is likely to heavily eat into your own personal time. Plan everything you can before you start, because once you start you can't go back.

The Walking Dad

1 upvotes · 8 November 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> TL:DR - Take an active role in raising your kids and imparting positive values.

Please, Break Up with Me!

1 upvotes · 26 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Women do and don't stick together. The deciding factor appears to be whether there is any intra-sexual competition going on. Remember women stab each other in the back in order to get ahead by pulling their competition down rather than raising themselves up. However, when there is no competition, that's when they appear to do whatever is in the interests of team woman. That's the difference and I think we can apply similar to the sorry state of men at the moment.
>
> Previously men would compete intra-sexually to raise themselves up and when they weren't in competition they'd work together. Now with the feminisation of society you've got a situation where men are behaving like women. Dragging each other down in a race to see who can be thrown to the bottom first. This breeds distrust because the natural dynamic has been thrown off. In turn reducing male bonding. In addition, high value men don't need to stick with anyone... it's other people who want to stick with them.
>
> That seems to me to be the razor solution. However I don't see us reaching a complete conclusion to this question due to the wide number of biological and cultural variables involved.

"The Red Pill" documentary banned in Australia

1 upvotes · 25 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Judge for yourself. This is part one of her interview on the Rubin Report.

I'm 16 years old and taking the red pill after being screwed over by girls.

1 upvotes · 23 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> That's what I get? I deserve to be kicked out of my honors classes, have my schedule fucked with, and kicked off of the school track team for a month because I stood up for my friend that you insulted?

In their view, yes. Welcome to the female mind. They have nothing but contempt for low value men. I'm going to do two things now, I'm going to both prove this point, and demonstrate that we're not some sort of fringe/radical/crazy group. Because this is a place where you will find some of the finest male minds in our western world... you'll also find some of the dumbest, but you take the rough with the smooth since the world is not now (nor will it ever be), perfect.

There's a blog written by Eve Keneinan (Pseudonym) who is a Professor of Philosophy. A genuine academic and one of the few who is using their brain to fight back against the social justice garbage which has almost fully infected the American system and holds far too much power in the British. She wrote a piece at the beginning of October putting the feminist academic Kristi Winters in her place (i.e. firmly beneath her in the intellectual hierarchy.) While I'd recommend reading this piece, you must also bear in mind AWALT. Even this lady, as smart as she is (intellectually speaking she will likely outperform most men) is still subject to the base impulses we talk about here. Specifically backing up the first point I made: women truly believe the contempt for low value men is deserved.

> They reflect a degree of natural contempt for males who are, in one way or another, failed men.
>
> Here is a literal cuckold, virtue signaling about how good a feminist he is to let is wife sleep with other men: What Open Marriage Taught One Man About Feminism. This man's article was greeted with near-universal contempt. And rightly so. I defy you to read this article and not hold this man in contempt. I have no doubt that his wife holds him in contempt. If you succeeded, congratulations—you have managed to eradicate your natural sense of contempt by means of ideological brainwashing.

Now a man would look at this in a couple of ways. He'll either look at the beta or omega male and think "what a loser" and then move on with his life (needing to pick on those who are weaker than you in order to bolster your own self-image is a feminine trait. They pull down the competition, men compete and drag themselves up.) Or he will help that man to better himself.

Essentially we will look at the individual and make a judgement on whether there is any hope for them. Similarly to how myself and many of my endorsed friends pick our battles. I sometimes think I'm a bit too generous in my willingness to believe there's hope, but I certainly walk away often. However Eve cannot get past her strong feminine emotional response to serve contempt scorn upon a failed man. She sees society doing the same without realising that the reason for this is due to the gynocentric nature of society.

So here we have a professional academic, confirming much of what TRP says, backed up with logic and some science... while also clearly demonstrating AWALT. I believe this demonstrates both my points nicely.

So let's move briefly onto your personal situation. You're young and so (unless your parents are super rich) you will have no resources or beta values. In school for example a beta can survive by throwing parties etc if he has the chance since it affords him social status. From what you've written, you're an omega to be mocked and belittled for their amusement. You are that failed man. In order to overcome this you have to take a painful look in the mirror at who you are objectively. Women have no interest in your future value, they want value now. See Briffault's law sidebar reading. Unfortunately, as I understand it, track and soccer don't have much status. (Soccer would in the UK... but I'm under the impression that the US has baseball and american football as the real status indicators.)

The reality is that our nature is self-reinforcing for the most part. If you're treated as beta then you'll slowly

> become more and more beta. Do you have posture problems? Walking with your head down maybe? Have you begun lifting? Due to your age, lifting with good form might correct any posture problems without requiring specific correction exercises. This is all just a starting point to help you digest the pill a little. There's a long way to go. Best of luck. Guys will be around here to help you on your way.

Chart of Male-Female Suicide Rates

1 upvotes · 20 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Even on TRP, most people don't get it.

Some don't realise just how deep the programming goes. The feminisation of society is so engrained that there's loads of guys on here who don't even notice themselves engaging feminine-intra-sexual competitive strategy instead of the masculine alternative. (They want to hide red pill knowledge from other men because they're concerned they won't be able to compete against them for women as easily.)

I also did a repost recently that was largely ignored by the people who probably need to read it the most. TRP will continue to stand... but the question of quality is going to remain a tough one as we continually bring in more feminised men and offer them masculinity. Offering them it doesn't guarantee they'll become it... and a masculine man always has the option to walk away. This is an option I consider almost daily these days due to various reasons. It only sends things in one direction... and it's not a good direction.

Chart of Male-Female Suicide Rates

1 upvotes · 20 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> When men go to commit suicide, they have already concluded that nobody intends to help them... therefore there is no point making a "cry for help."

In a bid to continue the destruction of his own party, UK Labour leader Jeremy Corbyn has set a 50/50 gender quota for party MPs by 2020

1 upvotes · 15 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This shit is objectively wrong by their own standards. It's anti-democratic, anti-meritocratic, anti-equality and sexist.
>
> While it's amusing watching Labour implode... I am very concerned for the future of our democracy because it doesn't function well without a good opposition. The Conservatives can't be their own opposition and Theresa May has somewhat questionable judgement regarding questions of civil liberties... hence why David Davis was taking the Home Office to court.

Potentially Pregnant Plate

1 upvotes · 7 October 2016 · /r/askTRP

> She's on the depo shot, so idk what the implications of that are.

You'll need to do some research.

> As for going ultra beta mode, I'm actually in a place in my life where (for better or for worse) I'm not making much money until my career progresses a little further. Does this also include lovey texts etc. all the time? I don't want her to think I want a relationship/family/life with her.

All the things we demonstrate in the blue pill examples is what you'd do. Be available for her all the time. Cancel plans just to hang out with her. Go on boring dates. Have long emotional conversations. Ask her permission for

things. Be indecisive. Make pathetic attempts to get sex then reward her with affection when she rejects you. Essentially when you're one step away from crying on her shoulder about something insignificant, she should be so repulsed by you that the thought of bearing your child is the equivalent of a hell in which she is raped by omegas for all eternity. In theory, this may make her want to get an abortion. But as with all things woman... sometimes they'll do something completely unexpected. This is why we tell you to wrap your dick mate. Once it's reached this point, there are no more guarantees because you're not in control anymore.

> You mentioned her having a change of heart. This is what terrifies me the most, her holding the kid and suddenly changing her mind and ruining both of our lives. Any way to get the ball rolling on that before the kid is born?

None until she's a fair way down the track. The reason for this is that miscarriage is most likely in the first trimester. I'm not an expert but I've heard stories of mothers who've changed their minds at the very last second and because that handover hasn't happened, there's been one last confirmation piece of paperwork which never gets signed so the adoption never goes through. Take this with a pinch of salt and seek some genuine legal advice. Best of luck.

The 30 somethings of tomorrow: Bachelor friendly and a lot wilder, think I see a big party coming!

1 upvotes · 7 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

It's rare. That's why I have some good LTR pointers saved in case I want to go that way. There are benefits and drawbacks, just like with everything in life.

The 30 somethings of tomorrow: Bachelor friendly and a lot wilder, think I see a big party coming!

1 upvotes · 7 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

This is correct. The idea that TRP takes a specific stance on the type of lifestyle you lead is completely preposterous. TRP is just a set of knowledge and an exchange of tested theories on how to pursue a successful sexual strategy. For example, while it's less popular, TRP does do some LTR information. We don't demand you spin plates. We'll just tell you how if you're interested in that. Turns out that most of us are. We don't demand you spin a specific number of plates. I've heard quite a few here talk about spinning 3 plates concurrently. I tried it and thought "this is far too time consuming to keep them all in the air and keep up with my mates" so I only spin 2. The community doesn't suddenly say "that's not enough plates, you must have another or we're going to remove you from the community." We all have different situations, different personalities and different preferences when it comes to lifestyle. These preferences are always subjective so it's pointless trying to reach a consensus on which is right. So we don't try.

Regarding the amoral nature of TRP, I believe /u/Illimitableman wrote the gold standard around this discussion about a year and a half ago. You can read it here. The reason the sub remains amoral is because imposing subjective morality can potentially dilute discussions and restrict topics. However, this is not to say that all morality is bad or that you should become an amoral nihilist who goes around causing destruction for the sake of destruction. Here you need to separate the pragmatic necessity that the sub has, from your own developments.

My personal take on this is quite simple: so long as you've got some sort of moral principles you believe in (I'm not going to choose them for you) then you're fine by me. The reason for this is because basic Red Pill principles are that we as men are superior to women. We develop better brains, stronger bodies and greater resilience. We mature slower, but we mature far further than a woman will ever achieve (hence women are the most responsible teenager in the house.) If you look at the fickle nature of the average woman, a slave to her hypergamy who abdicates responsibility at every opportunity and demonstrating hypocrisy between her words and actions at every turn... it is very clear that she is an emotionally driven, hedonistic creature who will compromise any principle or

> moral in a heartbeat. They only ever use morality to signal their virtue to others as a social tool. If we are superior, then we cannot do the same. We must hold ourselves to a higher standard or else we cannot claim to be superior... or worse than this, we are squandering the gift of being a man and being able to achieve greater things.

Opinions please

1 upvotes · 6 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Afraid not. I left the field years ago because I had a very choppy time there with the internal politics and bullshit. I went to university sold on the lie that it was a place of learning where knowledge is valued. It is not.
>
> In my first year undergrad I went about logically dismantling a theory that one of the female professors had been researching for about 20-25 years. It was an absurd theory which had no place in rational discussion, however she'd been getting bits of research here and there which supported it and dismissed my case because she had evidence and I didn't. I went off to drink and laugh at how stupid this was because I did not require evidence. If the theory wasn't sound, the evidence she had meant nothing anyway because any statistician will tell you (and researchers should know) that correlation is not the same as causation - the entire reason why scientific studies must be so strict. I was lucky in school because my maths dept had an ex-professional statistician who took my class in statistics - my university actually managed to reduce my knowledge of statistics because the researchers simply weren't up to the same standard that had previously taught me.
>
> This was where it began and my experience of academic psychology continued. Personal interests came first and knowledge came second. That's not to say I didn't meet any hugely intelligent people. I had the chance to work alongside an eccentric genius on using decision mathematics to try and map the cognitive processes of resolving certain simple problems that we take for granted. (Unfortunately I must remain vague.)
>
> But overall, psychology as a field is going to advance very slowly thanks to all the political influence going on, combined with each dept's own internal politics and the laziness/instinct for self-preservation of some of the greater minds in the field. Hell, we're still seeing transgenderism as though it's a real thing and not an obvious mental disorder that has more in common with eating disorders than it does with homosexuality. It's another area where logic alone defeats the false equivalence they've drawn. Not to mention the field is failing to get on with the medical field well enough to really make proper progress on mental health issues.
>
> I went off to corporateland instead and sold my brains for a lot of money lol.

Sexual Strategy or Self improvement. The dumbest argument there is on here.

1 upvotes · 5 October 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You don't understand what facetious humour playing on irony is, do you?

Why Do I Care about How Many Men My GF has Been With?

1 upvotes · 29 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Previous behaviour is an indicator of future behaviour. In this she's shown she doesn't value her major asset (sex) or that she has no problem lying to you. Neither are good. Both are major red flags in a partner. In addition to this you know that she also has poor self-control when it comes to sex. It's only a matter of time and a matter of the man who turns her head before such a woman will cheat. The biggest red flag of all and one of the worst possible choices in life partner.
>
> As a general rule, LTRs require a woman to have an N count of under 5. The lower the better. All her partners should have been serious relationships. That is a good quality long term partner.

This is the 'Rape Joke' That's Rocking Apple

1 upvotes · 16 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is richer than Bill Gates.
>
> > The guy actually quotes one of the 48 laws of power to you
>
> Yes, all hail the 48 laws of power! We must obey the commandments at all times to the letter. No space for nuance around here. Just read dat sidebar and come out super-duper-mega-alpha who knows way more than an EC could. Damned EC's lowering the quality! /s
>
> Think outside your little dogmatic box about the alpha attitude then combine it with picking your battles and you might have the chance to see what I've been getting at.

This is the 'Rape Joke' That's Rocking Apple

1 upvotes · 16 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yes. However there are two points, you shouldn't be employed with zero options or ability to switch to a new job. And secondly, you should easily be able to win this one given the negative press you'd give the company after a brief proving of how serious PTSD is.
>
> As I said before, this is the point where we've got the high ground. She'll either lose the corporate discussion or the company gets to be the company that's pissing all over the serious nature of PTSD suffered by American Soldiers. Companies are always looking for the easy way out and this is why they're simply appeasing this dumb bitch in the first place. It's when they get pushback against being totally insane that they'll cave quickly to avoid getting a bad reputation in both the marketplace and the job market. Many is the time I heard of companies that people outright refused to ever work for because of the culture within the company being shitty. Most companies try to avoid this sort of thing getting around. Ironically I knew one company that actually embraced it. Bizarre.

Karen Straughan: Female Men's Rights Activist on The Rubin Report 9/12/16

1 upvotes · 15 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I would be absolutely fascinated to see Sam Harris in conversation with Scott Adams. Maybe that's already happened and I just missed it.

Extra-Large-Medium: Pervy Cheerleader Observations by an Old Married Guy

1 upvotes · 12 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You do what you like man. I don't care. It's your life. My note was practical advice.
>
> > As much as I would love to outright body shame, it is incredibly difficult to get away with now.
>
> My note tells you how to get away with it, and I'm not A&A-ing. I'm deadly serious. The underlying exchange is simply them trying to say "you have committed social faux-pas and are therefore low value. Feel shame." to which I go "no, your social skills suck. I'm high value and you're a retarded person currently demonstrating your low value." I mock people saying stupid shit because they are stupid and it's easy to mock them. All it takes is confidence and a solid frame in those discussions.
>
> What you should NOT do is state intentions and then backpeddle using law 38 as your rationalisation because you lack either the vocal talent, intelligence or self-confidence to pull off the kind of things I can. It is intellectually dishonest to yourself and will hold you back from achieving your goals if you allow this attitude of post-hoc rationalisations of behaviour to pervade your thought processes. Identify these instances for what they are and you can adapt as needed. You'll see this all fits with my original point, do whatever the fuck you like. Choose who you want to be and then do it. Don't let the world decide for you!

FR: Tinder Date with more red flags than a People's Revolution

1 upvotes · 9 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is wrong. You're describing an omega, not a beta.
>
> If it's a general statement about betas and we lump in all omegas too, you're still wrong and you can go to deadbedrooms sub for proof.

Woman hits the wall at 25, has mental breakdown: single mother with no money comes to realise no one wants to date a single mother, has vitriolic anger towards her ex who's still living a happy life and having relationships.

1 upvotes · 29 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I agree with the analysis. He should disappear. But it was never Red Pill to end up in that situation in the first place.
>
> Though personally I'd suggest he gets out of the jurisdiction of the family court and not pay at all. That all counts to me as an involuntary absence since he's having it made impossible to stay and he failed to take any of the action necessary to set things up ready to raise a family (first and foremost of which, finding a half decent woman.)

Just another warning for you college students out there...

1 upvotes · 25 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> The idiocracy is here!
>
> I have zero sympathy for anybody in this story. We've all got to adapt accordingly gents.

Can't we just be friends? No. (From blue to red)

1 upvotes · 25 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > One of the older ladies commented that she likely communicated an unintended message.
>
> Doesn't really matter what she did or how she feels. She demonstrated she's not chill enough to be treated in a casual fashion... therefore she won't be. All these modern sexual harassment laws were put in to mean well (i.e. to stop the old lech from randomly molesting the young women employed for him, knowing they can't do a thing about it) but they're just too subjective and no firm line has been drawn to prevent abuse of these laws. And we've seen on the sub that they do get abused sometimes... the risk is not worth it. You made the right call bro.

It's Hip to be Square.

1 upvotes · 22 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's from the poem "If" (and I may have misquoted. But the jist is still there.)
>
> Seriously though, you could have just googled that line and come up with the answer. Don't look to be spoonfed everything so much. Act independently and find things out for yourself.

Another myth that is being advocated in TRP: "Getting laid is a symptom of success"

1 upvotes · 21 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > They're more subtle about it (make up reasons to be near you, think everything you say is hilarious etc) because they expect you to still be a man and make a move. But they definitely show interest to a high value man.

Yeah, whenever a chick approaches it's always a piss poor excuse used. I'm not top 5% so I do have to put effort in... I just advocate putting in absolute minimum effort. But yeah, I've been approached too. If you dress sharp and have the right body language and good posture then you'll get approached... the level of SMV a chick is searching for is very subjective and based entirely off their current experiences.

I remember a chick who waited for my mate to bugger off to the bar and she came over and asked if the chair next to me was taken. I figured she wanted to take the chair away... nope. After I tell her "nah, take it." she sits down and stares at me for a second and then asks the most forced questions in history. I purposefully shut the convo down because I wasn't in the mood for dealing with women (I'd gone out to hit some drinks with my mate and rant about the football with him. Just blow off some steam, ya know?) When she eventually buggered off, I'm reasonably sure she'll have re-evaluated her SMV downwards a bit. (Probably unfairly.) Or maybe she'll have a new appreciation for the experience of guys who approach her?

Who knows. The point is that chicks do sometimes approach and their excuses are truly terrible facades. If you're top 5% you'll get lots of interest, but even if you're not top 5%... so long as you're higher than her expected SMV and she's a chick who'll do an approach... then you can get approached. Just don't be outside the top 20% 'cos they're invisible.

It's Hip to be Square.

1 upvotes · 20 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Oversimplification. Call it a sort of interactive self-help for men... where the help is sorta known for the most part but not entirely. Debates still go on around here regarding the minutiae of the philosophical underpinnings of this pragmatism. Sometimes it's relevant to things like inner game or organising your life while other times it's merely pointless and pretentious erudition.

Ultimately it's down to you to draw the line and make up your own mind on things. (TRP just gives you the case for and against each idea.) Which is good, since that's the most important first step of becoming a leader. And you're the only one who can take the lead in your own life.

Wants vs needs: the sexual attitude nuance

1 upvotes · 12 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

I've never read this one before. I'm a big fan of Rollo, but I think sometimes he fails when things get tough. It's probably because he's been raised through academia which (modern academia at least is guilty of this) doesn't teach good quality argumentation skills. I'm about halfway through this piece and it's very unconvincing, seeming intellectually disingenuous with the way the logic jumps all over the place without ever really finishing a point.

Starts off with the personal but never really links it as backing evidence for principle. Then uses Tom Leykis (not a smart move in my humble opinion since Leykis is an intellectual lightweight - basically fast food for the brain. There're bits of insight there but it's never really properly unified, a bit like the way fast food will miss out the micro-nutrients you need for optimal health), who conflates masturbation and sex. These are not the same act either mentally or physically and should not be compared any more than trying to compare the actions of a man to the actions of a woman. Two different ball games.

Essentially it's the same old thing which I've tried to point out here. The conflation of an urge, caused by our evolutionary imperative, with a need. The biggest problem you have when you start conflating these things is you can no longer answer the question as to where you draw the line. Some people have raised Abraham Maslow's theory around "needs" here and it's a good example of exactly why the inability to draw the line is so bad. He has self-actualisation as a need. But if that's a need, why do people who have all the other things on his list after winning the lottery, make no attempt to pursue self actualisation? Why instead would they pursue self-destruction? His theory has no comeback to this. (Even with Maslow's shitty broad/wishy-washy/vague definition

> of it, it still doesn't make sense.)
>
> Similarly, if an urge like sex is a need, why not other urges? Like the urge to kill? Why don't we call killing a need since violent urges are natural as part of our competitiveness from evolutionary programming?
>
> Essentially most of what Rollo does in this piece is to tear down this Christian guy for having some pretty high Christian standards and then label it all blue pill thinking. This is of course correct, but it's low-hanging fruit. All religious thinking is on par with blue pill thinking. The argument around dismissal of his own sexual failings is an obvious disengagement, but whatever.
>
> One part I particularly disagree with is when Rollo declares everything to be about sex. I think the more moderate view that everything AND nothing is about sex. Specifically that while it permeates everything, it doesn't necessarily follow that it is the motivation for everything.
>
> The conflation of things continues to the end where Rollo conflates the idea that a man believing sex is not a need with the idea many of these men simply can't get that sex - so essentially they're rationalising - and therefore their idea is wrong. It's such an obvious logical fallacy that I'm surprised he let it through. However he was probably too busy with the empathetic part of his writing. (It's part of why I'm not so popular. I have no interest in empathising with others. I just want to get at truth.)
>
> Finally at the end he makes his only decent point that good mental health is positively correlated to a healthy sex life. There's plenty of evidence out there that this is true. However the counter example would be Buddhist monks who abstain from just about everything and still have excellent mental health, inner peace, mental clarity and a level of focus that most of us would envy. So the point doesn't stand without question.
>
> If I was advising Rollo himself, I'd be saying that his reasoning starts from the assumption that he's right. That's always a dangerous place to start. You always need to start from facts or the assumption that you're wrong. As a result, he never actually took on any of the stronger arguments against his views and that devalues the piece. As to why Rollo takes this view? Maybe he put a lot of time and effort into pursuing sex in his youth and doesn't want to face the possibility that he wasted a lot of his time and effort which would have been better spent elsewhere? It's the equivalent rationalisation from the other side, but of course it can only ever be mere speculation, which has the same level of value as his own speculation regarding the men he believes rationalise because they don't have access to sex.

Wants vs needs: the sexual attitude nuance

1 upvotes · 5 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Maslow ranks everything as a need lol. I studied him many years ago. Humanistic psychology never really caught on as the "third force" he hoped it would be. I wouldn't use him as a reference/proof in discussions. Refer to my discussion with /u/Mentathiel for an overview of where I stand on this.

Wants vs needs: the sexual attitude nuance

1 upvotes · 5 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Heh. Makes a good case for the subjective personal bias. And I suppose I am talking from my ivory tower of abundance. Doesn't make me wrong though.

The Sexodus in action: millennials are having less sex.

1 upvotes · 4 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Look, I get that English is your second language, so I'm not too bothered that you've got this wrong. However your comprehension in this circumstance is poor. You're either going to take my word for this or you're not. Frankly I have no idea how to demonstrate this to someone who's just quoted somebody and then made a comment which makes no sense in that context, so I'm not going to try. No ill-will mate... but you've

> misinterpreted him.

This is Not Self Help

1 upvotes · 2 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You want sex, you need sex, a lot of it, with young, fit women, lots of them. I don't know about you, but I have no problem following a masculine sexual strategy that will help me get this, not some self help.

Good luck when they notice your thirst and you can't get past LMR because you really want that sex.

You don't need it, you merely go and get it when you feel like it. Just because that's every day doesn't invalidate this rule.

So we kindof die alone?

1 upvotes · 2 August 2016 · /r/askTRP

Short answer yes with an if. Long answer, no with a but. The reality is that LTRs are a far more complicated beast than plate spinning. Exclusivity is a very powerful card in the man's deck, playing it as a lure works very well if you're just messing with plates or fucking with a chick. Once you play that card though and enter a serious LTR (and when you enter an LTR, it should be extremely serious. You are the gatekeeper of commitment and you just gave it up) you've effectively just adopted a child. You have agreed to become responsible for all her needs. While about half of women I've met are capable of looking after themselves, they don't really want to. What's more, none of them want to look after their own emotions or make their own decisions. They just want to make one decision... which man to follow and then have him solve all their problems. Have you ever noticed how your plates will bring up mundane things which have obvious solutions (well, obvious to me anyway) and then ask you what to do? Every woman I've either dated or spun as a plate has always brought the most trivial shit to me for *me* to sort out when she should be perfectly capable of sorting it out herself. There's an entire rant in me on this topic alone.

Anyways, let's get to the key bit as to whether you can/will die alone. Here's your little bit of hope: it is possible to find a woman who you can LTR and pair bond with. However, she will never love you in the way you can fall in love with her. If she is a good woman, she will love any kids you have together in that way. Then if she's pair bonded with you and fulfilled her biological imperative with you (and you don't go super beta or lose all your income etc etc) then she'll stay with you and you won't die alone. This happens, I've seen it, but you must caveat this reality with the knowledge that these women only formed the bulk in the last generation. Worthwhile women were far more prevalent back then. Becoming a total slut was not the norm back then. Even "liberated" these women would have less than 5 sexual partners in their lifetime. So most of them were able to pair bond with their husband.

Today, we have a sexual marketplace awash with sluts. Great news if you want plates. Dreadful news if you want an LTR. Always remember that these things are not black and white, alterations to the market make changes and it's up to you to see what you want and then see how to get it. The higher a woman's n-count, the more likely she'll hop into bed faster. Similarly you can think about how your successfully getting a girl into bed on the first date alters your approach. Do this for a plate and it's great news. Doing it while searching for an LTR and it's a red flag.

In addition to this, you've then got to screen for all sorts of traits as a bare minimum, **then on top of this** hope the chick hasn't been alpha widowed already in those first few partners. It's been my conclusion for some time that if you want an LTR to work long-term, you **must** be the apex alpha of this chick. I know of zero examples where a woman has been with a superior man and is happy with her consolation prize. So in addition to all this screening, you need to drag yourself up in terms of physique and game.... then, also hit all the provider deals too around understanding the softer game, responding to comfort tests well, then knowing how to deal with her

> emotional needs for intimacy (that little bit of beta game) without doing anything beta enough to start the shit testing again in full force. Fuckin' hell, I'm getting exhausted just writing and thinking about all this stuff. On top of all this, you've then got to make sure your job, income, home/resources and career prospects are all on track to ensure your status continues to grow. Failing on any one of the things I've mentioned in this paragraph can cause a woman to leave you or doubt you enough that she'll start sabotaging the relationship.
>
> Now think about marriage, and how you lose most of your shit and get stuck with alimony and possibly child support if she leaves you and combine it with the list of stuff above that you've got to stay on top of. It's little wonder we've concluded that marriage is generally not worth it. LTRs are the same challenge but without the risk of losing everything and having your life permanently fucked.
>
> So yeah, you might not die alone. You might be able to find the right woman who you can pair bond with and live out your days. That is real. It's just very unlikely and will require a gargantuan amount of work on your part in both finding and keeping said woman. Essentially you need to figure out how much that is worth to you... because even if she does stick with you... it doesn't mean she'll even be there when you die. She might decide not to spend much time in your hospital room.
>
> If you insist upon following this, I do know of a good starting point for LTR reading. However I strongly advise against marriage until the laws change.

[Red Pill Theory] It's all about looks...

1 upvotes · 31 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Status trumps all. Make a plan to achieve high status in a field and go out and achieve it. Then you can do what you like, be king of the betas and you'll still get women throwing themselves at you.

One Night Stand to Fuck Buddy

1 upvotes · 26 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> She's inexperienced, so you need to up your leadership of her. Just drop her a text to go out somewhere and take it from there. Keep it simple, lead her back into bed again and then make the next meeting at your place for netflix & chill or such. It reads like you're really overcomplicating matters. Stress less mate!

How does a brother prepare for court?

1 upvotes · 25 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> If /u/Protopill is still around then he might have something useful to say. Otherwise you'll have to wait until someone who works in that setting comes by. You should probably mention your country since legal systems and etiquette differs from place to place - I'd put a guess in that you're USA, but you never know with these things.

Women Are Now Cheating As Much As Men, But With Fewer Consequences

1 upvotes · 21 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I know the feeling. I've been melting and struggling to concentrate the past couple of days with the heatwave we've been having in the UK. So yes, I shall have a pint of water. Something else can be set aside at Oktoberfest.

Women are Horses not Unicorns.

1 upvotes · 20 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Unicorn = white horse that hasn't got too dirty that we captured, then hammered a spike through it's head as a makeshift lobotomy to prevent further dumb behaviour.

knowledge vs application

1 upvotes · 19 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Read my TRP Field toolkit series. It covers all basic game with examples. Then just start talking to women. If you only do those two things you'll be making progress in no time.

It's not a conversation to her, it's combat. She wants to win.

1 upvotes · 16 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> To your example I would suggest occam's razor is more relevant than shit testing and dominance/submission theories. You've just got a shitty landlady who didn't bother thinking about things and has tried to bluster it all through for her own convenience.
>
> The line between vigilance and paranoia can be thinner than we'd like to think... you might have crossed it. I'd suggest you try to chill out a little more.

Pokemon Go Get Laid

1 upvotes · 12 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I saw this at the weekend. My main plate is obsessed with this game. As we went out to get some food, we passed another couple. I swapped looks with the guy because both our women were looking at their phones. It was a look that said "seriously, these women are in their 20s." It's amazing how women flock towards any fad and trend instantly. The women in their 20s now really are the most worthless women we've ever had on this planet.

Opinions are like assholes, so who gives a shit?

1 upvotes · 11 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> You remind me of the chick who whines that men are the problem when she's had a trail of failed relationships. You blame me for your inability to convey your stance well and then strawman my metaphor in order to construct your defence. Nice one. You're not impressing anyone here who has a brain.
>
> Now let's take your specific stance for amusement value. Opinions without proof and no facts to work with. I have a general stance regarding game that I have opined from time to time on here: it is best to mould your game style according to your current personality rather than trying to change it to fit what you have seen working for others. The only bit around that which could be considered fact is what has worked for others and I openly advise against that fact. There's no proof, just a little logic and my word that it works for me and others. The logic being that women are good at spotting fakes and it is best to keep things as close to your natural self as possible while you "fake it 'til you make it."
>
> Under your stance this opinion would be disregarded. If you're not starting to see how that point of view is not only useless, but potentially detrimental, then there's no helping you.

Feeling my LTR change ? Not liking it.

1 upvotes · 6 July 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Learn to command better. Bitchy women behave so because they don't have clear leadership and instruction on what to do... so they just follow their typical female feels routine.
>
> Also, when she behaves badly/bitchy then just tell her it's unattractive in whatever casual way you like. You'd be surprised how much it hurts a chick to be told she's not attractive...
>
> And of course, be ready to walk away if improvements don't happen. But never issue an ultimatum. Just decide when you will walk, don't tell her, and when it reaches that time... walk.

I've slept with over 100 women and I'm over it.

1 upvotes · 2 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Seducing sluts and finding then seducing a quality LTR are two very very different topics.
>
> Pretty much every guy in the endorsed section has realised women aren't all that important and that it's just thirsty guys who see sleeping with lots of women as some sort of achievement. (Which is basically the female perspective. I don't think I've ever respected a man simply for his success with the opposite sex... it's usually a respect for something else and his success there which earned my respect: that is what is making him successful with women.) The female perspective is where social proof fits in - THEY admire men who can sleep with lots of women. They wish to become one of those women due to seeing him as high value and highly sought after in the SMP.
>
> I'd lead this all back to feminisation of society. We don't even realise that we're viewing things from the feminine perspective - so deeply is this ingrained that it's even slipped through onto TRP.

Girlfriend said the post redpill thing to me yesterday

1 upvotes · 2 July 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > "How much I enjoy sex depends on how attractive you are that day"
>
> Oh dear... listening too closely to women. Her level of attraction to you will also be decided by how turned on she is - hence why kino early and often is so vital to escalation. Women are very physical and don't even realise how much it works on them.

On the third day, he learned how to groom

1 upvotes · 23 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Unfortunate choice of title. Should have been "groom himself." or "groom himself properly."

Delta? Gamma? Sigma?

1 upvotes · 21 June 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > introverted + attractive = sigma
>
> Not really. Sigmas just don't play the social hierarchy game. As a result they tend to be attractive because they don't give a fuck about rules and they appear mysterious.

Eye contact question

1 upvotes · 12 June 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Look at their mouth instead? As far as my memory goes on the research, our eyes are supposed to dart around the face of who we're talking to, looking between the two eyes and their mouth. If I'm right, looking at their mouth gives the same sense of feeling for conversation purposes... but it's not as good for seduction! Soul gazing is the shit for seduction.
>
> There's also a fun little trick where if you look at someone at that sort of bit between the eyes above the nose, it can make them feel uneasy/freaked without having any idea why. (Yes, thank my psychology of perceptions module for that little bit of fun with social dynamics.)
>
> (Also, perhaps it's worth looking into whether you're on the autism spectrum and you're simply high functioning so you never got diagnosed. If I recall correctly they find it very difficult to have eye contact with people.)
>
> Beyond that, best I can suggest is to keep practicing.

Thoughts on women de escalating situations?

1 upvotes · 8 June 2016 · /r/askTRP

> When your LTR tries to de escalate a guy hitting on her instead of simply dumping him, do you take it as her disrespecting you?

Dread her. Wander off and flirt with a chick nearby. That'll usually convey the message that her behaviour is unacceptable if she wants to keep you. By not submitting and doing what you told her to do, she is indeed disrespecting you by disregarding or even challenging your leadership.

> When some friend tries to de escalate a beggar or an annoying street seller or artist instead of telling him to fuck of, does it annoy you? I actually manage this one by saying "he won't go away if you're polite! He'll think you'll give him money if he insists."

No. Diplomacy has its uses. Being able to engage diplomatically with people is important. If you haven't read "how to make friends and influence people" yet then I recommend you do. Being too abrasive can turn people off to you. Social skills and navigating social dynamics in the most ideal fashion requires fine tools, not blunt instruments. That's not to say there's no place for the sledge hammer... just that you sometimes need the screwdriver or the spanner. It all depends on the context of the situation. For example, not all street sellers are scum and they're not really the same as beggars.

Thoughts on women de escalating situations?

1 upvotes · 8 June 2016 · /r/askTRP

> I can't even understand this question. I've read this three times now and it makes no sense to me.

Help me Become Disciplined...Fast and Hard

1 upvotes · 8 June 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Schedules for everything? Mate, the problem with discipline is that your self-discipline is always under pressure... and amusingly it's bad for your mental health to remain self-disciplined ALL the time. You've gotta let loose and be spontaneous sometimes.
>
> Pick what you need to be disciplined about and then focus on that. Try to focus on working a routine on one thing at a time until your overall routine is built up, with some routine time for doing something completely undisciplined... the fort of "free time" on the timetable so to speak.
>
> That's the best I can offer for such a vague question.

What if TRP is wrong?

1 upvotes · 8 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> TRP is just crowdsourced inductive logic.

Frustration and/or woman hating?

1 upvotes · 3 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm reconsidering my earlier thoughts. He's showing some serious blue pill thought processes, assumptions and solipsism. Would you have a read of his exchange with me in this thread and double check my thinking before I drop the mods a note. It'd be appreciated to get a second pair of eyes on it.
>
> He's showing signs of being a blooper troll. However, even if I give him the benefit of the doubt, I don't believe he's got the right attitude to learn anything here and I'm concerned that he's not going to provide enough useful insight to be able to offset this.

Frustration and/or woman hating?

1 upvotes · 3 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> quickedit: May I just know which part were you right about?

Everything I said. If you bothered to read my points and actually understand the analogy I used rather than taking it literally, you might learn something. Do not confuse me with Neoreactionsafe. He is a different member with his own views.

My post was not some negative rant or vent. It was a careful picking of what could be useful, what red pill theory would predict here and a couple of examples to back it up. I showed what could be taken from what you said and what was not available.

The only negativity you'll receive is for your attitude. You come across as quite cocky and arrogant. That's great in real life. However it won't help your case on here. I wrote in a piece on attitude, that ego should be absolute in the field, but when you get back to the sub you should kill your ego. This is because cocky and arrogant is very attractive to the ladies, but it's bloody useless for learning. The purpose of the sub is to teach and to learn. Even the Vanguard are still learning. None of the endorsed members here, or myself believe we've stopped learning or "believe you've got all of the answers." We've got most of the answers and when we speak, we show you why we have the right answer. I can't speak for everyone, but whenever I have put something which is not obvious, I've put my reasoning along with it.

We have no issue with you sharing or trying help out a fellow guy. Carry on. However if you're looking to learn, you don't seem to play well with your equals and superiors. Here is some reading to help with that.

Also:

> unfortunately you seem to be stuck in your hateful, frustrated ways.

You can get banned from here for saying that sort of thing to an EC. Not only is it objectively a complete pile of nonsense (seriously, have you even read my post history on TRP? I wasn't even frustrated when I arrived. I'd just given up on women altogether.) It's then followed by this obviously disrespectful implication.

> I hope you guys aren't really this bitter and mad irl, because that doesn't seem healthy. Honestly, I just wanted to share my thoughts on things - no disrespect intended.

Passive aggression is a very feminine trait. Cut it out. Normally I'd either ignore you, or if I was in a bad mood, report your disrespect and move on. To paraphrase a friend of mine who put it most eloquently: you've effectively walked into a bar you've never been into before, mouthed off and then decided to pick a fight with one of the biggest guys in there and he turns out to be mates with the owner. It's very dumb and you need to read this before you make that mistake again. The next guy might not be so forgiving.

Don't waste your time arguing

1 upvotes · 1 June 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> No, but that one would make a good primer. It's called "Basic guide to argumentation and intellectual growth."

Should I next tinder date after tonight?

1 upvotes · 24 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Uncooperative/closed off/cold to your attempts to game her and escalate.

RP minority in a democratic system. What does the future hold?

1 upvotes · 24 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Because I'm not in either the financial, political or right place image-wise to challenge in any way. Maybe in like 5 years if things haven't improved I'll reconsider. For now though, I've got plenty of other goals on my plate without adding the gargantuan goal of "Enter politics. Fix society."

Can't find a reason for my ex behavior

1 upvotes · 16 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Women take breaks from relationships to either sleep around guilt free or to test their SMV in the open market. Both of which are red flags and reasons to dump the bitch. It doesn't matter where her mind goes, she's dumped.
>
> What happened here was either the man she wanted to branch swing to wouldn't give her commitment, she went nuclear and he still didn't give her it so she panicked and ran back to you. Or she did get commitment from this guy but he gave it in a very beta way or capitulated to her nuclear shit test. (I feel sorry for women who end up doing nuclear shit tests because they lose no matter the outcome. Either they get what they demand and in doing so their man submits to them and they don't find him attractive, or they don't get what they want.) There is also the possibility that she hooked up the poison drip to him and made herself no longer attracted.
>
> I've given you all the reasonable outcomes. It doesn't matter which one it is. They all have one conclusion in common, this chick is broken and is very low RMV.
>
> Ghost her forever. If you're serious about an LTR, then you've got a shit tonne of reading to do because you clearly don't appreciate the differences between spinning some plates and creating/managing an LTR.

The N-Count - why care?

1 upvotes · 14 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Am I missing something?
>
> Yeah, it's called the grass is always greener effect. It's a very feminine, feels-driven response. A fear of missing out on something which drives you to throw away good things you've already got. Two things:
>
> 1. Go find your balls, decide what you **really** want and then stick to it. If you're not interested in a family then there's no point in having an LTR.
> 2. Learn to use AskTRP when asking for personal advice. If you've been on here for months, you should have read the sidebar once or twice by now. It's very clear amongst the rules for posting that personal advice questions go to AskTRP. When you don't do this, ECs like myself assume you're a moron.
>
> Oh, and by the by:
>
> > I don't want to be your teacher. I don't want to take your innocence, as if it were ever there. I want you to know how to be a good girl in bed before getting into bed with me.
>
> Even "experienced" can be shit in bed and require teaching. Why? Because most of the guys they've been with have pumped and dumped them because they were so bad. Those who have stayed with her are too grateful for some pussy that they aren't willing to tell her she's crap. The majority of women I've been with are bad at blowjobs... it is the eternal problem of picking up new plates and why I like to manage my plates to keep them spinning as long as possible. Because it's serious hassle having to re-teach some new dumb bitch how to give me a blowjob. Oh and btw... some women can't take feedback on sex either. One plate smashed immediately when I questioned her ability to ride me (she was trying to grind with only half my dick inside her... literally bending it in half... it was painful and I threw her off me because it's is a damned good way to get your dick snapped. I've heard the horror stories.)

> So yeah, upsides and downsides to everything. Managing 2-3 good plates is probably the least time/energy consuming. However, managing one single LTR is probably only a little more energy consuming if you've got the dynamics right. It will be more time consuming though. Which leads me back to my initial point: **you need to figure out what you want, then do it.**

Let's discuss frame: How do you deal with nagging/bitchy ltrs/gfs/plates?

1 upvotes · 10 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > We're both too old, too experienced and together for too long for this kind of stuff to work.
>
> Your argument requires the assumption that men and women are comparable. They are not.
>
> > The same way I don't fall for her verbal bullshit and games (don't listen to what she says, observe how she acts, right?), she wouldn't fall for no 'stern man of the house demands an apology' act from me.
>
> Again, you appear to be comparing a man to a woman. Stop that.
>
> > That doesn't mean she doesn't respect me, it just means we don't put on acts. I get my point across in other ways.
>
> Nope. Don't buy it. If a woman laughs at something you take seriously, that's a major red flag and clear sign of disrespect.

how to game this girl

1 upvotes · 10 May 2016 · /r/askTRP

> You have nothing to lose. Reach in pants, find testicles, send message and begin the experiment.

Theory Weekend - No Stupid Questions Thread Part II

1 upvotes · 25 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> As someone who runs a sort of intellectual rebel vibe in his game, I'd advise steering clear of intangible philosophical stuff except for one-liners to be used at her expense (demonstrating how her brain is vastly inferior to yours.) You can run game while talking about things like books... but typically you want to head towards other topics. I'd say the dynamic is more important than the topic... however if you're trying to run something a little more intellect-heavy, then try looking up some stand up comedians who do witty life observations. You can start incorporating that type of humour into your game, but never stop the kino. NEVER! Experiment with where you're dismissive and combative to find the sweet spot where you'll cause tingles from demonstrating your dominance and superior wit. These should help you deliver more concise responses which have a bit more punch to them. Try to keep her talking, keep the pressure on her to perform for you - not the other way around. Save the deeper intellectual back and forths for your male friends.
>
> Also, change your look to appear less mature and sensible and add something in as a peacock that says I don't give a fuck about society. Don't look like an intellectual. Your brains alone will demonstrate that. Look like something else and you'll come across as an unknown quantity that possesses mystery and potential for greatness - this has huge allure for women because they just NEED to figure out your real value.
>
> Finally, go read my TRP Field Toolkit series. It covers all aspects of base game in one simple guide that gets you from date to f-close, navigating the vast majority of obstacles which can come up in an evening.

Theory Weekend - No Stupid Questions Thread Part II

1 upvotes · 25 April 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> who's getting to comfortable?

Define what you mean here please.

Getting too comfortable, in my book, means she's not putting in the effort I want her to put in for things like sex, her appearance, doing things for me etc. The standard response is to dread her. You can also educate and nudge her in the right direction with some commands, but that depends on the relationship dynamic, how strong your frame is and how much she trusts you etc.

low smv problems help

1 upvotes · 25 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Should I even be working on game right now with this shitty smv?

Monk mode is typically advised in the early months of swallowing the pill. When you become epic you're no longer playing the game on nightmare mode. It makes game easier to run by a mile. All base game is covered in my TRP Field Toolkit series... bookmark it for later. Typically monk mode will take about 2-3 months as a minimum. I remember trying to come out of monk mode after 1 month and it was an unmitigated disaster which I still laugh at to this day.

You appear to have a twofold problem. Firstly, meeting and getting people to like you. Secondly, finding some new friends. I'd recommend the classic "How to win friends and influence people" by Dale Carnegie to help you with the first. The second one depends on your personality. You're going to need to think about the sort of person you are and the sort of person you want to hang out with. Let me know what you think of that. I had to replace the majority of my social group as I improved myself so I can try and give you some pointers on how to go about it.

"You're just the nicest guy I've ever met"

1 upvotes · 8 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

Right there's so much going on in these few sentences.

> The first part, some girls are sluts, some are nymphos, and some are scared of sex. I'd argue that while obviously we have our basic sexual instincts, they're processed through character and the end result is the nature of their sex drive.

Nature and nurture. That debate has been going on for over 100 years and most people accept that both have an impact. This is where guys will say AWALT at you. But you have to remember the nuance of AWALT. All women have the **capacity** to become sluts. If you then remember that women will primarily operate on a feelings-first basis and then tie this in with a society that is gynocentric, eager to forgive women for everything and has little to no father figures and little discipline any more... it's easy to see why the modern world is full of sluts.

> I'd argue that if there was an apocalypse, like zombies or some shit, women would suddenly be more aroused by the gym bros rather than the passionate punk guitar player. Ya feel?

Vaguely. The reality is that we won't know until we're in the situation. For the time being, status trumps all... so if you applied it forward it would be whoever was the leader of the group you're a part of. I don't think that's worth thinking about too much. Better to focus on what works in the here and now. We're in a time of plenty and men are not a scarcity. High value men are always scarce. Bung all of this together and you've got the modern dating

> scene.

"You're just the nicest guy I've ever met"

1 upvotes · 7 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> > Yes. Btw I wouldn't consider that passive aggressive.
>
> Using sarcasm to imply stupidity is definitely passive aggressive. It's a useful tool in real life social dynamics, but has no place on TRP. Be straight up and use straight talk. Don't imply things.
>
> > makes you seem arrogant rather than being helpful.
>
> I am supremely arrogant. Game/speaking womanese is my strong suit and my attitude has slowly become unwilling to tolerate wasting my time... so yeah. Don't hugely care, but I think OP needs to get back to the drawing board with his base game and try again rather than messing on with this chick.
>
> > For me personally, I've stated to women I've seen that I didn't care about sex, and in my experience it got their hamster going.
>
> Valid strategy. Doesn't look like it worked for OP though since she's called him a nice guy. When this works she'll usually be silent or try to agree rather than complimenting him for his behaviour. The dynamic will be that she's still trying to please him. Though only OP knows what else was said.
>
> > OP stated that it didn't mean much to him. If that's true, then he has no incentive to keep going. But he also said it "worries him" that she doesn't want to fuck him.
>
> That's an attitude problem on his part. He hasn't mastered IDGAF yet... it's not brilliant in terms of abundance mentality either and that could be getting in the way for him, but it's not a massive issue since he just wants to fuck her and isn't too bothered if he has to drop her without doing that.
>
> In general, my reason for a short reply is because the longer it takes to get a chick into bed, the more time you are wasting (and the less likely it is to happen the longer you leave it.) There is one exception to this, virgins and very inexperienced shy girls. All I needed to do is establish that it wasn't an exceptional circumstance, which it doesn't appear to be. And done, the conclusion is obvious. Holding back is what women do to secure betas. (They rationalise it as him being special.) It sets the entire tone for the "relationship." It's also not a recommended idea to tolerate women who don't put out fast enough for you. If you become entitled to it then you'll be more successful. Ironically feminism doesn't like this reality.
>
> From the way OP is talking, it seems like he's been too beta, given too much comfort and validation. Typically when you fear you've done something like that, you probably have so it doesn't need examining too closely.
>
> > I bet the girls initial intentions can affect how the situation plays out too as far as the context, which btw I wish it was talked about more on trp. When people try to discuss things like this I often hear "AWALT BRO" yeah, on a certain biological level.
>
> Could you provide an example? I'll try and talk you through it.

"You're just the nicest guy I've ever met"

1 upvotes · 7 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Nice passive aggressive sarcasm there. Very feminine. Now you've finished, clean the sand out of your vagina and cut that shit out.

> You want to debate me? Then make your case like a man. Not like a woman. If you can't figure out the logic I used, then you can ask. Your inability to comprehend my thinking is not my problem, nor does it mean I'm wrong. Ensure that your comments actually add something useful to discussions.
>
> As a more general piece of advice for you: taking pot shots, passive aggressive or otherwise, at ECs will eventually get you banned. You should review this piece and adjust your attitude accordingly.

Rewards

1 upvotes · 7 April 2016 · /r/askTRP

> This makes little sense to me. And based upon the fact it's been up for 6 hours with no replies... I'd say it's not making sense to anyone else either.
>
> Restate your question using less wishy-washy language. Be concise and specific about what the actual problem is, what you've done to try and solve it, and how we're supposed to help.

Need help from you red pillers

1 upvotes · 10 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It is on the sidebar, and in the rules post - (again, on the sidebar. In fact it's the first thing on the sidebar after the definition.) I believe this offence now gets you a temp ban or something like that.
>
> Seriously, delete this post and go to askTRP. You can get all manner of specific advice tailored to yourself from there.

Polish women may be among the greatest LTR material in the Western world, and genetic markers of prenatal testosterone exposure prove it

1 upvotes · 10 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> While I was on a cruise recently I made friends with a Polish barman. (Always make friends with bored barstaff... you get free drinks. And the bar was extremely well stocked with some very nice whiskey.) Anyways, at one point in our conversation he told me about his wife and kid. How he found Polish women, and simply put... he made them sound very aggressive and uncompromising. Almost abusive when you fucked up. Now I wouldn't call this guy a clear beta, but he wasn't the archetypal alpha either.
>
> More testosterone is probably not a great thing in a woman as it will make her more aggressive and less submissive. A more combative woman does not make better LTR material.
>
> Those are my thoughts on this. Take 'em or leave 'em.

Using Active Voice in Writing

1 upvotes · 3 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Good. I'm glad we're in agreement on academia, however I think you haven't understood what I was trying to get at. Let me restate to try and be clearer. For me, TRP is all about providing pragmatic advice for men to get what they want out of life and how this fits in with a sexual strategy and overall life strategy. I challenge that being able to write in active voice is practically irrelevant. It is not a necessity to succeed. It is a luxury. It definitely won't help you to convince people of your PoV any better than not having it. However, convincing people in its purest form is a totally different topic and belongs under Machiavellianism/social dynamics - i.e. one of many tools which is useful but not essential. So I won't go any further into that.
>
> My examples were simply to back up the initial point. I believe it is better to adopt the pragmatic approach of deciding your goal first and then adapting your writing style appropriately to fit. Going with or without quality prose/using the active voice is mutually exclusive to being successful as it will help or hinder depending on the situation. - And I can't think of any situations where it 100% helps.

> What is your distinction between quality prose and communicating ideas? A good idea can still be communicated poorly, and fail to get exposure because of that.

You are correct. I sense we have different ideas by what quality prose means. I see as one of those intangibles which doesn't really have an adequate definition, yet we all know what it is. (A little like intelligence. We all know intelligence when it's demonstrated, yet even the researchers who study the topic can't agree on a definition.) So I'll simply say that the difference is that you can communicate well without requiring quality prose. It depends who you're communicating with - so for example there are some less intelligent members of society who are likely to be unable to understand quality prose because of their poor education/unwillingness to be educated. However you can still communicate well with these people if you adapt to speak their language (for want of a better phrase)... but again we'll end up drifting into Machiavellianism and the pros/cons thereof. So I'll leave it there.

Women are fighting to get paid 'period leave' off work to cope with period pain.

1 upvotes · 3 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> The hilarity of this is... for their argument to work, they are stating that women can't function when they're on the rag. You can actually use this in normal society to fight it - i.e. suggest that we're taking a step backwards towards the age when women were ignored for being "too emotional" and that "their hormones controlled them."
>
> Despite the fact we know they act on feels first... we can still use the argument to piss off the illogical/crazy/loony people who suggested this in the first place.

UK feminists attempting to ban contact rugby in schools.

1 upvotes · 2 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Christ!
>
> Of course most injuries come from the contact in such **a physical contact sport.** The 70 retards who signed this nonsense should all be sacked immediately and have the word retard forcibly tattooed on their forehead.

What's your opinion on the 'cool girl' quote from Gone Girl?

1 upvotes · 25 February 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I don't get the hype the around Gone Girl... I read the blurb on the book and it sounds boring as fuck.
>
> Anyways, writing style engages the emotions of the reader - not mine, but ya know, I'm not the target audience here - plays nicely off idealism. So I can see why it would be popular. I'm also not remotely surprised at this quote being popular... it's solipsism 101 from a woman.
>
> Woman has standards that are too high... blames men and declares that men invent fictitious perfect women that they want and all dating problems are blamed on this. If I have to explain why that's popular among women then the person who asks is required to remove themselves from the gene pool.

Study: Men think their male classmates are smarter, even when they're not

1 upvotes · 17 February 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Define "smarter." Modern grade points are irrelevant to someone's intelligence level. Even the supposed last bastions of intelligence like OxBridge, Harvard and Yale have been shown lately to be taking in many morons.
>
> The researchers are idiots because their methodology is poor. They need to go back and review their Galileo for making measurable that which is not.

How birth control is pussifying men.

1 upvotes · 17 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's also affects girls, who develop breasts/hips, start menustrating, etc. earlier and earlier.

Women starting puberty is primarily linked with weight iirc. As access to food and healthcare has improved, it's been happening earlier.

> How much end up in the tap water will depend on the water treatment plants.

From what I've been told, either none will get through, or it will be so small as to simply be irrelevant. This is probably some kind of fear mongering by corporate interests who'd rather you bought bottled water instead of drinking unlimited tap water. I drink 2-3 litres of tap water every day and it doesn't make me remotely feminine. Get your daily water down you lads! The taps are safe!

If you're not AMOG of every group, you're doing well.

1 upvotes · 15 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Someone needs to do a more detailed post on overall social dynamics to clear this up. The Machiavellian applications of this understanding are tremendous. Unfortunately, such posts are never popular here unless, (ironically) they are written by an AMOG of the sub.

Labour MP admits that feminism may have contributed to the collapse of the family unit...thoughts of pill poopers?

1 upvotes · 3 February 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

Abbott continues her hypocrisy ha! Why not? She's made a career out of it. If you're ever looking for an example of positive discrimination doing damage then Diane Abbott is it. Status wrought entirely from being a black woman and not from merit.

BlackDragon's Alpha 1.0 and 2.0: Illustrations

1 upvotes · 2 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

When I came across the piece on Alpha 1.0 and 2.0, that was the point at which I stopped reading Black Dragon. There's some useful stuff on his blog, but that piece was just total masturbatory drivel. That and he pushes his book too hard.

A friend of mine is getting married and he's taking her name.

1 upvotes · 2 February 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's a soft next on this friendship. Just get better friends. A dude controlled by his wife is not capable of friendship with other men anyway. They end up just being spies for their wives reporting in on you.

Some men need to experience this before they'll accept it. As I stated, I would have cut ties completely by now (hard next on the friendship.) However I sense OP doesn't want to let go. In that circumstance the only responsible course of action is the Machiavellian approach. That would be a co-ordinated intervention, running some emotion focused arguments (beta game.) It'll show him just how hooked up to the pussy this guy is. That is what OP needs to experience under those circumstances.

> If his faggot friend is willing to throw his own family name under the bus you can bet he will throw his "friend" under the bus and reveal everything to his Mrs who will waste no time launching a whispers campaign against OP.

Who cares? There are two possible circumstances here:

- OP only has this group of friends where this one friend and his Mrs have influence over the group - in which case he needs to GTFO of that group anyway. Or
- The group of male friends don't give a flying fuck what each others' partners think of each member of the group. In which case what she does is irrelevant.

Men must strive for the latter in their group... otherwise they're not truly free of feminine influences steering the ship. As you imply:

> A dude controlled by his wife is not capable of friendship with other men anyway.

...it's genuinely possible that OP might just need to face a harsh reality that he needs better friends. For good or ill, it's got to be OP's choice so I gave him the option to hold on... and the option I'd have taken. But as I say, I'm probably more ruthless than OP is.

How to accept an apology

1 upvotes · 29 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

"Good girl. Don't do it again." Kiss on the forehead. Move on with life.

I like using the phrase "good girl" because while it isn't overt, it's a nice way to imply her place is under your dominance/command. Though only use it after you've made a demand or order that she has fulfilled. If she ever gets pissy about it, laugh it off and tell her she's way too uptight and needs to learn to chill, nobody likes a stresshead. It's worked 100% so far for me. I've only added this bit because it's got such wide application.

Mindset While Taking Women's Studies Class

1 upvotes · 29 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

Either keep your head down and just agree with everything. Smile and nod. Or... have some fun by ensuring you have all the stats and research from independent organisations for each piece - then raise questions in a passive fashion like "I'm so confused. When I was doing my reading and research for this, I found this from X organisation and it says blah blah, which seems to totally contradict what's being said here. I don't understand."

Be prepared with the phrase "I agree with you. Though I need to know how I reconcile it with this information." You'll be using it a lot. Careful use of tone, never get aggressive, remain passive and seem curious and sincere in your attempts to learn. You WANT them to give a good explanation... despite the fact you know they can't. Hence why you'll have fun. Watch the hamsters spin like crazy.

Made girl pay for first date drinks... calls me an asshole. Becomes huge topic of discussion.

1 upvotes · 29 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

Oh boy, here we go.

> She had a good time but you didn't close her. She said she wasn't going to have sex with you. That's a shit test and you failed it. She was definitely thinking of going home with you or else she wouldn't even have mentioned it.

Anti-slut defence actually. It's a specific test that womanese translates to "I do not want my social status harmed if I have sex with you. Do you know how to achieve this?"

> Once you failed to close she really had no choice but to trash you because what else could she say? "I went out with this guy who I'd already stood up once and I even paid for the drinks and I still didn't get laid?"

Nope. When he failed the anti-slut defence that lowered his SMV. She doesn't want to be associated with low SMV men so her tale of it has to be that she spotted his low SMV from the start. "It was a weird date. It felt wrong. He made me pay for drinks and stuff so that was a warning bell about his quality." blah blah blah.

It's nothing to do with "I paid for drinks and didn't get laid." That's a very male perspective. And it's not so much about trashing him, it's about talking herself up. She'd still set him up on a date with her less attractive friends to boost her own social status.

> Always expect a woman to throw you under the bus if it means she can save face or justify her actions.

Spot on.

> That said, good on you for making her pay. She agree and you held her to it. Things would have been worse had you paid. You would have spent the money and still not gotten laid. And she likely would have trashed you for some other reason.

She wouldn't have trashed him, just said it didn't click. Nothing there. No spark blah blah blah and the million other ways women justify their fee fees.

> When she says, "We're not having sex tonight." You agree and amplify.

I'd either agree or ignore and change the subject. Like I said, it's not a shit test as such, it's ASD. She's concerned about her social status, not your SMV. Shit tests are designed to assess your SMV relative to hers. That is the difference here.

> Think of it like a dog chasing a cat. When she says, "We aren't having sex," she making you chase her. When you agree and amplify you are making her chase you. It's a lot easier to get what you want when you make them want it more than you do.

How? This doesn't even make sense to me. As I said before, shit tests are designed to check your SMV relative to hers through breaking of rapport. You demonstrate you pick up on the nonsense and reply accordingly to show you have good social skills and thus high SMV. Responding with a rapport break of your own doesn't make a woman chase you in and of itself. As a further demonstration of this, if you consistently pass a woman's shit tests well enough, you can cause her to comfort test you. Fail that and she will disqualify herself. It's not about who is chasing who, it's about relative attraction levels.

Push/pull: girl couldn't take pulls.

1 upvotes · 29 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Seems like she views you as low-SMV. You confirmed it when you took her seriously. Girls are not to be taken seriously. That is not their purpose on this earth.

FR - Learn to trust your observations

1 upvotes · 28 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Have abundance - I didn't care about getting laid therefore I had no problem listening to my instincts and cutting out.

Abundance is what leads to men finally having the most powerful card in the deck in their hand. - The ability to walk away. This card is without question the one card that you **never** give up under any circumstances. Most of TRP you can take or leave, adapt as you see fit. However this is the one bit that simply can't be compromised. If a

> serious red flag comes up, you're walking away. Whether that can be a simple ghost or whether you need to do a little damage control like OP has here, you're walking away.

Enforcing Quality in the Manosphere

1 upvotes · 27 January 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > He's been stewing in a pot of ineffectual, angry manosphere people for too long and just doesn't have a good sense of what's important to regular men.
>
> This is exactly what I've always felt about Elam. I can't watch their videos because they're aiming too much at popularity and not enough at achievements or practical use. There's only so much circlejerk you can laugh at during your downtime. I think that the ineffectualness of the people in the MRA movement is what puts off the majority of TRPers. We're far too focused on finding things that will actually get some noticeable results to tolerate the ineffectual.

Is sex is one of the hardest things to accomplish these days for young men?

1 upvotes · 24 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> The biggest problem today for young men is the artificial increase in perceived SMV for young women. Young men are so thirsty that you can pretty much look at a woman, objectively assess her SMV... then you need to add at least 2 points to it to get how she views herself. How she views herself relative to you is how the mating dance is decided. If your base SMV is below how she perceives herself, then you don't exist as a sexual being. If you're equal, she'll shit test you. If you're above, she'll try to get your attention. If you're miles above her, she'll disqualify herself to maintain her social status and avoid looking like an idiot (that can come back though if you boost her SMV for her or lower your own... the latter can backfire badly though.)
>
> This fits nicely alongside your theory around the lacrosse mixers. Status trumps all, and if you need a guy who's 10/10 because you see yourself as a 9/10... you head to the land of status where 6/10 and 7/10 guys are boosted by their status.
>
> The perceptions are how it all fits together. Young women have so much validation on tap from social media and safe spaces that by doing nothing but existing, a 7/10 thinks she's a 9/10. The women all still want to have loads of FWB sex, just only with men they perceive as above them in value. So when you take into account that women rate men on looks in a skewed fashion (they think the average man, around 70% or something absurd like that, rates 3-4/10 for looks and about 5% rate 7 or above), the entire picture of the modern sexual marketplace starts to add up.
>
> What we should take heart from is that because it's all influenced by a woman's own perceptions of herself, even if you're not a high status, mega high SMV man, you can potentially bag a 9/10 if she's currently seeing herself as a 6 or 7/10 because men can't be bothered to approach her due to a resting bitch face or something like that. There are pros and cons to the modern problem with the SMP, family life is all but out but sleeping with multiple attractive women is a serious possibility... my generalised advice is always: try to focus on the pros.

Q4RP: Do you perceive of any BENEFITS to an open SMP, bar the obvious opportunity to 'spin plates' and be a Chad if you're young and attractive?

1 upvotes · 22 January 2016 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Besides the obvious hedonistic stuff associated with sex... you can busy yourself and focus on artistic/cultural/practical pursuits with way more time and effort. You form stronger bonds with your male friends too since neither of you have a wife to worry about keeping happy. Plates are much lower maintenance than a live-in LTR or wife.

> You gain all these things, but obviously you lose out on things like family, children and legacy. Relevant reading from IllimitableMan was posted today.

Plate wouldn't give head

1 upvotes · 14 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> Get some subtle dread going. Figure out a casual/nonchalant way of her "accidentally" finding out you're talking to other attractive chicks or invited one over. Should fix it.
>
> Also, I wouldn't recommend letting it slide when she gives you orders like "talk" - it's disrespectful and you shouldn't tolerate it. A simple commanding respect response should do the trick like "Don't talk to me like a dog." and then make sure she apologises.

Confessions of A Reformed Blue Pill Man

1 upvotes · 12 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Sigh, I should of punched that bitch in the face when I had the chance.
>
> This, right here, is exactly why bloopers can't comprehend us or how much some men have suffered at the hands of blue pill programming to end up at the end of their rope like this. They can't step outside of their worldview and comprehend without doing something nasty/bad/evil, that even a good person can have that urge. They're so busy rationalising their twitter hate-mobs as being righteous that they can't see themselves as human. They're always the good guy, the hero.
>
> The Red Pill accepts people as the humans they are. Emotions are valid to feel since they're irrational and you don't pick them. That's how we can say it's fine to let out the anger, frustration and hatred, and support someone who's felt that urge to smack a stupid bitch for her morally abhorrent behaviour, yet simultaneously remind everyone that *actually doing it* is the ultimate loss of frame.

"You look a lot like my last boyfriend"

1 upvotes · 12 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> I no, rite?

There's more than just one type of "Alpha".

1 upvotes · 3 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is an excellent example that would make a good companion piece to GLO's "Your brand of Alpha" post. There are many gods... the title of Siberian Muscle God is already taken, find your own!

Instruction For A Polite Feminist

1 upvotes · 3 January 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Not quite. There's an excellent piece on benevolent masculinity somewhere in the archives that is worth reading to see this point.

Surprising discussion of shit testing on Advice Animals

1 upvotes · 27 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > but lesson learned is...
>
> Any achievement worth having isn't going to come easy or quickly.

CMV: The "ugly guy" who gets laid left and right with attractive women is a myth

1 upvotes · 21 December 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> As an average looking guy (not fat, not too short, and with nothing making me stand out as "ugly"), I've been called ugly on at least a few occasions.

Me too. I've also been called "hawt!" and "handsome." Bitches be bitches mate. If she suddenly found out you were a millionaire or a celebrity or minor rock star, her tune would change. It's all about her perception as to what she's gonna say about you. There are some really nasty women out there and they know average men don't tend to get compliments... and so they know the "ugly" remark is really going to sting. Ignore 'em.

You've got to remember, women rate men on looks on a skewed scale... so I wouldn't be surprised to find a guy with natural game who wasn't very attractive getting laid left and right. One of my tall mates is definitely less attractive than me and he gets laid like a champ (mostly because he's tall. Women are totally not shallow in any way... that is not how he became so confident... it wasn't that at all.) /s

CorporateLand: How to Kill it in Your Job Interview

1 upvotes · 21 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Just think firm but relaxed. That's how I've always advised everyone to get the simple happy medium.

I'm Milo Yiannopoulos, AMA

1 upvotes · 19 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I don't understand what you're asking. The grammatical structure of this makes it a little ambiguous. Can you clarify?

Reggie Yates documentary on the manosphere - A review

1 upvotes · 17 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yes, I recall they did a piece about sexism online fronted by that Kirsten woman who fronts Newsnight. I thought "ah, at last. We're going to get something balanced that points out women just overreact more to the shit that goes on online." Nope. Reaffirmed the nonsense that the internet is a sexist place.

A brief examination of morality, justice, legality, and codes

1 upvotes · 14 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I think it was Hoover who said "justice is incidental to law and order" or words to that effect. Understanding the motivations of the state vs individual motivations is key if you wish to take the most effective pragmatic approach to modern society. This post provides an underlying building block to understanding the difference. From there you can formulate your own personal approach and make better informed decisions.
>
> Good work. But you won't be appreciated for it. This is far beyond the intellectual limits of the average man. Mostly because they don't want to try to stretch those intellectual limits. They're more than happy to push their physical boundaries... but not their intellectual ones. Welcome to the modern world.

Republican vs Democrat: An observation about American politics and voters from someone who took the pill.

1 upvotes · 13 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> And your poor understanding of the political compass comes to bear. This is precisely why I don't discuss politics on here.

[FR] My Interaction with My Ex-LTR after 2 months on TRP

1 upvotes · 12 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> If she's done with you, she either won't contact you, or she does and wants to rub something in your face (her new bf, probably because some part of her is still attached to you).

Can confirm that one for happening. I laughed at her because he was clearly my inferior by a significant margin. (Guess that shows just how low beta behaviour can send your value.) Caused her to chase further, desperate to prove he was better. I ignored her nonsense.

Back on track:

> There will be real lessons learned that day.

What are the lessons to learn from this? I'm still failing to see what this FR can teach me. Or am I missing the point because it won't teach **me** something I don't already know about the behaviour of women in general?

“I feel like if we don't have sex, you'll kick me out”

1 upvotes · 10 December 2015 · /r/askTRP

I have no idea what on earth you're on about. You obviously have some semantics differences here so I'm going to leave it there.

“I feel like if we don't have sex, you'll kick me out”

1 upvotes · 10 December 2015 · /r/askTRP

> Stringing along is basically failing the comfort test though.

No it is not. You can address her concerns through a commanding respect route. Do that with straight talk and then provide some kind of physical demonstration that you care. Hugs, kisses, given to her on your terms all work. If you really want to, it's possible to address comfort tests successfully with asshole game.

Your response is heavily influenced by your own personal feelings and this is not as helpful as it could be for OP. I left options because OP will need to assess his own game level and decide on the appropriate course of action. He might not be quick thinking or sharp enough in his game to string her along. That's his call.

Classic from Mike Cernovich. Stop passing shit-tests. Start punishing, instead.

1 upvotes · 2 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Thank you for this, I needed a good laugh this lunchtime.

Yeah, if you've described this accurately, it looks like you've overreacted to some banter. She probably only stopped because of fear of SJW style accusations of racism or xenophobia. i.e. she could lose her job, so of course she'll be nice to you. Women are fairly natural Machiavellians. Not because you were the big bad alpha.

The way you probably came across was more like an autistic with poor social skills. Since your views come across as so black and white, you can do whatever you like with this info. Your coworkers have no doubt gossiped about that event behind your back. Not unrepairable or anything, but that's the way things go in the modern world. The feminisation of everyone is putting social skills particularly high priority and the responses tend to be the childish teenage girl gossiping and bitching. It's useful to be aware of it gong on.

Basic Guide to Argumentation and Intellectual Growth

1 upvotes · 1 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I don't think he's implying that he's refusing to challenge anything.

Nor was I. I was simply covering my bases and making the most complete answer I could give. That's why I left it when he realised it was merely an issue of semantics. His question was answered and my job was done.

> Maybe I'd like to see more meta-style analysis of the writing styles of different prominent writers on here? That'd be a dope post--someone go do that.

And as I always say when someone comes up with an idea... go do it. Don't wait for me to do it for you. Take the lead, put your idea out there and see what happens. So long as the post is quality, it won't be removed by mods and it may help people or start interesting discussion.

My sister.

1 upvotes · 28 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I'm going to interject here. The correct way to handle women, whether you're fucking them or not, is right here.

I'd probably have quoted the Joker back to your sister's stupid feminist point. It's unworthy of being taken seriously.

Today was the day that Reddit almost swallowed the pill. On the front page today: Guy finds out soon-to-be fiancee is cheating and sets up an elaborate proposal to dump her.

1 upvotes · 28 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I agree. Her reaction to the "who the fuck is Thomas Roo" bit looked more like her face was saying "and? What does that matter?" rather than the face of heartbreak or shock.

On Leadership

1 upvotes · 23 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'd also like to contest one of your points where you said that betas are more likely to fail. I'd like to narrow this down to "betas are more likely to fail at getting women". There are tons of corporate drones out there getting paid thousands upon thousands of dollars for their work. I don't think that I can remove them from the definition of financial success.

It's a fair caveat. It's why I said "more likely to fail" because women switch their strategy around the "epiphany phase" to lock down a beta for provision. Betas are not terrible at provision. I think there's plenty of beta managers and senior managers out there. However, from my experience of the corporate world... betas are rare at director and board level. They also don't last long in consultancy.

Ultimately the reason I didn't go with that originally and downplay/don't really talk about what betas contribute or achieve is because I don't want to encourage being beta in any way for any part of your life. It's just not a sensible move. I can only think of one circumstance where being beta could be considered Red Pill.

[FR] The hypergamy is real, always a plan B

1 upvotes · 23 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I like it. I should add more of that natural cheeky arrogance back into my game.

Every guy is thirsty so how do we cope the most effectively at making us seem less thirsty?

1 upvotes · 16 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'll try to remember to work it in when I write up the piece later this week.

The Purple Pill

1 upvotes · 12 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I know this will be something of a pain in the ass to write, but would you be willing to expand on that?

The death of the perception of love

1 upvotes · 8 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Feminists killed male love a long time ago mate. The difference is we're only recently starting to realise in the past few years. Women can still love, they get to enjoy their feelz and love their children, but thanks to the imbalance in the SMP, we can no longer afford the luxury of male love for women.

[FR] Girl cheats on boyfriend with "bbf's" ex

1 upvotes · 7 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's why we're here. You can swap the flair out but you won't be able to change the title. The alternative would be to delete it and repost a fresh copy with a new title if you want to.

My deleted thread (inspiration for the retroactive no marriage talk on TRP rule) "Vetting modern relationships for long term viability. TLDR A majority of women are unsuitable for marriage. My perspective on the ultimate goal of RP life and how to plan for that goal. A post 10 years in the making."

1 upvotes · 6 November 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> You must have biggest nose in the entire world boy. Pinocchio got nothing on you. This is the only explanation I can find for why you can't see past it.

[Mod] If you bitch and moan, I'm a throw you out.

1 upvotes · 6 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is factually inaccurate. 2:25 in particular is a rather interesting use of vocabulary.

FR - Flying by the seat of my pants

1 upvotes · 6 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It is saved, ask me again in a few months when you've got plenty more experience and comments under your belt. I couldn't send it to a new account with few Red Pill comments because of the obvious trust issue.

New to sub. Saw something on r/TheRedPill that concerned me.

1 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> This will be tough given that psychologists can't even agree on the definition of intelligence yet... it's still being debated... and has been for at least a couple of decades.
>
> Though personally I think the reality is kinda irrelevant to your question.
>
> > Treating someone as a child/inferior due to their sex just really, really strikes me as wrong.
>
> What if they react positively to it?
>
> In addition, if you're dating a man who is more intelligent than you, and you're aware of this. If he treats you as someone he regards as less intelligent than him i.e. his inferior (and let's assume this is factually accurate for the

> sake of discussion) then are you still outraged that he treats you this way? (Given that someone of high intelligence tends to typically be quite tactful and polite.)

So long RP... err I mean PUA. New rules, get ready to get cucked!

1 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Must I really redirect you to this posting which was stickied for a long time. It is not your position to decide how moderation is done or how the rules are created.
>
> If you wish to participate in TRP, you need to agree with some of the base beliefs of the group. We can't spend all day questioning the basic stuff like hypergamy or the SMV construct each and every time a newbie turns up to discuss it. Generally it is agreed on TRP that marriage 2.0 is a bad deal and the typical response that is generally agreed upon is "do not get married."
>
> Now questioning difficult topics like this isn't off the cards altogether. I, or another EC could probably question it with a well reasoned post if we really wanted to, but that is because we've demonstrated good insight and understanding into all the core principles and applied them in our lives. We are therefore afforded certain privileges, that we have earned, that a regular member is not given.
>
> In doing this, the tone of the post will be vitally important. Your tone is totally disrespectful. It is the difference between calling your superior an idiotic faggot for his views, or approaching him and saying "sir, I've had this thought on that topic and here my reasons why."
>
> I would strongly recommend you delete this posting before you get banned, then go and calm down for a bit and come back tomorrow. Maybe you'll have to defer your posting on marriage. Maybe you'll have to wait until you've obtained enough status within the community to give your words the additional weight needed. After all, who would listen to Private Pile ranting at the commander and calling him blue pill, while the Captain of a unit calmly puts his case?

"False rape accusations aren't real"

1 upvotes · 5 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> False rape accusations aren't real.
>
> As a statement of fact this is broadly true. A false rape accusation is indeed not a real rape accusation, because the rape never happened. If nobody calls rape in the woods while a feminazi is around, can she still hear it? I think the answer is yes.
>
> We're dealing with complete and utter retardation gentlemen. Amused mastery is the only response to these silly little girls thinking they can play at law and order.

On Female Consequences and Ditching Your Revenge Porn Fantasies

1 upvotes · 2 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > However, there seems to be a trend, a pattern in the comment sections of various different discussions here in the men's locker room we call The Red Pill. It appears to stem from a sort of misunderstanding about "The Wall" from newcomers and assorted anger phasers, and that is this misappropriated idea that once our fluffy, little, acrobatic and beloved vagina operator, rider of the ever present and be-Chadded Cock Carousel hits the wall, that somehow her sexual and social lives are over, and come crashing down around her like a Syrian war zone leveled by ISIS artillery and grenades.
>
> There are guys here that actually think that?

He’s Such a Jerk, But I Love Him

1 upvotes · 28 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm not here to talk about how they are acting. I'm here to tell them how they should act. The former is their responsibility, not mine.

He’s Such a Jerk, But I Love Him

1 upvotes · 28 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> ... I never said guys didn't do that too. **but red pill men don't**

The Decline has made us the poorest men in history

1 upvotes · 28 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Nobody has dealt with it directly because it's extremely dangerous and difficult because you'll need to master LTR game and pick the ideal woman. Then once you have a child with her, you're playing the game on Nightmare mode because you've just given her a big red button that she can press at any time for total dominance and cash prizes.
>
> Since the pill is pragmatic... it's pretty much at the bottom of the list of suggestions. However you can find an excellent LTR series by Occamsusername in 8 parts from a few years back. This will give you all the detail to set up a solid base LTR. In addition to this, I believe there is a redpillparenting sub but I've never been there so it may purely focus on raising the child rather than what you need to set up in order to have a child.
>
> The alternative to leaving a genetic legacy would be to knock some chick up through a ONS without giving her your real name. But obviously that means your kid will be raised by a single mother... so it's arguable whether that's even worth leaving behind.

The Decline has made us the poorest men in history

1 upvotes · 28 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Only if you're a high SMV man. Otherwise she simply doesn't care. It always amuses me how ignoring a chick can drive her absolutely mental.

The Decline has made us the poorest men in history

1 upvotes · 27 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > There's no point getting upset over something you cannot control.
>
> Love how you think I'm upset. Makes me chuckle.
>
> Try re-reading it as a statement of fact. I'm an extremely cold, analytical person. Remove the emotional projection and you'll get a lot more out of my writing.
>
> Same goes for everybody else here who seems to think an analytical piece on a highly emotional topic makes the piece emotional. If it tugs at your heart strings, that makes perfect sense. If it makes you angry... well I wonder what phase you're in. If you're instantly dismissive and say there's a simple solution.. again... I wonder what obvious phase you're in.
>
> I did put one genuinely emotional bit in it, because it's pretty much impossible for me to hide my distain - so I didn't.
>
> This is the only reply I'm going to make on this particular theme because I need to get going in a little bit and don't have time to reply to everyone. I hope everybody can come back to their replies here in a week or two and analyse them objectively to see where they are. Most won't, but I hope some will. It will assist your personal

> insight.

First "Date" after swallowing TRP. Shit test, after shit test, after shit test.

1 upvotes · 25 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Guaranteed not to be understood by the chick. I'll probably do that once I get into Tinder sometime next year.

Girl's ex telling her not to talk to guys

1 upvotes · 23 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

> This is excellent. Have a point, sir. ♂

"Moral crusaders" and their behind-the-door hypocrisy

1 upvotes · 16 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > yet people fail to laugh at the absurd hypocrisy of this.
>
> We're not laughing because the hypocrisy in society used to be amusing... now it's so common that it's just depressing.
>
> That said... I'm not sure what this has to do, even tangentially, with sexual strategy.

Who lies more, men or woman?

1 upvotes · 15 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

> Everybody lies.

Do some chicks just not like kino?

1 upvotes · 15 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

> Sounds like she has serious social anxiety. If you're willing to put up with it then don't expect to get laid for a while (weeks, if not months.)
>
> Personally I'd just next her, plenty of women out there who don't have severe mental problems. (Just minor ones.)

Would you join a fitness group if it had outspokenly racist members, even among group leaders, and none of the other members spoke up? Would you defend them to other people?

1 upvotes · 12 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > Why does my freedom to use offensive words go above common courtesy treating people with respect when the word in question is historically offensive?
>
> Because it is important to address this: the moment you begin censoring words you create the precedent that words should be censored if someone takes enough offence. This means that viewpoints are no longer taken on intellectual merit and instead overridden by how much offence they cause - how many feels they hurt. This creates an incredibly dangerous question... who decides what is offensive enough to warrant censorship and what is not? The common answer is the government since they make laws... and I don't know about you, but I don't trust my govt too much, let alone enough to decide what is right or wrong to say.
>
> Note that this is not an argument against common courtesy... I think that should be taught... but we can't enforce it. The loss from enforcing it (as described above) is far too great to be worth the benefit. (That some people are no longer offended.)

Would you join a fitness group if it had outspokenly racist members, even among group leaders, and none of the other members spoke up? Would you defend them to other people?

1 upvotes · 12 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I think this statement projects a leftist view onto people on the Right.

Actually it projects an authoritarian view. TRP is actually quite liberal, it agrees with freedom of speech and expression - core individualist tenets of liberty and liberalism. We defend them because we can see beyond our viewpoints and will stick to our principles. It is the authoritarians who would cast them out because they don't like their views. They're the ones who want censorship of shit they dislike. We'll let them stay and simply disagree, then explain why.

Machiavellian Personality Test

1 upvotes · 10 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Exactly. Dat funsies.

What do you think of this post on TRP

1 upvotes · 10 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> "everything is just an opinion" is exactly what it means.

No, it means that there is "no objective truth" and that truth is always relative. Not "everything is merely an opinion." Perception decides the truth among multiple opinions or points of view. Even if we were to take it as your own oversimplification... it still has no relevance to the point made:

> Every time you read something on the internet, assume that the first few words are: "My opinion is as follows:"

Which was quite obviously pointing at the way you attacked his initial post through nothing other than assumption. It was not a relativistic statement. He's actually solved your disengagement problem for you by giving you a way to genuinely interact with people based upon principle assumptions without needing to engage any targeted causal analysis. You do actually need this given how your cognitions seem to jump around. It'll allow you to actually exchange ideas which appears to be the most you'll be able to get out of any of this. Which is fine, most people just come here to swap ideas and points of view.

> Like why would you even think I was describing TRP? Delusion much?

I brought up TRP. I did not say that I thought you were referring to it. I brought it up because it does not make any sense to accuse a TRP veteran, who will have a thought process based around a consistent logic or set of principles... of being relativistic. The two simply don't fit together in any way given the primary dismissal of relativism: that it is a self-defeating point of view because it believes that the only truth is that there is no truth. It is fundamentally irreconcilable with anyone who follows TRP philosophy.

Machiavellian Personality Test

1 upvotes · 10 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Yes... because manipulating people online is so useful. Such a great use of the time of a Machiavellian. Seeing the flaw yet?
>
> Incidentally the lazy fuckers can't even write proper English -

> Your score was 82 of 100.
>
> This puts you in the category of the high Machs, people who do not belive in the goodness of the world and that because that it must be manipulated, people who Machiavelli would approve of.

What advice should I give to my brother?

1 upvotes · 10 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

Anti-Slut Defence probably rather than LMR. If she is genuinely teasing him with sex and he keeps coming back then she's been beta training him. An alpha would have left already and withdrawn all affection after she pulled that shit.

Get up and leave early stating that you've got a couple of things you need to do before you get some sleep. Up early in the morning etc etc. She will stop you from leaving if she wants sex and it was ASD or LMR. Once she does this, go for dominant broke - hard kiss, neck bites, spank her, literally throw her around. When I say dominant broke, it depends on the chick... for example one of my previous plates, when I say go for dominant broke it literally meant grabbing her by the throat and telling her to shut the fuck up. (She was very much into getting choked, restrained and basically anything that made her feel weak and powerless to my being. You need to know the chick's kinks to play the game at such a level, always bring it up as sex talk at some point earlier in the evening. Ordering chicks around is just something you need to either do or learn to do, no exceptions.) If she reacts poorly to the kiss then she never wanted sex, **so leave immediately.**

EDIT: Should also have mentioned that your bro might be gerbiling to make himself feel better. Take a serious look at how dominant the initiation is... a weak initiation always gets rejected.

Both pills: Explain your flair

1 upvotes · 9 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

That's a cracking explanation... though I recently received justification from a blooper who accepted they were closed minded because it's ok to be closed minded about RP because it's just wrong like the earth is round and you don't need to justify it.

Sometimes common sense and batshit crazy-stupid have a baby... and it is the arguments some people present in here.

Both pills: Explain your flair

1 upvotes · 9 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

Monetising the CC... feminists dream of this!

Creating Relationships From Thin Air? "Be Nice, Be Yourself"

1 upvotes · 9 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> They literally are just being themselves. So it makes sense to them.

I sincerely doubt most bloopers are in the top 5% for looks. I do however think they probably have low standards. Many get particularly upset that we try to raise our own standards and say "no, you are not physically attractive enough for me."

They get very upset and declare that having standards in choosing who not to date and who not to have sex with means we have no compassion or humanity. It makes me laugh every time. Look at the other reply to this for an example:

> trying to conquer the Sexual Market sounds like something only a douchebag would do.

An obvious attempt to shame men who would like to be more successful with women. Being heterosexual... typically you want sexual success. To me, this screams "don't improve yourself. If you do that then it'll increase my competition and I don't want to compete for pussy with better men."

Your struggle and pain? Women neither understand nor give much of a fuck.

1 upvotes · 8 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Yes and no. Small improvement is better than nothing. However:

> As for the rest? Fuck it.

I disagree. See:

> If you have such a dim view of RPW, go over there and improve it then.

Go. You're no fool. You can adapt your view to help the women who give a shit just as much as the men who give a shit. I stand by my earlier statement. If you as Vanguard are unwilling to share your knowledge with women based upon gender... why should I help TRP? I'm sorted, I don't really need to give a shit anymore. I do this shit because I think it's right. Why do you do it? We both know nobody is reading this beyond us.

The Chad of my world (TRP need not apply)

1 upvotes · 7 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

Understanding reality is important... but there's no point getting hung up on what we can't change. It's why we tell the guys who complain their race is holding them back to shut the fuck up and focus on what they can work with - body, style and game.

Women will always go with somebody. The only thing worse than a woman with a middle SMV guy is a woman with no guy. If you're the highest available, it doesn't matter if you're a 6. You'll still clean up.

The Chad of my world (TRP need not apply)

1 upvotes · 7 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

I sometimes wonder about the height thing and whether we underestimate just how much it contributes to SMV. Since women don't approach... they only get to choose from what is available. If the only musclebound guys around these chicks have ugly faces and are short with no sense of style and poor game... it's not remotely surprising that the tall good looking white guy is cleaning up. (In fact they'd probably clean up anyway... natural genetic alphas do.) I see this happen quite a bit at colleges because there are no really musclebound guys there. Doesn't stop the added muscles thing from working though.

Would you date a woman who was more educated, and made more money, than you?

1 upvotes · 7 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

I wouldn't hugely care. That's because I've always just viewed money as a way to make things happen. Money allows me to select my food, to see comedy I like, to buy drinks for my friends. More is fine, but it doesn't really influence me one way or the other. I think along the lines of most men who just want what they need to live the way they want and have a little leftover for financial security.

The "more educated" thing is irrelevant to me personally, because no matter how educated a woman is, she's likely to be less intelligent than me (I've met one exception in my lifetime) and that's what will matter more in the relationship for the purposes of leadership. That said, most guys aren't me. So in theory, her being better

> educated should signify that she is more intelligent and probably a more capable decision maker when she's not letting her emotions run rampant. That might become a sticking point for her once she gets into the relationship.
>
> Thems my two cents.

“The leads are not weak, YOU are weak”: On the subject of approaching

1 upvotes · 1 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The need to remain motivated is something I covered in my post on attitude in the TRP field toolkit. Some people felt my adding "you will now go prove this" added nothing useful but I maintain that guys should have a call to action as part of their game. That is the real thing to think about. - can you reconcile the two.

“The leads are not weak, YOU are weak”: On the subject of approaching

1 upvotes · 1 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I don't agree with any attitude that gives women any control over my actions. It's counter- productive.

Never let a woman disrespect you because you upheld the rules.

1 upvotes · 23 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > She text that she was sorry for being out of line and talking to me that way when she was angry. She understands my rules but doesn't like them (because they don't work for her). And that her talking to me like that will never happen again.
>
> Good job OP. As a sidenote for newbies who haven't seen this kind of shit before... this is the standard outcome from holding the frame of discussions. She can fight it all she wants and you don't need to be an asshole (despite the fact she'll call you one in attempts to shame you, there's nothing wrong with your behaviour or with being logical and fair) but in the end she'll submit if she wants you. If not... you were always willing to walk away in the first place.
>
> Weren't you? -Nightwing glares-

Social Contract - new bottle but same old wine. How sheep learn to follow the Shepard.

1 upvotes · 22 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm glad to see you write this. I saw your post the other day on hypergamy and cheating. It was an interesting read even if it didn't win me over completely.
>
> What made me laugh/feel disappointed was that the top comment was so ironic. You'd put in so much thought and analysis, and the top comment you were greeted with had all the intellectual depth of a paddling pool. Unfortunately that's just the reality of the system imposed upon us by Reddit. It has the same fallacy as democracy where we allow the village idiot's opinion to hold equal importance to the opinions of Plato, Socrates or Aristotle.
>
> The system is imperfect and we're stuck with it. Even when you look at ECs making comments you're going to get a much higher quality of answer... but again it won't be perfect because we're all human. (That's just reality for you.) All we can do is keep striving, so that's all we ask of you, and try to do ourselves.
>
> Also - nice to see someone else who's read some Rousseau.

Is the TRP becoming filled with beta nerds who fake being "alpha"

1 upvotes · 22 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I started a reply to this and I've just deleted it all. Honestly, you've missed my point but I don't have the time right

> now to explain it thoroughly enough to satisfy myself.

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

1 upvotes · 22 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Do you get pissed off about anti-man, or feminist, or (insert X movement you dislike) stuff online? Then you're one of those people.

Not really. I get irritated by people who don't argue with logic or shift the goal posts or disengage and move onto something else. I don't think that counts because I don't wish to censor it. I'm talking about the people who dislike an opinion and therefore believe it should be banned. I have no intention of trying to ban being stupid... if I did that then I'd have no way to tell I was intelligent heh.

> This is probably why it's often positioned as the opposite of radical feminism, because even though its intention is to be about how to help men do better with women, so many of the posts these days are just about how women are horrible.

TRP is now, and forever shall be, filled with guys in the anger phase. Realising the world is the way it is... is similar to a grieving process. I'm honestly not sure how much TRP culture you're familiar with but the stuff I'm linking I feel is fairly cold/honest/objective about what's going on.

I remember RedPillSchool once saying that this all means nothing without the anger... and I think he's right. The anger is... a real demonstration that all these men cared about women and wanted to be able to treat them well. There's a certain kind of deep rage that is reserved for feelings of betrayal... in this case the guys have been betrayed by all sorts of people... but usually it's close family who didn't teach them or raised them badly and now they've got to fix it all. That's just my view, watch the vid and read the piece and you can come to your own conclusion on whether the anger is justified.

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

1 upvotes · 22 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I generally am not a huge fan of nasty stuff being said online about anyone but I can't really police that.

For me freedom of speech means you've got to tolerate all the shit that comes along with it. Generally I switch off when things get personal or attack race and gender without any specific logic. The people who get pissed off annoy me greatly because they're the ones who try to censor everything. And they don't even realise that they're against freedom of speech simply because it hurts their feelings or makes them mad when other people say stuff.

> So I take it that if a man lies about having seen prostitutes, or having cheated in the past, in order to impress a girl he knows would hate these things, you'd lose respect for him?

Yup, no respect from here.

> I'm not sure that this will ever happen because MRAs consistently speak out against feminism.

They've got a few problems to solve before anyone with a brain trusts them again. I've selected Sargon because I think you might like his logical analysis style and he's very forthright in that series. (It's actually made to try and find any reasonable feminists who can see beyond "you're trying to rape me with your logic.")

> I'm more of an egalitarian than anything, and I do make it a point to identify feminist and red pill hypocrisy.

You should note that Red Pill isn't an egalitarian movement like MRAs are. (That's part of why I've heard that some MRAs try to disown us.) It's designed with one purpose, a place for men to find a pragmatic solution to the terrible circumstances we now find ourselves in. We've given up on the system, we don't trust it and we're just looking to do what we can with what we've got. So there's little point in identifying hypocrisy in the ranks of TRP because we're not a movement, we're just a pragmatic solution to a no-win situation. I do what I can, but my options have been limited by society.

As an example, I did a 4-part series a while back on TRP that basically collected all basic game. I freely acknowledge in the first part on attitude that there's a few phrases which will be raw, nasty and even false... but it's a pragmatic necessity to adopt the attitude. (Note attitude... not go out and say these things to women. I'd get slapped so hard XD) I'd prefer a world in which I didn't need to do this in order to have a loving relationship or simply get laid... but reality doesn't care about idealism. It's either do this and get laid, or don't get laid. Perhaps I've traded a piece of my soul for the former. Then again many people who talked about Elliott Roger gave suggestions that such sexual failure will drive you insane (not an unreasonable conclusion in my view.) So now I don't end up in a killing rampage in exchange for a small piece of my soul. Was it worth the trade? I honestly couldn't tell you.

> I know TRP aims to change themselves and not society but that's largely a farse because tons of guys on TRP complain about "media" and "unrealistic expectations" just like feminists.

That sketch about the MRA guy and the Feminist that was on here a few days ago is amusingly close to the truth.

They suggest that the women living today who are doing nothing to change things are basically just acting like looters during a riot. It's all good so long as they don't get hurt and they'll help themselves to some extra benefits while they're at it. As a man, I can tell you I view it more like a riot where I'm just trying to keep my head down and not get shot. The MRAs can go fight the state if they want, but until a representative of the state comes out to tell them to stop firing... nothing is going to change. Do you blame me for just going for the pragmatic solution to save my own skin and make the best of the trump-free hand I've been dealt?

As an aside, I originally planned this thread to try and establish some base principles that could be agreed upon and then work from there to see if the logic supports or refutes one side or the other incontestably... unfortunately people have wandered off or proven to be rather relativist with their principles (no point using argument with a relativist... they're incapable of using logic because their base principle is a self-defeating argument when you use logic.)

Your own operating stance is fairly similar to my own... we're just in different situations where values of different things have been altered. (Similar principles can reach separate conclusions based upon the circumstances/facts/evidence available.) Though it heartens me that you're trying to wake up the women and taking the shit they give you on the chin for a better world. I'd do it too... but unfortunately I'd get dismissed by them before I opened my mouth.

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

1 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> why would I respect someone just for being a woman. ill give it when i get to know the person and i deem the person worthy of my respect.

Similar to my own view. Naturally my attitude in the field is different, but away from there I can do what I like.

> thieves, a robin hood person for example. murderer, a man who killed the person who raped and tortured his daughter is technically one but I can respect him. whore, who uses the money to send her brother to college

> Excellent examples. Someone has put some pretty serious thought into balancing their views yet keeping them consistent.

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

1 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> That's some iffy logic, especially if you are trying to compare being a racist (the mental act of hatred) with being say, a women, Hispanic, etc.

I have no idea where you jumped to that conclusion from. I was just trying to establish some agreed principles for logical discussion.

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

1 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> You mean Red Pill men, not women? Some of them would be, yes - I guess it depends on what their views are, and how they arrived at those views. Same for RPW.

Out of curiosity, what defines why they wouldn't get respect?

> I'd say that disrespect usually stems from some form of dislike, no? Disrespect is like dislike in action. I don't know, maybe we're thinking of different kinds of respect. I think the particular brand of views on women depends on the individual TRPer. Some of them definitely hate women, but I don't think all of them do.

I'd agree with your comparison of disrespect and dislike. I don't disrespect women, I simply don't have respect for them (unless they proved worthy of it over an extended period of time.) Perhaps some men on TRP actively disrespect women. Or perhaps they're just being facetious in order to goad yourself and other blue pill guys?

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

1 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I..if you are..manipulative or..abusive?? yes?

I understand RP methods have been labelled as both manipulative and abusive. I don't agree with that, but if you do then logically you'll probably have no respect for me.

> I wouldn't call these things groups. They are personality traits.

How is hatred a "personality trait" by your thinking? Racists would be a term you use to describe a group of people who hate other people based upon race, no?

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

1 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> It's more I refuse to make snap-judgments/treat anyone as lesser until they've earned it.

I think we can agree upon this. We just use different wording. I'd word it as everyone gets a basic level of courtesy from me, but the term respect to me means something positive. So someone would have to earn my

> respect because I don't really use the term lightly. So my respected person would be the equivalent of someone highly respected by you. Make sense?
>
> (In my opinion, much of the disagreement on here comes from lexical ambiguity due to the intangible nature of many of the most frequently used words. Respect being one of them.)

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

1 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> 2.) you're allowed to date who you want, just be semi respectful about it. You can refuse to date promiscuous women without actively trying to insult them.

Is my lack of respect for sluts an insult to them?

> 3.) I'm not a big fan of the lies, but considering men and women lie about tons of things all the time, this particular lie doesn't stand out as all too heinous compared to lies about stuff like infidelity.

Where would you choose to draw the line? i.e. at what point do her lies become grounds for not being respected anymore?

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

1 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I'd say it's a reasonable interpretation, but not quite the same as how I view it.

I want to get this right, so "close" isn't what I'm after.

> Respect, on the other hand, is a more intangible construct to me. It's more of an acknowledgment that an individual is an autonomous person with their own aspirations and motives that I may not understand. It's essentially a moral construct on that level, if that makes sense.

So in your view, respect would be similar to someone saying "you have my trust until you prove you don't deserve it" or something along those lines?

I think we may use the word moral in different ways because for me, morality and relativism do not mix. "The only truth is that there is no truth" and all that jazz.

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

1 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> because they have a specific agenda to fight for women they tend not to bring it up.

I've heard this argument before and I appreciate it. However by doing this (and incidentally not actively opposing their own extreme wings) they not only lose the support of men like me, I instead switch over and actively oppose them. Can you appreciate that this will damage their cause more than if they add a couple of things to their agenda?

> A.) I think a double digit partner count is on the high side, I could see being a little iffy about marrying someone with that partner count. That's reasonable.

We agree on that.

> B.) I don't care who women or men sleep with, as long as it's consensual and not part of infidelity. So yeah, I guess I respect them although that respect has been tested a few times when people I know have done really grody things. But at that point it's more like..."ewww" and less like "You're a bad person."

Unfortunately I am instructed by women who find out I don't want a woman like that in my life... I should not have such an opinion. Is this wrong of them or me?

Additionally, RP takes issue from time to time with duplicitous nature of many women when it comes to their partner count. Is the group of women who would lie about their partner count in order to keep a man who would otherwise dump her respectable?

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

1 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> One is the respect for another as a human being (which I generally accord to all), and the other is respect on an individual level.

I'd label that as common courtesy and the latter is more my definition of respect. You don't have to respect someone to give them common courtesy, regardless of your stance on their opinions/decisions/actions etc etc.

Is that a reasonable interpretation of your views?

> Their gender may add to how much respect I have for them, but that's usually on the basis of their gender having been an obstacle to success.

This will take us off point so let's agree to disagree on that for the time being.

> I don't have any respect for any person on the basis of their gender.

This on the other hand, I'd like to come back to once we've agreed on the correct interpretation of your views.

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

1 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I'm not strictly BP but yes. If you ask a question on here "Are female sexual molesters just as bad as male sexual molesters" you'll get an overwhelming yes.

Okay, so if generally everybody agrees on this... why is it still something happening that RP can get outraged about? Obviously our explanation is nutty feminists causing a victim narrative, defending these women and saying you can't rape a man/boy. But I'd be interested to know if there is another take for this gender inequality?

> Considering I've seen a partner count of 5 referred to as "slutty" even if the woman has been sexually active for 10+ years.

I think even TRP has some internal debate on this. As a high watermark, I'd say most RP men would agree double digit partner count for a woman before she gets married constitutes a slut. Is that number unreasonably low in your book? Oh, and just for confirmation - do sluts have your respect or not?

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

1 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> So it is possible to have no respect for a group. We can agree that as a starting principle. Would you say that TRP

> just takes that principle to an overgeneralisation by widening it to all women?

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

1 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > Some things that come to mind include child molesters
>
> RP tends to get actively outraged by shorter sentences for women or some cases where women are not pursued for consentual sex with a minor while a man would be. Is this something that RP and BP could agree on?
>
> > All the things a woman could do that would cause me to lose respect for her, I'd also feel the same way about a man if he did them and vice versa.
>
> Given this, would you say that RP simply has unreasonably high expectations for women to earn our respect? Or would you say RP overgeneralises a minority of women who are truly unworthy of respect and incorrectly appropriates that behaviour to all women?

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

1 upvotes · 19 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > This only proves that Red Pillers hate women to an alarming extent.
>
> I've read your three replies. You can stop now.
>
> I've already decided I'm not engaging with you.

Both pills; What do you find attractive in a mate? What do you find sexually arousing?

1 upvotes · 19 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > about 7 days worth of dark stubble
>
> Who takes 7 days to produce stubble? Can I thieve their genes please? I have 5 o'clock shadow by noon.

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

1 upvotes · 19 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Women are happy to make decisions and claim things like their body... it's the consequences and repercussions of bad decisions that they generally get upset by - hence this absurd idea that single mothers are paragons of virtue who work incredibly hard after some bad luck. Even if we set aside how hard they work, it's not bad luck. They made a poor choice of father for their children, then they chose not to have an abortion when it became obvious the father was not going to stick around. These are tangible decisions the woman made. Which brings me back to my original point... they're happy to make these decisions. They just don't want to accept the blame when they fuck it up and get it so obviously wrong.

Recently stumbled upon TRP community while trying to get a better idea of the male perspective.

1 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I'm in my mid-to-late 20s. I think the majority of ECs are older than me. I've noticed when talking to some that

> I'm something of a rarity. Illimitableman is the only other EC that I know to be around my age, but there's no reason there aren't others. Perhaps I just get on better or more frequently start discussions with the guys in their 30s? Anybody with an EC flair or mod knows their shit inside and out. I've written before about how they should all be respected, but ultimately it's about finding your own way and following your own preferred teachers. I noticed a couple of guys who commented on this thread of mine have recently become endorsed, so the number of men who are certified as well informed is growing nicely.
>
> "Where you should be" is a very personal question. I would say most men take 1-2 years to fully swallow the pill and put things into practice in their life. It depends on how many changes you need to make, what your starting point was and how intelligent you are.
>
> The worse your starting point, the longer it will take. The more changes you need to make, the longer it will take you to figure out what to do and start implementing it. The more intelligent you are, the faster you'll pick things up. Take it at your own pace and don't berate yourself for not hitting a timetable. Your Red Pill journey is yours and yours alone. Don't try to compete with others on it unless you find that to be motivational.

Recently stumbled upon TRP community while trying to get a better idea of the male perspective.

1 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Possibly... I'm unsure. I was reading rational male before he brought the books out so I've never bought and/or read the books. (From what I understand, the books cover mostly things which are already covered on RM itself.) Besides, I'm mostly formulating my own theories and nuances around the pill these days. I've been pestered so many times now to start a blog that I'm considering whether I have the time to create the content required to make that worthwhile.

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

1 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> This is more for guys playing LTR game, but I have picked up a few of the so-called green flags. Naturally the first thing I'd look for is an absence of red flags.
>
> The green flags system is our attempt to filter through all the poor quality women out there and see if we can patch together some kind of unicorn. I don't agree with everything Occam says, but he's a sharp man so I'm always happy to listen to what he has to say on most topics.
>
> While I'm spinning plates at the moment... I'd be open to an LTR if I found a woman who demonstrated good qualities over time. In a way, TRP is a man's response to "where have all the good women gone?" We don't just lament it, we try to figure it out and then make the best of the situation. It's not ideal... but life doesn't tend to be ideal anyway.

QFTRP: Why are single mothers vilified on TRP for choosing the wrong mate, but divorce raped men aren't?

1 upvotes · 18 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Yeah sorry... thoughts moving faster than fingers. I don't condone it for either sex.

Why exactly are all single mothers evil?

1 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Obligatory link.

Red pill and being silly in relationships

1 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I suppose that's the problem with nerdy/geeky/awkward men. If the woman isn't an "HB8/9" it doesn't even count.

I just wanted to point out that women can let themselves go in low SMV relationships doing less attractive stuff (like farting and giggling at it... not very feminine, and I think most guys will agree it's not very attractive. the tolerance will be down to how high the guy's standards are) but it's mostly irrelevant for Red Pill theory because we're not going after those women. Why? Because they require similar time and effort to a woman who is much more attractive. It's simply an illogical trade. I know it seems really personal when you happen to not be a hottie... but I've seen the similar sort of thing on dating sites where chicks demand a man over 6ft tall (despite their being 5ft2.) Now I'm well below 6ft... but I'm also well above 5ft2. I know that feeling of being rejected for something I have no control over despite having things in common. Eventually you realise it's not really personal about you, despite how it initially feels, it's personal about them. It's their dumb call.

As a man, I have to make the first approach. As any RP man will tell you, you're gonna get rejected **A LOT** but it's not because I'm some low SMV loser who bizarrely flukes 8s and 9s consistently... it's because the rejections are mostly down to all manner of other things going on. So I'm well aware of how it initially feels because quite frankly:

> If the girls you actually had the most in common with, who were actually the most compatible with you, were like, "Nah, you're not a 9/10, so fuck you, I'd rather be with someone hotter who doesn't even know how to have fun."

Any ex nice guy or new RP guy will tell you that this is exactly how women operate. They weren't interested when I was nice and had shit in common. Ironically, now they all are. I get IOIs from my mate's girlfriends when we're just hanging out and some of them are seriously nerdy. Attraction simply doesn't work on common interests. That is not to say that I don't think common interests is an important thing for a relationship. It's simply irrelevant to base desire and lust can't be negotiated with common interests.

> Because that's how it is for us-

Every single beta who has tried to nice his way into a girl's pants knows **exactly** how you feel.

> and then a big chunk of those guys are like- "No. You're only a 6/10. I'd rather be with an 8/10, even if we have zero in common and I can't even let loose and have fun with her because there are no HB9s who are into that sort of thing."

This is pretty much the same point as I explained above. You're experiencing the sexual market place in a similar way to the average man. The only difference is that men are generally quite fair when it comes to rating attractiveness. Their ratings follow a normal distribution. However if you let women rate male attractiveness, the graph skews left where over 60% of men are considered 4/10 or below. Balance these together and it becomes really obvious just how much power a HB8 or HB9 holds in the sexual market place. She has so much power from her physical attractiveness alone that she genuinely doesn't need to develop a personality. The number of 8s I've spoken to who are the most tedious women imaginable was unthinkable before I became RP. Yet they've all got double digit partner counts (and they're probably underreporting it) because when it comes to sex, lust matters and that's about it.

Since I've learned all this... I'd take a 6 tomorrow as a girlfriend if she proved to be top quality relationship material and had some fun interests. The problem is that thanks to the artificial inflation of the value of pussy for betas... even a 6 can be dreadfully entitled and just have a generally bad attitude towards dating. This is why once

> we've become much more attractive... we're not interested in relationships because we see what's going on and realise from a cost-benefit analysis... the only thing worth pursuing with a woman is some consensual casual sex.
>
> Ironically, the basis of your complaint is very much in your own hands. Feminine beauty is very controllable. I think there's a guide over on /r/redpillwomen that can show you how to boost your SMV. There are plenty of examples online of women who are 5s suddenly become 8s when they put on the right dress, a little makeup and do their hair.
>
> In my experience, many nerd girls could do the same... they just choose not to.

Red pill and being silly in relationships

1 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I think this means you're in the friendzone.

Red pill and being silly in relationships

1 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I have never met a HB8 or HB9 who would be into that sort of thing. Please prove me wrong.

My friend appears to be becoming a natural MGTOW

1 upvotes · 16 September 2015 · /r/MGTOW

> Will check it out, thank you.

Well fuck.

1 upvotes · 16 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Once you've read the basic theory on the sidebar, there's two pretty obvious broad brushstroke steps to getting your sexual strategy back on track.
>
> Step one: Objectively assess your own SMV level and **don't** be generous. It's better to be harsh. Looks, grooming, style, how close is your body to the male ideal (an ideal which hasn't changed in hundreds of years.) Go Monk Mode if you need to improve.
>
> Step two: Get out there and start practicing your game. I put together the TRP Field Toolkit (which you can find in my submissions history) for this very purpose. It unifies all the basics of raw game from attitude, through posture, to interactions and making judgement calls. Internalise that 4-part series and you'll see almost instant results in your interactions with women.
>
> Once you've got that first lay or two under your belt, you'll start feeling much better about yourself. But never forget... that Disney love story doesn't exist. Don't let that nonsense you could never have, take away from the sex you can have. Love, as Rollo shows in "Men in love," is a luxury men can no longer afford.

In the future, sex toys may offer unprecedented simulated sexual experiences, what ethical concerns and trends will arise from this?

1 upvotes · 15 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > What should we call experiences with near realistic sex robots?
>
> Masturbation.
>
> > Are there ethical concerns we need to guard against?
>
> No. Unless masturbation has become evil again... I can never keep up with the current world view on making

time to play with yourself. One minute using dildos is fine and empowering, the next minute using a fleshlight is a disgusting sin.

> For you personally, what would these bots need to recreate to make you think, "this is as real as virtual sex can be".

Really this question kinda answers itself... it needs to mimic a woman's/man's behaviour in the bedroom and be similar temperature/feel/scent etc etc. Artificial intelligence becomes more real when it mimics and reproduces reality accurately.

Roles, respect and responsibility acceptance as an alpha trait

1 upvotes · 15 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Sure, there's various levels of editing but I think I've got an idea that you're looking for a pretty light level. Drop me a sample 2-3 paragraphs from somewhere in the middle of the whole thing (something you think is representative of as much of your writing style as you're likely to get in such a short space) and I'll do you a sample edit so you've got something concrete to make a decision with.

Editing is teamwork rather than one person telling the other what to do. My requirement would simply be that you see from the sample edit what I do/how I approach it and you believe it's enhancing your work. Everything an editor does is a suggestion, you can literally ignore 100% of it if you choose. The point is that you want to be working with someone who you'll agree with 80-90% of what they suggest. Tracking changes makes it all reversible. (100% doesn't happen, or if it did then I'd be concerned about the integrity of your own style.)

If you're just looking for someone to spot typos, then really you just want a proofreader. (Something I can do, but I'm a bit overqualified for.)

What would you do?

1 upvotes · 15 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> you can assess whether a woman who leaves you to go fuck other dudes when she "wants to" makes for a good LTR candidate.

"assess" hahaha. Basically this is the only factor involved in the decision... and she's the one who made the decision. The breakup is merely a reaction to this nuclear-level shit test.

I would break up. Maybe gain bonus points by sleeping with her best friend before she leaves :D

What is the best red pill diet I can follow?

1 upvotes · 15 September 2015 · /r/askTRP

All styles look bad on you? This is something I doubt. Go have a look over at /r/beards and check out their stuff on basic growth and choosing a style etc. You might just have not got all the details on the topic.

If you truly believe that all facial hair is a no-go then why did you not post a clean shaven pic? If you need to get a closer shave then look into straight razor shaving and make the appropriate investments. It's better for the skin, you get a closer shave, smoother skin, no ingrowing hairs - and if you ever have a chick see you doing it in the morning you'll create some bonus tingles if she's got the intelligence to appreciate the skill involved. (Showing a hanging hair test is a good shock move.) That's pretty much my entire overview on facial hair and shaving. Should cover you, whatever your choice.

What is the best red pill diet I can follow?

1 upvotes · 15 September 2015 · /r/askTRP

> A lot of guys I've seen here swear by a Keto diet. Personally I prefer intermittent fasting because of the additional health benefits. Get a copy of Brad Pilon's Eat Stop Eat if you're interested in knowing more about that.
>
> As for your face... Grow and style some facial hair (that designer stubble doesn't work for you in my humble opinion, it makes you look unkempt rather than rugged) and get some contacts. Then make sure your wardrobe style suits whatever style you've gone with for your face. Remember that you're creating an overall image that tells the girl what kind of guy you are without her even needing to talk to you - and that kind of guy needs to be the sort she'd be interested in considering for sex.

Why do white men have to let it be known they don't find black women attractive

1 upvotes · 14 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > I get self-conscious about people calling me fat in pictures because I am thin I just have really large breasts that make me concerned because maybe I DO look like I am fat.
>
> Doubt it. I saw you'd posted your pic and you look similar to a Pakistani girl I dated for a while. She had a similar insecurity. Some of the better form-fitting clothing that made her look her best... she was worried it made her look fat. Basically it was a matter of wearing the right hourglass-form-fitting clothing and it increases a woman's SMV to stratospheric levels. Just keep that in your mind and you'll be fine.
>
> > However, guys don't approach me.
>
> From my personal perspective, I'd say you're probably seen by most men as a 9 with a seriously nasty case of resting bitch face. The sort of woman who if you approached her, she'd go nuclear in the method of rejection. (Doesn't matter if you really would or not... it's about the impression.) Ain't no average joe got time for that shit, ya know? It would account for being a good wing woman, but never being approached.
>
> There's probably resources on RPW to sort out this problem. I wouldn't know how to approach/deal with it. (I advise men how to better their own sexual strategy, rather than women.)

Why do white men have to let it be known they don't find black women attractive

1 upvotes · 14 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > he just accused me of being fat--which I am not.
>
> Why do you care? It's not helpful for you to care about this. It just means you can objectively rule out his conclusions. Once you've done that, move onto the next possibility. If you eliminate all other explanations, then whatever remains, no matter how improbable, must be true.
>
> > It's about the fact that IRL men don't approach me....period. They approach my non-black friends and other random girls, guys whatever but not me. Well, that's not true, homeless men approach me but probably because they are trying to butter me up for spare change.
>
> Standard problems of a 9 maybe? It's 7s and 8s who get the most attention. The 9s (and personal 10s of men) tend to get less because if a guy has seen how many 7s or 8s reject him... why waste his time? (In terms of a correct male attitude that TRP would suggest, this is wrong. However... that's just the way the world works.) Perhaps they think they've got a better shot of getting laid/starting a relationship/having a civil conversation with your friends? It's amazing how much the average person will infer from appearance. In my experience, some of the most attractive women have some pretty serious concerns about their own attractiveness because they can tell from tv that they're attractive, yet their less attractive friends get more attention.

> Actually, I don't think a white guy has ever given me a compliment IRL, whereas I've had Hispanic, Asian black and Arab guys tell me they think I am beautiful.

Pop over to the UK and you'll get told you're beautiful by lots of white guys. This place is infested with men throwing compliments around like crazy (to the point where they mean nothing anymore.) General attitude for both RP men and RPW is to care less about those who don't have anything positive to say. It's just a common sense approach to keep self esteem and confidence high - important if you want to be seen as attractive and therefore be approached. If your major concern is other black women being affected by this then you should be trying to spread this attitude.

> And if I am unattractive that's okay, but then people should stop lying to me.

Maybe your girl-game just sucks? Have you checked out /r/redpillwomen before? TRP has resources targeted at men, whereas RPW has resources targeted to help women who are struggling to achieve what they want out of the modern dating market.

> Even if I am ugly, I still have my brains, so I am fine.

This means you'll find a solution to the problem. That's what this post from me has been focused on. It's basically all we do on Red Pill.

CMV: TBP and TRP are basically the same ideas, just expressed in different ways.

1 upvotes · 13 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> This doesn't answer my question. I would like to know if Blue Pill is ready to admit that they actually believe in the idea of "thought crimes." That's the nuance covered by my question.

CMV: TBP and TRP are basically the same ideas, just expressed in different ways.

1 upvotes · 13 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> What about men who aren't abusive but have misogynistic thoughts going through their heads while they're doing non-abusive stuff?

CMV: TRP is not just a sexual strategy , it promotes a certain political mindset.

1 upvotes · 13 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Yeah, that's kinda the one focus of the sub which you'll need to agree with in order to get anything out of it. If you aren't willing to accept that some of the things like attraction is not negotiable and you should watch women's actions and ignore their words... then there's no point in joining TRP because you won't get anything out of it. Beyond that, opinions diverge and things get discussed.

CMV: Women must work harder to maintain their SMV

1 upvotes · 13 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> CMV: Women must work harder to maintain their SMV
>
> Hahaha... yeah.
>
> Instructions for women of high SMV to maintain it: Don't eat lots of crap and do some exercise. So hard to accomplish. /sarcasm

When do you feel you were brainwashed?

1 upvotes · 13 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I'm probably not the most typical red piller, so I'm not sure this post will be very useful to you. I wouldn't say I was brainwashed... I was just given bad dating advice and my natural self was far more successful when I didn't care. The bits where I was successful were because I've always had an attitude that questions everything around me... a natural curiosity since I was a child to figure out how everything worked. I was already naturally agreeing with a number of red pill truths before I even knew what TRP was. This was down to the way I've always been extremely open minded, pragmatic and objective (ruthlessly so in the opinions of some of my friends.) I already knew a fair bit about evo psych from my higher education so when I started reading Rollo's stuff, everything started to slot into place nicely.
>
> Never really had anything to do with what I wanted out of life or why... my professional/career stuff is completely separate. Hope that answers your question.

Roles, respect and responsibility acceptance as an alpha trait

1 upvotes · 10 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The language that we use on TRP suffers the same problem as the language used in philosophy or some science subjects. As an example think about the word intelligence. We all know what intelligence is... now define it. Just stop for a moment and think how you'd define it. Not so easy is it? In fact, among psychological circles they're still arguing about the definition of intelligence. I would say that the use of the term alpha has a similar place among TRP. We've got a good idea what it means, but can't quite define it precisely so we're discussing and refining our ideas.

[Mod] The Red Pill is Sexual Strategy

1 upvotes · 10 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I think my depression phase lasted a week or two. It took discussion with a friend in the IRC over the nature of things before I snapped out of it. Rotten times.

UK govnt writes 'dear colleague' style letter to universities concerning violence against women

1 upvotes · 7 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Male students are known to engage in sexist banter, harassment and even sexual assault – particularly during the first week of term, known as freshers' week.
>
> Why yes... this is just "known" - it appeared as a universal truth one day like some ethereal fart in the elevator of the cosmos and everybody just knew from the stench of SJW bullshit. Fucking retards.

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 2: Frame, Posture and Body Language

1 upvotes · 7 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> That's a very interesting watch, particularly given the physical difference in sizes.

What do you think about this red pill post about single mothers?

1 upvotes · 4 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > You obviously don't realize that I have said this on PPD several times. Whenever the 'should trp be shut down' posts pop up, I say no.
>
> No I didn't realise that. I haven't been through your post history so I was unaware of your stance when I wrote that. It was just a posit based upon the usual.

> This is a total strawman. You're saying you defend his right to say what he did. I would defend that too.
>
> I'm not arguing against freedom of speech or censorship of ideas.
>
> I'm talking about owning your words and your ideas. I speak up publicly in real life with everything I say here in PPD. I'm saying that if you don't state that you disagree with him, then you agree with him.

I'm not entirely certain what you're getting at. I'll sum up my stance in a less wordy fashion to clarify.

- Haven't read the whole thing so can't say if I 100% agree or not.
- Despite not reading it, I'm happy to stand by it because he doesn't typically say anything which is totally absurd or illogical.
- I then added my own personal stance for anyone who cares.
- In real life, I occasionally put TRP ideas forward with careful wording and I'd be happy to put forward my thoughts on single mothers in real life too.

Hopefully that will clear things up. I've been re-reading what you've put and I'm not sure whether you've quite identified my stance or not.

I don't believe in absolutes. I don't believe that simply because I won't disagree, this means I agree with everything he's said. I can't even comment because I never read it all properly. I got the jist and moved on because broadly I agree that single mothers aren't a positive in society. I came to answer the question "What did I think about it" which is covered in my opening post. Beyond that, I don't know what else to say because I saw that you feel it's just useless vitriol. I wouldn't disagree with how useable the content is for me... but I think it's fine as a post because he's getting a good rant out of his system.

What do you think about this red pill post about single mothers?

1 upvotes · 3 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Will you stand up and show yourself publicly and spout those things?

Of course not, I'm not a fool. I've had the opportunity to explore many contentious points of view in my time. I've convinced a room full of liberal students that cold blooded murder is acceptable so long as I decide it's ok. The average person is a fool who doesn't actually think about anything or try to understand before he takes his stance and this is why we're heading as a society towards the idea of censoring things just because some people are offended by it. The interesting point is that this will always fail anyway. You can censor the speech, but you'll never censor the thought and this is why it's been said many times that an idea can be unstoppable.

I believe in total freedom of speech and the unfortunate problem with that is that you tend to end up having to defend the people who have said the worst things imaginable. But it is that nuance - I may not agree with what you say, but I'll defend your right to say it to the hilt.

It's been a long time since I got to speak in that chamber... but recently Peter Hitchins spoke there giving a very interesting personal view on freedom of speech vs censorship. You should watch this and see whether you can concede that the Red Pill as an idea has every right to exist like any other idea. Because ultimately there is no ideal solution in the debate... it's a matter of choosing what's more important. To me, someone being able to freely say something negative and offensive is more important than governments or other people deciding what I can and can't say. You may have a different view. However you should note that from this, I respect that these people can have their opinions... regardless of what they are.

So if society changed and didn't destroy the lives of people who stood up to make their views known... I'd gladly

> stand up publically and support him for the above reasons. However, pragmatically, that's impossible right now so all I can do is say I'm happy enough to be associated to his anonymous account through my own anonymous account. We don't really live in a truly free and tolerant society... we just think we do.

What do you think about this red pill post about single mothers?

1 upvotes · 3 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Your straw man is not impressive. Go back to the gym, you'll contribute more there.

What do you think about this red pill post about single mothers?

1 upvotes · 3 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > In other words, you read it and don't want to be associated with it.
>
> No, I didn't read all of it. I am happy enough to be associated with it. I feel lucky to be able to count him among my friends. He is much better at expressing emotion than I am. I'm typically quite cold and detached on most topics and don't really get into much emotional stuff. My views are usually quite analytical or more of an objective viewing of argumentation.

Are Black Men More Alpha Than White Men?

1 upvotes · 3 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Never heard of this one before. Do you have a study you could cite?

Door holding

1 upvotes · 2 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Any person in touch with their 'animality', will perceive person holding door for everyone as weak, needy, and easy to influence
>
> That's supposition and you know it. There's no science that has studied this in any way.
>
> > easy to influence (mainly by tingling his label/value/concept) and such person is nothing more than a slave to his label
>
> It sounds like you're interested in Machiavellian concepts. I've already made up my own mind where I stand, what I use and how I approach things. My methods are successful and you'd be a fool to label me as a slave or easy to influence. At the same time, it doesn't mean I'm going to start slamming doors in people's faces just because I don't really care what they think about me. There's something to be said about having a reputation as a decent guy. This doesn't mean let everyone walk all over you and submit left, right and centre. It means to be a bit smarter about where you do and don't apply rational belief systems on the way you act. This can affect your status, and appearing socially incompetent can deduct from your SMV. Whereas appearing to appreciate social etiquette can actually add to your SMV in certain situations. It demonstrates social competence and value.
>
> The Illimitable Men blog has an excellent essay on exploring the Machiavellian mindset and finding your own balance here.
>
> The reason I don't buy your particular take on this topic is because of this sentence:
>
> > act as you feel like instead of trying to squeeze your whole being into some tight concept.
>
> Dismissing any rational approach you held and acting upon emotion in the moment... that's very feminine to

> follow your emotions at the expense of everyone around you.

Favorite Quotes

1 upvotes · 2 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Got any quotes to help you keep perspective?
>
> Motivation is one thing... but if your energy is directed poorly then it's wasted anyway. When I find things tough, I'd sooner look for ways to make it easier rather than upping my effort. My time is valuable and I can't afford to waste it where it isn't absolutely necessary.

"As soon as a guy asks me to pay, I lose attraction for him."

1 upvotes · 31 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> How would TRP respond to this? "NEXT!"

Do women have sociopath traits?

1 upvotes · 29 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I don't rate most of the academia in psychology having met a large number of them and studied it myself. I'd say that book merely demonstrates that psychopathy develops differently in women than it does in men. Like many disorders, it's on a scale too with some people suffering worse than others.

Do women have sociopath traits?

1 upvotes · 29 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Yeah, that drives me bananas. It belittles a condition you wouldn't wish upon your worst enemy. It's characterised by unpleasant intrusive thoughts, so thoughts which go through your mind in great detail that you don't enjoy. The worst example I remember from a case study was of a woman who kept experiencing the intrusive thought (in great detail) of drowning her own baby.

Can you describe a TPR belief using language/buzzwords such that bluepillers would unknowingly agree with it?

1 upvotes · 29 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I'll have a crack at this for amusement value. We've all got natural reactions to some things, right? Whether it be the physical biological reaction like bruising or swelling... or emotional things like innate attraction/lust.
>
> The individual can handle these in different ways depending on their personality and their environment (plus their upbringing.) This is why we get minor variations in the way people react to things, yet still have a consensus on how certain men are the most attractive to the vast majority of women. Those biological innate responses are more powerful than we'd like to admit as civilised people. And all women possess these same urges, drives and emotions triggered by the same base biology.
>
> That's AWALT in lay terms.

TRP Weekend Mindset: Glengarry Glen Ross

1 upvotes · 29 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I've seen the equivalent in the real world. Roma always wins because Baldwin/Spacey can't afford to fire him. And ultimately, they don't tend to care too much if the numbers on the board are good.

Attitude - It doesn't even matter if you're right

1 upvotes · 28 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Pragmatism is a philosophical approach. I suppose I am, above all else, a pragmatist. Logically, I see no purpose to philosophy I can't apply to life somewhere. So situations where there's no real benefit to knowing if you're right or wrong... why care? Seems perfectly reasonable to me.

Attitude - It doesn't even matter if you're right

1 upvotes · 28 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm not particularly interested in dating coaches. I merely sneered at this one because I had to use him in order to start off my chain of reasoning. The bulk of this post was exploring how they've got a view of the Red Pill attitude... that even when we assume they're right about it... follow the logic through and they prove themselves wrong. It genuinely doesn't matter whether the Red Pill attitude is spot on or not (I think it is exactly what to adopt), it'll still work - even by blue pill standards/logic.

Promiscuity & Civilization

1 upvotes · 27 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Humanity is obsessed with putting things in boxes... marking them black or white, good or bad. It appeals to the small minded who can't comprehend things unless they've been labelled with something simplistic that they can make decisions off the back of - good and bad being the prime example. I will agree with good. I will disagree with bad. Such small minds... I suppose they only get dressed in the morning because "wearing clothes is good."

Is it okay to be hypocritical when looking for a relationship?

1 upvotes · 26 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Outside of ownership, that makes more sense. I was talking in terms of "this is what I offer" vs "this is what I want."
>
> Women don't really own the behaviours they promote in men, they don't offer that in the relationship exchange. But it is definitely an example of double standards. - Women are allowed to have that difference in what is brought to the relationship exchange... but only if it's in their favour.
>
> Fat chick wants hot body guy = acceptable
>
> Fat guy wants hot body chick = unacceptable
>
> Hot body chick doesn't want fat guy = acceptable
>
> Hot body guy doesn't want fat chick = unacceptable
>
> It's very clearly a biased double standard. There's nothing inherently wrong with wanting something different to what you bring to the table - for example the fat chick wanting a hot guy. What's unacceptable is trying to deny men the same choosing rights. That's where the double standard fits. Does that make sense?

You ask for girls snapchat, number, etc and she replies Why??? What clever responses can you come up with?

1 upvotes · 25 August 2015 · /r/askTRP

> "Because you obviously want to."

Are there any benefits to dating white women?

1 upvotes · 25 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> Latino.

Are there any benefits to dating white women?

1 upvotes · 24 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> i guess an advantage of white girls is that they tend to be more open, and less manipulative

That's not my experience. In fact the opposite has been true for me and I think there's only one race I haven't been with yet. White girls have definitely been the most ambiguous and most manipulative. Anyone else care to weigh in on this?

What I Learned From Being Chad a Few Times and Approaching Women (Field Report)

1 upvotes · 24 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Fluke that I'm browsing around at the moment and hit the button at the right time. You should put it back in. It says what I was trying to say much more eloquently. Plus that post should be linked for any newbies reading this who could do with the extra reading.

"Guys treat her badly"

1 upvotes · 22 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

This is the attitude. Slut is worth as little as the next one. Her dumb attitude problems are to be ignored while you go about your own shit and hook up with better women.

Why hasn't RP made pure theory sub where only the elder counsel of rp can decide what is part RPT and what isn't?

1 upvotes · 20 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

Red pill nuance sub will now be created... I shall look forward to seeing you there with our other member. XD

Forget about Miranda for a second. The TRP criticism on Miranda's guy is off base. I rate him much higher physically than TRP does.

1 upvotes · 19 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

I've banged a personal 10 so that probably makes me an 11/10 since women date up... These guys sure are complimentary to us. We should head for Hollywood since we are so good looking.

Forget about Miranda for a second. The TRP criticism on Miranda's guy is off base. I rate him much higher physically than TRP does.

1 upvotes · 19 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

Very wise words. Proof positive that status and achievement trumps all... and a reminder that going after this sort of thing will assist in getting the right attitude to be attractive to top tier women.

Why does blue pill think red pill is racist?

1 upvotes · 19 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

The belief that there are no sacred cows does not mean that the person hates cows. It's fallacious logic. (Edit: I think this is the logic that blue pillers tend to use when they call TRP sexist and racist.)

TRP for me is all about ignoring political correctness and censorship - free discussion where nothing is a sacred cow so long as your point has some sensible merit to it. So for example, TRP will discuss things like how race impacts SMV quite openly discussing whether one race will have a higher value than another. This is traditionally considered racist by the politically correct. However a key staple of TRP is that we're not all equal. We'll confront reality no matter how distasteful it is. The idea that race (something genetic we can't control) can impact your SMV is no different to something like height (something genetic we can't control) can also impact your

> SMV. Can we start shouting "shortism!" yet?
>
> So I think they see TRP's conclusions on SMV having a racial factor as being racist. If it's racist to consider the different races to be unequal in some regards... then I suppose TRP is racist. Personally, I don't think discussing or agreeing with a PoV on race impacting SMV is akin to hatred of other races. - My definition of racism is to hate another race simply because they're another race. It's a subtle nuance, but I think it's very important here.
>
> Meritocracy sometimes means that one race will statistically do worse than another, just like one gender may do statistically worse than another... and just like feminists are eager to play the sexist card when this naturally occurs in a meritocracy... some people are very eager to play the racism card whenever a natural statistical difference occurs between races. I would say this is the best explanation of why some of them consider TRP racist. - We will happily accept the raw data and try to interpret it... they will dismiss it as racist.

I have a bunch of questions for BPers. Please answer honestly.

1 upvotes · 19 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> The reason I know this is because guys who are emotional about their "no slut" policy can't give any grounded reason beyond "I just don't like it". Yourself included.

I'll answer this with your own explanation.

> Why's she dating me and not during in tinder all night? Is she in this for the long term?

And of course, the one which TRP likes to use stats for -

> Will she cheat on me?

The stats they tend to cite suggest a higher partner count woman is much more likely to cheat. As you say, these are all big factors when it comes to an LTR. So I'd say either our emotional reasons are grounded or neither of us is grounded.

I would assume that you want grounded/logical/rational reasons because you want the decision to be right rather than wrong. I'd agree with this. I think the entirely purpose of discussions like this is to try and get at the truth through exchange of ideas and reasoning. So in addition I'd say that instinctive reasons for something should not be dismissed as unacceptable. Instincts can be right, just as logic can be wrong if it is fallacious. If our instincts weren't right about the majority of things then they don't really serve any evolutionary purpose.

Now back to the societal point you made.

> Society evolves based on what works. Enforcing a no slut policy was clearly necessary for society to function previously. But now because it doesn't serve its purpose it'll be shed. Skip 100 years into the future and it would be totally different. We're in the hang over period.

Other TRPers think we're in civilizational decline. As I mentioned before, due to reasons such as the importance of the family unit to society, I'd conclude that slut shaming still has good purpose. But unless we wait 100 years, we're not going to know which of us is right on that one. I understand your argument and I disagree with the assumption that slut shaming no longer has a purpose. If we assumed otherwise then the logic holds. So we should agree to disagree on that one.

I'll leave you with this thought - if you agree that it's a bad thing for women having their options limited for their own personal happiness in the future. And you agree that for many men their no slut policy is emotionally based and instinctive rather than grounded in acceptable reasoning. How do you tell women that they are limiting their options and potentially reducing their future happiness by being a slut, without using slut shaming? What is the

> alternative?

I have a bunch of questions for BPers. Please answer honestly.

1 upvotes · 19 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > No. The repercussion was related to child birth.
>
> Regardless of whether you believe the evolutionary childbirth explanation for why men don't want to marry sluts or former sluts. You have your explanation. Other men can say they have an evolutionary reason and that she's low value. Many men will be making the call on gut instinct or emotion and say no. The point is that regardless of what you believe is an acceptable reason to not date a slut or former slut... said slut is reducing her options in the dating market/marriage market and there is no longer anyone pointing this out to her.
>
> I concede your point if we're talking about a woman who has no interest in marriage or a traditional family. However from my own experience... I've never met a single woman who has no interest in marriage and kids at some point. So by being a slut, she reduces her pool of potential men and thus reduces her chances of happiness. Without any kind of opposition to this behaviour or encouraging it, you thereby damage her chances of long term happiness without her even knowing. That's what I think is the repercussion. I agree that unwanted children is *mostly* irrelevant thanks to contraception.
>
> > I'd challenge you to provide any reason as to why it's more detrimental to society than a man sleeping around is.
>
> That would be a lengthy point with a lot of causal logic based upon a few assumptions like the family unit being a positive. All you'd have to do is disagree with one of those principles or assumptions and you don't need to buy the whole thing. So I'll avoid wasting your time on that one.
>
> I'd much rather leave it as an issue of personal happiness. For a man, the vast majority of women don't care if he's slept with a lot of attractive women. In my experience, when they've seen the women I've slept with, they tend to want me more. So I'd call that a validation of TRP theories on that topic, but you're welcome to dismiss it as confirmation bias. Whereas the average guy who finds out she has 50 partner count would dismiss her out of hand as potential relationship material. The only conclusion is that I can come to from this is that it's far more damaging to a woman who wants family and kids one day, to sleep around than it is for a man who'd like a wife and kids.
>
> I'm also curious about this
>
> > it's not compatible with my way of life at all.
>
> What if you found a girl who acted totally compatible with your way of life, didn't act like your mental image of a girl with 50 partner count... but then one day discovered after starting to date her that you were number 52? Assuming she didn't originally lie to you, do you continue to date her? Or do you believe that there is simply no way such a situation could occur?

What makes men more extreme?

1 upvotes · 13 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> A little extreme, but still not surprising. Training a beta to be more successful with their dating life is totally unacceptable to the all-high feminine imperium!
>
> I just hope my observation of what has made it through their filters will help him get the group started.

After being asked whether it's easier for girls to get laid, AskWomen responds "Ugh, it doesn't count as sex if he's an unattractive beta!"

1 upvotes · 13 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Now, go lift and get in that top 1% of males so these women will start sucking your dick.

But those women on askwomen seem to be rather fat. This is beneath my minimum standards. Ya know how it is... me and Chad, we got options yo!

Surprised about Shit Tests

1 upvotes · 10 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

Care less.

That is the best game advice I can give when approaching shit tests for one reason... in order to naturalise your response, you need to stop actually trying to beat them. Figure out the natural response that fits your personality and just relax and use that more in your daily life. Additionally, when you clearly don't give a flying fuck... even when you fail, you pass (if you get what I mean... you can get your response wrong and say something dumb, but since none of it is really affecting you or your attitude you're going to pass anyway.)

If you want to make your game the best there is... learn from the master of having fuck-all base SMV, yet amazing game that let him fuck his way across America, Charles Bukowski - "Don't try."

The dangers of echo chambers

1 upvotes · 26 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I do not have specific scientific papers to prove this. I am of the opinion that much of academia is subject to political correctness because while some of these great men are vastly intelligent, they tend to lack social intelligence and are therefore very beta in their dealings with women.

Much of TRP is based upon shared observances and anecdotal evidence. While that is worthless to a scientist... I am not a scientist. A philosopher will accept anecdotal evidence because it will satisfy the basics of inductive reasoning. I look to the fields of scientific advancement and see them dominated by men. I look at invention and see that it is still dominated by men. I look back at great philosophers over the years... men. I am also yet to find a woman who is more intelligent than me. Some could be considered equally intelligent but they're very rare.

So from the more typical standpoint, yes women are less intelligent than men.

To be honest I don't even have a thought process to justify why women are less emotionally stable than men... it's just one of those things I've kinda known my whole life. I think TRP is quite happy to accept that one without going to minute detail because all of us have seen it throughout our lives. Though if I had to make an argument, I think I could just say "time of the month" and then sit down while wise heads nodded all around me lol.

If there’s one thing a beta can’t stand...

1 upvotes · 26 July 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I'd be interested to know what part of my post you thought was "female shaming language"

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 4: Flags, ASD, LMR and Walking Away

1 upvotes · 2 May 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's almost universal, even in this sub, when I mention I summarily next a girl for bad behavior, for a few "pump and dump" fans to get all over me because of their perceived notion of a "missed opportunity".

> This is pure scarcity mentality at its worst. Sex needs to come off the pedestal. Illimitableman put a story in the comments of the first part of the guide which is very relevant to this.

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 3: Shit tests and Comfort tests

1 upvotes · 1 May 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'd just kiss her instead... add physical comfort to avoid being purely emotional comfort. Pure emotional comfort is what betas offer freely.

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 3: Shit tests and Comfort tests

1 upvotes · 1 May 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This could potentially work. However it took me a minute to get the joke so I'd be cautious. I always think... if I didn't get that straight away... what chance has a low intelligence chick got? But if she does get it after a second then it breaks rapport perfectly.

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 1: Attitude, Ego and IDGAF

1 upvotes · 29 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This works for me. You do what you want bro.

The TRP Field Toolkit - Part 1: Attitude, Ego and IDGAF

1 upvotes · 29 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm afraid that's been expunged. In my eagerness to educate, I put in far too much detail. The night was so bizarre and unique, that if it ever went viral, the girl would definitely be able to identify herself. The risk was very minor... but potential fallouts from that can be major since she knows my real name. Even at minor risk it just didn't seem worth it in my eyes. Besides, I can get the info and my perspective out there this way. So I just learned from my mistake and now try to work around it.

FR - Re-Plate success

1 upvotes · 26 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > IIRC Roosh said something like don't associate with betas in this way because, via examples like Elliot Rodger, they are capable of bringing you harm due to jealousy of your feat[s].
>
> These are wise words. I've seen her again briefly and she's revealed that her boyfriend was very upset, but he still wants to be with her. Only a matter of time before she loses all respect for him and the attraction disappears too. I'll probably drop her a text this week. Ease back on things a little and allow nature to sort this one out.

Are MGTOW just red pill Omegas?

1 upvotes · 18 April 2015 · /r/askTRP

> When I first started reading Red Pill, I thought that you could only go spinning plates. That was the only way to go because culture/society had screwed the mating game. As I furthered my reading and research, the men who prefer LTR game appeared and so did the MGTOWs. As with any cross section of a group, they are mixed.
>
> I won't deny there are probably some foreveralone omegas in there. Logically, it's the only choice they can make if their personality is no longer capable of being moulded properly. (Perhaps too old to be truly unplugged.) But, despite these omegas, you'll also find the Lui Marcos of the MGTOW world, who are quite obviously alpha. But they still don't like the modern game.

> The greatest strength and greatest weakness of MGTOW is the freedom it offers. It's hugely seductive because it is, no doubt, satisfying to be liberated from the game. But it also encourages laziness because you don't need to care about your SMV anymore. So for every Lui Marco, there'll be a MGTOW who has been seduced to no longer care about self-improvement because their original reason is no longer there. This is a fundamental problem in attitude. (The pussy is still essentially on a pedestal.)
>
> That is where I disagree with your suggestion. MGTOW and omegas are two separate issues. The MGTOW is decided by whether they participate in the mating game. Omega is decided by whether they're putting effort into their SMV. The two are mutually exclusive. Correlation does not equal causation. While it is logical that an omega would go MGTOW, the reverse does not fit.
>
> Keep an open mind and try to extract as much knowledge as you can from each of these sets. This is so you can find your own way, whether that's plates, LTRs or MGTOW. The moment you dismiss an option for superficial reasons like "oh they're all omegas" is the moment you've disconnected from rational thought and analysis, and just jumped on the emotionally driven bandwagon. Look beneath at the underlying principles and from that you'll have a better idea where you want to go with your personal journey.
>
> (For info, I'm not a MGTOW. I am/was/will-be a plate spinner, but I'm still open to exploring LTR game and won't close my eyes to MGTOW. It all depends on how my circumstances change over time and how I choose to adapt.)

Dancing Monkeys

1 upvotes · 18 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You missed out status. Status trumps all. Never forget the skinny, broke Byrons of this world who still commanded multiple mistresses. The broke, skinny band members who still take home a different hot chick after every gig.

First post on TRP. Trying to swallow the pill. Any advice is much appreciated!

1 upvotes · 15 April 2015 · /r/askTRP

> You have a fairly extreme scarcity mentality bro. This is going to need much work. Unfortunately, since women are fantastic at reading the underlying attitude of a guy (for example, a previous plate of mine mentioned freely in conversation one night that she could tell from the moment I grabbed her hand on our first meeting - i.e. within 20 seconds of meeting me - that I was there to have fun that night with or without her participation. This was true... that was genuinely my underlying attitude and she'd figured it out word for word in the space of 15 to 20 seconds), so you'll need to work on changing yours.
>
> First move: hard next her and go lift some extra if she distracts your thoughts. Second move: go into monk mode to work on reinventing yourself **in YOUR OWN EYES**
>
> You're going to need to become satisfied with yourself as a person and as a single man. **You can't rely on women for any of your emotions!** Strong male-male friendships are there for that. Work on yourself and read game and work to develop an IDGAF attitude. Here's some good reading for that. In simple terms, you need to teach yourself that ultimately women do not matter. Society brainwashes us that they do and they should be a priority through trying to shame single men who aren't committed, but really women need men more than men need women. You're still deeply stuck in this attitude that women matter and you need to realise they don't. Whether that means a nice attitude change or whether you'll need to go more extreme in your dismissal of women and sex as important priorities is your decision to make. Take back the power in your life, focus on your mission and the bitches will follow.

I'm having trouble accepting this.

1 upvotes · 10 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Please, break it down for us. We do not shy from cold hard, nasty/harsh/mean facts here.

The hate for TRP is strong!

1 upvotes · 4 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Your attitude. I don't need to go over what others have said in this thread. It shows clearly how you're coming across.
>
> I like to make a very clear distinction as to how I will act in the field and how I act here when I need to be objective. Out in the field, I am God and know everything and will get everything right. Powerful ego is key to the right attitude for interacting with women. Back here though, you need to slay your ego so you can be objective. Anybody who has been here a while will have realised that we are all merely apprentices, working on a craft where no one can become a master. Become humble, because if you don't then you'll lose that thirst for self-improvement. There's always something more you can do. Something you can improve. Always approach the sub from that perspective. Since you haven't arrived at that attitude yet, I can deduce you've got more reading to do and need to continue improving your calibration.

The hate for TRP is strong!

1 upvotes · 4 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > My brothers, I cared. Was this RP of me? No it was not.
>
> False. There's nothing wrong with caring if you want to play LTR game. In fact it is important, there's no point pair bonding with a woman you don't give a crap about. That's why plates and LTRs are considered separate on here.
>
> > The funny thing is I stayed despite a laundry list of universally recognized red flags. I was not afforded the same understanding.
>
> You shouldn't have done that in the first place. It's a great way to get yourself some top class emotional pain. Based upon the way you write, it looks like you've got plenty more reading and calibration to do. File this one away under "experience" and press forward.
>
> > I understand now why some men read and post here with throwaways, and in incognito mode. I felt I had nothing to hide, but you should know the price: being written off unilaterally due to a forum of discussion. You'd think we were praising Hitler or trying to summon Satan.
>
> This is the ultimate point of this post. Remember gents, don't talk about fight club!

[FR] I don't believe in lesbians. I do believe in damaged women.

1 upvotes · 16 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Incorrect. Conscious cost/benefit analysis based decisions are not the same as a difference in unconscious base attraction. I'm not quite sure how you've come to the conclusion you did.

[FR] I don't believe in lesbians. I do believe in damaged women.

1 upvotes · 16 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The logic would be that heterosexuality is biologically programmed for the obvious purpose of procreation. Bisexuality can still achieve this end, so where that fits in precisely would be a debate for another day. However, homosexuality can't achieve anything. It has no purpose from a biological perspective.

> We tend to define most mental illnesses as a mental abnormality which impedes a person's ability to function properly. From the biological perspective, the homosexual mind has not developed properly in terms attraction and is no longer fulfilling its purpose. Therefore they're not functioning properly. The logic is sound and while I suspect there's more in play, I dislike the fact that it can't be discussed or investigated "because muh gay feelz!"

Red pill has created victim mentality and that's counterproductive to the game. Need help.

1 upvotes · 15 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Only reading and learning will do that bro. Some of the anger can be doused by lifting too (at least in my experience it can.)

Some Miscellaneous Modnews.

1 upvotes · 15 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> On the reposts point, I think repeats over time of the same article/example is great. Make sure the info is available and all that. But I do think that if the same example has 2 or 3 topics on the front page, it should be reduced down to 1. Either decided by which one they think is the best quality or simply on a first come first serve basis. This allows an extra 1 or 2 good threads on the front page. Thems my 2 cents, take it or leave it.

Alphas are not your friend

1 upvotes · 13 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I brought that in because it is relevant. Zero sum game competition does not apply regarding women for alphas. For everything else, the second paragraph applies.
>
> The only fathomable reason I can find for zero sum being applied will be if two alphas are already not friends. Otherwise insecurity around friends is a massive beta quality. Hope that clears up my point for you.

"PMS is a mental illness"

1 upvotes · 12 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This reduces the respectability of the DSM. That pisses me off massively. Thanks feminism for giving us a step backwards in one of youngest sciences.

I lost a girl to a good guy. The Red Pill ain't always the truth.

1 upvotes · 11 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Again, you can't get out of your own head and your own assessments of things. Ask yourself something mate: why can you not conceive that this "good guy" has a higher SMV in her eyes? He may lack social skills, but lacking social skills doesn't mean you can't appear like a dominant IDGAF guy. I like that your ego is strong bro, but that strength of ego is for when you're out in the field... when you're back here analysing, you need to kill it in order to be objective.
>
> One of my best friends from uni is beta as fuck, (the epitome of nice guy in some respects, not all) but he currently has a very high value woman on his arm. This is because (when I've observed their interactions) he does still act dominant over her and he's not negotiating desire in any way. Being beta comes in various forms. You may have noticed some betas actually realise desire is either there or it isn't and they will act accordingly. From what you've said about the guy lacking confidence and the way he approaches things, it sounds like your good guy knows desire is either there or not and he just doesn't have the skills to read a woman and figure it out for sure. By extrapolating from this, it follows that he'll act as though desire is either there or not, but he's also wondering whether it is or not. This is probably why you call him the "good guy" because he naturally has a couple of dominant alpha tendencies combined with good genetics and some beta tendencies too. A more naturally submissive and introverted women is likely to be attracted to that... whether the attraction will hold long term

> once she gets comfortable is another conversation for another day.

Michele Fiore: Republican politician says 'hot little girls' should carry guns at university so they don't get raped

1 upvotes · 20 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> "Well, I was drunk, and after he started to penetrate my pussy I suddenly thought about my boyfriend and that made me feel bad. So I decided he was raping me and fired the whole clip at his face." - "Self defense. Case closed."

I really shouldn't laugh so hard at this because it's accurate. Of course, in addition to this... we know all of them will get guns because "hottie cheerleader has one, and I'm a big beautiful woman (reality: 2/10) and I'm totally going to get raped otherwise, so I'd better get a gun."

Next time on America does the stupidest things, we'll be examining how the 2/10 shot a guy in self defence because she wanted to stop the "stare rape."

Suicide Note of Wilkes McDermid - Basically an Elliot Rodgers that didn't kill anyone else.

1 upvotes · 13 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is right. Ugliness in women is pretty much crippling. (They don't have much else to offer.) Ugliness in men is only a fifth disability in the dating market. Height, money, status and race are also big big factors and two of them are within your own control. Status tends to trump all. Even less successful comedians have noted they get to fuck hotter chicks just because they've been the mini-celebrity on stage.

There Are No Shortcuts: Thoughts on "Social Proof"

1 upvotes · 7 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> It's going to be a while before that's done. I'm currently struggling with a theory piece on stereotypes and how we can (at least begin) thinking about exploitation of positive stereotypes. But it's such a huge topic and I'm grappling with ensuring the thoughts are relevant and the advice actionable.

"Men's Rights Activists have missed the point of feminism " - Intellectually disingenuous article from The Telegraph writes a smear piece aimed at discrediting TRP and AVFM.

1 upvotes · 5 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> One link for you.

The modern slut mistakenly increases her own value... we shouldn't help.

1 upvotes · 30 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> I see your point. But I'd say that social proof can still be achieved without further validating the disloyal slut or tolerating bad behaviour. You can definitely have your cake and eat it.

Red pill briefly mentioned in a MSM piece on corporate psychopathy, this time for being a "colony of dark triad devotees." Interesting characterisation, but actually a semi-decent article too.

1 upvotes · 27 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> The very first table on tendencies. I read it was taken from a UBC study which I might read if I find the time... I'd be interested to know where he's getting his crime indicator from. (I've never heard of any serious research being done to prove psychopaths have a high tendency towards crime. Kevin Dutton's research certainly flies in the face of that.) Really that crime trait should probably be removed altogether... surely a narcissist would think rules/laws

> don't really apply to them in the same way as everyone else? I cite Berlusconi as a cracking real life example of this.
>
> I'm also surprised by the idea that Machiavellianism is considered "high callousness" since it would only suggest callousness in the right circumstances, no? It's not really on the same level as a narcissist or a psychopath.

Red pill briefly mentioned in a MSM piece on corporate psychopathy, this time for being a "colony of dark triad devotees." Interesting characterisation, but actually a semi-decent article too.

1 upvotes · 27 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Interesting to see you've found a devotee of your blog... but she has much more research to do since that table of traits is incorrect. I've also come across some interesting reading on how psychopathy presents differently between the genders (amplifying the gender's strengths to the individuals advantage.)

On Debating and Discussion as a Man

1 upvotes · 26 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is all correct for social interaction if social status/social gains are what you're looking to achieve. This post is focused on intellectual growth, the sort of person you'll notice you can grow from and the nuanced difference in how you should interact as a man competitively to achieve the optimal self-improvement from this.
>
> This raises a good point: if you're dealing with an intellectual inferior then the social gains are all you can get. Though it is a good idea to analyse if you're doing that with everyone. If you realise you're doing that with everyone then you're part of a social group which is intellectually inferior and thus limiting your own growth. Definitely an important thing to look out for. Thanks for getting me thinking on that.

Opening a restaurant specifically for men

1 upvotes · 17 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This makes sense to me. Though then the problem becomes: how do we attract these guys who've known nothing else but (as you put it) "being faggy"? The examples you give are not so much down to voluntarily searching for that masculinity they lack... it's luck or coincidence that they spend some time with a masculine uncle or happen to get offered a job around alphas. If it was possible to come up with a way to coax them into a more masculine environment then I think it'd be a big win... but I can't think of a good way to do that since they're so feminized to begin with.

On Morality having a place within Red Pill Philosophy

1 upvotes · 12 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I'm criticizing your writing constructively.
>
> So I can see. I take it on board, but being diplomatic isn't my style. If you think my explanation of why is merely a rationalisation then you're entitled to your opinion. Thanks for the comments. Good food for thought.

On Morality having a place within Red Pill Philosophy

1 upvotes · 11 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> LMAO. Dude... Seriously? AFC? Me? hahahahahahahaa For the last time, the motivation behind The Prince was to regain favour in court. It was meant to be a demonstration to his new ruler that he could offer sound advice and be of use (hence the whole creation being Machiavellian.) What did you seriously think it was for?

On Morality having a place within Red Pill Philosophy

1 upvotes · 11 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Jump out of your class status my friend. Read into the history of WHY it was written. It was not satire. Once you step outside of a viewpoint you can appreciate the bigger picture better. I've read your posts, you're already on your way. Just let it all go and you'll find the truth you've been seeking.

On Morality having a place within Red Pill Philosophy

1 upvotes · 10 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is a very intelligent comment. Everything you have said is true... however I don't feel it is my place to indirectly lure my brothers into a particular moral code. I've given the facts through logic. It's not my job to lead them or make them better people. This place is all about comparing notes and saying what is not popular because the truth is not always what we want to hear or what is most welcome.
>
> This is what I've found from reading and then thinking. I passed it by some of the vets before posting and have had some very positive feedback. This left me satisfied that there was not some significant hole in the logic. It is further proved by the fact nobody in the replies has actually engaged directly. All disagreement has been through disengagement.

Have Yourself A Very Red Pill Christmas: Red Knighting For The Holidays

1 upvotes · 24 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'm struggling to distinguish this "Red Knighting" concept as unique.... It's sounding more like standard MRA without going public... just keeping it in your social group. (potential issues aside.)
>
> Perhaps you could explain in a little more detail please?
>
> Also, some of those quotes are not subtle.

Why I advise all RP men to reboot

1 upvotes · 23 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Great one brother! It's always good to hear when things are coming together. Inspires us all.

Learn from Jay Gatsby

1 upvotes · 22 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> One of my favourite books of all time here. As with all great pieces of literature, it can be interpreted in multiple ways. Personally I felt the story was more about Nick and his changing views/feelings on what he saw. Much of what I've read here seems pretty confirmation-biased. So at least we know what you see from Nick's perspective. Very interesting to see how we each view things differently.
>
> Though remember gents.. caution is advised when you look to fiction for red pill examples. Keep it in mind.

Enough's Enough "Asian Men Have it Hardest/Race Traitors threads" = Instaban. Man the fuck up pussy.

1 upvotes · 21 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Yeah. Those threads are akin to most of TRP whining "I'm not in the movie-star-good-looks category. This is so unfair!" or "I'm not super tall. This is so unfair!" Sure, you won't get to play the game on super-easy mode, but there's plenty of other things you can do to alter the difficulty of the game for yourself. Go do them. You may not play on super-easy, but it's better playing easy or medium mode with good game play than wasting your time on nightmare difficulty and complaining that you lose all the time.

RP self-improvement vs AWALT

1 upvotes · 20 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> To move forward and learn, you need to focus on the subtle. Things are not black and white in this world and neither is red pill theory. I've added a post here which may help highlight the subtle area probed by the question.

This could be the future for YOU if we let feminists get away with "rape culture" BS

1 upvotes · 20 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> The principle was meant to be that we'd rather see a thousand guilty men go free, than imprison a single innocent man. We seem to have lost this principle today. It still holds true in my mind. It's better we don't manage to convict many criminals than to convict them all and put innocent men in prison too. It's the lesser of the two evils and a subjective system being applied to the real world like this could never be perfect.

In the west: looks trump money, status, and power combined.

1 upvotes · 11 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> The problem you have with this is the multitude of Red Pill success stories. I don't believe in coincidence, but your theory rests upon the idea that every single Red Pill success story ever, just so happens to have come from a guy who was good looking and just never knew it.
>
> Given the way women rate the majority of men to be average or below average in looks, that leaves a very small minority who are considered "good looking" by women. Thus, two small minorities in Red Pill men and good looking men... and you're telling me that these two are just going to happen to overlap in a venn diagram? I don't buy that. The statistical probability of that is astronomical.

Ask Anything Friday - 12/05/14

1 upvotes · 5 December 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Monk mode, refocus on yourself. Give your life some meaning. Leave yourself no time to think of anything other than bettering yourself.

Resolving the "anger phase" - help for newer members

1 upvotes · 26 November 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> I'd agree with this. Half the difficulty I had writing this was trying to keep as clear a structure as I could for someone who's starting from zero knowledge. I remember from my own experience that the anger can make it harder to follow something complex. As watered down as this is... it's certainly not simple. I will try again at some point in a month or two to get it cut down a bit without losing the ability for any layman to pick it up and use it. Hopefully I'll find the middle ground.

Personal Observation of RP in action

1 upvotes · 24 November 2014 · /r/TheRedPill

> Low impulse control. 99% of women seem to have incredibly poor impulse control... the more you get rewarded for not controlling yourself (think modern day entitlement/no consequences for behaviour) and it's not hard to see why they can splurge here and there and slowly it becomes more and more frequent. Before they know it, they've gained 20lbs, then 30, then 40. Easy enough to hamster away the low SMV from there... after all, men are the pigs.

Are You Overpaying For Pussy?

0 upvotes · 26 February 2021 · /r/TheRedPill

> Disagree. Pussy should be paying for me.

Chick hit me with the “we just started talking!”

0 upvotes · 3 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> A shit test that you promptly failed. This sort of nonsense in "building rapport over text" is why I'm not a fan of text game.

Oneitis for longstanding FWB

0 upvotes · 2 January 2021 · /r/askTRP

> > Am I just her plate? Is she dreading me? Why do I feel a terrible feeling in my gut?
>
> We need to start off by pointing out you clearly haven't understood your basic reading. Go back to it. Women cannot have plates, they have orbiters. Dynamics from women to men are not the same as men to women. Women cannot "dread" men. Fearing her leaving is called scarcity mentality.
>
> The fact that you're even looking at this from that perspective demonstrates who is the dom and who is the sub in this "relationship."
>
> > Green flags:
> >
> > Always brings Alcohol/food to evenings together
> >
> > Remembers everything we talk about with genuine interest
> >
> > Tried to bring me food out of the blue but I was unavailable
>
> None of these are green flags. Go back and do your reading properly from actual endorsed guys.
>
> Everything you've said suggests you're being led on by a woman who views you as her backup plan. In essence you seem to be an orbiter who is getting sex somehow... possibly down to the highly unusual situation 2020 gave us of constant lockdowns in places. Perhaps she's broken in some way and uses sex to keep mediocre value orbiters around. Could be an unusual expression of the epiphany phase I suppose.
>
> Ultimately, this says it all:
>
> > I have no other options of her caliber in sight.
>
> Scarcity. This is your problem right here. Until this is solved, you're nothing but a slave to her. It will remain this unhealthy until you hard next her since it's unlikely you're going to turn this dynamic around.
>
> Your gut is telling you something is wrong because something IS wrong. Trust your instincts. the fact you're not getting anywhere on tinder is possibly a sign that your base SMV is too low.

Great LTR, but I want to play the field. Should I break up?

0 upvotes · 5 May 2020 · /r/askTRP

> What on earth are you doing in an LTR? If you still wanted to play the field, you should not have been vetting for an LTR. It's like you lack the ability to process basic logic. You could be female.

How do you handle a physically abusive LTR?

0 upvotes · 12 November 2019 · /r/askTRP

> Firstly you're probably getting it because you issue "warnings" instead of instructions. A warning is basically a dare to challenge your dominance and she's seen you're weak. Every time she does it and you respond with

> nothing that affects her other than further empty words is another nice clear demonstration of how weak you are.
>
> Secondly, ANY physical violence of any kind receives a single "do not do that" order and if repeated EVER an immediate and permanent hard next. There are examples of the police arresting men because their women attacked them. You do not win under any circumstances once things get violent. Therefore this is an area where you do not play and you do not give any ground. No ifs, no buts.

LTR refused sex

0 upvotes · 5 September 2018 · /r/askTRP

> An analysis of what she is thinking or feeling here is not needed. Nor do I care if he's an imbecile. I was given a scenario: girl says X to me. What is the correct response? I have answered that question. I am not going to go into further analysis for various reasons.
>
> Prime among these reasons is that these are the words of a woman and fundamentally untrustworthy/valueless. Your entire argument requires her to be telling the truth to be valid. The moment we swap her use of the word "threatening" with something else "showing interest in another woman when i don't put out" (i.e. standard dread) then the entire dynamic shifts and your point collapses. You have incorporated listening to what she says and focus on that ahead of paying attention to what she's doing, into the fundamental logic of your point.
>
> Are you starting to grasp why I have taken the position I have? I believed my single line explanation covered all of this, but apparently you required the extra explanation. I hope this has worked.
>
> If it turns out OP is behaving in a dumb fashion and trying to negotiate sex then that is his problem that he comes to TRP with questions that are presented with the idea that he is further along the journey than where he really is. It will only damage himself and that's not my problem. Or yours.

Vetting for LTR - which qualities should I look for?

0 upvotes · 2 August 2018 · /r/askTRP

> You've clearly ignored the AskTRP sidebar. The TRP Field Toolkit Part 4 covers red and green flags. There is also an extensive LTR guide, part 3 covers the unicorn checklist. Be aware, unicorns don't exist. Though you may be able to find a white enough horse to hammer a spike through its head.
>
> More important than this is your prep for an LTR, whether you are in the right place personally and whether you have spent enough time managing plates to be ready to step up to managing an LTR. When spinning plates, the joy is that when she's in a pain in the ass mood, you can wander off and fuck a different plate. You can't do that with an LTR.
>
> Since you have already demonstrated you're not very thorough in your research, I'd suggest you're not ready for an LTR.

Anyone here with experience dating a girl with herpes?

0 upvotes · 29 July 2018 · /r/askTRP

> This is not the place for your emotional outbursts. Women can receive help for sexual strategy on the RedPillWomen sub.

TRP Lessons/observations from my best friends sister

0 upvotes · 24 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Perhaps you missed the flair kiddo. Objectively there are no 10s due to subjectivity. Hence my point.

PSA - what is a plate ?

0 upvotes · 5 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Because it implies my point did not include/grasp/remember that distinction. Therefore, if I assume you've understood my point, it leaves 2 possible positions. I'm guessing from this, that you didn't believe in what I was driving at?

PSA - what is a plate ?

0 upvotes · 5 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> So are you suggesting your own post was wrong? Or are you suggesting it's impossible to reconcile my point?

PSA - what is a plate ?

0 upvotes · 5 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> > A plate is held. And cared for. And attention is paid to it.
>
> Only if you're training for an LTR. Otherwise what does it matter? As you say, pick up another. They're in the restaurant next to the food imho. But hey, attitudes man.

I always get laid on the first date but struggle to keep girls around

0 upvotes · 8 February 2018 · /r/askTRP

> Initial thoughts, you're trying too hard and coming off beta once a girl knows you. The cock carousel is providing a tastier looking cock to her (it's Tinder man, women stop responding because they've found someone more attractive to focus on... and that's pretty easy, it's why men with bad photos don't stand a chance.) Or there are some issues in the bedroom which are leaving her thinking "I don't want to experience that again." - Read Sex God Method to fix that one. It's easy enough to acquire a copy online but I obviously do not endorse pirating as per rules/laws etc.
>
> > 'Was fun meeting you the other night, we should do it again sometime'.
>
> This is a bit wishy washy too. Try switching it up to "Was fun last time, let's do something on X day." Or maybe decide an activity or some such that you know she'd be excited about.

What Traits Should You Look For In a Potential Girlfriend?

0 upvotes · 1 December 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > I ask you to look at this as objectively as you can.
>
> There's not a lot of this on TRP for LTR material. I've got a few notes on this piece which I will share. First up:
>
> > I'm not condemning any lifestyle or any person. If that was your interpretation, that's not my intention and was explicitly addressed in the post. If you do not respond to the points concretely made, I will not respond, because you are responding with feelz, not logic.
>
> Don't explain yourself to overly emotional little boys. They need to go have their tantrums and rants and get all that emotion out of their system. Leave them to tire themselves out, then they'll be ready to swallow the pill instead of choking on it.
>
> You should also review the comment by HumanSockPuppet. It is excellent as usual.
>
> With the personal out the way let's look at the piece itself. I would disagree with this point:

> You're Extremely Attracted to Her: if you see her, you should immediately get hard or excited. When I first saw my now-girlfriend standing behind me in line at the grocery store, I thought she was fine as fuck (then when I saw her ass, I was in full hunter-mode). If you're not extremely attracted to her, you're not going to care about the relationship much and are liable to let it slide (women don't only cheat because men are weak; they also cheat because he doesn't care about her anymore).

I would disagree with this, (along with the grammar.) While it is important to pick a woman attractive enough to get your dick hard, it is also more important than ever that you maintain a level head and would be able to walk away from the relationship without much bother. The latter is naturally something of a contradiction with the nature of starting an LTR because of the increased investment you make. Objective vetting for personality and actions of the woman are more important and her looks should not be included as a green flag. Particularly that you are especially attracted to her because that increases the risk of thinking with your dick. When attempting a serious LTR in this day and age, you can't afford to allow this or suddenly you'll have said "I do" and be looking through eyes, previously blinded by beauty, at the number of zeros on the money you're now paying her.

A 9 instead of a 7 is a nice bonus, but should certainly not be considered a green flag.

Your red flag section is missing the rape claim. Any woman who claims she has been raped, sexually assaulted or whatever is an instant hard next. It doesn't matter if she was or wasn't actually raped. If she was, she'll have a tonne of emotional baggage and you don't want that in an LTR. If she wasn't then she is willing to lie and weaponise these accusations for sympathy and possibly worse. You want nothing to do with the woman either way.

Additionally, I'd put both of your orange flags as red flags along with a number of your yellow flags. An additional green flag would be around her submissiveness, ability to take orders and willingness to do simple things for you like cooking etc. In short, is she demonstrating herself to be good partner material in what she contributes beyond a warm moist hole?

A further use of this post should be highlighted. In my TRP Field Toolkit I talk about flags as part of basic game assessment of women. They aren't just for LTRs, but they provide a great indication for plate spinning and how you can manage your plates. Women with more red flags aren't just a pain in the ass to manage, but they can be a potential danger in our modern gynocentric society and climate of rape hysteria. While women with more green flags are easier to manage and tend to respond better to good leadership, providing easier opportunities to learn and grow as a man practicing game.

Further reading on LTRs can be found on the AskTRP sidebar. LTRs should not be attempted until you have spun multiple plates for a substantial amount of time and you are proficient in both basic game and more advanced game with a particular attention to leadership, commanding and relationship dynamic.

Bitching about women makes you look like a bitch

0 upvotes · 21 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> That read more like mocking to me.

Recently started seeing a 9...

0 upvotes · 12 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Here's your advice: learn how to TRP and fuck off to AskTRP with questions. Learning yet?

March of the Freak Parade

0 upvotes · 23 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Don't be so silly. A poor sales department or a poor procurement division will mean that you lose. In the middle

> is where both sides benefit from a deal.
>
> Under your logic, wealth is never created. Our economies don't grow. **Economics is not a zero sum game.**

Using Active Voice in Writing

0 upvotes · 3 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I believe your example does not apply here. Meditation has far wider general value than improving your prose. Using meditation to improve your emotional control, confidence, focus etc etc will impact on your work performance, your game, your mood, your fitness. Improving your prose will not improve enough beyond your prose and I believe it can potentially have a negative impact if your social circle consists of anybody who actively discriminates against intelligence and education like this.
>
> I am not saying the piece has no value. I am saying the generalised value is not high enough to justify the investment of time required. The OP does not agree and we have agreed to disagree.
>
> I am not arguing for the sake of argument. I am stating my opinion on the value of the piece to a Red Pill man.

"You look a lot like my last boyfriend"

0 upvotes · 12 January 2016 · /r/askTRP

> No, he tried to validate himself as hot. He's the one suggesting she dates physically attractive men. She didn't call him attractive, she said he looked like an ex. Remember, she's probably dumped the ex or is at least over him by now. The statement could equally have meant "you look like a beta." In which case his comeback translated to "but I'm a hot beta."

“I feel like if we don't have sex, you'll kick me out”

0 upvotes · 10 December 2015 · /r/askTRP

> This is a standard comfort test. She's concerned she's never going to be anything more than a fuck buddy to you but also fears losing you if she's not that. It's a bad place to leave a plate because they can act irrationally as a result of the feelz. You've got three options:
>
> - comfort her
> - ditch her
> - attempt to string her along
>
> Comforting her is relatively straightforward. Laugh at how absurd the notion is then tell her she's implying something very insulting about you that you're only using her for sex and she should apologise. Then point out that her feelings are probably coming from her own belief that she's not worthy of you. If that is the case, she should take a hard look at herself and ask herself what she brings to a relationship with you besides sex and if there is little or nothing in her answer to this, she needs to work on herself if she wants some kind of relationship with you.
>
> If you want to ditch her, either ghost her or you can dread her and keep her as a plate until she smashes (though during this she will be looking for a branch to swing to and will only hang around with you until she finds another man. She'll be particularly upset around the time she probably ends up downgrading.)
>
> Attempting to string her along is the hardest option. You need to address the comfort test in a similar fashion to how I described above, but make it seem like you're only considering her and she's still auditioning. Then make it clear that while you're interested in her, you like relationships to develop naturally because trying to force things and define things tends to break them. Usually a chick will agree with this. My personal record for stringing a chick along after "the talk" is about 8 months, so it can be done if you're careful with your words.

Advice for getting a girl to invite me over to her place

0 upvotes · 5 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Tell her stuff like "let's go back to your place for a nightcap."
>
> Or "We're leaving. I'm going to take you home."
>
> Just be assertive man. If she isn't DTF then she'll find a way to stop you.

I Got Rejected More Than Once, And It Felt Good!

0 upvotes · 27 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Reads to me like he's thrilled he can actually approach women. It's up to you what you want to take away.

How far is it worth changing yourself?

0 upvotes · 21 October 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Is it really worth changing yourself to achieve sucess with women?
>
> This is possibly amongst the most retarded things I've read on TRP... either you've improved yourself and you're complaining about being better... in which case fuck you.
>
> Or you're complaining that you need to fake yourself to be attractive to women... which if you're still faking it after 3 years... you've failed to internalise your attitude and game.... in which case fuck you.
>
> Go calibrate your game properly instead of being a whiny woman. And yeah, your initial instincts were right... this belongs in AskTRP and not here. It has no value to the regular member.

Question to all pills: What do you think about the other branches of the "manosphere"?

0 upvotes · 13 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> MRAs - Good people, I have respect for anyone willing to stick their head out of the trenches and attract the feminist hate while still remaining logical and not resorting to name calling.
>
> MGTOW - Again, respectable. They've just chosen not to play the game and that is their right. Not a fan of some of the MGTOWs who would look down on me for spinning plates and not cutting women entirely from my life. By and large they're reasonable people though.
>
> Omegasphere - I just feel sorry for them because they're usually so far gone and suffered so much mental damage from their attempts to join the SMP that they're not worth saving anymore. (I'm not even sure it would be possible to save them.)

Both pills: Explain your flair

0 upvotes · 11 October 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> How did you come to this rather bold conclusion?

What sites/blogs do you read regularly? What do you recommend?

0 upvotes · 22 September 2015 · /r/askTRP

> Blogs:
>
> - Heartiste naturally.
> - Alpha Game is very good imho.
> - Illimitableman's stuff is excellent if a little dry like The Rational Male.
> - Goldmund Unleashed is good.

> You can try some youtube channels for a bit of variety.
>
> - Sargon of Akkad is pretty good, but not totally Red Pill.
> - Sandman dishes out some good RP stuff but he's a MGTOW.
> - Terrence Popp is just plain funny and entertaining with his RP truth.
>
> That should be plenty for you to go at.

Is the TRP becoming filled with beta nerds who fake being "alpha"

0 upvotes · 22 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Do you honestly believe that many highly successful people have posted on the TRP subreddit in the last few days?
>
> I don't really care how "highly successful" they are or aren't. We're here to discuss sexual strategy, not measure business dicks. When I worked in consultancy, we considered MBA to stand for Massive Bloody Arsehole because the guys who'd picked one up didn't tend to be any better than the guys who didn't have one. It was just another thing on the CV. So as a result:
>
> > The dudes who are posting these stories on the TRP would be better than 95% of the class.
>
> That doesn't seem unreasonable to me. I've met a few high flyers in my time who'd become Directors within FTSE100s in their 20s. It happens. (These guys are MORE successful than the ones you're referencing.) The point is, try not to get too stuck on your own PoV. It makes it harder to learn.
>
> Do I believe every last post on TRP is true? No. Is worth trying to figure out which ones are and which ones aren't? Kinda. But it should be a simple gut reaction. Ultimately you just want to take the lessons you can. If there's no real lesson, then move on. Focus on yourself. Leave everybody else to sort themselves out.

Is the TRP becoming filled with beta nerds who fake being "alpha"

0 upvotes · 22 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Actually I just threw the stats you gave through a calculator: 0.06% will have all three (and that's assuming generously that 20% are muscular.)
>
> It seems low, but when you average it out to realise a major metropolitan city contains millions... with 5 or 6 every 10,000... That's a few hundred to a couple of thousand guys per city who fit the criteria.
>
> Like many things which I've seen on here (including my own FRs) it's unlikely but not impossible. I previously had a FR up which was too accurate because of the highly unlikely scenarios which had come up - I ended up wrestling a comedian on stage. It's the sort of thing that's stranger than fiction, but everybody will say you made it up. Just do what I do and take it all with a pinch of salt.

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

0 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > It's only an insult to them if you go out of your way to make this known to their faces.
>
> Red Pill says these things online, not to their faces. Does online count too? If so... I'm assuming you keep all of your rude opinions to yourself online?
>
> > As for the lying, is that the main reason you don't have respect for sluts? If so, how do you feel about men

> who lie about their sex lives?

I don't have any particular respect for women in general unless they earn it individually. (Don't worry, I apply the same to men.) I was just using sluts as an example because it's used by TRP often. Men who lie about their sex lives... if we're literally flipping the question over... then I dislike them as much as the sluts who do that. (But this assumes the woman is asking about the man's past because she doesn't want to marry a man who has slept with many women. In my experience women don't tend to care about this.)

Incidentally, have you considered whether feminists could be convinced to speak out in support of some MRA men as a sign of good faith? The typical stance of an MRA is that feminists couldn't give a shit and this is why they actively oppose feminism (particularly since you won't clean house of the radical nutters who made #killallmen such a roaring success.) To paraphrase Edmund Burke, all that is needed for evil to triumph is for good women to do nothing.

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

0 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

I think /u/ProtoPill may upvote you like crazy now to balance it out. XD

I await your reply to my original response.

EDIT: I think I just picked up your stalker lol

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

0 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

So in other words, yes? We've gone and generalised too far?

> I only disrespect individual women

Incidentally, you actually stated two **groups** earlier that you had no respect for.

> I see no reason to respect female criminals or irresponsible fools who suck the life out of other people.

These are two groups or categories of women that are drawn together into intangible groups based upon their actions and behaviour. Do you see what I mean? I'm not looking to straight generalise out, I just want to establish a principle here that we're all agreed we don't simply respect all groups - we don't respect some. (For example on my side I'd say I don't respect racists - a group defined by a thought process.)

Q4BP: Is there such a thing as a woman you don't respect?

0 upvotes · 21 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> There are all kinds of things that people do that make me lose respect for them, but nothing that a woman does that makes me lose respect for her compared to a man doing the same thing and me not losing respect for him.

This is a bit too grammatically confusing for me to comprehend and respond to.

Sexbots: Why Women Should Panic

0 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> the more feminism shames men as "pathetic man babies", the less they are going to give a shit, and the more

> they are going to tune you out.

To me, this presents as men following their natural instincts towards a shit test. It's only from years of nagging to placate women that we started taking the stupid shit they'd say, seriously. I think we were naturally designed to tune out the dumb shit as an original part of our sexual strategy.

> some man, somewhere, is sick and tired of putting up with her shit."

I remember hearing this for the first time in the IRC. Real perspective right there. Did you ever do a post based around it?

Red pill and being silly in relationships

0 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> She's a 5-6? In what universe? lol

errr.... this one. Objectively, she's a 6 at best. I would be surprised to see any RP man rate her 7 or above. (There's no way to spare someone's feelings if you force this...) There's simply soooo much better out there.

Red pill and being silly in relationships

0 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> So I'm wondering do you red pill guys ever just goof off and do stupid, silly things as well?

Of course... it's part of being a fun and unpredictable guy.

> Do you ever just relax and let that go?

errr... I'm always relaxed... that is a general part of my stoic frame. Things which make my plates go emotionally mental merely amuse me.

> Like nerf gun fights, giggling like mad at each other's farts, trying to jump out and scare each other, and generally just teasing each and giving each other shit (but not in a negging fashion because we both obviously don't believe what we are saying).

This reads as pretty nerdy sort of low SMV relationship stuff where neither side has much in the way of options.

> I'm not thinking you guys are robots who never have fun, but to me TRP philosophy just seems so exhausting: always being on guard for shit test, always maintaining frame, always suspicious of your SO's motivations.

Spotting shit tests just becomes natural. I break them down and my responses for fun sometimes to teach newbies who're trying to learn.

> Do you guys ever just throw that all to the wayside for a little while to be silly with your partner? Or do you view things like that as not beneficial?

So long as I'm having fun, she'll probably have fun. Doesn't really matter all that much.

I think you take TRP men's behaviour way too seriously. I once had a couple of BP girls comment on their link to my (now deleted) Field Report that they thought they'd have had a fun time with me too... was just a shame about

> all my evil misogynistic thoughts during the date etc etc. You seriously wouldn't even notice that RP man is RP. Just that he's attractive.

Dear Blue Pill "men" - How can you have any respect for women after you have read this thread and the subsequent replies?

0 upvotes · 12 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > I know plenty of women who are waiting for marriage or only sleep with men that they're in a deeply committed relationship with.
>
> You're so sure... why don't you think they're lying or they're just the "good girl" who didn't get caught? I ask because I'm the guy who has slept with these women and has experienced their ability to lie about it without skipping a beat... as if we'd never even met.

Dear Blue Pill "men" - How can you have any respect for women after you have read this thread and the subsequent replies?

0 upvotes · 12 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> This assumes a woman who has slept with 100 men still has sexual value. Yet even the blue pill men will admit they don't want to LTR a chick who has an n count well into double digits.
>
> But we're drifting off point... why should I respect a woman who has gone through the phase of being a whore who can't control herself? And if you agree with me that I shouldn't... why should I assume most women aren't like her given both my own experience and that thread?

Dear Blue Pill "men" - How can you have any respect for women after you have read this thread and the subsequent replies?

0 upvotes · 12 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> If she could "get over him" why precisely is she jumping back into bed with him despite actively knowing it's the wrong course of action? This be not logic.
>
> Which leads to the point... why should I respect such a woman?

Dear Blue Pill "men" - How can you have any respect for women after you have read this thread and the subsequent replies?

0 upvotes · 12 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> If this conversation is to be productive... do you agree that if a man marries the wrong woman, he will end up paying alimony for the rest of his life from this mistake? (Regardless of whether she leaves him for hypergamy or just straight up cheating. We're not discussing a worthwhile woman here who would fit green flags and hasn't slept around massively. We're talking about the sort of woman who has obvious red flags in terms of her inability to control her emotions and leaps into bed with chad at the first chance... then would do so again even when it's all gone wrong before. i.e. the women replying in said thread.) And that marrying/LTRing such a woman is a bad thing?

Do women have sociopath traits?

0 upvotes · 29 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> This list of traits for sociopaths/psychopaths is lengthy. The two words are typically used quite interchangeably. It's an interesting area of study because there's a genetic factor involved and Kevin Dutton has been showing in his research that the majority aren't criminals, some aren't even nasty people or dangerous. They're just trying to function and fit in with a society that isn't like them while hiding a mental disability which has been linked

> heavily with serial killers and as a result has the blackest of black tarnishes against it.

Forget about Miranda for a second. The TRP criticism on Miranda's guy is off base. I rate him much higher physically than TRP does.

0 upvotes · 19 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> The fact that this comment has not received gold from a blue piller is just astonishing! I never expected them to be so childish and jealous of our impending Hollywood success.

What makes men more extreme?

0 upvotes · 13 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> This is a very interesting post to me. I can sense a backlash from thought policing and trying to legally enforce social change is inevitable. TRP is one example of this.
>
> A few months ago, I watched a documentary made in the UK by Trevor Phillips (previously in charge of all manner of UK govt roles to promote equality among races) in which he explored some of the stereotypes which were true and also discussed other things you can't say anymore about race because of political correctness.
>
> The interesting thing about this, is that he is actually aware now (and takes some responsibility) for what he sees as problems he has actively caused. For example an advert designed to try and reduce racism against immigrants, just caused resentment and indignance amongst the white population... effectively making them less receptive to people of other races as a result. Exactly as predicted by free speech enthusiasts, the real racists have been driven underground by political correctness and any sensible person is now starting to resent (if not already resenting) the bullshit that black people have it harder when we can clearly see the racism involved in selecting the token black for a high profile position. The racism in the minority/diversity quotas for companies. i.e. the white man will be passed over in favour of the black man because of the colour of their skin. This is identical to the very thing these equal rights activists complained about, you just switch the words black and white around.
>
> In addition to this, the police force in the UK is starting to struggle with organised crime from foreigners thanks to political correctness. As an example from the documentary, the police know there is a Romanian organised crime gang operating... and they know where... but they are not allowed to target Romanians in that area. Why? Because the gang has set up shop where all the legal, honest Romanians are living and to specifically target Romanians there would be considered "racial profiling" and is therefore unacceptable. And the police are well aware that their hands are tied and they can't take serious action to prevent crime in that area.
>
> In the two examples above, people are starting to wake up to the bullshit. It's actively causing resentment and probably a rise in racism, not because people are inherently racist... but because they can't do anything about the problems... they're naturally angry at the group who are indirectly causing their misfortune through their own benefit. It's the anger phase of the pill, just for something else.
>
> I think some may eventually become hardcore racists because they see no other option. However I think TRP is lucky because I agree with what Karen Straughan said - men simply can't hate women. They can resent all this shit as we've all experienced during the anger phase, but we can't bring ourselves to purely hate them.
>
> People are waking up all over the place, perhaps more slowly to the sexism against men, but it's happening. Movements are growing, even when "underground." So it's only a matter of time.
>
> Also
>
> > Just today I saw news that our very own /u/bsutansalt was unable to advertise men's meetups on meetup because by default this sort of meetup is considered wrong, hateful and extreme.
>
> I have come across a men's group on meetup. It's focused on self improvement... I haven't had the time to go

> along to see whether it's any good but I suspect you could make a similar group. Saying something along the lines of "A group for men to discuss self-improvement, physical fitness, a stronger mind and relationships." That's basically what TRP is in politically correct terms. Don't think it's quite time to bust out the TRP t-shirts, but there's no reason the wolves in sheep's clothing can't gather together.

The Hierarchy of Love

0 upvotes · 2 June 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> word and wordpress are both correct.

FR - Re-Plate success

0 upvotes · 25 April 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Wishing the world was a better place tends to underline the attitudes of some of the people on here who need to look for a negative justification for negative actions. In this case suggesting insecurity causes the pleasure of winning the competition - it is false. Emotional pleasure can come from all manner of things. Generally any experiment I succeed with, I tend to enjoy because I like being proven right. Beyond that, I don't tend to care. However when I'm out in the field, my large ego is a big part of what makes me attractive. Women have the ability to pick up on your underlying attitude in a matter of seconds. (One chick knew my exact thought process, almost word for word, within ten seconds of meeting me.) So for my field reports, I do tend to include some of the egotistical things which go through my head at the time. Since attitude is so important, it's therefore important for the newbies to see examples of the right attitude in motion.
>
> I'd link this on for your question about what is wrong with wishing the world were better. Simply put, you see the attitude I use to be successful and you don't like it. Don't worry, I was like that once too. The problem with wishing the world was better is that it holds you back from adapting properly because you still see things in a "right and wrong" way from the old blue pill programming. While I heavily encourage creating your own moral code based upon your own values... I caution that it can potentially lead to holding onto your old blue pill programming. You'll need to think much deeper about ethical matters and justifications in order to come up with this.
>
> As a final word of caution, I'd say that time spent wishing is time wasted. Either change the reality or adapt to it. Those are your two choices. Everything else is wasting time. And time is a very precious resource.

[FR] I don't believe in lesbians. I do believe in damaged women.

0 upvotes · 15 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> While I'd never have gone through this level of research myself... still, I gotta give props for you following what you wanna do. More than that, sharing so openly with the community. Thanks bro, we need more guys like you! Would spot your next drink if I could.

Red Pill Example Never let people walk over you

0 upvotes · 7 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Indeed. Made me chuckle on the first read. Then after a little reflection, I did wonder about the bullying aspect. I wondered whether bullying is something an alpha would do? Is it something we should aspire to? Then I took a step back and realised just how much space for interpretation there is in this story from the DT aspect. Makes me think the lady be painting a specific picture in order to gain specific feedback/validation.

On Morality having a place within Red Pill Philosophy

0 upvotes · 12 January 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> You've misinterpreted that second paragraph. It's simply pointing out that it's not the fault of anybody who can't

> understand because it's too unrelatable. I used to get angry about that shit, but I've realised since then that if you're allowing your emotions to prevent you from reading something then you're potentially missing out on good information because you're allowing your emotions to rule you. (This is a very feminine trait.)
>
> There's a lot of that which goes on around the sub. The Red Pill is all about presenting reality, no matter how unpleasant. The reality is that we're limited by our genetics to varying degrees. If you think reading that there is a possibility you might not be smart enough to understand something is insulting to you, then I think you might benefit from finding an area where you are so far outside your comfort zone and really try to remind yourself just how much of an idiot you are. Again, this is not an insult - I sometimes look across how many areas I can't understand because when we really step back and look, even the brightest of the bright are really fuckin' stupid.
>
> Putting the two together, you'll get clearer picture of what I was getting at. If you can't understand it, that's your lot in life and you can keep trying if you want... but you've got to appreciate that you do have intellectual limits. That's reality for everyone. Getting angry about that or feeling insulted is pointless and won't help you to develop to be the best man you can be. As a few endorsed members and myself expected, it went right over most of the subs head. (And many got angry about that. I get it, it's frustrating when you can't grasp something. I've experienced it.) So all I can do is try to remind people that it is a possibility that they won't get something and it's not their fault. That's just reality. If there is something more I can do about that then let me know. I know I'm not being diplomatic, but I don't agree with sugar coating reality. A major part of the sub is just about approaching things in a very cold fashion. We don't coddle people and if they don't like it, then that's their problem, not mine. I think you'll understand this. Whether you agree is in your own hands.

How to make her fit my frame

-1 upvotes · 13 April 2019 · /r/askTRP

> What are you drivelling about? You think you're putting a girl in a picture frame or something? You may have read 85% of something you clearly didn't understand. Try again, and come back when you don't have dumb questions to ask (which are answered by the sidebar FAQ on AskTRP.)

German Women Chanting Allahu Akbar - The West & Islam; Beta Bux vs Alpha Fux

-1 upvotes · 23 January 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Islam is Chad.
>
> Hahahahahahaha!
>
> > European women don't protest, don't care about mass rapes, don't say a single fucking word because they instinctively know and feel in their very essence that the rise of Islam (Islam is interchangeable here with the word masculinity) is the natural order.
>
> I would like a pint of what he's drinking.
>
> > You cannot re-ignite attraction in a woman once you've shown your inherent weakness, your true beta-ness, and she's checked out - deeming you as broken and repugnant.
>
> That's false actually. But ya know... you carry on with your little political speech.
>
> > Biology has and always will dictate everything and will narrate the course of human history.
>
> Hahahahahaha! This ignorance is just glorious.
>
> Firstly, TRP is based on evo psych. Evolution, by definition, is a mix of bio and environment. Secondly, just

about every single reputable thinker in any area of science, whether social or biological, has concluded that a mix of the two is right and bio-determinism or cultural-determinism is wrong.

> The West is collectively branch-swinging to Chad because he is the embodiment and last bastion of observable proud male masculinity and control - the most powerful force on the planet.

Don't be so fucking stupid.

> The West is the beta bux and Islam is the alpha fux.

The levels of stupid have really been upped here. Overstatement much?

> What's the solution? As Redpill men all we can do here is enjoy the decline.

Wow. So insightful.

Go back to the alt right you scared little baby. And let the rest of them know that TRP is not falling for your dumb slant on reality or any of your dog whistle bollocks. Only an idiot would consider this remotely Red Pill.

The rest of us will try to deal with creating some concrete solutions instead of pretending that a backwards dark ages religious ideology, that is so easy to mock, and loses frame at every opportunity, lashing out in violence at everyone and everything that they disagree with, is remotely alpha.

My thoughts? Posting is not for everyone. Basically it's not for you and the guys who're taken in by this. This is a prime example of badly thought out nonsense, driven by alt-right political ideology that has no place in TRP. It adds no practical value, is not going to help anybody here get laid, or advance our understanding of gender dynamics in any way.

This is the 'Rape Joke' That's Rocking Apple

-1 upvotes · 17 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

Wow. You are genuinely retarded aren't you? You've actually managed to miss two points, put them together and still not realise either of them. Go back to lurking before you pass your ignorance on to others.

Using Active Voice in Writing

-1 upvotes · 3 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> learning the difference between active and passive voice is a key strategy for a strong writer.

This is the crux of it. Guys can be very successful in all areas they're personally interested in, without needing to become a strong writer.

> I should have made the practical benefits of these writings choices clearer in my post.

It will improve the strength of the piece and its application. People will be able to see if it is a skill they want/need or not, depending on their plans.

Republican vs Democrat: An observation about American politics and voters from someone who took the pill.

-1 upvotes · 13 December 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Pretty hard to be a Democrat or liberal once you have taken the pill.

Couldn't disagree with you more strongly. Many people do not understand the term "liberal" because it has been hijacked by progressive authoritarian assholes.

TRP itself actually shows a sort of philosophical support for some significant liberal values such as individualism, free speech, personal liberty and basic civil rights. TRP itself isn't actually political though. There is an excellent post by /u/CopperFox3c on why TRP is apolitical. (Which translates to the same as political freedom, another liberal value.) I recommend you search it out in his submission history. Most of his statements on TRP are excellent, so you'd do well to read all he's written on the topics. Many is the time I've gone to a post, thought to make a reply and then seen that the ol' fox has already said it.

The ironic part of your conclusion is that it is my liberal beliefs that allow me to reconcile so much of TRP so easily. You'll want to check your political compass because you can be anti-democrat and liberal, or pro-republican and authoritarian. And make no mistake - feminists are highly authoritarian.

For further interest, this video will give you a good overview of the problems that are being caused by the authoritarians pretending they're liberals. It's really confusing everyone's political compass as a result. The more it can be cleared up, the better.

Fwb asks to meet, then reveals she's on her period

-1 upvotes · 23 October 2015 · /r/askTRP

> There's a solid chance she wasn't actually bleeding. I personally DGAF about that, and half the time I discover it's a lie.

This is the crux of it. What's the phrase? You're not a man until you've got some blood on your sword. Go forth and slay!

We are just about hitting Peak "Rape Accusation Culture"; Lady Gaga's new video "Til it Happens to You"

-1 upvotes · 23 September 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> We long since passed peak-Gaga. Poor Stefani Germanotta hasn't had a real hit in years now. She's getting desperate, and trying to tap into the victim-thing.

Mate, I'd just use Occam's razor. She is as crazy as she seems. There's no grand Machiavellian plan here... she's just nuts and following her fee-fees in whatever random direction they take her.

RP: If a woman says she thinks muscles are gross and only likes scrawny nerds, what do you think of her?

-1 upvotes · 20 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

You're either wilfully ignorant, or you're a troll to suggest Nimoy had no status.

> If you've never experienced it, maybe you need to lift more than your weights.

You make me laugh so hard. My game is not calibrated around my muscles. There are bigger men than me out there... but yeah, way to go by taking it straight to the personal level.

> Even the bodybuilding sites give advice on dealing with rejection

That's because all men get rejected. Why? Because it's mostly the person being approached. A pretty blonde girl

> approached me once in a bar while my mate had left the table to visit the gents and get the next round in. She was perfectly fine attraction wise... probably a 7, 6 if I'm harsh. No social or communication problems. Guess what happened? She got rejected. I politely shut down the conversation because I simply wasn't in the mood. That shit happens all the time to guys.
>
> Citing that guys with muscles get rejected is not proof that men with muscles are not attractive. Nor is it proof against my very specific point above which you have purposefully disengaged with **TWICE** NOW.
>
> > What's really fun was watching a woman hand down a verbal smackdown on the first guy I referenced, and nobody was so crippling insecure that they felt the need to rip her apart for it.
> >
> > The redpill isn't fooling anyone.
>
> This vague collection of words doesn't even tell the story well enough for me to comment.
>
> I'm going to stop talking to you now because you're either wilfully ignorant, trolling me, a feminist equivalent of a religious zealot or simply incapable of learning basic objective argumentation skills. I don't care which it is, but you should probably address it if you want to get anything useful out of this sub. Otherwise you're simply wasting your own time.

Red pill and being silly in relationships

-1 upvotes · 17 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> I don't live in the US.

CMV: There is no alternative to r/theredpill or PUA.

-1 upvotes · 19 August 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> That's far too much effort... all she needs to do is go up to a guy and say "wnt sum fuk?" (Assuming she's not an uggo obviously.)

(FR) AWALT. Don't give these women any slack. And don't do anything for a woman for free.

-1 upvotes · 1 August 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> > Names are not important.
>
> This should be in bold. Particularly since many women have fantasies about having sex with a guy without knowing anything about him because "the chemistry was just so perfect." (i.e. I felt tingles and he did perfect escalation.)
>
> I'd also say the HB7 probably hooked up with that other guy to try and make you jealous. Typical female solipsism. They think social proof and dread actually works on us. Not saying your version is wrong, women branch swing all the time and the only thing worse than being the chick who gets an average guy, is the chick who can't get any guy. Women feel like lesser beings when they can't get the attention they want and the sexual interest they feel they're entitled to... they can somehow sense they're failing as a woman if they can't attract men... which they kinda are.
>
> Though I suspect if she's invested so much time following you around, you're still the motivator for this latest action. It's also good for your attitude to think of it that way. Good FR.

Good response to a feminist who drags out the old "77 cents on the dollar" argument...

-1 upvotes · 2 June 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> How the fuck did this shit get gold?

[FR] I don't believe in lesbians. I do believe in damaged women.

-1 upvotes · 16 March 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> And yet you still love him for who he is haha

So this post from ChildFree appeared on my feed under BestOf...

-1 upvotes · 13 February 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

> Rational, but at the same time I'd posit that it's also a testament to how selfish and self-centred our society has become since feminisation. After all, do you find decent people to be in the majority anymore? I've found them to be in a significant minority and I do my best to keep the tiny number (of decent people I meet) around, if I can.

Bitching about women makes you look like a bitch

-2 upvotes · 21 December 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Failure to call a cunt a cunt when she acts like a cunt shows you've still got pussy on the pedestal. Or maybe you're just trying to rationalise your cowardice to call it like you see it.
>
> Try to steer clear of the extremes kiddo. It makes you look like a moron who picked up the pill yesterday and is trying to pretend he's skipped to acceptance because he's just so alpha.

This is the 'Rape Joke' That's Rocking Apple

-2 upvotes · 16 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> I joined Reddit because of TRP. This was a purpose made account, not an additional one.
>
> And just for the record, TRP is not the high ground anyway. So your point is irrelevant even if you were accurate.

Mate Guarding and Relationship Power: She Has the Power If You're The One Guarding

-2 upvotes · 16 May 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Congrats. You've learned basic dread. What an achievement.
>
> The more pertinent takeaway from this is that your gf is poorly behaved:
>
> > They're having a great time and she's right in the middle of it. There's a specific guy, tall, good looking, who's next to her and they are clearly into each other. Strong eye contact, kino.
>
> There is no reasonable explanation for this behaviour. From a plate? Sure. From a serious LTR? She's gone. LTR material don't put themselves in situations like that. This wasn't really about mate guarding. It's more an indication that you've either got a plate and didn't learn the correct term... or you're poor at vetting.
>
> Either way, nothing to see here guys, move along. Dude did some dread and got laid and thinks he's the king now.

Dear Blue Pill "men" - How can you have any respect for women after you have read this thread and the subsequent replies?

-2 upvotes · 12 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

> > You're taking "the way women operate" from a dozen women on /r/AskWomen. I don't think that makes sense.

So does /r/askwomen actually mean /r/askwhores? I don't quite understand how you can completely dismiss such a number of women on a topic which is likely to be underreported (for fairly obvious reasons.)

Edit: Yeah, I should have said... writing men after blue pill just seemed wrong. It didn't fit without the quotation marks.

Dear Blue Pill "men" - How can you have any respect for women after you have read this thread and the subsequent replies?

-3 upvotes · 12 September 2015 · /r/PurplePillDebate

I like this PoV. It's interesting.

But why would I respect someone so dumb? Someone who clearly isn't learning from their mistakes? Someone who is so in love with the blue pill way of thinking that she can't bring herself to think "omg... perhaps I shouldn't be following my heart quite so blindly! Perhaps I should engage my brain along with my heart. It's not like the two are mutually exclusive."

Tinder

-5 upvotes · 24 December 2019 · /r/askTRP

You're either a troll or the dumbest mother fucker on this planet.

Woman hits the wall at 25, has mental breakdown: single mother with no money comes to realise no one wants to date a single mother, has vitriolic anger towards her ex who's still living a happy life and having relationships.

-5 upvotes · 28 August 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Did you miss the part where he said 'doing the quota of parenting he needs to'? Would you prefer the guy had unwillingly stayed with this woman like an obedient beta?

Does it make your head hurt to view everything in such black and white terms?

> Possibly you believe he shouldn't have came in her, but then I'd wonder if you are a virgin.. half the point of having a gf is getting to have proper sex without a condom.

Wrap it for all plates. Doing otherwise is distinctly the opposite of Red Pill.

> She has the power of birth control and abortion. She is 100% the responsible party for bringing a child into the world.

Yeah, this fantasy world in which women take responsibility sounds nice... let me know when you find it. The rest of us are going to be pragmatic.

[Request] being enlightened is not enough - how to avoid being basic

-5 upvotes · 15 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

You lead your own life. The fact that you're a grown boy and don't seem to know what you're interested in speaks volumes. I can't decide your interests for you. If you don't know what you're interested in then start browsing hobbies. This is your first leadership decision. And it doesn't even matter if you get it right this time... it just matters that you make the decision.

How I Manage My LTR

-6 upvotes · 7 March 2018 · /r/TheRedPill

> Only trust. Trust is absolute or it isn't at all. If you can't trust someone, what's the point?

Observe this perfect example of precisely what Uncle Vasiliy points out regularly. The desperation to cling to blue pill dreams and hoping to use red pill methods to achieve them. It doesn't work like that.

You don't get to impose your ideas on reality. You must adapt to reality. The idea that trust is absolute requires a complete dismissal of AWALT or an avoidance of LTRs completely. You would do better to enquire when you find someone wiser disagreeing with you rather than making rhetorical statements that assume you're right.

> For all this talk about lifting and reading and becoming a better and confident man, people here seem really insecure..

Watch your tone kiddo. I'm in a bad mood today and the rules are on my side.

Jordan Peterson's 32 rules for being a man.

-6 upvotes · 18 June 2017 · /r/TheRedPill

I've seen a couple of his lectures. That is how I know he is a Jungian, because I studied Jung along with Freud and have dismissed them both as interesting but not robustly scientific enough (with additional problems regarding the unfalsifiable nature of some of their theories. I have no time for something so unscientific in the field of psychology.)

If you had demonstrated some actual reading comprehension ability, you'd have noticed I did not write him off completely. So I will politely assume you were not referring to me in your final sentence. I instead pointed out that it should all be taken with a grain of salt. The broken clock is right twice a day. The Jungian clock is most definitely broken. Whether it is right about something is down to that individual situation. The same applies to religion, all religion. It's believing in nonsense about invisible all-powerful omnipotent beings, that if they do exist, they are truly evil beyond any ethical measure mankind has ever come up with. Their clock is definitely broken, whether it is telling the right time of day is down to the issue up for discussion.

As I recall, while his lectures may not be preaching religion, he does believe in it. If memory serves he got into a truly absurd discussion with Sam Harris on his waking up podcast regarding the nature of truth where Harris basically intellectually beat him into a corner over his religion. An intelligent man will note the failures of people he follows (Harris being an excellent example. He and Dawkins have lost their minds over Trump. It's rather horrifying to watch.) and adjust how much credibility they give them over it. That is what I was advocating here. Nothing less.

Those who wish to dismiss him entirely are welcome to do so. We're not a dictatorship or cult here. We believe our ideas are good and will stand up to fair scrutiny. The ideas will win the day. As I said originally, he is an ally for masculinity and civil liberties if nothing else. I just do not consider his advice to be particularly red pill. (He advocates family and reproduction as a measure of success, we don't. It's why he pissed off the MGTOW community a while back.)

[Request] being enlightened is not enough - how to avoid being basic

-6 upvotes · 15 November 2015 · /r/TheRedPill

I'd stop being a retard for a start. Then I would re-read nightwing's original post and realise it answered that question in a way that will help you grow rather than just doing all the fucking work for you. Stop being a lazy cunt! Bitches demand shit handed to them on a plate. Men go sort it out themselves.

Women and “icks”: A confirmation of alpha/beta dichotomy

-7 upvotes · 20 August 2021 · /r/TheRedPill

> You NEED both. You need to hit the gym and be the right amount of cocky, but also build a good income and have actual interests and a personality. You need to know how to care for and pay attention to her, but also know how to take the lead and put your foot down when the time comes.

So this is false. You don't need both. You only need the alpha qualities. The beta qualities are only for specific situations where you're trying to lower attraction e.g. making her break it off with you.

Everything you have written here as an example can be done in an alpha fashion. NONE of it requires you to be a beta. Being stable is not inherently beta behaviour, in fact I wouldn't even class it as beta behaviour at all.

Using Active Voice in Writing

-14 upvotes · 3 March 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> TRP is about communicating ideas... not quality prose. That's why I have not written such a guide already.
>
> Also, style and skill in writing will actively work against you in some fields. e.g. academia. Many journals are full of people who can't write prose to save their life. Hell! Even the places where quality prose is meant to be valued (publishing) don't value it. You'll find markedly different quality in the prose of the bestsellers over the past 5 years. Look at journalism for another example.

This is the 'Rape Joke' That's Rocking Apple

-17 upvotes · 16 September 2016 · /r/TheRedPill

> Rationalise your cowardice in whatever way you like. When you surrender the high ground so easily, you're nothing but a beta in my eyes. Get out of my sight.